Environmetrics 00, 1-6

DOI: 10.1002/env.XXXX

Global Mass Framework Documentation

Zhe Sha<sup>a\*</sup>, Jonathan Bamber<sup>a</sup>, William Llovel<sup>a</sup>, Alba

Martn-Espaol<sup>b</sup>, Jonathan Rougier<sup>a</sup>, Maike Schumacher<sup>a</sup> and

Andrew Zammit-Mangion<sup>c</sup>

Summary: Correctly separating the sources of sea level rise (SLR) is crucial for improving future SLR

predictions. Traditionally, changes in each component of the integrated signal have been tackled separately,

which has often lead to inconsistencies between the sum of these components and the integral as measured

by satellite altimetry. In this paper, we produce the first physically-based and data-driven solution for the

complete coupled land-ocean-solid Earth system that is consistent with the full suite of observations, prior

knowledge and fundamental geophysical constraints.

**Keywords:** Bayesian hierarchical models; Gaussian Markov random fields;

sea-level rise; spatial-temporal statistics; stochastic partial differential equations.

1. INTRODUCTION

2. BAYESIAN HIERARCHICAL MODELLING

We follow the framework in Zammit-Mangion A. et al. (2014) and define the Bayesian

hierarchical model with (i) an observation layer describing the interaction between the

processes and instruments, (ii) a process layer containing information on the geophysical

<sup>a</sup>Bristol Glaciology Centre, School of Geography, University of Bristol, Bristol, BS8 1SS, UK

<sup>b</sup>Building, Institute, Street Address, City, Code, Country

<sup>c</sup>Building, Institute, Street Address, City, Code, Country

\* Correspondence to: Building, Institute, Street Address, City, Code, Country. E-mail: zhe.sha@bristol.ac.uk

This paper has been submitted for consideration for publication in *Environmetrics* 

Environmetrics Z. SHA ET AL.

and spatial-temporal nature of the processes and (iii) a parameter layer specifying prior beliefs on unknowns.

#### 2.1. The process layer

All the processes are measured as yearly hight change in water equivalent (mm/yr) and denoted by X(s). The global sea level change depends on the volume change of water in the world's oceans and the shape of the ocean basins. The glacio-isostatic adjustment (GIA), denoted by  $X_{GIA}$ , can be used to account for the change of ocean basins (cite Wu et al 2010). The volume change of ocean is mainly due to the mass change (barystatic) and the density change (steric) of water. Denote the barystatic process by  $X_B$  and the steric process by  $X_S$ . The steric process consists of two parts: the thermosteric process  $X_{ST}$  induced by temperature and the halosteric process  $X_{SH}$  due to the salinity change.

When investigating locally, the sea level change can be also strongly affected by ocean circulation  $X_C$  and gravity's finger print  $X_F$  (cf Bamber and Riva 2010). Hence, the total sea-level change  $X_{tot}(s)$  at a given location s can be decomposed as

$$\boldsymbol{X}_{tot}(\boldsymbol{s}) = \boldsymbol{X}_{SH}(\boldsymbol{s}) + \boldsymbol{X}_{ST}(\boldsymbol{s}) + \boldsymbol{X}_{B}(\boldsymbol{s}) + \boldsymbol{X}_{GIA}(\boldsymbol{s}) + \boldsymbol{X}_{C}(\boldsymbol{s}) + \boldsymbol{X}_{F}(\boldsymbol{s})$$
(1)

In the following, we specify the spatial-temporal nature for each process.

#### 2.1.1. The steric process

The steric process consists of the halosteric process  $X_{SH}(s)$  and the thermosteric process  $X_{ST}$ . How to model these two processes?

- 1. time invariant?
- 2. independent?
- 3. stationary?

#### 2.1.2. The barystatic process

- 1. stationary spatial process?
- 2. time invariant?

# 2.1.3. The GIA process

The GIA process is modelled as spatial process that does not change over the time. In this first study, we will assume it as known.

- 1. We need to decide what error inflation to use, 20%?
- 2. Maike provide the fixed GIA value for the first study and prior information for later use?

# 2.1.4. Regional processes

For regional sea level change, the ocean circulation can be modelled as a fine scale variation.

$$X_C(s) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_C} \phi_i(s) \eta_i + \delta_C(s)$$
(2)

where  $\{\phi_i\}$  are some basis functions and  $\eta_i$  are the corresponding coefficients, and  $\delta_C$  and  $\delta_F$  are Gaussian errors.

For the gravity's finger print (need to check out the paper)

$$X_F(s) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_F} \psi_i(s)\beta_i$$
(3)

In this first study, we will ignore  $X_C(s)$  and  $X_F(s)$  since we do not have a satisfactory model to relate them with data yet. Including these two latent process will make the model under-determined. One possible solution is to smooth these two processes out by using historic data.

Environmetrics Z. SHA ET AL.

## 2.2. The data layer

We are going to use the following datasets:

- 1. Altimery Rory not ready
- 2. Argo buoys who not ready
- 3. GRACE Maike ready

## 2.2.1. Data processing

Describe the data source and how we process the data.

## 2.2.2. Data modelling

The data layer is modelled by the following linear system

$$Z_{ALT}(s) = X_{tot}(s) + \varepsilon_{ALT}(s)$$
 (4)

$$Z_{ARGO}(s) = X_{SH}(s) + \varepsilon_{ARGO}(s)$$
 (5)

$$Z_{GRACE}(D_i) = \int_{D_i} \rho_0(s) X_B(s) + \rho_E(s) X_{GIA}(s) + \rho_0(s) X_C(s) ds + \varepsilon_{GRACE}(D_i)$$
 (6)

where  $\varepsilon$  are the measurement errors for each instrument (provided by the person who processed the data),  $\rho$  are fixed density (or density map).

1. I'm not entirely sure about the last equation, especially the density notation.

## 2.3. The priors layer

#### 3. PARAMETER ESTIMATION

## 4. RESULTS

## 5. DISCUSSION

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

#### REFERENCES

- Akash FJ, Tirky T. 1988. Proper multivariate conditional autoregressive models for spatial data analysis.

  Biometrics 196: 173.
- Balaram CA, Neeraj G, Haq HJ, Cliff PE. 1985a. *NIFT* 2nd edition. Boca Raton, Florida: Chapman and Hall.
- Commel JK. 1961. National Sangget Academia, 2nd edition. Hoboken, New Jersey: Wiley.
- Das B, Patra HM. 1986. Using counts to simultaneously estimate abundance and detection probabilities in a salamander community. *Herpetologica* **60**: 468–478.
- Emrat T. 1974. Topics in Stochastic Processes. New York: Academic Press.
- Emrat T. 1985. Topics in Stochastic Processes. New York: Academic Press.
- Goldman MJ, Ewin A. 1987. A comparison of smoothing techniques for CD4 data measured with error in a time-dependent Cox proportional hazards model. *Statistics in Medicine* 17: 2061–2077.
- Goodsman RC. 1972. Testing hypotheses in the functional linear model. *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics* **30**: 241–251.
- Gooms RD. 1972. Testing hypotheses in the functional linear model. *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics* **31**: 315–323.
- Gooms RD, Wool NJ. 1970. A comparison of smoothing techniques for CD4 data measured with errors in a time-dependent Cox proportional hazards model. *Statistics in Medicine* 17: 2091–2099.
- Hackel P. 1985. Topics in Stochastic Processes. New York: Academic Press.
- Holloman PM, Tinku HA, Gerg I. 1979. A comparison of smoothing techniques for CD4 data measured with techniques a time-dependent Cox proportional hazards model. *Statistics in Medicine* 17: 2105–2111.

Environmetrics Z. SHA ET AL.

Juman M. 1986. Using counts to simultaneously estimate abundance and detection probabilities in a salamander community. *Herpetologica* **61**: 482–495.

- Kartik BV. 1969. Using counts to simultaneously estimate abundance and detection probabilities in a salamander community. *Herpetologica* **62**: 511–519.
- Lenin DB. 1932. A comparison of smoothing techniques for CD4 data measured with errors in a time-dependent Cox proportional hazards model. *Statistics in Medicine* 17: 2125–2135.
- O'Rourke D. 1996. Industrial ecology: A critical review. *International Journal of Environment and Pollution* **6**: 389–112.
- Oman FM, Raj E. 1986. Topics in Stochastic Process. New York: Academic Press.
- Prem GW, Christ W, Smap J, Wills JA. 1963. Topics in Stochastic Processes. New York: Academic Press.
- Rahul SR. 1981. Industrial ecology: A critical review. *International Journal of Environment and Pollution*6: 371–375.
- Ram RD, Shyam S. 1972. Topics in Stochastic Process. New York: Academic Press.
- Ravan M, Hari S. 1983. Industrial ecology: A critical review. *International Journal of Environment and Pollution* 6: 389–395.
- Ravan M, Hari S. 1984. Industrial ecology: A critical review. *International Journal of Environment and Pollution* 7: 512–519.
- Sharma FJ. 1984. Topics in Stochastic Process. New York: Academic Press.
- Vim WE, Potter HJ. 1988. A critical review. International Journal of Environment and Pollution 9: 635-641.

## **APPENDIX**

(This appendix was not part of the original paper by AV Raveendran and is included here just for illustrative purposes. The references are not relevant to the text of the appendix, they are references from the bibliography used to illustrate text before and after citations.)