Status of Vermilion rockfish ($Sebastes\ miniatus$) along the US West - Oregon coast in 2021

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{by} \\ \text{Jason M. Cope}^1 \\ \text{Tien-Shui Tsou}^2 \\ \text{Kristen Hinton}^2 \\ \text{Corey Niles}^2 \end{array}$

¹Northwest Fisheries Science Center, U.S. Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Marine Fisheries Service, 2725 Montlake Boulevard East, Seattle, Washington 98112

 $^2\mbox{Washington}$ Department of Fish and Wildlife, 600 Capital Way North, Olympia, Washington 98501

© Pacific Fisheries Management Council, 2021

Correct citation for this publication:

Cope, J.M., T.-. Tsou, K. Hinton, C. Niles. 2021. Status of Vermilion rockfish (Sebastes miniatus) along the US West - Oregon coast in 2021. Pacific Fisheries Management Council, Portland, Oregon. 10 p.

Contents

Ex	kecut	ive Su	mmary	i
	Stoc	k		i
	Land	dings		i
	Data	a and A	ssessment	i
	Stoc	k Biom	ass	i
	Recr	ruitmen	t	i
	Exp	loitatio	n Status	i
	Refe	erence F	Points	i
	Man	agemer	at Performance	ii
	Unre	esolved	Problems and Major Uncertainties	ii
	Deci	ision Ta	ble	ii
	Rese	earch ar	nd Data Needs	ii
1	Intr	oducti	on	1
	1.1	Basic	Information	1
	1.2	Life H	istory	1
	1.3	Ecosys	stem Considerations	1
	1.4	Histor	ical and Current Fishery Information	1
	1.5	Summ	ary of Management History and Performance	1
	1.6	Foreig	n Fisheries	2
2	Dat	a		2
	2.1	Fisher	y-Dependent Data	2
	2.2	Fisher	y-Independent Data	2
		2.2.1	AFSC Slope Survey	2
		2.2.2	California Collaborative Fisheries Research Program	2
		2.2.3	AFSC/NWFSC West Coast Triennial Shelf Survey	3
		2.2.4	NWFSC West Coast Groundfish Bottom Trawl Survey	4
	2.3	Biolog	ical Data	4
		2.3.1	Natural Mortality	4
		2.3.2	Maturation and Fecundity	4
		2.3.3	Sex Ratio	4
		2.3.4	Length-Weight Relationship	4

2021 iii

		2.3.5	Growth (Length-at-Age)	4
		2.3.6	Ageing Precision and Bias	4
	2.4	Enviro	onmental and Ecosystem Data	4
3	\mathbf{Ass}	essmei	nt Model	4
	3.1	Summ	nary of Previous Assessments and Reviews	E
		3.1.1	History of Modeling Approaches (not required for an update assessment)	Ę
		3.1.2	Most Recent STAR Panel and SSC Recommendations (not required for an update assessment)	Ę
		3.1.3	Response to Groundfish Subcommittee Requests (not required in draft)	Ę
	3.2	Model	Structure and Assumptions	Ę
		3.2.1	Model Changes from the Last Assessment (not required for an update assessment)	Ē
		3.2.2	Modeling Platform and Structure	5
		3.2.3	Model Parameters	Ē
		3.2.4	Key Assumptions and Structural Choices	Ę
	3.3	Base I	Model Results	6
		3.3.1	Parameter Estimates	6
		3.3.2	Fits to the Data	6
		3.3.3	Population Trajectory	6
		3.3.4	Reference Points	6
	3.4	Model	Diagnostics	6
		3.4.1	Convergence	6
		3.4.2	Sensitivity Analyses	6
		3.4.3	Retrospective Analysis	6
		3.4.4	Likelihood Profiles	6
		3.4.5	Unresolved Problems and Major Uncertainties	6
4	Ma	nagem	ent	6
	4.1	Refere	ence Points	7
	4.2	Unres	olved Problems and Major Uncertainties	7
	4.3	Harve	st Projections and Decision Tables	7
	4.4	Evalua	ation of Scientific Uncertainty	7
	4.5	Resear	rch and Data Needs	7
5	Δck	nowle	døments	7

iv

6	References	8
7	Tables	9
8	Figures	10

v

Executive Summary

Stock
This assessment reports the status of Vermilion rockfish ($Sebastes\ miniatus$) off the US West - Oregon coast using data through xxxx.
Landings
Replace text.
Data and Assessment
Replace text.
Stock Biomass
Replace text.
Recruitment
Replace text.
Exploitation Status
Replace text.

Reference Points

Replace text.

Management Performance
Replace text.
Unresolved Problems and Major Uncertainties
Replace text.
Decision Table
Replace text.
Research and Data Needs
Replace text.

1 Introduction

1.1 Basic Information
This assessment reports the status of Vermilion rockfish ($Sebastes\ miniatus$) off the US West - Oregon coast using data through xxxx.
1.2 Life History
Replace text.
1.3 Ecosystem Considerations
Replace text.
1.4 Historical and Current Fishery Information
Replace text.
1.5 Summary of Management History and Performance
Replace text.

1.6 Foreign Fisheries

Replace text.

2 Data

A description of each data source is provided below (Figure 1).

2.1 Fishery-Dependent Data

2.2 Fishery-Independent Data

2.2.1 AFSC Slope Survey

The AFSC Slope Survey (Slope Survey) operated during the months of October to November aboard the R/V $Miller\ Freeman$. Partial survey coverage of the US west coast occurred during the years 1988-1996 and complete coverage (north of $34^{\circ}30'$ S) during the years 1997 and 1999-2001. Typically, only these four years that are seen as complete surveys are included in assessments.

2.2.2 California Collaborative Fisheries Research Program

Since 2007, the California Collaborative Fisheries Research Program (CCFRP) has monitored several areas in California to evaluate the performance of Marine Protected Area (MPA)s and understand nearshore fish populations (Wendt and Starr 2009; Starr et al. 2015). In 2017, the survey expanded beyond the four MPAs in central California (Año Nuevo, Point Lobos, Point Buchon, and Piedras Blancas) to include the entire California coast. Fish are collected

by volunteer anglers aboard Commercial passenger fishing vessel (CPFV)s guided by one of the following academic institutions based on proximity to fishing location: Humboldt State University; Bodega Marine Laboratories; Moss Landing Marine Laboratories; Cal Poly San Luis Obispo; University of California, Santa Barbara; and Scripps Institution of Oceanography.

Surveys consist of fishing with hook-and-line gear for 30-45 minutes within randomly chosen 500 by 500 m grid cells within and outside MPAs. Prior to 2017, all fish were measured for length and release or descended to depth; since then, some were sampled for otoliths and fin clips.

2.2.3 AFSC/NWFSC West Coast Triennial Shelf Survey

The AFSC/NWFSC West Coast Triennial Shelf Survey (Triennial Survey) was first conducted by the Alaska Fisheries Science Center (AFSC) in 1977, and the survey continued until 2004 (Weinberg et al. 2002). Its basic design was a series of equally-spaced east-to-west transects across the continential shelf from which searches for tows in a specific depth range were initiated. The survey design changed slightly over time. In general, all of the surveys were conducted in the mid summer through early fall. The 1977 survey was conducted from early July through late September. The surveys from 1980 through 1989 were conducted from mid-July to late September. The 1992 survey was conducted from mid July through early October. The 1995 survey was conducted from early June through late August. The 1998 survey was conducted from early June through early August. Finally, the 2001 and 2004 surveys were conducted from May to July.

Haul depths ranged from 91-457 m during the 1977 survey with no hauls shallower than 91 m. Due to haul performance issues and truncated sampling with respect to depth, the data from 1977 were omitted from this analysis. The surveys in 1980, 1983, and 1986 covered the US West Coast south to 36.8°N latitude and a depth range of 55-366 m. The surveys in 1989 and 1992 covered the same depth range but extended the southern range to 34.5°N (near Point Conception). From 1995 through 2004, the surveys covered the depth range 55-500 m and surveyed south to 34.5°N. In 2004, the final year of the Triennial Survey series, the Northwest Fisheries Science Center (NWFSC) Fishery Resource and Monitoring division (FRAM) conducted the survey following similar protocols to earlier years.

2.2.4 NWFSC West Coast Groundfish Bottom Trawl Survey

The NWFSC West Coast Groundfish Bottom Trawl Survey (WCGBTS) is based on a random-grid design; covering the coastal waters from a depth of 55-1,280 m (Bradburn, Keller, and Horness 2011). This design generally uses four industry-chartered vessels per year assigned to a roughly equal number of randomly selected grid cells and divided into two 'passes' of the coast. Two vessels fish from north to south during each pass between late May to early October. This design therefore incorporates both vessel-to-vessel differences in catchability, as well as variance associated with selecting a relatively small number (approximately 700) of possible cells from a very large set of possible cells spread from the Mexican to the Canadian borders.

2.3	Bio	logical	Data
-----	-----	---------	------

- 2.3.1 Natural Mortality
- 2.3.2 Maturation and Fecundity
- 2.3.3 Sex Ratio
- 2.3.4 Length-Weight Relationship
- 2.3.5 Growth (Length-at-Age)
- 2.3.6 Ageing Precision and Bias
- 2.4 Environmental and Ecosystem Data

3 Assessment Model

3.1	Summary of Previous Assessments and Reviews	
3.1.1	History of Modeling Approaches (not required for an update assessment)	
3.1.2	Most Recent STAR Panel and SSC Recommendations (not required for an update assessment)	
3.1.3	Response to Groundfish Subcommittee Requests (not required in draft)	
3.2 I	Model Structure and Assumptions	
3.2.1	Model Changes from the Last Assessment (not required for an update assessment)	
3.2.2	Modeling Platform and Structure	
General model specifications (e.g., executable version, model structure, definition of fleets and areas)		
3.2.3	Model Parameters	
Describ	e estimated vs. fixed parameters, priors	
3.2.4	Key Assumptions and Structural Choices	

3.3.1	Parameter Estimates
3.3.2	Fits to the Data
3.3.3	Population Trajectory
3.3.4	Reference Points
3.4]	Model Diagnostics
Describ	e all diagnostics
3.4.1	Convergence
3.4.2	Sensitivity Analyses
3.4.3	Retrospective Analysis
3.4.4	Likelihood Profiles
3.4.5	Unresolved Problems and Major Uncertainties
4 N	Ianagement

3.3 Base Model Results

- 4.1 Reference Points
- 4.2 Unresolved Problems and Major Uncertainties
- 4.3 Harvest Projections and Decision Tables
- 4.4 Evaluation of Scientific Uncertainty
- 4.5 Research and Data Needs
- ${\bf 5}\quad {\bf Acknowledgments}$

Here are all the mad props!

6 References

- Bradburn, M. J., A. A Keller, and B. H. Horness. 2011. "The 2003 to 2008 US West Coast Bottom Trawl Surveys of Groundfish Resources Off Washington, Oregon, and California: Estimates of Distribution, Abundance, Length, and Age Composition." US Department of Commerce, National Oceanic; Atmospheric Administration, National Marine Fisheries Service.
- Starr, R. M., D. E. Wendt, C. L. Barnes, C. I. Marks, D. Malone, G. Waltz, K. T. Schmidt, et al. 2015. "Variation in Responses of Fishes Across Multiple Reserves Within a Network of Marine Protected Areas in Temperate Waters." PLoS One2 10 (3): p.e0118502.
- Weinberg, K. L., M. E. Wilkins, F. R. Shaw, and M. Zimmermann. 2002. "The 2001 Pacific West Coast Bottom Trawl Survey of Groundfish Resources: Estimates of Distribution, Abundance and Length and Age Composition." NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-AFSC-128. U.S. Department of Commerce.
- Wendt, D. E., and R. M. Starr. 2009. "Collaborative Research: An Effective Way to Collect Data for Stock Assessments and Evaluate Marine Protected Areas in California." Marine and Coastal Fisheries: Dynamics, Management, and Ecosystem Science. 1: 315–24.

7 Tables

8 Figures




Figure 1: Summary of data sources used in the base model.