class10.Rmd

Sara Herrera (PID:A59011948)

10/29/2021

1. Importing candy data

```
candy_file <- "candy-data.csv"

candy = read.csv(candy_file, row.names=1)
head(candy)
```

```
##              chocolate fruity caramel peanutyalmondy nougat crispedricewafer
## 100 Grand            1      0       1              0      0                1
## 3 Musketeers         1      0       0              0      1                0
## One dime             0      0       0              0      0                0
## One quarter          0      0       0              0      0                0
## Air Heads            0      1       0              0      0                0
## Almond Joy           1      0       0              1      0                0
##              hard bar pluribus sugarpercent pricepercent winpercent
## 100 Grand       0   1        0        0.732        0.860   66.97173
## 3 Musketeers    0   1        0        0.604        0.511   67.60294
## One dime        0   0        0        0.011        0.116   32.26109
## One quarter     0   0        0        0.011        0.511   46.11650
## Air Heads       0   0        0        0.906        0.511   52.34146
## Almond Joy      0   1        0        0.465        0.767   50.34755
```

> Q1. How many different candy types are in this dataset?

```
nrow(candy)
```

```
## [1] 85
```

> Q2. How many fruity candy types are in the dataset?

```
sum(candy$fruity)
```

```
## [1] 38
```

2. What is your favourite candy?

Q3 & Q4. What is your favorite candy in the dataset and what is it's winpercent value?

```
candy["Kit Kat", ]$winpercent
```

```
## [1] 76.7686
```

Q5. What is the winpercent value for "Tootsie Roll Snack Bars"?

```
candy["Tootsie Roll Snack Bars", ]$winpercent
```

```
## [1] 49.6535
```

```
library("skimr")
skim(candy)
```

Table 1: Data summary

Name	candy
Number of rows	85
Number of columns	12
Column type frequency:	
numeric	12
Group variables	None

Variable type: numeric

skim_variable	n_missing	complete_rate	mean	sd	p0	p25	p50	p75	p100	hist
chocolate	0	1	0.44	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	
fruity	0	1	0.45	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	
caramel	0	1	0.16	0.37	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	
peanutyalmondy	0	1	0.16	0.37	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	
nougat	0	1	0.08	0.28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	
crispedricewafer	0	1	0.08	0.28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	
hard	0	1	0.18	0.38	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	
bar	0	1	0.25	0.43	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	
pluribus	0	1	0.52	0.50	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
sugarpercent	0	1	0.48	0.28	0.01	0.22	0.47	0.73	0.99	
pricepercent	0	1	0.47	0.29	0.01	0.26	0.47	0.65	0.98	
winpercent	0	1	50.32	14.71	22.45	39.14	47.83	59.86	84.18	

Q6. Is there any variable/column that looks to be on a different scale to the majority of the other columns in the dataset?

There's some variables/columns on a different scale, in percentages.

> Q7. What do you think a zero and one represent for the candy$chocolate column?

Zero is NO and 1 is YES

> Q8. Plot a histogram of winpercent values

```
hist(candy$winpercent)
```



> Q9. Is the distribution of winpercent values symmetrical?

No

> Q10. Is the center of the distribution above or below 50%?

```
summary(candy$winpercent)
```

```
##    Min. 1st Qu.  Median    Mean 3rd Qu.    Max. 
##   22.45   39.14   47.83   50.32   59.86   84.18
```

Below 50%

> Q11. On average is chocolate candy higher or lower ranked than fruit candy?

Higher ranked

```
chocolate <- candy[ as.logical(candy$chocolate), ]$winpercent
mean(chocolate)
```

```
## [1] 60.92153
```

```
fruity <- candy[ as.logical(candy$fruity), ]$winpercent
mean(fruity)
```

```
## [1] 44.11974
```

> Q12. Is this difference statistically significant?

Yes it is

```
t.test(chocolate, fruity)
```

```
##
##  Welch Two Sample t-test
##
## data:  chocolate and fruity
## t = 6.2582, df = 68.882, p-value = 2.871e-08
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
##  11.44563 22.15795
## sample estimates:
## mean of x mean of y
##  60.92153  44.11974
```

Overall Candy Rankings

> Q13. What are the five least liked candy types in this set?

```
head(candy[order(candy$winpercent),], n=5)
```

```
##                    chocolate fruity caramel peanutyalmondy nougat
## Nik L Nip                  0      1       0              0      0
## Boston Baked Beans         0      0       0              1      0
## Chiclets                   0      1       0              0      0
## Super Bubble               0      1       0              0      0
## Jawbusters                 0      1       0              0      0
##                    crispedricewafer hard bar pluribus sugarpercent pricepercent
## Nik L Nip                         0    0   0        1        0.197        0.976
## Boston Baked Beans                0    0   0        1        0.313        0.511
## Chiclets                          0    0   0        1        0.046        0.325
## Super Bubble                      0    0   0        0        0.162        0.116
## Jawbusters                        0    1   0        1        0.093        0.511
##                    winpercent
## Nik L Nip            22.44534
## Boston Baked Beans   23.41782
## Chiclets             24.52499
## Super Bubble         27.30386
## Jawbusters           28.12744
```

Q14. What are the top 5 all time favorite candy types out of this set?

```
head(candy[order(candy$winpercent, decreasing=TRUE),], n=5)
```

```
##                           chocolate fruity caramel peanutyalmondy nougat
## ReeseÕs Peanut Butter cup         1      0       0              1      0
## ReeseÕs Miniatures                1      0       0              1      0
## Twix                              1      0       1              0      0
## Kit Kat                           1      0       0              0      0
## Snickers                          1      0       1              1      1
##                           crispedricewafer hard bar pluribus sugarpercent
## ReeseÕs Peanut Butter cup                0    0   0        0        0.720
## ReeseÕs Miniatures                       0    0   0        0        0.034
## Twix                                     1    0   1        0        0.546
## Kit Kat                                  1    0   1        0        0.313
## Snickers                                 0    0   1        0        0.546
##                           pricepercent winpercent
## ReeseÕs Peanut Butter cup        0.651   84.18029
## ReeseÕs Miniatures               0.279   81.86626
## Twix                             0.906   81.64291
## Kit Kat                          0.511   76.76860
## Snickers                         0.651   76.67378
```

Q15. Make a first barplot of candy ranking based on winpercent values.

```
library("ggplot2")

ggplot(candy) +
  aes(winpercent, rownames(candy)) +
  geom_col()
```



Q16. This is quite ugly, use the reorder() function to get the bars sorted by winpercent?

```
ggplot(candy) +
  aes(winpercent, reorder(rownames(candy),winpercent)) +
  geom_col()
```



```
#Color vectors (all black to start)
my_cols=rep("black", nrow(candy))

# Now overwrite the entries with different colors
my_cols[as.logical(candy$chocolate)] = "chocolate"
my_cols[as.logical(candy$bar)] = "brown"
my_cols[as.logical(candy$fruity)] = "pink"
```

```
ggplot(candy) +
  aes(winpercent, reorder(rownames(candy),winpercent)) +
  geom_col(fill=my_cols)
```



Q17. What is the worst ranked chocolate candy?

Sixlets

Q18. What is the best ranked fruity candy?

Starburst

Taking a look at pricepercent

```
library(ggrepel)

# How about a plot of price vs win
ggplot(candy) +
  aes(winpercent, pricepercent, label=rownames(candy)) +
  geom_point(col=my_cols) +
  geom_text_repel(col=my_cols, size=3.3, max.overlaps = 5)
```

```
## Warning: ggrepel: 54 unlabeled data points (too many overlaps). Consider
## increasing max.overlaps
```



Q19. Which candy type is the highest ranked in terms of winpercent for the least money - i.e. offers the most bang for your buck?

Reeses Miniatures

Q20. What are the top 5 most expensive candy types in the dataset and of these which is the least popular?

```
ord <- order(candy$pricepercent, decreasing = TRUE)
head( candy[ord,c(11,12)], n=5 )
```

```
##                          pricepercent winpercent
## Nik L Nip                       0.976   22.44534
## Nestle Smarties                 0.976   37.88719
## Ring pop                        0.965   35.29076
## HersheyÕs Krackel               0.918   62.28448
## HersheyÕs Milk Chocolate        0.918   56.49050
```

```
# Make a lollipop chart of pricepercent
ggplot(candy) +
  aes(pricepercent, reorder(rownames(candy), pricepercent)) +
  geom_segment(aes(yend = reorder(rownames(candy), pricepercent),
                   xend = 0), col="gray40") +
    geom_point()
```



5. Exploring the correlation structure

```
library(corrplot)
```

```
## corrplot 0.90 loaded
```

```
cij <- cor(candy)
corrplot(cij)
```



Q22. Examining this plot what two variables are anti-correlated (i.e. have minus values)?

Chocolate and fruity

Q23. Similarly, what two variables are most positively correlated?

Cholocate and winpercent

6. Princial Component Analysis

```
pca <- prcomp(candy, scale=TRUE)
summary(pca)
```

```
## Importance of components:
##                           PC1    PC2    PC3     PC4    PC5     PC6     PC7
## Standard deviation     2.0788 1.1378 1.1092 1.07533 0.9518 0.81923 0.81530
## Proportion of Variance 0.3601 0.1079 0.1025 0.09636 0.0755 0.05593 0.05539
## Cumulative Proportion  0.3601 0.4680 0.5705 0.66688 0.7424 0.79830 0.85369
##                            PC8     PC9    PC10    PC11    PC12
## Standard deviation     0.74530 0.67824 0.62349 0.43974 0.39760
## Proportion of Variance 0.04629 0.03833 0.03239 0.01611 0.01317
## Cumulative Proportion  0.89998 0.93832 0.97071 0.98683 1.00000
```

```
plot(pca$x[,1:2])
```



```
plot(pca$x[,1:2], col=my_cols, pch=16)
```



```
# Make a new data-frame with our PCA results and candy data
my_data <- cbind(candy, pca$x[,1:3])
```

```
p <- ggplot(my_data) +
        aes(x=PC1, y=PC2,
            size=winpercent/100,
            text=rownames(my_data),
            label=rownames(my_data)) +
        geom_point(col=my_cols)

p
```



```
library(ggrepel)

p + geom_text_repel(size=3.3, col=my_cols, max.overlaps = 7)  +
  theme(legend.position = "none") +
  labs(title="Halloween Candy PCA Space",
       subtitle="Colored by type: chocolate bar (dark brown), chocolate other (light brown), fruity (re
       caption="Data from 538")
```

```
## Warning: ggrepel: 44 unlabeled data points (too many overlaps). Consider
## increasing max.overlaps
```



Halloween Candy PCA Space

Colored by type: chocolate bar (dark brown), chocolate other (light brown), fruity (red), oth

Data from 538

```
#library(plotly)
#ggplotly(p)
```

```
par(mar=c(8,4,2,2))
barplot(pca$rotation[,1], las=2, ylab="PC1 Contribution")
```



Q24. What original variables are picked up strongly by PC1 in the positive direction? Do these make sense to you?

Fruity, hard, pluribus. This makes sense because it groups the fruity candy as a different group/cluster characterized by being hard and coming in a bag or box of multiple candies.