Computations of Extitor.

let's compile, for abelia graps H, G (7-modules),

• H free (1.1., projectic): can use neglitien:
$$0 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow H \rightarrow D$$

$$0 \rightarrow \left(\frac{1}{2} \xrightarrow{t} \frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = 0$$

$$S = f^*$$

$$S = f^* + (2/n, 2) = 2/n$$

$$S = f_* + (2/n, 2) = 2/n$$

$$S = f_* + (2/n, 2) = 0$$

Cor (by classification of finiger, abelian graps):

For any funger abelian group H, Ext(H,Z) = Tors(H) torson slogge (& Hom (H, Z) = Free (H) Free subgrap.)

Ronk: Hondony UCT muslung Ter has a similar proof.

P. & G:=
$$G \xrightarrow{r} G$$
, so def 1 def 0

To $G = Z_{n} \otimes G = G/mG$

To $G = \ker(xm) = \{x_{n} - x_{n}\} = \{x_$

· exercise: Tor (Zm, Zn) & Ext (Zm, Zn) ~

For simplicity, we'll now four on cohomology case of UCT:

Theoren: (UCT for cohomology) for my free choir capter Co, there is a natural in Co and G SES for each n:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}(H_{n-1}(G), G) \rightarrow H^{n}(H_{0n}(C_{0}, G)) \xrightarrow{\beta} H_{0n}(H_{n}(C_{0}), G) \rightarrow 0$$

Furthermore, this sequence splits (naturally in G, but not nortically in C.).

In partialar, this applies to compute $H^n(X;G) := H^n(Han_2(C_0(X),G))$ in terms of $H_0(X) := H_0(C_0(X))$.

e-g., there's a non-cononical isonophism_

$$H^n(X; \mathbb{Z}) \cong Free(H_n(X)) \oplus Ters(H_{n-1}(X))_{12}$$

Example: X=RP3 reall that can cought via cellular chains:

$$C_{\bullet}^{\text{CW}} \left\{ Z \stackrel{\times 0}{=} Z \stackrel{\times 2}{=} Z \stackrel{\times 2}{=} Z \stackrel{\times 0}{=} Z \right\}$$

$$\text{deg 0} \text{deg 1} \text{deg 2} \text{deg 3}$$

$$\Rightarrow +i(RP^3; Z) = \begin{cases} Z & i=0 \\ -2/2 & i=1 \end{cases} \Rightarrow H^i(RP^3; Z) = \begin{cases} Z & i=0,3 \\ Z/2 & i=2 \end{cases}$$

(free part ser degrees, to some part goes up in degree), (note: by cohomological version of the Cow of Coing arguest, we can angule that $C_{sing} = C_{chi}$ depends: verify why this is the ... (execute: verify why this is the , Honz (COZ) and check in this case that H'(Hom(Co", Z)) agrees Tith the asur above -Proof of UCT (cohomology ase, homology case requires similar argumenty): Let 2n:= kerdn cycles and Bn:=im(dn+1) boundaries, so Bn = 2n = Cn and By = Hn honology. we have short-exact serveros: $0 \rightarrow B_n \xrightarrow{i_n} Z_n \xrightarrow{\pi_n} H_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ are note: this to by hypothesis a few escultion of H_n}$ $0 \rightarrow B_n \xrightarrow{i_n} Z_n \xrightarrow{\pi_n} H_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ are couple Exti(H_n, M) as }$ $0 \rightarrow B_n \xrightarrow{i_n} C_n \xrightarrow{G_n} B_{n-1} \rightarrow 0 \text{ so considered}$ $0 \rightarrow B_n \xrightarrow{i_n} C_n \xrightarrow{G_n} B_{n-1} \rightarrow 0 \text{ so considered}$ $0 \rightarrow B_n \xrightarrow{i_n} C_n \xrightarrow{G_n} B_{n-1} \rightarrow 0 \text{ so considered}$ $0 \rightarrow B_n \xrightarrow{i_n} C_n \xrightarrow{G_n} B_{n-1} \rightarrow 0 \text{ so considered}$ $0 \rightarrow B_n \xrightarrow{i_n} C_n \xrightarrow{G_n} B_{n-1} \rightarrow 0 \text{ so considered}$ $0 \rightarrow B_n \xrightarrow{i_n} C_n \xrightarrow{G_n} B_{n-1} \rightarrow 0 \text{ so considered}$ $0 \rightarrow B_n \xrightarrow{i_n} C_n \xrightarrow{G_n} B_{n-1} \rightarrow 0 \text{ so considered}$ $0 \rightarrow B_n \xrightarrow{i_n} C_n \xrightarrow{G_n} B_{n-1} \rightarrow 0 \text{ so considered}$ $0 \rightarrow B_n \xrightarrow{i_n} C_n \xrightarrow{G_n} B_{n-1} \rightarrow 0 \text{ so considered}$ $0 \rightarrow B_n \xrightarrow{i_n} C_n \xrightarrow{G_n} B_{n-1} \rightarrow 0 \text{ so considered}$ $0 \rightarrow B_n \xrightarrow{i_n} C_n \xrightarrow{G_n} B_{n-1} \rightarrow 0 \text{ so considered}$ $0 \rightarrow B_n \xrightarrow{i_n} C_n \xrightarrow{G_n} B_{n-1} \rightarrow 0 \text{ so considered}$ $0 \rightarrow B_n \xrightarrow{i_n} C_n \xrightarrow{G_n} B_{n-1} \rightarrow 0 \text{ so considered}$ $0 \rightarrow B_n \xrightarrow{i_n} C_n \xrightarrow{G_n} B_{n-1} \rightarrow 0 \text{ so considered}$ $0 \rightarrow B_n \xrightarrow{i_n} C_n \xrightarrow{G_n} B_{n-1} \rightarrow 0 \text{ so considered}$ $0 \rightarrow B_n \xrightarrow{G_n} C_n \xrightarrow{G_n} B_{n-1} \rightarrow 0 \text{ so considered}$ $0 \rightarrow B_n \xrightarrow{G_n} C_n \xrightarrow{G_n} B_n \xrightarrow{G_n} C_n \xrightarrow{G_n} B_n \xrightarrow{G_n} C_n \xrightarrow{G_n} B_n \xrightarrow{G_n} C_n \xrightarrow{G_n} C_n$ Applying Hon (-, M), we get. O → Hom(Hn,M) → Hom(Zn,M) → Hom(Bn,M) (*) (dny: Cno) -> Cn follows through Cuty -> By is an -> Ch) Hom (Cn-1, M) dn+1 Hom (Cn, M) dn+1 Hom (Cn+1, M) Hon(2n, M) = Extp (H

Observations:

can be understood as follows: Hn = 2n/Bn and 2n mm the induces the map π_n^+ : Hom(Hn, M) \rightarrow Hom(Zn, M) whose langer in (π_n^+) consists of those $2n \rightarrow M$ which are zero along $B_n \subseteq Z_n$, i.e., annihilate B_n .

Now note ker (dn+1: Hom (Cn,M) -> Hom (Cn,M)) = ker (in jn)

(by comm. diagram & binvecturty of Hem(Bn, H) > Hom(Cn+1, M).)

Similarly, since ja-, sujectue, * implies in (d,) = in (d, oi, 1), so

$$\frac{\ker(d_{n+1}^{+})}{\operatorname{im}(d_{n}^{+})} = \frac{\ker(i_{n}^{+} j_{n}^{+})}{\operatorname{im}(d_{n}^{+} i_{n-1}^{+})}$$

Now, the map

$$\frac{\ker(i_{n}^{*})_{n}^{*}}{\operatorname{im}(j_{n}^{*}d_{n}^{*}i_{n-1}^{*})} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\ker(i_{n}^{*})}{\operatorname{im}(j_{n}^{*}d_{n}^{*}i_{n-1}^{*})} = 0$$

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{(\pi_{n}^{*})^{-1}}{\operatorname{im}(\pi_{n}^{*})} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \operatorname{Hom}(H_{n}, M_{n})$$

is precisely B. (that is, takes a class [8], for any rep. & e ker in in, apply in to
it to get an element of Han(2n, M) which annihilates Bu here lies in
im (70, *)

Now, in was sujecter, hence & is also.

kernel of B? (by vertical SES, in (dn) = lear in . \(\) ker (in in))

hence ker (\beta = ker (\beta n) = in (dn))

图

Here get the desired SES:

$$0 \rightarrow \ker(\beta) \rightarrow H^{n}(\mathsf{Hom}(\mathsf{Ci},\mathsf{H}),\mathsf{d};^{2}) \rightarrow \mathsf{Hom}(\mathsf{Hn},\mathsf{M}) \rightarrow 0$$

$$\mathsf{Ext}^{\bullet}_{\mathsf{K}}(\mathsf{Hom},\mathsf{M}).$$

Splitting?

-lecture end -

UCT over more general migs:

Thuiluct); Rany PID (e.g., Z, any feld), and Co a chain complex of free R-modules, Go another R-module. Then, 3 SES

IR PID \Rightarrow $0 \Rightarrow B_n \Rightarrow Z_n \Rightarrow H_n \Rightarrow 0$ gues a proj. rossletsen of H_n , for instance). In particle, if we begin with $C_0(X';R)$ (:= $C_0(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R$), and

$$C^{\bullet}(X;R) := Hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(C_{\bullet}(X),R) \cong Hom_{\mathbb{R}}(C_{\bullet}(X;R),R)$$
 (why?)

In particular, me can now compute H'(X;R) in ters of H, (X;R) wong LCT/R.

Special Case: R = k a field (i.e., (R, Z/2Z), etc.) then any k-module M is authorizely free heree projective. $\Rightarrow Ext_{k}^{(1)}(M,k) = 0$ (5/c $(0 \Rightarrow M) \stackrel{\sim}{\Rightarrow} M$ is a proj. resoluter) $\Rightarrow H^{n}(X;k) \stackrel{c}{\longrightarrow} Hom_{k}[H_{n}(X;k),k] = H_{n}(X;k)^{V}$ [(over a feet).)