· No class Monday, resure Wednesday (by Zoom)

Differentiation review (ref. Lee, Appendix C).

Let F: Rn -> Rm (not necessarily linear)

or, UCR open, VCRM, and f: U -> V.

Def: Say such an f is differentiable at $\vec{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ (or $\vec{a} \in U$) if there exists a linear map $L: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ satisfying:

 $\frac{\left|\lim_{\vec{h}\to 0}\frac{\left|f(\vec{a}+\vec{h})-f(\vec{a})-L(\vec{h})\right|}{\left|\vec{h}\right|}=0. \qquad \left(\begin{array}{c}L(\vec{h})\sim f(\vec{a}+\vec{h})-f(\vec{a}) \text{ with }\\ \text{ener } o(|\vec{h}|^2) \text{ as } \vec{h}\to 0\end{array}\right).$

If exists, his called the demande of f at a and worter of a contract or or of (a)

Exercise: show if Lexists it's unque.

If f is differentiable at x then get $df(x): \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is a linear unap, defined by $df(x)(\vec{v}) = \lim_{k \to 0} \frac{f(x+k\vec{v}) - f(x)}{k}$ and $f(x)(\vec{v}) = \lim_{k \to 0} \frac{f(x+k\vec{v}) - f(x)}{k}$ and $f(x)(\vec{v}) = \lim_{k \to 0} \frac{f(x+k\vec{v}) - f(x)}{k}$ in direction v.

differentiability =) all directed describes exist.

Particles directional depositions are given special importance: Let $\vec{e}_i = (0, ---, 0, 1, 0, ---, 0)$.

If $g: \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, then define $\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}(a) = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{g(a+t\vec{e_i}) - g(a)}{t} \quad (if exists)$

and for $f: \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, write $f = (f_i)_{-i} f_m$, define.

 $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(a) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_i}(a) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_i}(a) \end{bmatrix}$ (if it exists)

Prop: If all partial devantes of fexist near a and are continuous at a, then f is differentiale

at a, and moreover of (a) has mathing

$$\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1}(a) & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_2}(a) & - & - & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_n}(a) \\
1 & - & - & - \\
\frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_1}(a) & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_2}(a) & - & - & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_n}(a)
\end{bmatrix}$$
(u.1.1. the standard business).

This matrix is somethis called the Jacobian unitain.

Fact: If $\partial_i(\partial_j f)$ and $\partial_j(\partial_i f)$ are continuous near a, then $\partial_i(\partial_j f) = \partial_j(\partial_i f)$.

We say: f is note: class C means scriptly continuous.

· K-dillerestiable (or of class CK) is each kth partial demute exists and is continued (K-differentiable over USR" (=> K-differ at every point in U).

· Smooth Lor of class Coo) if it is infinitely deflepathable (i.e., in Ck Yk) (i.e., all downths exist and ap continues)

Assuming f is smooth, write $\partial^{\vec{v}} f = \partial_1^{\vec{v}_1} \partial_2^{\vec{v}_2} - - - \partial_n^{\vec{v}_n} f$ where $\vec{v} = (v_1, -, v_n)$

Chain rule: Let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$, $g: \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^p$ differentiable at $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

(or: f: U→V, g:V→W where USR", VSR", WSR").

Then gof is differentiable at a, and moreover.

$$d(g \circ f)(a) = dg(f(a)) \circ df(a)$$

1 composition of linear transferenties.

·USR" open, VSR " open.

Def: A nep f: U -> V is a Coo diffeomorphism of f is a smooth nep (Coo) with smooth inverse for: V -> U

(have also a notes of a Ck differ, note a "Codiffer." is just a honormaphism.)

(Nate: Fa oit. Cart on whose objects are U & R & naphuns are comps; con differs, are isomorphums

in this cutegoy). Prop: It 1: U > V is a diffeomption, then df(x): R" -> R" is an isomorphism for all xe U. (in particular, if U non-empty then nowst equal on), Proof: Let g: V -> U be the (5 mosts) tweeze of f; it's smooth and gof = idu; fog = idr. Take derivatives bapply the chain who (b note didu) (x) = idpn); this establishes that the linear map If(x) has muese dg(f(x)) for all xe U. II. There is a converse, which is very important This: (Muere fuction theorem (multivariable calculiscence, Lee Thin C.34)) Let f: U -> V be a C map. Suppose that at xe U, If(x): IR" -> R" is

an isomorphum, (so in particular n = un). Then: There exists an (open) ubhd U' = U of x such that f(U') is open in V = R". and the restricter f(u'): $u' \longrightarrow f(u')$ is a difference phism. See Lee for a proof. Manifolds Topological nanflé restatement: Topological name to restatenet! Second countrie, thousand, open $\{U_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Sigma}$ of X and $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{R}}^{n}$: A top, manufold of dam, n. is a space X s.t. \exists an cover $\{U_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Sigma}$ of X and a collection of maps $A := \{ \phi_{\alpha} : U_{\alpha} : \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n} \}_{\alpha \in \Sigma}$ substrying:

• Φ_{α} is a homeo, anto an open subset $\Phi_{\alpha}(U_{\alpha})$ of \mathbb{R}^{n} . Exercise: Show that I in IR2 is a top marfold, and give an example of a second could be Housdon't Space which is not a top manifold. Differentible (suporth) marifolds: A smooth markeld is a top. n-manifeld equipped or with a fixed choice of A = { \$ \(\frac{1}{2} \cdot \text{U}_X \rightarrow | \(\R^n \) \ \ \(\text{Uher } \text{U}_X \frac{C}{2} \text{R} \text{ } \)

satisfying:

for every U_{α} , U_{β} with $U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta} \neq \emptyset$, the maps $\phi_{\beta} \circ \phi_{\alpha}^{-1} : \phi_{\alpha}(U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}) \longrightarrow \phi_{\beta}(U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta})$ "transition map" $\bigcap_{R^{1}} \bigcap_{R^{1}} \bigcap_{R^{1}} \bigcap_{R^{1}} \bigcap_{R^{2}} \bigcap_{R$

is a smooth map.