· Quickly frush sketch of Sard's thin. Application of Soud's thesen: entedding markfils in small IRN s. (can be removed.) Than: (Whitney): Let M" be conject, din(M)=m. Then, I an embedding of M" -> R2m+1 (RM: 'Stong Withey'): says Mm co R2n then can also obtain better depending on m and on M) Pf: Starting point is Then from earlier which says MC RN for N>0. Downwed and when to reduce N. Suppose have an entedding f: M > RN, N > 2m+1 -For every i e SN-1 CRN let Ho = { il | il oi = 0} bethe hyperpluse orthogonal to i, B IRN-1 77: RN -> Hr orthogonal projection. $\vec{x} \longrightarrow \vec{x} - \frac{\vec{x} \cdot \vec{v}}{\vec{x} \cdot \vec{v}} \vec{v}$. The following lemma runned with proves than by ryduction. B Lenne: If N>2n+1, then the set St & SN-1 sulthat Tof: M > Ho = RN-1 is still an enterting is of full measure in SN-1 meaning the complete has near us 20. (in partiala, this set is leave; in partiala it's non-empty). Pf of Lemma: Given our enterthing f: ME RN, consider: diagonal, D= { (x,x) EMXM | x EM]. F: M × M \ \ \ ____ SN-1 vell-defred, ie, desonante is non-sep, LIC fis injective -note: dim (MxHL) TH= } (xw) & TH WM, v +0 }. =dan(TH)=dm, G: (TM) ---- SN-1

dfx: Tx M -> Tx RN = RN. 119t*(m) |1 is methe, ble fis an immesse. Hence, dfx(w/+0 if v+0, so this is well-defined. Observe: 700 of: M -> Ho is · o injecture iff if fin(F) (s/c if $\pi_{\overline{v}} \circ f(x) = \pi_{v} \circ f(y)$ then f(x) - f(y) is analyte of \overline{v}) · numerica iff v & im(G) 1 din (5^{N-1}). (why? execuse). Now domains of F and G are manifolds of diguessien 2m < N-1 by hypothesis. Hence Sard's theore implies that in(F) & in(G) must have neare 0 in 5N-1, all of in(F) is ortical value, similar with in(G) b/c of 2m<N-1. so im(F) v in(G) has measure o too.

So the complement { \(\times \in \mathbb{S}^{-1} \) \(\times \times \times \mathbb{N}^{-1} \) \(\times \times \times \mathbb{N}^{-1} \) \(\times \times \times \mathbb{N}^{-1} \) \(\times \m Rock: The of is proper for such it authoritaly has fill measure.

A slight variation of these arguments (studying restriction of G to T'M = [(x,w) | x e M, > Then (Wholey invesies those): If M" conject, I immosion g:M -> R2m1. (exerise.)

Torads vector fields and I-forms

vector field: "smoothy varying collection of target vector reall have Tp M, 1-form: "smoothly raying collection of cotangent vectors med TXM. (no-).

The cotangut budle: M", peM. Recall can define T_p^*M as $F_p = C^{\infty}(p)$ ideal of gens of functions which valid et p. (we had defred TpM as (Fp/Fe)*, one defin), we could also defre it as TpM: = (TpM)* linear dul., using favorite nethod to defre JM. Given any function $f \in C^{\infty}(p)$ (resily f = [(f, u)]) (e.g., the restrobia of $f: M \to \mathbb{R}$) f-f(p) gues an elevent of Fp, hence induces on elevent [f-f(p)] = Tp M; call this elevent df(p) or dfp. E Tp*M. Q: How Los this conjuse to what we've been calling dfp: T,M -> TpR = R, dfp & (Tpr) ? (Ans - exectse: it : the same), This gives a map d: (00(p) -> Tp*M, (exercise: see the map d via all destructions Next tive: typology/manifold shows ItM = { (x, x) | x + M, x + Tx M}

cotangent bundle.