

Sprint 1 Retrospective Meeting Minutes

20190201 - Sprint 1 Retrospective Meeting Minutes

Attendees: [Carlos A Bravo Marin](#), [Sheila Alemany](#)

Start time: 2:00PM

End time: 2:30PM

What went wrong?

- Did we do a good job estimating our team's velocity?
As expected, we estimated we would work a little bit faster in terms of velocity.
- Did we do a good job estimating the points (time required) for each user story?
Yes, we knew that it would take the entire sprint to explore and find the correct frameworks to for the given tasks.
- Did each team member work as scheduled?
Yes, we all worked every day and met for our daily scrum meeting on time.

What went right?

- We were able to identify the correct frameworks to use and the product owners approved of all the frameworks.

How to address the issues in the next sprint?

- How to improve the process?
We could work on time management to allocate more time to properly record and post all the videos for all the user stories.
- How to improve the product?
The rough implementation was not bad, we just need to work on the details to complete the visualization.

Sprint 2 Retrospective Meeting Minutes

20190215 - Sprint 2 Retrospective Meeting Minutes

Attendees: [Sheila Alemany](#)[Carlos A Bravo Marin](#) [Maria E. Presa Reyes](#) [Mark Finlayson](#)

Start time: 2:00PM

End time: 2:30PM

What went wrong?

- Did we do a good job estimating our team's velocity?
We have improved from the first sprint but our estimation is still not perfect.
- Did we do a good job estimating the points (time required) for each user story?
[Sheila Alemany](#) Yes, the amount of points estimated was appropriate.
[Carlos A Bravo Marin](#) No, I need more time to finish the user story assigned to me.
- Did each team member work as scheduled?
Yes, we all worked every day and met for our daily scrum meeting on time.

What went right?

- We were both ([Sheila Alemany](#) and [Carlos A Bravo Marin](#)) able to properly display some concepts from the ENVO ontology, as required.

How to address the issues in the next sprint?

- How to improve the process?
We could reach out to the product owners more throughout the sprint for feedback.
- How to improve the product?
 - Tree visualization could be improved by showing the concept list to have only the most frequently used terms.
 - Tree visualization could be improved by having an autocomplete functionality which displays all the instances of the word being searched in the tree hierarchy.
 - Graph Node visualization need to be dynamic. So, whenever you click on a node it expand concepts related to it.
 - Graph Node visualization could improve the Style to make it look more appealing.

Sprint 3 Retrospective Meeting Minutes

20190301 - Sprint 3 Retrospective Meeting Minutes

Attendees: [Sheila Alemany](#) [Carlos A Bravo Marin](#) [Deya Banisakher](#)

Start time: 2:00PM

End time: 2:30PM

What went wrong?

- Did we do a good job estimating our team's velocity?
We did a good job finishing major user stories and high priority tasks.
- Did we do a good job estimating the points (time required) for each user story?
Yes, the amount of points estimated was appropriate.
- Did each team member work as scheduled?
Yes, we all worked every day and met for our daily scrum meeting on time.

What went right?

- We were both ([Sheila Alemany](#) and [Carlos A Bravo Marin](#)) able to complete the required features with success.

How to address the issues in the next sprint?

- How to improve the process?
We could always reach out to product owners more for as much feedback as possible throughout the sprints.
- How to improve the product?
 - Improve the search in both the Node Graph and the Treeview to include descriptions and relations instead of just concepts.
 - Improve user interface of the Node graph so it looks more intuitive and clear.
 - The visualization of text will be improved and the whole sentence should be highlighted rather than just the concept.

Sprint 4 Retrospective Meeting Minutes




20190308 - Sprint 4 Retrospective Meeting Minutes

Attendees: [Sheila Alemany](#) [Carlos A Bravo Marin](#) [Deya Banisakher](#) [Mark Finlayson](#)

Start time: 2:00PM

End time: 2:30PM

What went wrong?

- Did we do a good job estimating our team's velocity?
 - [Sheila Alemany](#) I underestimated the time it would take for me to complete the user story  **NVOS-10** - Visualization of Text DONE due to an AngularJS compatibility problem and therefore, the second user story  **NVOS-71** - Enhance Treeview Search Results DONE was moved to the backlog and will be completed in the next sprint.
- Did we do a good job estimating the points (time required) for each user story?
Yes, overall except for the user story  **NVOS-10** - Visualization of Text DONE .
- Did each team member work as scheduled?
Yes, we all worked every day and met for our daily scrum meeting on time.

What went right?

- We are both ([Sheila Alemany](#) and [Carlos A Bravo Marin](#)) making more progress much faster since we are familiarizing ourselves with the application more and more each day.

How to address the issues in the next sprint?

- How to improve the process?
We could always reach out to product owners more for as much feedback as possible throughout the sprints.
- How to improve the product?
 - The dynamic search in text can be modified to include the union of all the possible search terms in the dynamic tree rather than just showing the terms in the dropdown.

Sprint 5 Retrospective Meeting Minutes

20190329 - Sprint 5 Retrospective Meeting Minutes



Attendees: [Sheila Alemany](#) [Carlos A Bravo Marin](#)

Start time: 2:00PM

End time: 2:30PM

What went wrong?

- Did we do a good job estimating our team's velocity?
 - If we wouldn't have reached a few unexpected compatibility issues, our team's velocity would have been appropriate.
- Did we do a good job estimating the points (time required) for each user story?
 - We reached unexpected issues that delayed the process in the user stories:

Key	Summary	T	Updated	Assignee	Reporter
NVOS-71	Enhance Treeview Search Results		Apr 13, 2019	Sheila Alemany	Sheila Alemany
NVOS-70	Unifying Visualization		Apr 11, 2019	Carlos A Bravo Marin	Sheila Alemany

[2 issues](#)

- Did each team member work as scheduled?
 - Yes, each team member worked as scheduled.

What went right?

- We were able to address many bugs with the application from the previous version of this project.

How to address the issues in the next sprint?

- How to improve the process?
 - Incorporating extra time just incase we reach unexpected compatibility issues.
- How to improve the product?
 - We have to improve upon the fact that the application loads very slowly in every page.

Sprint 6 Retrospective Meeting Minutes

20190412 - Sprint 6 Retrospective Meeting Minutes

Attendees: [Sheila Alemany](#) [Carlos A Bravo Marin](#)

Start time: 2:00PM

End time: 2:30PM

What went wrong?

- Did we do a good job estimating our team's velocity?
 - Yes, we did a good job estimating our team's velocity. All the work that was scheduled to be completed in this sprint was completed successfully.
- Did we do a good job estimating the points (time required) for each user story?
 - Yes, we did a good job estimating points. Higher priority users stories had more points and lower priority user stories had less point.
- Did each team member work as scheduled?
 - Yes, each team member worked as scheduled.

What went right?

- We were able to finish all users stories that we planned on completing.

How to address the issues in the next sprint?

- How to improve the process?
 - Reach to Product Owners more frequently. Pushing changes to our respective branches more often and merging our code and resolving any issues more frequently.
- How to improve the product?
 - The project could be re-structured to implement lazy loading to make everything run faster. Adding more functionalities.