Support Vector Machines: we want to maximize:

$$\text{Margin} = \frac{2}{||w||^2}$$

Constraints:

$$f(\vec{x}_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \vec{\mathbf{w}} \bullet \vec{\mathbf{x}}_i + \mathbf{b} \ge 1 \\ -1 & \text{if } \vec{\mathbf{w}} \bullet \vec{\mathbf{x}}_i + \mathbf{b} \le -1 \end{cases}$$

If the problem is not linearly separable, we should introduce slack variables: We need to minimize:

nimize: $L(w) = \frac{||\vec{w}||^2}{2} + C\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \xi_i^k\right)$

It is Subject to:

$$f(\vec{x}_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \vec{\mathbf{w}} \bullet \vec{\mathbf{x}}_i + \mathbf{b} \ge 1 - \xi_i \\ -1 & \text{if } \vec{\mathbf{w}} \bullet \vec{\mathbf{x}}_i + \mathbf{b} \le -1 + \xi_i \end{cases}$$

Boosting: An iterative procedure to adaptively change distribution of training data by focusing more on previously misclassified records: Initially, all N records are assigned equal weights and Unlike bagging, weights may change at the end of boosting round.

Recommender Systems

Neighborhood Methods:

Pros:

- Intuitive / easy to explain
- No training
- Handles new users/items

Challenges:

- Users rate differently (bias)
- Ratings change over time (bias)

Feature Extraction - Content-Base:

Realistically:

- It's difficult to characterize movies and users with the right features
- Characterization of users and movies may not be accurate

Feature Extraction - Collaborative Filtering:

Can't use SVD because R is sparse... BUT, we can formulate an optimization problem to solve:

$$\min_{p,q} \sum_{i,j \in R} (r_{ij} - p_i^T q_j)^2 + \lambda(\|p\|_F^2 \|p\|_F^2)$$

To solve, take derivatives wrt P & Q. Then, just like Expectation-Maximization Algorithm from GMM:

- 1. Start with random Q
- 2. Get P
- 3. Improve Q
- 4. Repeat 2 & 3

Linear Regression

Challenge for those who have LR experience:

- Every day my alarm goes off at seemingly random times...
- I've recorded the times for the past year of so (1 355 days)
- o Today is day 356
- Can you predict when my alarm will ring?

Motivation:

Suppose we are given a curve y = h(x), how can we evaluate whether it is a good fit to our data? Compare h(xi) to yi for all i.

Goal: For a given distance function d, find h where L is smallest.

$$L(h) = \sum_{i} d(h(x_i), y_i)$$

Another way to define this problem is in terms of probability.

Define P(Y | h) as the probability of observing Y given that it was sampled from h.

Goal: Find h that maximizes the probability of having observed our data.

Minimize:

$$L(h) = \sum_{i} d(h(x_i), y_i)$$

Maximize:

$$L(h) = P(Y \mid h)$$

Let's start by assuming our data was generated by a linear function plus some noise:

$$\vec{y} = h_{\beta}(X) + \vec{\epsilon}$$

Assumptions:

- 1. The relation between x (independent variable) and y (dependent variable) is linear in a parameter β .
- 2. ϵ i are independent, identically distributed random variables following a N(0, σ 2) distribution. (Note: σ is constant)

Least Squares:

$$\begin{split} \beta_{LS} &= \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{\beta} \sum_{i} d(h_{\beta}(x_{i}), y_{i}) \\ &= \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{\beta} \left\| \vec{y} - h_{\beta}(\mathbf{X}) \right\|_{2}^{2} \\ &= \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{\beta} \left\| \vec{y} - \beta \mathbf{X} \right\|_{2}^{2} \end{split}$$

An Unbiased Estimator:

$$E[\beta_{LS}] = E[(X^T X)^{-1} X^T y]$$

$$= (X^T X)^{-1} X^T E[y]$$

$$= (X^T X)^{-1} X^T E[X\beta + \epsilon]$$

$$= (X^T X)^{-1} X^T X\beta + E[\epsilon]$$

$$= \beta$$

Logistic Regression:

Our goal is to fit a linear model to the log-odds of being in one of our classes

$$\log(\frac{P(Y=1|X)}{1-P(Y=1|X)}) = \alpha + \beta X$$

$$P(y_i = 1|x_i) = \begin{cases} logit^{-1}(\alpha + \beta x_i) & \text{if } y_i = 1\\ 1 - logit^{-1}(\alpha + \beta x_i) & \text{if } y_i = 0 \end{cases}$$
$$= (logit^{-1}(\alpha + \beta x_i))^{y_i} (1 - logit^{-1}(\alpha + \beta x_i))^{1-y_i}$$

So we can define:

$$L(\alpha, \beta) = \prod_{i} (logit^{-1}(\alpha + \beta x_i))^{y_i} (1 - logit^{-1}(\alpha + \beta x_i))^{1 - y_i}$$

Z-values:

These are the number of standard deviations from the mean of a N(0,1) distribution required in order to contain a specific % of values were you to sample a large number of times.

Extending our Linear Model:

Changing the assumptions we made can drastically change the problem we are solving. A few ways to extend the linear model:

- 1. Non-constant variance used in WLS (weighted least squares)
- 2. Distribution of error is not Normal used in GLM (generalized linear models)