### ESE 532 Analysis Milestone 2

Sheil Sarda, Anthony Stewart, Shaokang Xia

# 1. Identify major design space axes that could be explored for your implementation.

CDC:

Axis	Throughput; window size; parallelism; data size; II; memory
Challenge	Improving the throughput of CDC; applying pipelining strategy
Opportunity	Generating the chunks at multiple starting points
Continuum	Range from 1 to <i>Input_size -Windows_size</i> windows
Equation for Benefit	Running N match-ups in parallel: $T(N)=T(1)/N$
<b>Equation for Resources</b>	Resources( $R$ )= $R*single\_chunk\_resource$

#### SHA:

Axis	Throughput; chunk size; number of chunks; input size; II; memory
Challenge	Improving the throughput; optimizing the II
Opportunity	Parallelism with LZW; pipelining; multiple-threads parallelism
Continuum	Range from 1 to <i>number_of_chunks</i> hashes
Equation for Benefit	$N$ threads parallelism: $T(N) = T(1)/N$ ; Parallelism with LZW: $T = max(T\_SHA, T\_LZW)$
<b>Equation for Resources</b>	Resource(R)=R*single_threshold_resource

### Deduplication:

Axis	Throughput; input size; memory; chunk size; hashmap; hashes
Challenge	Improving the throughput; dependency on SHA256;
Opportunity	Parallelism
Continuum	Range from 1 to number_of_chunks hashes
Equation for Benefit	Running N storing in parallel: $T(N)=T(1)/N$
<b>Equation for Resources</b>	Resources( $R$ )= $R*single\_storage\_resource$

#### LZW:

Axis	Throughput; input size; memory; pointers; encoding; II
Challenge	Improving the throughput; optimizing the II
Opportunity	Parallelism with SHA; apply parallelism in LZW; pipelining
Continuum	Range from 1 to input_size comparisons and memory lookup
Equation for Benefit	Running $N$ comparison and lookup in parallel: $T(N)=T(1)/N$
<b>Equation for Resources</b>	Resources(R)= R*(single_comparison_resource+single_lookup_resource)

### Communication/integration:

Axis	Data rate among operations; input size; memory; hashmap; chunk size; pipeline
Challenge	Improving the data rate; data dependency
Opportunity	SHA and LZW may run in parallel
Continuum	All the data transmission processes
Equation for Benefit	Parallelism with LZW: $T=max(T\_SHA, T\_LZW)$
<b>Equation for Resources</b>	Resource = Resource_SHA+Resource_LZW

#### 6. Document your design.

- (a) Coding Resources:
  - (i) CDC Implementation: https://github.com/fanzhang312/Data-deduplication
  - (ii) LZW Algorithm and C++ Implementation:
    <a href="https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/lzw-lempel-ziv-welch-compression-technique/">https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/lzw-lempel-ziv-welch-compression-technique/</a>
  - (iii) SHA256 C Implementation:

https://github.com/amosnier/sha-2/blob/master/sha-256.c
https://github.com/B-Con/crypto-algorithms/blob/master/sha256.c
https://medium.com/a-42-journey/implementing-the-sha256-and-md5-hash-functions-in-c-78c17e657794

- (b) Current compression ratio and breakdown of contribution from deduplication and from LZW compression.
  - (i) Contribution from deduplication (CDC) How many chunks did we not have to re-encode?
  - (ii) Contribution from LZW compression (including SHA-256) (from LZW implementation)
  - (iii) Compression Ratio (Overall)
- (c) Overall throughput (Gb/s) of your current implementation.

Works up till SHA

- (d) Description of all validation performed on your current functional implementation.
  - (i) Test each functions separately wherever possible (SHA, LZW, CDC)
  - (ii) Run pipeline using known encoded output (e.g. Little\_Prince.txt)
- (e) Report the raw ethernet speed measurements (Problem5) for all 3 partner's machines.
  - (i) Anthony Stewart: 514 MBits/sec
  - (ii) Sheil Sarda: 880 MBits/sec

(iii) Shaokang Xia: 882 MBits/sec

## (f) Description of who did what. How did your team collaborate on the design, implementation, and validation?

- (i) Anthony Stewart: LZW Implementation, Part of the SHA Implementation, Designing the pipeline interface
- (ii) Sheil Sarda: CDC Implementation, SHA Library Implementation, Designing the pipeline interface
- (iii) Shaokang Xia: Theory part: figuring out the axes, challenges, etc.

# 7. Identify any challenges your group had in collaboration and design integration this week and how you plan to address them for future weeks.

- Working around using std::map for LZW and implementing the same functionality using parallel std::vectors
- Changing our interface from what we proposed in the earlier milestone to accommodate more pipelined designs
- Move the hashmap to inside the LZW encoding loop in the pipeline so that we can easily check if a chunk has already been encoded before