## **Executive summary on lead scoring assignment**

X Education provides online courses to various parties including working professionals, students etc. X education wants to understand the predictors for converting a lead. A logistic regression model is used to handle this problem. Below is a summary of the overall approach to this.

- 1. There were 9240 data rows with 37 columns.
- 2. Data were checked whether the types of data were in order or any amendment to be made. However, all data types were in correct format.
- 3. Data cleaning was initiated to see what actions to be taken against missing values. All missing values more than 40% were removed for further analysis. Other missing values were imputed based on mode or created a new field as others depend on the case.
- 4. For columns having multiple levels, the levels were reduced by clubbing together.
- 5. EDA was carried out by plotting graphs and then checked for any outliers. There were several fields with outliers which were removed for further analysis.
- 6. Then data were transformed prior to the logistics regression. Data with Yes and No were replaced with 1 and 0. All dummy variables were created for categorical variables.
- 7. After all adjustments, there were 8924 rows with 66 columns.
- 8. Then the data were split to train and test data sets. Numerical columns were standardized.
- 9. A RFE model was used with 15 columns to choose to see best predictor variables. Based on the p-value and VIF values insignificant columns were removed from the dataset. Final model had 11 columns.
- 10. Then model was evaluated based on accuracy, sensitivity and specificity. A ROC curve was drawn to see whether the model is good. AUC came to 93%.
- 11. The model used a cut-off value of 0.5. To see the best cut-off point the intersection of the 3 lines were used. The new cut-off value came to 0.34
- 12. Based on this cut-off value, lead scores were assigned to train data.
- 13. Predictions were made using the test data set. The same cut-off 0.34 was used. Model was evaluated using accuracy, sensitivity and specificity.

Train data:

Accuracy - 0.86 Sensitivity: 0.79 Specificity: 0.91

Test data:

Accuracy - 0.86 Sensitivity: 0.84 Specificity: 0.87

- 14. Seems the model working well on the test data as well. The top 3 predictor variables came out to be
  - Total time spent on website
  - Will revert after reading the email
  - Tags\_others