

SF2943 Time Series Analysis: Lecture 9

May 6, 2022

The analysis of a stationary time series we have introduced is based on the autocovariance function. This type of analysis is known as *time domain analysis*. We will introduce another approach for analyzing a stationary time series, known as *spectral analysis* or *frequency domain analysis*, in these two lectures.

It is well-known that Fourier analysis is a powerful tool in studying signals. In Fourier analysis, a function can be viewed as a Fourier series, a summation of several trigonometric functions with appropriate coefficients. This type of representation is especially suitable when we believe the function is like a "wave". For example, when we try to describe signals with a function. Just like in Fourier analysis, spectral analysis is a technique that allows us to discover underlying periodicities of a stationary time series. Roughly speaking, we can decompose our time series as a combination of several seasonal components. By identifying the period for each seasonal component, we can understand the behavior of the whole time series.

1 Spectral Density

A crucial quantity to capture the "underlying periodicities" is called *spectral density*. Below we will give its definition in a particular case.

Definition 1.1 Let $\{X_t\}$ be a zero-mean stationary process with acvf γ satisfying absolute summability, i.e.,

$$\sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} |\gamma(h)| < \infty.$$

The spectral density of $\{X_t\}$ is defined by

$$f(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ih\lambda} \gamma(h), \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (1.1)$$

Remark 1.2

- In this notes, the notation i is only used to denote the imaginary number. Namely, $i = \sqrt{-1}$.
- $e^{i\lambda} = \cos \lambda + i \sin \lambda$.

- $f(\lambda)$ is well-defined since γ is absolutely summable and $|e^{ih\lambda}|^2 = |\cos^2(h\lambda) + \sin^2(h\lambda)| = 1$.
- Because $e^{i\lambda}$ is 2π -periodic, $f(\lambda)$ is also 2π -periodic. Therefore, it suffices to confine attention to the values of f on the interval $[-\pi, \pi]$.

Lemma 1.3 (Basic Properties of Spectral Density)

1. f is even, i.e., $f(\lambda) = f(-\lambda)$.
2. $f(\lambda) \geq 0$, for all $\lambda \in [-\pi, \pi]$.
3. $\gamma(k) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ik\lambda} f(\lambda) d\lambda = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \cos(k\lambda) f(\lambda) d\lambda$.

Proof. For the first one, using (1.1), change of variable $k = -h$, and because γ is even, we find

$$\begin{aligned} f(-\lambda) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ih(-\lambda)} \gamma(h) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ih(-\lambda)} \gamma(h) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i(-h)\lambda} \gamma(h) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ik\lambda} \gamma(-k) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ik\lambda} \gamma(k) = f(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

As for the second property, consider

$$f_N(\lambda) \doteq \frac{1}{2\pi n} E \left[\left| \sum_{t=1}^n X_t e^{-it\lambda} \right|^2 \right] \geq 0,$$

where $\{X_t\}$ is the time series. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} f_N(\lambda) &= \frac{1}{2\pi n} E \left[\left| \sum_{t=1}^n X_t e^{-it\lambda} \right|^2 \right] = \frac{1}{2\pi n} E \left[\left(\sum_{t=1}^n X_t e^{-it\lambda} \right) \overline{\left(\sum_{s=1}^n X_s e^{-is\lambda} \right)} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi n} E \left[\left(\sum_{t=1}^n X_t e^{-it\lambda} \right) \left(\sum_{s=1}^n X_s e^{is\lambda} \right) \right] = \frac{1}{2\pi n} \sum_{t=1}^n \sum_{s=1}^n E [X_t X_s] e^{-i(t-s)\lambda} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi n} \sum_{t=1}^n \sum_{s=1}^n e^{-i(t-s)\lambda} \gamma(t-s) = \frac{1}{2\pi n} \sum_{h=-n}^n (n - |h|) e^{-ih\lambda} \gamma(h) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{h=-n}^n \left(1 - \frac{|h|}{n} \right) e^{-ih\lambda} \gamma(h) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ih\lambda} \gamma(h) = f(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Since $f_N(\lambda) \geq 0$, its limit $f(\lambda)$ must be greater than or equal to zero.

Lastly, for the third property, we first prove the second equation. Using

$$e^{ik\lambda} = \cos(k\lambda) + i \sin(k\lambda)$$

gives

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ik\lambda} f(\lambda) d\lambda = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \cos(k\lambda) f(\lambda) d\lambda + i \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sin(k\lambda) f(\lambda) d\lambda.$$

It suffices to show that

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sin(k\lambda) f(\lambda) d\lambda = 0.$$

This is true because sine function is odd and f is even, we have for any λ

$$\sin(-k\lambda) f(-\lambda) = -\sin(k\lambda) f(\lambda)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sin(k\lambda) f(\lambda) d\lambda &= \int_0^{\pi} \sin(k\lambda) f(\lambda) d\lambda + \int_{-\pi}^0 \sin(k\lambda) f(\lambda) d\lambda \\ &= \int_0^{\pi} \sin(k\lambda) f(\lambda) d\lambda + \int_0^{\pi} \sin(-k\lambda) f(-\lambda) d\lambda \\ &= \int_0^{\pi} \sin(k\lambda) f(\lambda) d\lambda - \int_0^{\pi} \sin(k\lambda) f(\lambda) d\lambda = 0. \end{aligned}$$

For the first equality in the third property, we use (1.1) to find

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ik\lambda} f(\lambda) d\lambda &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ik\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ih\lambda} \gamma(h) \right) d\lambda = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma(h) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i(k-h)\lambda} d\lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \gamma(k) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} 1 d\lambda = \gamma(k), \end{aligned}$$

where the second last equation holds due to the fact that for any $k \neq h$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i(k-h)\lambda} d\lambda &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \cos((k-h)\lambda) d\lambda + i \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sin((k-h)\lambda) d\lambda \\ &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \cos((k-h)\lambda) d\lambda = \frac{1}{k-h} \sin((k-h)\lambda) \Big|_{-\pi}^{\pi} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

■

It turns out that for a not absolutely summable γ , there may exist a function f such that it is even, $f(\lambda) \geq 0$, and

$$\gamma(k) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ik\lambda} f(\lambda) d\lambda.$$

For instance, consider

$$\gamma(h) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } h = p \\ \frac{(-1)^{\frac{h-1}{2}}}{h}, & \text{for } h \neq 0 \text{ and } h \text{ is even} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad f(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & \text{for } \lambda \in \left[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right] \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Therefore, we can generalize the definition of spectral density to the following.

Definition 1.4 A function $f : [-\pi, \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the spectral density of a stationary time series $\{X_t\}$ with acvf γ if

- $f(\lambda) \geq 0$ for all $\lambda \in [-\pi, \pi]$ and
- $\gamma(h) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ih\lambda} f(\lambda) d\lambda$ for all $h \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Remark 1.5 If γ is absolutely summable, then the spectral density defined in Definition 1.4 must be the same as the spectral density defined in Definition 1.1, that is,

$$f(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ih\lambda} \gamma(h), \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Remark 1.6 The spectral density defined in Definition 1.4 must be unique, because if for all $h \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\gamma(h) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ih\lambda} f(\lambda) d\lambda$$

and

$$\gamma(h) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ih\lambda} g(\lambda) d\lambda,$$

then f and g have the same Fourier coefficients and hence $f(\lambda) = g(\lambda)$ for all λ .

The following proposition characterizes spectral densities.

Proposition 1.7 A real-valued function f defined on $[-\pi, \pi]$ is the spectral density of a real-valued stationary process if and only if

1. $f(\lambda) = f(-\lambda)$.
2. $f(\lambda) \geq 0$ for all $f(\lambda)$.
3. $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(\lambda) d\lambda < \infty$.

Proof. We have the direction from left to right in a special case when the acvf γ is absolutely summable. For the general case, see Time Series: Theory and Methods, Section 4.3.

As for the other direction, suppose f satisfies conditions 1, 2, 3. Consider the function

$$\gamma(h) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ih\lambda} f(\lambda) d\lambda.$$

Using conditions 2 and 3, we know that the function γ is well-defined. If we can show that γ is an acvf, namely, it is even and nonnegative definite, then γ is the acvf of some stationary process, and according to Definition 1.4, f is the spectral density of this stationary process.

It is not hard to see that the function γ is even because f is even

$$\gamma(-h) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i(-h)\lambda} f(\lambda) d\lambda = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ih\lambda} f(-\lambda) d\lambda = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ih\lambda} f(\lambda) d\lambda = \gamma(h).$$

Moreover, for any $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t=1}^n \sum_{s=1}^n a_t \gamma(t-s) a_s &= \sum_{t=1}^n \sum_{s=1}^n a_t a_s \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i(t-s)\lambda} f(\lambda) d\lambda \right) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left(\sum_{t=1}^n \sum_{s=1}^n a_t a_s e^{i(t-s)\lambda} \right) f(\lambda) d\lambda \\ &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left(\sum_{t=1}^n a_t e^{it\lambda} \right) \left(\sum_{s=1}^n a_s e^{-is\lambda} \right) f(\lambda) d\lambda = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \sum_{t=1}^n a_t e^{it\lambda} \right|^2 f(\lambda) d\lambda \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the first equation comes from the definition of γ and the inequality holds due to the condition 2. Hence, γ is also nonnegative definite. ■

Corollary 1.8 *An absolutely summable $\gamma : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the acvf of a stationary process if and only if it is even and*

$$f(\lambda) \doteq \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ih\lambda} \gamma(h) \geq 0 \text{ for all } \lambda \in [-\pi, \pi],$$

i.e., the spectral density f is nonnegative everywhere.

Proof. The proof from left to right is given in Lemma 1.3. The same argument in Proposition 1.7 can prove the other direction for the nonnegative definiteness of γ . ■

Corollary 1.8 provides a useful tool to check if a function γ is the acvf for a stationary time series. In particular, it provides a more convenient way to show that a function γ is nonnegative definite. We can see the power of Corollary 1.8 from the same example we gave in Lecture 3 (also Example 1.1).

Example 1.1 *Consider a function $\kappa : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by*

$$\kappa(h) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } h = 0 \\ \rho, & \text{if } h = 1, -1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for some $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$. This function κ is an acvf (i.e., even and nonnegative definite) if and only if $|\rho| \leq 1/2$.

In Lecture 3, we proved this result by first identifying $\kappa(h)$ as the acvf for an MA(1) process when $|\rho| \leq 1/2$, and proving $\kappa(h)$ is NOT nonnegative definite when $|\rho| > 1/2$. Both steps are not obvious, especially for the second step, we need to find a certain $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a certain vector (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) to make sure

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_i \kappa(i-j) a_j < 0.$$

On the contrary, since κ is even and absolutely summable, indeed,

$$\sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} |\kappa(h)| = 1 + 2|\rho| < \infty,$$

according to Corollary 1.8, it suffices to prove that $f(\lambda) \geq 0$ for all λ if and only if $|\rho| \leq 1/2$. To see the nonnegativity of f , observe that

$$\begin{aligned} f(\lambda) &\doteq \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ih\lambda} \gamma(h) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[\kappa(0) + e^{-i\lambda} \kappa(1) + e^{i\lambda} \kappa(-1) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[1 + (e^{-i\lambda} + e^{i\lambda})\rho \right] = \frac{1}{2\pi} [1 + 2\rho \cos \lambda] \end{aligned}$$

and $|\cos \lambda| \leq 1$, hence $f(\lambda) \geq 0$ for all λ if and only if $|\rho| \leq 1/2$.

2 Spectral Distribution Function

Although we generalized the definition of spectral density, there exists a stationary time series $\{X_t\}$ which has no spectral density, i.e., its acvf γ can not be written as

$$\gamma(h) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ih\lambda} f(\lambda) d\lambda \quad (2.1)$$

for some f even and nonnegative. For example, the stationary time series

$$X_t = A \cos(\omega t) + B \sin(\omega t),$$

where A, B are uncorrelated random variables with mean 0 and variance 1, and ω is a positive constant. For this time series, it is not hard to find that its acvf is $\gamma(h) = \cos(\omega h)$. This formula might look quite different from (2.1), but one can rewire the cosine function to find

$$\gamma(h) = \cos(\omega h) = \frac{1}{2} e^{-i\omega h} + \frac{1}{2} e^{i\omega h}.$$

The last quantity turns out to be a *Riemann-Stieltjes integral* (defined later)

$$\frac{1}{2} e^{-i\omega h} + \frac{1}{2} e^{i\omega h} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ih\lambda} dF(\lambda)$$

with

$$F(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \lambda < -\omega \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } \lambda \in [-\omega, \omega) \\ 1, & \text{if } \lambda \geq \omega \end{cases}.$$

Therefore, we have a similar expression for the acvf γ in terms of

$$\gamma(h) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ih\lambda} dF(\lambda).$$

Definition 2.1 Let F and g be two real-valued functions on $[a, b]$. Assume that F is right-continuous, nondecreasing, and bounded. Then the Riemann-Stieltjes integral

$$\int_a^b g(x) dF(x)$$

is given by the limit of

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} g(x_i) (F(x_{i+1}^n) - F(x_i^n)),$$

where $\{x_i^n\}$ is a partition of $[a, b]$ with $\max_i |x_{i+1}^n - x_i^n| \rightarrow 0$.

Remark 2.2 In the case when $F(x) = x$, the definition of a Riemann-Stieltjes integral is the same as the definition of a Riemann integral introduced in the Calculus course.

Remark 2.3 Suppose F is not only right-continuous, nondecreasing, and bounded, but also differentiable, i.e., $F'(x)$ exists for all x , then

$$\int_a^b g(x) dF(x) = \int_a^b g(x) F'(x) dx.$$

In other words, the Riemann-Stieltjes integral of g with respect to F is simply the Riemann integral of gF' .

Remark 2.4 If F is piece-wise constant, nondecreasing, and bounded with "jumps" at points $y_1, \dots, y_k \in (a, b)$, then

$$\int_a^b g(x) dF(x) = \sum_{j=1}^k g(y_j) (F(y_j) - F(y_j^-)),$$

where

$$F(y^-) \doteq \lim_{\substack{z \rightarrow y \\ z < y}} F(z).$$

The following theorem says that for any stationary time series with acvf γ , there might not exist f such that

$$\gamma(h) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ih\lambda} f(\lambda) d\lambda$$

but there is always a function F such that

$$\gamma(h) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ih\lambda} dF(\lambda).$$

Theorem 2.5 (Herglotz's theorem) A function $\gamma : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the acvf of a stationary time series if and only if there exists a right-continuous, nondecreasing, and bounded function $F : [-\pi, \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $F(-\pi) = 0$ such that

$$\gamma(h) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ih\lambda} dF(\lambda)$$

for all $h \in \mathbb{Z}$. (The function F is called the spectral distribution function of γ and if $F(\lambda) = \int_{-\pi}^{\lambda} f(v) dv$ for all λ and for some nonnegative f , then f is called the spectral density function.)

Remark 2.6 *The spectral distribution function F is not necessary a probability distribution function since $F(\pi)$ might not be one, but the rescaled function*

$$G(\lambda) = \frac{F(\lambda)}{F(\pi)}$$

is a probability distribution function on $[-\pi, \pi]$. Furthermore, since

$$\gamma(0) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i0\lambda} dF(\lambda) = F(\pi) - F(-\pi) = F(\pi),$$

we find that the acf

$$\rho(h) \doteq \frac{\gamma(h)}{\gamma(0)} = \frac{\gamma(h)}{F(\pi)} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ih\lambda} \frac{1}{F(\pi)} dF(\lambda) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ih\lambda} dG(\lambda).$$

This implies that G is the spectral distribution function of acf ρ .

Remark 2.7 *If the spectral distribution function F has a density f , i.e.,*

$$F(\lambda) = \int_{-\pi}^{\lambda} f(v) dv,$$

then the time series is said to have a continuous spectrum. On the other hand, if F is a piecewise-constant function, then the time series is said to have a discrete spectrum. In addition, because F could be piece-wise continuous but not piecewise-constant, the time series could have a spectrum that is neither continuous nor discrete.