

## Two Way SS

4.7) RBD (random)

	Chemical	1	2	3	4	5	$y_{i\cdot}$
1		73	68	74	71	67	353
2		73	67	75	72	70	357
3		75	68	78	73	68	362
4		73	71	75	75	69	363
	$y_{\cdot j}$	294	274	302	291	274	1435

$\sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{j=1}^b y_{ij}^2 - \frac{y_{\cdot\cdot}^2}{bt}$

Total  $SS_{Total} = [73^2 + 68^2 + 74^2 + 71^2 + 67^2 + 73^2 + \dots + 69^2] - \frac{(1435)^2}{20}$

$= 191.75$

SS Total

$\sum_{i=1}^a \frac{y_{i\cdot}^2}{b} - \frac{y_{\cdot\cdot}^2}{bt}$

SS R  $SS_{Treatment} = \left[ \frac{1}{5} [353^2 + 357^2 + 362^2 + 363^2] \right] - \frac{(1435)^2}{20}$

$\uparrow$  despire 4 values

$= 12.95$

SS R

$\sum_{j=1}^b \frac{y_{\cdot j}^2}{a} - \frac{y_{\cdot\cdot}^2}{bt}$

SS B  $SS_{Blocks} = \left[ \frac{1}{4} [294^2 + 274^2 + 302^2 + 291^2 + 274^2] \right] - \frac{1435^2}{20}$

$\uparrow$  despire 5 values

$= 157$

SS B

SS Total  $SS = SS_R + SS_B + SS_E$

$191.75 = 12.95 + 157 + 21.8$        $SS_E = 21.8$

Source	df	SS	MS = $\frac{SS}{df}$	F	p-val
Treatment	3	12.95	4.317	2.376	.1211
Blocks	4	157	39.25	21.606	
Error	12	21.8	1.817		
Total	19	191.75			

As shown by the F value being 2.376, the model is not significant relative to the noise. There's a 12.11% chance the F-value will occur due to noise. There's no difference between chemical types.

4.13) a)

Oil	Truck					
	1	2	3	4	5	
1	.5	.634	.487	.329	.512	2.462
2	.535	.675	.520	.435	.546	2.705
3	.513	.595	.488	.4	.510	2.506
	1.548	1.904	1.495	1.164	1.562	7.673

$$SS_{\text{Total}}: [.5^2 + .634^2 + .487^2 + \dots + .4^2 + .510^2] - \frac{(7.673)^2}{15} = .103$$

$$SS_R: \left[ \left( \frac{1}{3} \right) (2.462^2 + 2.705^2 + 2.506^2) \right] - \frac{(7.673)^2}{15} = .0067$$

$$SS_E: \left[ \left( \frac{1}{3} \right) (1.548^2 + 1.904^2 + 1.495^2 + 1.164^2 + 1.562^2) \right] - \frac{(7.673)^2}{15} = .092$$

$$.103 = .0067 + .092 + S_E, \quad S_E = .0043$$

Source	df	SS	MS	F	P-value
Block	4	.092	.023	6.35	.0223
Treatment	2	.0067	.00335		
Error	8	.0043	.000527		
Total	14	.103			

There is a significant difference between lubrication oils & trucks, as shown by the p-value being  $p = .0223$ , which is less than  $\alpha = .05$ .

b)

$$LSD = t_{.025, 11} \sqrt{.000527 \left( \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5} \right)}$$

$$= .038688$$

$$T1 \text{ vs } T2 = |.4924 - .541| = .0486 > .038688$$

$$T1 \text{ vs } T3 = |.4924 - .5012| = .0088 < .038688$$

$$T2 \text{ vs } T3 = |.541 - .5012| = .0398 > .038688$$

Pairs 1 and 2 & 2 and 3 are significantly different from each other.

Pair 1 and 3 are not different from each other.

4.19) Parameters  $\tau_i$  and  $\beta_j$  for CRBD

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + \tau_i + \beta_j + \epsilon_{ij}$$

$$i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, a \quad \text{for} \quad \hat{\tau}_i = \bar{y}_{i.} - \bar{y}_{..}$$

$$j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, b \quad \text{for} \quad \hat{\beta}_j = \bar{y}_{.j} - \bar{y}_{..}$$

$$\hat{\mu} = \bar{y}_{..}$$

Using the textbook equations (4.17 & 4.18) the estimation for the model parameters are as follows:

$$\hat{\mu} = 35/20 = 1.75$$

$$\hat{\tau}_1 = -23/20 = -1.15$$

$$\hat{\tau}_2 = -7/20 = -.35$$

$$\hat{\tau}_3 = 13/20 = .65$$

$$\hat{\tau}_4 = 17/20 = .85$$

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = 35/20 = 1.75$$

$$\hat{\beta}_2 = -65/20 = -3.25$$

$$\hat{\beta}_3 = 75/20 = 3.75$$

$$\hat{\beta}_4 = 20/20 = 1$$

$$\hat{\beta}_5 = -65/20 = -3.25$$

\* Most calculations solved through calculator. \*

	Source	df	SS	MS	F	P
4.44)	(R) Treatment	6	1317.43	219.57	10.42	.002
	Block	6	394.10	65.68	3.12	.070
	Error	8	168.58	21.07		
	Total	20	1880.1			

$$SS_R = \sum_{i=1}^t \left( \left( \frac{1}{b} \right) y_{i.}^2 \right) - \frac{y_{..}^2}{N} = 1317.43$$

$$SS_B = \sum_{j=1}^t \left( \left( \frac{1}{t} \right) y_{.j}^2 \right) - \frac{y_{..}^2}{N} = 394.10$$

$$SS_{Total} = \sum \sum (y_{ij}^2 - \frac{y_{..}^2}{N}) = 1880.1$$

$$SS_E = 1880.1 - 1317.43 - 394.10 = 168.58$$

The ~~P~~-value for treatment is less than the value found in the anova table (10.42), thus at least one treatment is causing a difference.

The F value for blocking is greater than the value found in the anova table (3.12), thus blocking is not causing a difference.

4.44)		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
TABLE	2%	114				120		117	357
	4%	126	120				119		365
	6%		137	117				134	388
	8%	141		129	149				419
	10%		145		150	143			438
	12%			120		118	125		363
	14%				136		130	127	393
		381	402	366	435	381	374	378	2717

4.51) BIBD ,  $a=8, r=8, k=4, b=16$

$$\lambda = \frac{r(k-1)}{t-1} \Rightarrow \frac{r(k-1)}{a-1} = \frac{8(3)}{7} = \frac{24}{7}$$

Lecture  $\uparrow$       Textbook  $\uparrow$

not whole  
number,  
cannot exist