

26) Suppose we let  $k=1$ , and  $m^*(A \cap E) = m^*(A \cap E)$ , this holds true.  
 Let us assume that for some  $n$ ,  $m^*(A \cap \bigcup_{k=1}^n E_k) = \sum_{k=1}^n m^*(A \cap E_k)$   
 so we may prove for  $n+1$  disjoint measurable sets, since  $E_{n+1}$  is measurable.

$$\begin{aligned} m^*(A \cap \bigcup_{k=1}^{n+1} E_k) &= m^*(A \cap (\bigcup_{k=1}^n E_k) \cap E_{n+1} + m^*(A \cap (\bigcup_{k=1}^n E_k) \cap E_{n+1}^c)) \\ &= m^*(A \cap E_{n+1}) + m^*(A \cap (\bigcup_{k=1}^n E_k)) \\ &= m^*(A \cap E_{n+1}) + \sum_{k=1}^n m^*(A \cap E_k) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} m^*(A \cap E_k) \end{aligned}$$

which remains true for  $n+1$ . With  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , this will result in the following:

$$m^*(A \cap \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m^*(A \cap E_k)$$

27)

28) Suppose  $\{E_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is a disjoint collection of measurable sets.  
 Let us define  $A_n = \bigcup_{k=1}^n E_k$  and that  $\{A_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is ascending and  
 $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_n = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k$ .

The continuity of measure implies that  $m\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k\right) = m\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(A_n)$

By finite additivity,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(A_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n m(E_k) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n m(A_k)$

Thus,  $m\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m(E_k)$   $\square$

$$\text{Thus, } m\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m(E_k) \quad \square$$

39) Let  $F_i$  be the result of the  $i$ th iteration of removing all  $\frac{1}{3^i}$  wide intervals. We can let  $F_0 = [0, 1]$ ,  $F_1 = [0, \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{6}] \cup [\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{6}, 1]$ . This will result in  $F = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k$ .

Every  $F_i$  will have a  $2^i$  disjoint closed intervals of equal length. This means each closed interval is less than  $\frac{1}{2^i}$  wide.

Suppose  $(a, b) \subseteq F$  with  $a < b$ . This means  $(a, b) \subseteq F_i$  for all  $i$  by definition of  $\cap$ . However this can't be true because  $(b-a) > \frac{1}{2^k}$  for some value of  $k$ . There is no interval of that length and thus there can be no nonempty open intervals in  $F$ . This in turn makes the complement of  $F$  dense.

Thus,  $[0, 1] \setminus F$  dense in  $[0, 1]$   $\square$