

# **SHERLOCK SECURITY REVIEW FOR**



**SHERLOCK** 

**Prepared for:** bullvbear

**Prepared by:** Sherlock

**Lead Security Expert: WATCHPUG** 

**Dates Audited:** November 14 - November 17, 2022

Prepared on: December 2, 2022

# Introduction

With Bull v Bear you can short NFT collections, hedge your portfolio and buy discounted NFTs. Soon, on Ethereum.

# Scope

<pre>src/BvbProtocol.sol</pre>		

# **Findings**

Each issue has an assigned severity:

- Medium issues are security vulnerabilities that may not be directly exploitable or may require certain conditions in order to be exploited. All major issues should be addressed.
- High issues are directly exploitable security vulnerabilities that need to be fixed.

#### **Issues found**

Medium	High
2	4

# Issues not fixed or acknowledged

Medium	High
0	0

# Security experts who found valid issues

ak1	<u>ElKu</u>	0x4non
carrot	hansfriese	<u>0xSmartContract</u>
bin2chen	GimelSec	<u>rvierdiiev</u>
WATCHPUG	neumo	dipp
kirk-baird	<u>0x52</u>	aviggiano
KingNFT	Ruhum	<u>imare</u>
curiousapple	<u>Zarf</u>	CCCZ
<u>Bahurum</u>	141345	<u>obront</u>



0xmuxyz 0xadrii Ov3rf10w Tomo

<u>tives</u> pashov



# **Issue H-1: Attackers can use** reclaimContract() **to transfer assets in protocol to address(0)**

Source: https://github.com/sherlock-audit/2022-11-bullvbear-judging/issues/127

# Found by

\_\_141345\_\_, carrot, 0x52, bin2chen, GimelSec, hansfriese, kirk-baird, Ruhum

# **Summary**

reclaimContract() would transfer payment tokens to bulls[contractId]. An attacker can make reclaimContract() transfer assets to address(0).

# **Vulnerability Detail**

An attacker can use a fake order to trick reclaimContract(). The fake order needs to meet the following requirements:

- block.timestamp>order.expiry.
- !settledContracts[contractId].
- !reclaimedContracts[contractId],.

The first one is easy to fulfilled, an attacker can decide the content of the fake order. And the others are all satisfied since the fake order couldn't be settled or reclaimed before.

Thus, reclaimContract() would run this line: IERC20(order.asset).safeTransfer(bu 11,bullAssetAmount);.bull is address(0) since bulls[contractId] hasn't been filled. If order.asset's implementation doesn't make sure to!=address(0)(e.g., https://github.com/ConsenSys/Tokens/blob/fdf687c69d998266a95f15216b1955a 4965a0a6d/contracts/eip20/EIP20.sol). The asset would be sent to address(0).

```
function reclaimContract(Order calldata order) public nonReentrant {
   bytes32 orderHash = hashOrder(order);

   // ContractId
   uint contractId = uint(orderHash);

   address bull = bulls[contractId];

   // Check that the contract is expired
   require(block.timestamp > order.expiry, "NOT_EXPIRED_CONTRACT");

   // Check that the contract is not settled
```



```
require(!settledContracts[contractId], "SETTLED_CONTRACT");

// Check that the contract is not reclaimed
require(!reclaimedContracts[contractId], "RECLAIMED_CONTRACT");

uint bullAssetAmount = order.premium + order.collateral;
if (bullAssetAmount > 0) {
    // Transfer payment tokens to the Bull
    IERC20(order.asset).safeTransfer(bull, bullAssetAmount);
}

reclaimedContracts[contractId] = true;
emit ReclaimedContract(orderHash, order);
}
```

### **Impact**

An attacker can use this vulnerability to transfer assets from BvB to address(0). It results in serious loss of funds.

# **Code Snippet**

https://github.com/sherlock-audit/2022-11-bullvbear/blob/main/bvb-protocol/src/BvbProtocol.sol#L417-L443

#### Tool used

Manual Review

#### Recommendation

There are multiple solutions for this problem.

- 1. check bulls[contractId]!=address(0)
- 2. check the order is matched matched0rders[contractId].maker!=address(0)

#### Discussion

#### datschill

PR fixing this issue: https://github.com/BullvBear/bvb-solidity/pull/4



# **Issue H-2: Bull can** transferPosition() to address(0) and the original order can be matched again

Source: https://github.com/sherlock-audit/2022-11-bullvbear-judging/issues/114

# Found by

dipp, curiousapple, carrot, imare, rvierdiiev, 0x52, bin2chen, GimelSec, Bahurum, hansfriese, neumo, aviggiano, WATCHPUG, KingNFT

### **Summary**

Using bulls[uint(orderHash)] == address(0) to check whether the order is matched is insufficient, the bull can transferPosition to address(0) and the order can be matched again.

# **Vulnerability Detail**

An order must not be matched more than once.

There is a check presented in the current implementation to prevent that: L760 req uire(bulls[uint(orderHash)] == address(0), "ORDER\_ALREADY\_MATCHED");.

However, this check can be easily bypassed by the bull, as they can transferPosition() to address(0) anytime.

Then the original order can be matched again.

# **Impact**

Attacker can match the orders by bear makers multiple times, pulling order.premium +bearFees from the victims' wallet as many times as they want.

# **Code Snippet**

https://github.com/sherlock-audit/2022-11-bullvbear/blob/main/bvb-protocol/src/BvbProtocol.sol#L734-L761

https://github.com/sherlock-audit/2022-11-bullvbear/blob/main/bvb-protocol/src/BvbProtocol.sol#L521-L538

#### Tool used

Manual Review



#### Recommendation

Consider using matchedOrders[contractId] to check if the order has been matched or not. Also, consider disallowing transferPosition() to address(0).

#### **Discussion**

#### datschill

PR fixing this issue : https://github.com/BullvBear/bvb-solidity/pull/1

#### datschill

PR fixing the transfer to 0x0 : https://github.com/BullvBear/bvb-solidity/pull/3



# **Issue H-3: Bull can prevent** settleContract()

Source: https://github.com/sherlock-audit/2022-11-bullvbear-judging/issues/111

# Found by

curiousapple, Bahurum, ak1, ElKu, WATCHPUG, KingNFT

### **Summary**

The bull can intentionally cause out-of-gas and revert the transaction and prevent s ettleContract().

## **Vulnerability Detail**

As IERC721(order.collection).safeTransferFrom() is used in settleContract() which will call IERC721Receiver(to).onERC721Received() when the to address is an contract.

This gives the bull a chance to intentionally prevent the transaction from happening by consuming a lot of gas and revert the whole transaction.

### **Impact**

The bear (victim) can not settleContract() therefore cannot exercise their put option rights. The bull (attacker) always wins.

# **Code Snippet**

https://github.com/sherlock-audit/2022-11-bullvbear/blob/main/bvb-protocol/src/BvbProtocol.sol#L374-L411

#### **Tool used**

Manual Review

#### Recommendation

```
function settleContract(Order calldata order, uint tokenId) public nonReentrant {
   bytes32 orderHash = hashOrder(order);

   // ContractId
   uint contractId = uint(orderHash);

   address bear = bears[contractId];
```



```
// Check that only the bear can settle the contract
   require(msg.sender == bear, "ONLY_BEAR");
   // Check that the contract is not expired
   require(block.timestamp < order.expiry, "EXPIRED_CONTRACT");</pre>
   // Check that the contract is not already settled
   require(!settledContracts[contractId], "SETTLED_CONTRACT");
   address bull = bulls[contractId];
    // Try to transfer the NFT to the bull (needed in case of a malicious bull

    that block transfers)

    try IERC721(order.collection).safeTransferFrom(bear, bull, tokenId) {}
    catch (bytes memory) {
       // Transfer NFT to BvbProtocol
       IERC721(order.collection).safeTransferFrom(bear, address(this), tokenId);
       // Store that the bull has to retrieve it
       withdrawableCollectionTokenId[order.collection][tokenId] = bull;
   uint bearAssetAmount = order.premium + order.collateral;
   if (bearAssetAmount > 0) {
       // Transfer payment tokens to the Bear
       IERC20(order.asset).safeTransfer(bear, bearAssetAmount);
   }
   settledContracts[contractId] = true;
   emit SettledContract(orderHash, tokenId, order);
```

#### **Discussion**

#### datschill

PR fixing this issue : https://github.com/BullvBear/bvb-solidity/pull/14

#### sherlock-admin

Escalate for 5 USDC

Hey Hi, From all issues considered duplicates here, this current issue https://github.com/sherlock-audit/2022-11-bullvbear-judging/issues/111 and https://github.com/sherlock-audit/2022-11-bullvbear-judging/issues/100 are incorrect, and shouldn't be considered. Both of https://github.com/sherlock-audit/2022-11-bullvbear-judging/issues/111,



https://github.com/sherlock-audit/2022-11-bullvbear-judging/issues/100 say that bulls can stop bears from settling via out-of-gas revert.

However, Bulls can not directly stop bears from settling, as these 2 reports depict. All they can do is increase transaction costs and add extra overhead, as correctly explained in

https://github.com/sherlock-audit/2022-11-bullvbear-judging/issues/147 https://github.com/sherlock-audit/2022-11-bullvbear-judging/issues/18 https://github.com/sherlock-audit/2022-11-bullvbear-judging/issues/142

Verification Copy follwoing test inside bvb-protocol>test>integration> and run forgetest--match-contractTestGasGrief-vvvvv https://gist.github.com/abhishekvispute/26bc7e0e231d1ca3cf4deae2dd5d2ebf

In this test, you will see that the bull transfers the position to a malicious bull which has an infinite loop on received, but still bear can settle by paying additional overhead. Hence the issue is not that bulls can cause out-of-gas reverts but bulls adding additional gas overhead. The recommendation is also wrong for

https://github.com/sherlock-audit/2022-11-bullvbear-judging/issues/111. **Consider removing them from included issues.** 

test trace (check how it comes out of "out of gas revert" and still allows to settle)

```
[PASS]

→ testGasGrief((uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint16,address,address,address,b
→ (runs: 256, : 7301975, ~: 7304974)
Traces:
 . . . . . . .
    [0] VM::prank(Bear: [0x7B5969C684b101DA876186F1b8f3aB808e308B7c])
    [6672810] BvbProtocol::settleContract((4891, 864, 3601, 86401, 10, 20,
   → 0x7B5969C684b101DA876186F1b8f3aB808e308B7c,
   → 0xCe71065D4017F316EC606Fe4422e11eB2c47c246,
   → 0x185a4dc360CE69bDCceE33b3784B0282f7961aea, false), 1234)
       [6533561] BvbERC721::safeTransferFrom(Bear:
   → [0x7B5969C684b101DA876186F1b8f3aB808e308B7c], BvbMaliciousBull:
   \rightarrow [0x42997aC9251E5BB0A61F4Ff790E5B991ea07Fd9B], 1234)
         emit Transfer(from: Bear:
   → [0x7B5969C684b101DA876186F1b8f3aB808e308B7c], to: BvbMaliciousBull:
    [6522355] BvbMaliciousBull::onERC721Received(BvbProtocol:
    [0x7B5969C684b101DA876186F1b8f3aB808e308B7c], 1234, 0x)
            ← "EvmError: OutOfGas"
```



```
← "EvmError: Revert"
   [23775] BvbERC721::safeTransferFrom(Bear:
(0x7B5969C684b101DA876186F1b8f3aB808e308B7c], BvbProtocol:
→ [0xf5a2fE45F4f1308502b1C136b9EF8af136141382], 1234)
      emit Transfer(from: Bear:
→ [0x7B5969C684b101DA876186F1b8f3aB808e308B7c], to: BvbProtocol:
\hookrightarrow [0xf5a2fE45F4f1308502b1C136b9EF8af136141382], id: 1234)
      [864] BybProtocol::onERC721Received(BybProtocol:
→ [0xf5a2fE45F4f1308502b1C136b9EF8af136141382], Bear:
(0x7B5969C684b101DA876186F1b8f3aB808e308B7c], 1234, 0x)
         \leftarrow 0x150b7a02
      ← ()
   [22852] BvbERC20::transfer(Bear:
\rightarrow [0x7B5969C684b101DA876186F1b8f3aB808e308B7c], 5755)
      emit Transfer(from: BvbProtocol:
→ [0x7B5969C684b101DA876186F1b8f3aB808e308B7c], amount: 5755)
      ← true
   emit SettledContract(orderHash:
\hookrightarrow 0xf737abeb07bf156db35b7a738422241312110236659091d877b6d09846af2e82,
→ tokenId: 1234, order: (4891, 864, 3601, 86401, 10, 20,
→ 0x7B5969C684b101DA876186F1b8f3aB808e308B7c,
→ 0xCe71065D4017F316EC606Fe4422e11eB2c47c246.
→ 0x185a4dc360CE69bDCceE33b3784B0282f7961aea, false))
   emit log_named_uint(key: safeTransferCase Gas, val: 107483)
   ← ()
[564] BvbERC20::balanceOf(Bull:
→ [0xCf03Dd0a894Ef79CB5b601A43C4b25E3Ae4c67eD]) [staticcall]
[564] BvbERC20::balanceOf(Bear:
Government = [0x7B5969C684b101DA876186F1b8f3aB808e308B7c]) [staticcall]
   ← 5755
← ()
```

You've deleted an escalation for this issue.



# Issue H-4: Reentrancy in withdrawToken() May Delete The Next User's Balance

Source: https://github.com/sherlock-audit/2022-11-bullvbear-judging/issues/88

# Found by

Zarf, 0x4non, carrot, bin2chen, neumo, kirk-baird, 0xSmartContract, ak1

#### Summary

The function withdrawToken() does not have a reentrancy guard and calls an external contract. It is possible to reenter settleContract() to spend the same token that was just transferred out. If the safeTransferFrom() in settleContract() fails then the token balance is added to the bull. However, when withdrawToken() continues execution it will delete the balance of the bull.

## **Vulnerability Detail**

withdrawToken() makes a state change to withdrawableCollectionTokenId[collection] [tokenId] after it makes an external call to an ERC721 contract safeTransferFrom(). Since this external call will relinquish control to the to address which is recipient, the recipient smart contract may reenter settleContract().

When calling settleContract() set the tokenId function parameter to the same one just transferred in withdawToken(). If transfer to the bull fails then the token is instead transferred to BvbProtocol and balance added to the bull, withdrawableColl ectionTokenId[order.collection][tokenId]=bull

After settleContract() finishes executing control will revert back to withdrawToken() which then executes the line withdrawableCollectionTokenId[collection][tokenId]=address(0). The balance of the bull is therefore delete for that token.

e.g. If we know a transfer will fail to a bull in a matched order we can a) create a fake order with ourselves b) reenter from withdrawToken() into settleContract() and therefore delete the bulls withdrawableCollectionTokenId balance. Steps:

- BvpProtocol.matchOrder(orderA) create a fake order (A) with ones self
- BvpProtocol.settleOrder(orderA) settle the fake order (A) with ones self and ensure the ERC721 transfer from bull to bear fails.
- BvpProtocol.matchOrder(orderB) match the real order (B), this can be done at any time
- BvbProtocol.withdrawToken(orderA,token1) the following setups happen during line #456



- ERC721(collection).safeTransferFrom(this,recipient,tokenId) (recipie nt is bull from the fake order (A))
- recipient.onERC721Received() called by safeTransferFrom() and gives execution control to receipient
- BvpProtocol.settleOrder(orderB,token1) reenter to settle the real order using token1 which does withdrawableCollectionTokenId[order.collection][tokenId]=bull
- Finish executing BvbProtocol.withdrawToken(orderA,token1) after line #456 which does withdrawableCollectionTokenId[collection] [tokenId] = address(0)

#### **Impact**

If we know a transfer is going to fail to a bull for an ERC721 we can ensure the NFT is locked in the BvbProtocol contract. This NFT will be unrecoverable.

# **Code Snippet**

#### withdrawToken()

```
function withdrawToken(bytes32 orderHash, uint tokenId) public {
   address collection = matchedOrders[uint(orderHash)].collection;

   address recipient = withdrawableCollectionTokenId[collection][tokenId];

// Transfer NFT to recipient
   IERC721(collection).safeTransferFrom(address(this), recipient, tokenId);

// This token is not withdrawable anymore
   withdrawableCollectionTokenId[collection][tokenId] = address(0);
```

#### settleContract()

```
function settleContract(Order calldata order, uint tokenId) public nonReentrant {
    bytes32 orderHash = hashOrder(order);

    // ContractId
    uint contractId = uint(orderHash);

    address bear = bears[contractId];

    // Check that only the bear can settle the contract
    require(msg.sender == bear, "ONLY_BEAR");

    // Check that the contract is not expired
```



```
require(block.timestamp < order.expiry, "EXPIRED_CONTRACT");</pre>
   // Check that the contract is not already settled
   require(!settledContracts[contractId], "SETTLED_CONTRACT");
   address bull = bulls[contractId];
   // Try to transfer the NFT to the bull (needed in case of a malicious bull
→ that block transfers)
   try IERC721(order.collection).safeTransferFrom(bear, bull, tokenId) {}
   catch (bytes memory) {
       // Transfer NFT to BvbProtocol
       IERC721(order.collection).safeTransferFrom(bear, address(this), tokenId);
       // Store that the bull has to retrieve it
       withdrawableCollectionTokenId[order.collection][tokenId] = bull;
   uint bearAssetAmount = order.premium + order.collateral;
   if (bearAssetAmount > 0) {
       // Transfer payment tokens to the Bear
       IERC20(order.asset).safeTransfer(bear, bearAssetAmount);
   settledContracts[contractId] = true;
   emit SettledContract(orderHash, tokenId, order);
```

#### Tool used

Manual Review

#### Recommendation

I recommend both of these solutions though either one will be sufficient on its own:

- Add nonReentrant modifier to withdrawToken()
- Set withdrawableCollectionTokenId[collection][tokenId]=address(0) before performing IERC721(collection).safeTransferFrom(address(this),recipient, tokenId) to apply the checks-effects-interactions pattern.

#### **Discussion**

#### datschill



PR fixing checks-effects-interactions pattern : https://github.com/BullvBear/bvb-solidity/pull/15

#### datschill

PR fixing another issue, removing the withdrawToken() method: https://github.com/BullvBear/bvb-solidity/pull/14

#### sherlock-admin

Escalate for 1 USDC

Reason There is no working re-entrancy attack path, the risk level should be LOW.

- (1) The recipient has been changed to victim bull while re-entry 'settleContract' described in submission #77, #88, a next call from attacker to 'withdrawToken' will fail
- (2) The underlying token has been transferred to attacker bull, so re-entry 'withdrawToken' decribed in submission #8 would not work too
- (3) The last re-entry point is 'transferPosition', no damage too

#### Test case

```
// SPDX-License-Identifier: MIT
pragma solidity >=0.8.17;
import {IERC20} from "@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/IERC20.sol";
import {Base} from "./Base.t.sol";
import {BvbProtocol} from "src/BvbProtocol.sol";
import "forge-std/console.sol";
contract Victim {
   // Some collection contracts limit max number of NFTs an account can
   // This contract simulates an account subjected to the limit, that is
→ the account can't receive
    // NFT now, but later if it sends/sells some NFTs out, it can receive
→ NFT again, withdraw NTFs
   // which are kept in BvbProtocol due to previous limit.
   bool private _limited;
   function setLimited(bool limited) external {
       _limited = limited;
    function onERC721Received(
       address ,
       address ,
```



```
uint256,
        bytes calldata
    ) external returns (bytes4) {
        require(!_limited);
        return this.onERC721Received.selector;
    function withdrawToken(address bvb, bytes32 orderHash, uint tokenId)
   external {
        BvbProtocol(bvb).withdrawToken(orderHash, tokenId);
contract BadBearsAttackContract {
   bool private attack;
    bool private receiveNFT;
    address private owner;
    address private target;
    uint private tokenId;
    bytes32 private contractId;
    BvbProtocol.Order private order;
    constructor () {
        owner = msg.sender;
   modifier onlyOwner {
        require(msg.sender == owner);
    function enableAttack(address _target, bytes32 _contractId, uint
  _tokenId, BvbProtocol.Order calldata _order) external onlyOwner {
        attack = true;
        target = _target;
        contractId = _contractId;
        tokenId = _tokenId;
        order = _order;
    function enableReceive(bool _receive) external onlyOwner {
        receiveNFT = _receive;
    function on ERC721Received(
        address ,
        address ,
```

```
uint256 id,
        bytes calldata
    ) external returns (bytes4) {
        require(receiveNFT);
        if (attack && tokenId == id) {
            attack = false;
            BvbProtocol(target).settleContract(order, id);
            BvbProtocol(target).withdrawToken(contractId, id);
        return this.onERC721Received.selector;
contract ExploitWithdrawReentrancy is Base {
   Victim internal victim;
   BadBearsAttackContract internal attack;
    function setUp() public {
        victim = new Victim();
        attack = new BadBearsAttackContract();
        bvb.setAllowedAsset(address(weth), true);
        bvb.setAllowedCollection(address(doodles), true);
        deal(address(weth), bull, 0xffffffff);
        deal(address(weth), bear, 0xffffffff);
        deal(address(weth), address(victim), Oxffffffff);
        deal(address(weth), address(attack), Oxffffffff);
        vm.prank(bull);
        weth.approve(address(bvb), type(uint).max);
        vm.prank(bear);
        weth.approve(address(bvb), type(uint).max);
        vm.prank(address(victim));
        weth.approve(address(bvb), type(uint).max);
        vm.prank(address(attack));
        weth.approve(address(bvb), type(uint).max);
    function testExploitWithdrawReentrancy() public {
        BvbProtocol.Order memory order = defaultOrder();
        order.maker = bear;
        order.isBull = false;
        bytes32 orderHash = bvb.hashOrder(order);
        // Sign the order
        bytes memory signature = signOrderHash(bearPrivateKey, orderHash);
```

```
// Taker (Bull) match with this order
    vm.prank(address(victim));
    bvb.matchOrder(order, signature);
    // Give a NFT to the Bear + approve
    uint tokenId = 1234;
    doodles.mint(bear, tokenId);
    vm.prank(bear);
    doodles.setApprovalForAll(address(bvb), true);
    // Bad bear create a new order with the same collection but earlier
expiry, and match with self's attack contract
    BvbProtocol.Order memory order2 = defaultOrder();
    order2.maker = bear;
    order2.isBull = false;
    order2.expiry = order.expiry - 1 days;
    bytes32 orderHash2 = bvb.hashOrder(order2);
    bytes memory signature2 = signOrderHash(bearPrivateKey, orderHash2);
    vm.prank(address(attack));
    bvb.matchOrder(order2, signature2);
    vm.prank(bear);
    bvb.settleContract(order2, tokenId);
    assertEq(bvb.withdrawableCollectionTokenId(address(doodles),
tokenId), address(attack), "Token kept for badBear");
    vm.prank(address(attack));
    doodles.setApprovalForAll(address(bvb), true);
    // transfer the previous position to attack contract
    vm.prank(bear);
    bvb.transferPosition(orderHash, false, address(attack));
    attack.enableReceive(true);
    attack.enableAttack(address(bvb), orderHash2, tokenId, order);
    victim.setLimited(true);
    vm.expectRevert();
    vm.prank(address(attack));
    bvb.withdrawToken(orderHash2, tokenId);
```

You've deleted an escalation for this issue.



# Issue M-1: It doesn't handle fee-on-transfer/deflationary tokens

Source: https://github.com/sherlock-audit/2022-11-bullvbear-judging/issues/130

# Found by

Zarf, dipp, Tomo, tives, rvierdiiev, 0v3rf10w, GimelSec, hansfriese, cccz, pashov, Ruhum

#### **Summary**

The protocol doesn't handle fee-on-transfer/deflationary tokens, users will be unable to call settleContract and reclaimContract due to not enough assets in the contract. Though the protocol uses allowedAsset to set the asset as supported as payment, we can't guarantee that the allowed non-deflationary token will always not become a deflationary token, especially upgradeable tokens (for example, USDC).

# **Vulnerability Detail**

Assume that A token is a deflationary token, and it will take 50% fee when transferring tokens. And the protocol only set 4% fee.

If a user is bear and call mathOrder with order.premium=100, the takerPrice will be 1 00+100\*4%=104 but the protocol will only get 104\*50%=52 tokens in <u>L354</u>. Same problem in order.collateral, the user will be unable to call settleContract because the contract doesn't have enough A tokens.

# **Impact**

The protocol will be unable to pay enough tokens to users when users want to call settleContract Or reclaimContract.

# **Code Snippet**

https://github.com/sherlock-audit/2022-11-bullvbear/blob/main/bvb-protocol/src/BvbProtocol.sol#L354 https://github.com/sherlock-audit/2022-11-bullvbear/blob/main/bvb-protocol/src/BvbProtocol.sol#L358

#### **Tool used**

Manual Review



#### Recommendation

Use balanceAfter-balanceBefore:

```
uint256 balanceBefore = deflationaryToken.balanceOf(address(this));
deflationaryToken.safeTransferFrom(msg.sender, address(this), takerPrice);
uint256 balanceAfter = deflationaryToken.balanceOf(address(this));
premium = (balanceAfter - balanceBefore) - bearFees;
```

## **Discussion**

#### datschill

PR fixing this issue: https://github.com/BullvBear/bvb-solidity/pull/8



# Issue M-2: Bulls that are unable to receive NFTs will not be able to claim them later

Source: https://github.com/sherlock-audit/2022-11-bullvbear-judging/issues/4

# Found by

Oxmuxyz, Oxadrii, carrot, rvierdiiev, bin2chen, GimelSec, hansfriese, cccz, WATCHPUG, obront

### **Summary**

A lot of care has been taken to ensure that, if a bull has a contract address that doesn't accept ERC721s, the NFT is saved to withdrawableCollectionTokenId for later withdrawal. However, because there is no way to withdraw this token to a different address (and the original address doesn't accept NFTs), it will never be able to be claimed.

### **Vulnerability Detail**

To settle a contract, the bear calls settleContract(), which sends their NFT to the bull, and withdraws the collateral and premium to the bear.

```
try IERC721(order.collection).safeTransferFrom(bear, bull, tokenId) {}
catch (bytes memory) {
    // Transfer NFT to BvbProtocol
    IERC721(order.collection).safeTransferFrom(bear, address(this), tokenId);
    // Store that the bull has to retrieve it
    withdrawableCollectionTokenId[order.collection][tokenId] = bull;
}
uint bearAssetAmount = order.premium + order.collateral;
if (bearAssetAmount > 0) {
    // Transfer payment tokens to the Bear
    IERC20(order.asset).safeTransfer(bear, bearAssetAmount);
}
```

In order to address the case that the bull is a contract that can't accept NFTs, the protocol uses a try-catch setup. If the transfer doesn't succeed, it transfers the NFT into the contract, and sets withdrawableCollectionTokenId so that the specific NFT is attributed to the bull for later withdrawal.

However, assuming the bull isn't an upgradeable contract, this withdrawal will never be possible, because their only option is to call the same function safeTransf erFrom to the same contract address, which will fail in the same way.



```
function withdrawToken(bytes32 orderHash, uint tokenId) public {
   address collection = matchedOrders[uint(orderHash)].collection;

   address recipient = withdrawableCollectionTokenId[collection][tokenId];

   // Transfer NFT to recipient
   IERC721(collection).safeTransferFrom(address(this), recipient, tokenId);

   // This token is not withdrawable anymore
   withdrawableCollectionTokenId[collection][tokenId] = address(0);

   emit WithdrawnToken(orderHash, tokenId, recipient);
}
```

### **Impact**

If a bull is a contract that can't receive NFTs, their orders will be matched, the bear will be able to withdraw their assets, but the bull's NFT will remain stuck in the BVB protocol contract.

# **Code Snippet**

https://github.com/sherlock-audit/2022-11-bullvbear/blob/main/bvb-protocol/src/BvbProtocol.sol#L394-L406

https://github.com/sherlock-audit/2022-11-bullvbear/blob/main/bvb-protocol/src/BvbProtocol.sol#L450-L462

#### Tool used

Manual Review

#### Recommendation

There are a few possible solutions:

- Add a to field in the withdrawToken function, which allows the bull to withdraw the NFT to another address
- Create a function similar to transferPosition that can be used to transfer owners of a withdrawable NFT
- Decide that you want to punish bulls who aren't able to receive NFTs, in which
  case there is no need to save their address or implement a withdrawToken
  function



#### **Discussion**

#### datschill

PR fixing another issue, removing the withdrawToken() method: https://github.com/BullvBear/bvb-solidity/pull/14

#### datschill

This issue isn't High, because in the default behavior, no smart contract can match an Order. So for a Bull to be a smart contract, the user needs to match an order (as a maker or a taker) with an EOA, then transfer his position to a smart contract. This would be kind of a poweruser move, so we consider that he should be aware that his smart contract should handle NFT reception. Whatsoever, the issue is fixed thanks to the PR#14, the user will be able to transfer his position to whatever EOA or smart contract he wants before calling reclaimContract() to retrieve ERC20 assets or ERC721.

