

Exercises for the lecture  
**Fundamentals of Simulation Methods**

WS 2016/17

Lecturers: Frauke Gräter and Rüdiger Pakmor

**Exercise sheet 9 (*due date: Jan 12, 2017, 11:59pm*)**

**Random Numbers and Monte Carlo Integration**

**1) Pitfalls of pseudo-random number generation (20 points)**

Consider the linear congruential random number generator RANDU, introduced by IBM in System/360 mainframes in the early 1960s. (Donald Knuth called this random number generator “really horrible”, and it is indeed notorious for being one of the worst generators of all time.) The recursion relation of RANDU is defined by

$$I_{i+1} = (65539 I_i) \bmod 2^{31}, \quad (1)$$

and needs to be started from an odd integer. The obtained integer values can be mapped to pseudo-random floating point numbers  $u_i \in [0, 1]$  through

$$u_i = \frac{I_i}{2^{31}}. \quad (2)$$

- (a) Implement this number generator. Make sure that you do not use 32-bit integer arithmetic, otherwise overflows will occur. (Use 64-bit integer arithmetic instead, or double precision for simplicity – its precision is sufficient to represent the relevant integer range exactly.)
- (b) Now generate 2-tuples of successive random numbers from the sequence generated by the generator, i.e.  $(x_i, y_i) = (u_{2i}, u_{2i+1})$ . Generate 1000 points and make a scatter plot of the points in the unit square. Does this look unusual?
- (c) Now zoom in by a large factor onto a small region of the square, for example  $[0.2, 0.201] \times [0.3, 0.301]$ , and generate enough points that there are again 1000 points within the small region as before. Interpret the result.
- (d) Repeat the above for your favorite standard random number generator.

**2) Performance of Monte Carlo integration in different dimensions (30 points)**

We would like to compare the performance of the Monte Carlo integration technique with the regular midpoint method. To this end, consider the integral

$$I = \int_V f(\mathbf{x}) \, d^d \mathbf{x}, \quad (3)$$

where the integration domain  $V$  is a  $d$ -dimensional hypercube with  $0 \leq x_i \leq 1$  for each component of the vector  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d)$ . The function we want to integrate is given by

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{3}{2} (1 - x_i^2). \quad (4)$$

This has an analytic solution of course, which is  $I = 1$  independent of  $d$ , but we want to ignore this for the moment and use the problem as a test of the relative performance of Monte Carlo integration and ordinary integration techniques. To this end, calculate the integral in dimensions  $d = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 10$ , using

- (a) the midpoint method, where you divide the volume into a set of much smaller hypercubes obtained by subdividing each axis into  $n$  intervals, and where you approximate the integral by evaluating the function at the centers of the small cubes.
- (b) standard Monte Carlo integration in  $d$  dimensions, using  $N$  random vectors (don't use the "wrong" random number generator from the previous problem! ).

For definiteness, adopt  $n = 6$  and  $N = 20000$ . For both of the methods, report the numerical result for  $I$  and the CPU-time needed for each of the dimensions  $d = 1, 2, \dots, 10$ . (If you manage, you can also go to slightly higher dimensions.)