

Introduction

Optimizing Decision-Making in Multi-Agent RL with CPT

- Investigating Multi-Agent Reinforcement Learning (MARL) under Cumulative Prospect Theory (CPT)
- Key motivation: **Aligning autonomous agents with human decision-making biases**
- Focus areas:
 - Utility and probability distortions in MARL
 - Strategy optimization in multi-agent interactions
 - Emergent behaviors in mixed agent populations
 - Strategic information elicitation

Background

Cumulative Prospect Theory (CPT) & MARL

- **Traditional RL:** Agents maximize expected rewards
- **CPT Agents:** Modify reward and probability perception
 - Reference-dependent evaluation
 - Loss aversion: More sensitive to losses than gains
 - Nonlinear value and probability weighting functions
- **MARL Setting:** Multi-agent interactions in cooperative, competitive, and mixed-motive environments

MARL Formulation

Mathematical Framework

- **Markov Decision Process (MDP):**
 - States, actions, transition probabilities, rewards, discount factor
- **Multi-Agent Extension:**
 - Multiple agents optimizing individual rewards
 - Interaction through joint action space
 - Nash equilibrium as a classical solution concept
- **CPT Integration:**
 - Agents optimize for prospect-theoretic utilities rather than expected rewards

CPT-Driven Reinforcement Learning

How CPT Alters RL Decision-Making

- **Value Function:** Loss aversion and diminishing sensitivity

$$v(x) = \begin{cases} x^\alpha, & x \geq 0 \\ -\lambda(-x)^\alpha, & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

- **Probability Weighting:** Overweighting rare events, underweighting frequent events

$$w(p) = \frac{p^\beta}{(p^\beta + (1 - p)^\beta)^{1/\beta}}$$

- **Policy Optimization Challenge:**
 - Nonconvexity in probability and value transformations
 - No Bellman equation, making dynamic programming ineffective

Implementation Strategy

Technical Approach & PyTorch Implementation

- **Policy Gradient Optimization with CPT**
 - CPT-adjusted rewards & probability distortions
 - Model-free learning using policy gradients
- **Implementation Workflow:**
 - i. Design neural network for policy representation
 - ii. Transform rewards using CPT functions
 - iii. Compute policy gradients using automatic differentiation
 - iv. Optimize policies using gradient ascent
- **Evaluation:**
 - Multi-agent simulations (PettingZoo, Gym)

Initial Results

Current Progress & Observations

- **MARL Training with MADDPG Successfully Implemented**
 - Reward trends show learning progress
 - Policy updates and replay buffer working as expected
- **Challenges:**
 - No CPT mechanisms integrated yet
 - Stability issues in multi-agent coordination
 - Absence of explicit evaluation metrics (e.g., win/loss ratio, episodic scores)

Next Steps & Challenges

Planned Improvements

- **CPT Integration**
 - Implement probability weighting and value distortions
 - Modify policy updates for CPT-weighted objectives
- **Technical Hurdles**
 - Gradient stability under CPT-induced reward transformations
 - Multi-agent coordination under risk-sensitive behaviors
 - Computational overhead from probability-weighted updates
- **Open Questions**
 - How does CPT impact equilibrium stability?
 - Best strategies for CPT-weighted return approximation?

Conclusion

Summary & Future Directions

- MARL framework successfully implemented, but **CPT integration pending**
- Policy gradient approach chosen for adaptability to nonconvex objectives
- Early results validate **agent learning**, but evaluation metrics need refinement
- **Next Steps:**
 - Incorporate CPT-based distortions
 - Improve training stability & evaluation methods
 - Assess strategic behaviors under CPT in multi-agent environments

Thank You! Questions?