

Class09 Halloween Candy Project

Jessica Gao (PID: A16939806)

Table of contents

| | |
|---|----|
| Overall Candy Rankings | 7 |
| Time to add some useful color | 11 |
| Taking a look at pricepercent | 13 |
| Exploring the correlation structure | 16 |
| Principal Component Analysis | 18 |

Today we will examine data from 538 on common Halloween candy. In particular we will use ggplot, dplyr, and PCA to make sense of this multivariate dataset.

###Importing candy data

```
candy <- read.csv('https://raw.githubusercontent.com/fivethirtyeight/data/master/candy-power')
head(candy)
```

| | chocolate | fruity | caramel | peanutyalmondy | nougat | crispedricewafer |
|--------------|-----------|----------|--------------|----------------|------------|------------------|
| 100 Grand | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 3 Musketeers | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| One dime | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| One quarter | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Air Heads | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Almond Joy | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | hard bar | pluribus | sugarpercent | pricepercent | winpercent | |
| 100 Grand | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0.732 | 0.860 | 66.97173 |
| 3 Musketeers | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0.604 | 0.511 | 67.60294 |
| One dime | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.011 | 0.116 | 32.26109 |
| One quarter | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.011 | 0.511 | 46.11650 |
| Air Heads | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.906 | 0.511 | 52.34146 |
| Almond Joy | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0.465 | 0.767 | 50.34755 |

Q1. How many different candy types are in this dataset?

```
nrow(candy)
```

```
[1] 85
```

85 types of candy

Q2. How many fruity candy types are in the dataset?

```
#candy$fruity shows all the data in fruity column  
sum(candy$fruity)
```

```
[1] 38
```

38 types of fruity candy types are in the dataset.

Q3. What is your favorite candy in the dataset and what is its winpercent value?

```
#candy$winpercent  
candy["Almond Joy", ]$winpercent
```

```
[1] 50.34755
```

Winpercent: change of picking this candy over another random candy

Q4. What is the winpercent value for "Kit Kat"?

```
candy["Kit Kat", ]$winpercent
```

```
[1] 76.7686
```

Q5. What is the winpercent value for "Tootsie Roll Snack Bars"?

```
candy["Tootsie Roll Snack Bars", ]$winpercent
```

```
[1] 49.6535
```

How many chocolate candy are there in the dataset

```
sum(candy$chocolate)
```

```
[1] 37
```

```
library("skimr")  
#summary of whats in those columns  
skim(candy)
```

Table 1: Data summary

| | |
|------------------------|-------|
| Name | candy |
| Number of rows | 85 |
| Number of columns | 12 |
| Column type frequency: | |
| numeric | 12 |
| Group variables | None |

Variable type: numeric

| skim_variable | n_missing | complete_rate | mean | sd | p0 | p25 | p50 | p75 | p100 | hist |
|------------------|-----------|---------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|
| chocolate | 0 | 1 | 0.44 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| fruity | 0 | 1 | 0.45 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| caramel | 0 | 1 | 0.16 | 0.37 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | |
| peanutyalmondy | 0 | 1 | 0.16 | 0.37 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | |
| nougat | 0 | 1 | 0.08 | 0.28 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | |
| crispedricewafer | 0 | 1 | 0.08 | 0.28 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | |
| hard | 0 | 1 | 0.18 | 0.38 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | |
| bar | 0 | 1 | 0.25 | 0.43 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | |
| pluribus | 0 | 1 | 0.52 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| sugarpercent | 0 | 1 | 0.48 | 0.28 | 0.01 | 0.22 | 0.47 | 0.73 | 0.99 | |
| pricepercent | 0 | 1 | 0.47 | 0.29 | 0.01 | 0.26 | 0.47 | 0.65 | 0.98 | |
| winpercent | 0 | 1 | 50.32 | 14.71 | 22.45 | 39.14 | 47.83 | 59.86 | 84.18 | |

Q6. Is there any variable/column that looks to be on a different scale to the majority of the other columns in the dataset?


N.B. It looks like the 'winpercent' column is on a different scale than the others (0-100% rather than 0-1). I will need to scale this dataset before analysis like PCA.

Q7. What do you think a zero and one represent for the `candy$chocolate` column? Having a one in the `candy$chocolate` column means this candy contains chocolate, having a zero means the respective candy does not contain chocolate.

Note base R function `hist()` makes histograms, and also `ggplot()` with `geom_histogram()`


Q8. Plot a histogram of `winpercent` values

```
hist(candy$winpercent)
```



```
library(ggplot2)

ggplot(candy, aes(winpercent)) + geom_histogram(bins=10, fill="lightblue")
```



note: bins=bigger number decrease the peak of the histograms

Q9. Is the distribution of winpercent values symmetrical?

No, not symmetrical

Q10. Is the center of the distribution above or below 50%?

```
summary(candy$winpercent)
```

| | | | | | |
|-------|---------|--------|-------|---------|-------|
| Min. | 1st Qu. | Median | Mean | 3rd Qu. | Max. |
| 22.45 | 39.14 | 47.83 | 50.32 | 59.86 | 84.18 |

Q11. On average is chocolate candy higher or lower ranked than fruit candy?

-step 1: find all “chocolate” candy -step 2: find their “winpercent” values -step 3: summarize these values, make the mean, median, etc. -step 4: find all “fruity” candy -step 5: find their winpercent values -step 6: summarize these values -step 7: compare the two summary classes

1. Find all chocolate candy

```
cho.inds <- candy$chocolate==1 #step 1
```

2. Find their winpercent

```
choc.win <- candy[cho.inds, ]$winpercent #step 2
```

3. Summarize these values

```
choc.mean <- mean(choc.win) #find the mean of the winpercent
```

4. Find all fruity candy

```
fruit.inds <- candy$fruity==1  
fruit.inds
```

```
[1] FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE TRUE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE TRUE FALSE TRUE  
[13] TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE FALSE TRUE TRUE FALSE FALSE  
[25] FALSE FALSE TRUE FALSE FALSE TRUE TRUE TRUE FALSE FALSE TRUE FALSE  
[37] FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE TRUE FALSE FALSE TRUE TRUE FALSE FALSE  
[49] FALSE TRUE TRUE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE TRUE FALSE FALSE TRUE FALSE  
[61] TRUE TRUE FALSE TRUE FALSE FALSE TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE FALSE FALSE  
[73] TRUE TRUE TRUE FALSE FALSE FALSE TRUE FALSE TRUE TRUE TRUE FALSE  
[85] FALSE
```

5. Find fruity candy winpercent

```
fruit.win <- candy[fruit.inds, ]$winpercent
```

6. SUMmarize the fruity winpercent

```
fruit.mean <- mean(fruit.win)
```

7. Compare the two values Chocolate candies have higher average winpercent

```
choc.mean
```

```
[1] 60.92153
```

```
fruit.mean
```

```
[1] 44.11974
```

Q12. Is this difference statistically significant?

```
#using t-test
t.test(choc.win,fruit.win)
```

Welch Two Sample t-test

```
data:  choc.win and fruit.win
t = 6.2582, df = 68.882, p-value = 2.871e-08
alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
 11.44563 22.15795
sample estimates:
mean of x mean of y
 60.92153  44.11974
```

such a small p-value, so the difference is statically difference

The difference is significant, seen from small p-value.

Overall Candy Rankings

Q13. What are the five least liked candy types in this set?

```
#Not that useful - it just sorts values
#go look over 'see also' to see other functions that does similar things
sort(candy$winpercent)
```

```
[1] 22.44534 23.41782 24.52499 27.30386 28.12744 29.70369 32.23100 32.26109
[9] 33.43755 34.15896 34.51768 34.57899 34.72200 35.29076 36.01763 37.34852
[17] 37.72234 37.88719 38.01096 38.97504 39.01190 39.14106 39.18550 39.44680
[25] 39.46056 41.26551 41.38956 41.90431 42.17877 42.27208 42.84914 43.06890
[33] 43.08892 44.37552 45.46628 45.73675 45.99583 46.11650 46.29660 46.41172
[41] 46.78335 47.17323 47.82975 48.98265 49.52411 49.65350 50.34755 51.41243
[49] 52.34146 52.82595 52.91139 54.52645 54.86111 55.06407 55.10370 55.35405
[57] 55.37545 56.49050 56.91455 57.11974 57.21925 59.23612 59.52925 59.86400
[65] 60.80070 62.28448 63.08514 64.35334 65.71629 66.47068 66.57458 66.97173
[73] 67.03763 67.60294 69.48379 70.73564 71.46505 72.88790 73.09956 73.43499
[81] 76.67378 76.76860 81.64291 81.86626 84.18029
```

```
x <- c(10,1,100)
sort(x)
```

```
[1] 1 10 100
```

```
#trying things out with order(), telling you the second element of the vector should go first
order(x)
```

```
[1] 2 1 3
```

```
x[order(x)]
```

```
[1] 1 10 100
```

The ‘order’ function tells us how it arrange the elements of the input to make them sorted - i.e. how to order them.

We can determine the order of winpercent to make them sorted and use that order to arrange the whole dataset.

```
ord.inds <- order(candy$winpercent)
head(candy[ord.inds,])
```

| | chocolate | fruity | caramel | peanut | almond | nougat | | |
|--------------------|------------|--------|---------|--------|--------|----------|--------------|--------------|
| Nik L Nip | 0 | 1 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | |
| Boston Baked Beans | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 1 | 0 | | |
| Chiclets | 0 | 1 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | |
| Super Bubble | 0 | 1 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | |
| Jawbusters | 0 | 1 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | |
| Root Beer Barrels | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | |
| | crisped | rice | wafer | hard | bar | pluribus | sugarpercent | pricepercent |
| Nik L Nip | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 1 | 0.197 | 0.976 |
| Boston Baked Beans | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 1 | 0.313 | 0.511 |
| Chiclets | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 1 | 0.046 | 0.325 |
| Super Bubble | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0.162 | 0.116 |
| Jawbusters | | 0 | 1 | 0 | | 1 | 0.093 | 0.511 |
| Root Beer Barrels | | 0 | 1 | 0 | | 1 | 0.732 | 0.069 |
| | winpercent | | | | | | | |
| Nik L Nip | 22.44534 | | | | | | | |
| Boston Baked Beans | 23.41782 | | | | | | | |

| | |
|-------------------|----------|
| Chiclets | 24.52499 |
| Super Bubble | 27.30386 |
| Jawbusters | 28.12744 |
| Root Beer Barrels | 29.70369 |

Q14. What are the top 5 all time favorite candy types out of this set?

```
tail(candy[ord.inds,])
```

| | chocolate | fruity | caramel | peanut | almondy | nougat |
|---------------------------|-----------|--------|---------|--------|---------|--------|
| Reese's pieces | 1 | 0 | 0 | | 1 | 0 |
| Snickers | 1 | 0 | 1 | | 1 | 1 |
| Kit Kat | 1 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| Twix | 1 | 0 | 1 | | 0 | 0 |
| Reese's Miniatures | 1 | 0 | 0 | | 1 | 0 |
| Reese's Peanut Butter cup | 1 | 0 | 0 | | 1 | 0 |

| | crisped | rice | wafer | hard | bar | pluribus | sugar | percent |
|---------------------------|---------|------|-------|------|-----|----------|-------|---------|
| Reese's pieces | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | | 0.406 |
| Snickers | | | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | | 0.546 |
| Kit Kat | | | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | | 0.313 |
| Twix | | | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | | 0.546 |
| Reese's Miniatures | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0.034 |
| Reese's Peanut Butter cup | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0.720 |

| | price | percent | win | percent |
|---------------------------|-------|---------|--------|---------|
| Reese's pieces | 0.651 | | 73.434 | 99 |
| Snickers | 0.651 | | 76.673 | 78 |
| Kit Kat | 0.511 | | 76.768 | 60 |
| Twix | 0.906 | | 81.642 | 91 |
| Reese's Miniatures | 0.279 | | 81.866 | 26 |
| Reese's Peanut Butter cup | 0.651 | | 84.180 | 29 |

The top 5 all time favorite candy types are Reese's pieces, Snickers, Kit Kat, Twix, and Reese's Miniatures.


```
ord.inds <- order(candy$winpercent, decreasing = T)
#added decreasing=T, looking at the top of the list
head(candy[ord.inds,])
```

| | chocolate | fruity | caramel | peanut | almondy | nougat |
|---------------------------|-----------|--------|---------|--------|---------|--------|
| Reese's Peanut Butter cup | 1 | 0 | 0 | | 1 | 0 |
| Reese's Miniatures | 1 | 0 | 0 | | 1 | 0 |

| | | | | | |
|---------------------------|--------------|------------|----------|----------|--------------|
| Twix | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Kit Kat | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Snickers | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Reese's pieces | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | crispedrice | wafer | hard bar | pluribus | sugarpercent |
| Reese's Peanut Butter cup | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.720 |
| Reese's Miniatures | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.034 |
| Twix | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0.546 |
| Kit Kat | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0.313 |
| Snickers | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0.546 |
| Reese's pieces | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.406 |
| | pricepercent | winpercent | | | |
| Reese's Peanut Butter cup | 0.651 | 84.18029 | | | |
| Reese's Miniatures | 0.279 | 81.86626 | | | |
| Twix | 0.906 | 81.64291 | | | |
| Kit Kat | 0.511 | 76.76860 | | | |
| Snickers | 0.651 | 76.67378 | | | |
| Reese's pieces | 0.651 | 73.43499 | | | |

Q15. Make a first barplot of candy ranking based on winpercent values.


```
ggplot(candy)+
  aes(winpercent, rownames(candy))+
  geom_col()
```



Q16. This is quite ugly, use the `reorder()` function to get the bars sorted by winpercent?

Let's rearrange


```
ggplot(candy)+
  aes(winpercent, reorder(rownames(candy), winpercent))+
  geom_col()
```



'reorder()' used within 'aes()'

Time to add some useful color

```
ggplot(candy)+
  aes(winpercent, reorder(rownames(candy), winpercent),
      fill=chocolate)+
  geom_col()
```



We need to make our own separate color vector where we can spell out exactly what candy is colored.


```
mycols <- rep("gray", nrow(candy))
mycols[candy$chocolate==1] <- "chocolate" #makes chocolate candy chocolate color
mycols[candy$bar==1] <- "brown"
mycols[candy$fruit==1] <- "blue" #makes fruity candy blue color

mycols
```

```
[1] "brown" "brown" "gray" "gray" "blue" "brown"
[7] "brown" "gray" "gray" "blue" "brown" "blue"
[13] "blue" "blue" "blue" "blue" "blue" "blue"
[19] "blue" "gray" "blue" "blue" "chocolate" "brown"
[25] "brown" "brown" "blue" "chocolate" "brown" "blue"
[31] "blue" "blue" "chocolate" "chocolate" "blue" "chocolate"
[37] "brown" "brown" "brown" "brown" "brown" "blue"
[43] "brown" "brown" "blue" "blue" "brown" "chocolate"
[49] "gray" "blue" "blue" "chocolate" "chocolate" "chocolate"
[55] "chocolate" "blue" "chocolate" "gray" "blue" "chocolate"
[61] "blue" "blue" "chocolate" "blue" "brown" "brown"
[67] "blue" "blue" "blue" "blue" "gray" "gray"
[73] "blue" "blue" "blue" "chocolate" "chocolate" "brown"
```

```
[79] "blue"      "brown"      "blue"      "blue"      "blue"      "gray"
[85] "chocolate"
```

```
ggplot(candy)+
  aes(winpercent, reorder(rownames(candy), winpercent))+
  geom_col(fill=mycols)
```



Q17. What is the worst ranked chocolate candy?

Sixlets


Q18. What is the best ranked fruity candy?

starbursts

Taking a look at pricepercent

Make a plot of winpercent (x-axis) vs pricepercent (y-axis)

```
ggplot(candy)+
  aes(winpercent, pricepercent, label=rownames(candy))+
  geom_point(col=mycols)+
  geom_text()
```




top of y axis = most expensive, right hand side of winpercent is the most popular

To avoid the overplotting of the text labels, we can use the add on package **ggrepel**

```
library(ggrepel)

ggplot(candy)+
  aes(winpercent, pricepercent, label=rownames(candy))+
  geom_point(col=mycols)+
  geom_text_repel(max.overlaps = 5)+
  theme_bw()
```

Warning: ggrepel: 74 unlabeled data points (too many overlaps). Consider increasing max.overlaps



Q19. Which candy type is the highest ranked in terms of winpercent for the least money - i.e. offers the most bang for your buck?

Reese's Miniatures

Q20. What are the top 5 most expensive candy types in the dataset and of these which is the least popular?

```
ord <- order(candy$pricepercent, decreasing = TRUE)
head( candy[ord,c(11,12)], n=5 )
```

| | pricepercent | winpercent |
|--------------------------|--------------|------------|
| Nik L Nip | 0.976 | 22.44534 |
| Nestle Smarties | 0.976 | 37.88719 |
| Ring pop | 0.965 | 35.29076 |
| Hershey's Krackel | 0.918 | 62.28448 |
| Hershey's Milk Chocolate | 0.918 | 56.49050 |

Based on the data, the top five most expensive candies are Nik L Nip, Nesle Smarties, Ring pop, Hershey's Krackel, and Hershey's Milk Chocolate, but least favorite candy is Nik L Nip.

Exploring the correlation structure

Now that we have explored the dataset a little, we will see how the variables interact with one another.

First we will use correlation and view the results with the **corrplot** package to plot a correlation matrix.

```
library(corrplot)
```

corrplot 0.95 loaded

```
cij <- cor(candy)
cij
```

| | chocolate | fruity | caramel | peanutyalmondy | nougat |
|------------------|------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|
| chocolate | 1.0000000 | -0.74172106 | 0.24987535 | 0.37782357 | 0.25489183 |
| fruity | -0.7417211 | 1.00000000 | -0.33548538 | -0.39928014 | -0.26936712 |
| caramel | 0.2498753 | -0.33548538 | 1.00000000 | 0.05935614 | 0.32849280 |
| peanutyalmondy | 0.3778236 | -0.39928014 | 0.05935614 | 1.00000000 | 0.21311310 |
| nougat | 0.2548918 | -0.26936712 | 0.32849280 | 0.21311310 | 1.00000000 |
| crispedricewafer | 0.3412098 | -0.26936712 | 0.21311310 | -0.01764631 | -0.08974359 |
| hard | -0.3441769 | 0.39067750 | -0.12235513 | -0.20555661 | -0.13867505 |
| bar | 0.5974211 | -0.51506558 | 0.33396002 | 0.26041960 | 0.52297636 |
| pluribus | -0.3396752 | 0.29972522 | -0.26958501 | -0.20610932 | -0.31033884 |
| sugarpercent | 0.1041691 | -0.03439296 | 0.22193335 | 0.08788927 | 0.12308135 |
| pricepercent | 0.5046754 | -0.43096853 | 0.25432709 | 0.30915323 | 0.15319643 |
| winpercent | 0.6365167 | -0.38093814 | 0.21341630 | 0.40619220 | 0.19937530 |


| | crispedricewafer | hard | bar | pluribus |
|------------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| chocolate | 0.34120978 | -0.34417691 | 0.59742114 | -0.33967519 |
| fruity | -0.26936712 | 0.39067750 | -0.51506558 | 0.29972522 |
| caramel | 0.21311310 | -0.12235513 | 0.33396002 | -0.26958501 |
| peanutyalmondy | -0.01764631 | -0.20555661 | 0.26041960 | -0.20610932 |
| nougat | -0.08974359 | -0.13867505 | 0.52297636 | -0.31033884 |
| crispedricewafer | 1.00000000 | -0.13867505 | 0.42375093 | -0.22469338 |
| hard | -0.13867505 | 1.00000000 | -0.26516504 | 0.01453172 |
| bar | 0.42375093 | -0.26516504 | 1.00000000 | -0.59340892 |
| pluribus | -0.22469338 | 0.01453172 | -0.59340892 | 1.00000000 |
| sugarpercent | 0.06994969 | 0.09180975 | 0.09998516 | 0.04552282 |
| pricepercent | 0.32826539 | -0.24436534 | 0.51840654 | -0.22079363 |
| winpercent | 0.32467965 | -0.31038158 | 0.42992933 | -0.24744787 |

sugarpercent pricepercent winpercent

| | | | |
|------------------|-------------|------------|------------|
| chocolate | 0.10416906 | 0.5046754 | 0.6365167 |
| fruity | -0.03439296 | -0.4309685 | -0.3809381 |
| caramel | 0.22193335 | 0.2543271 | 0.2134163 |
| peanutyalmondy | 0.08788927 | 0.3091532 | 0.4061922 |
| nougat | 0.12308135 | 0.1531964 | 0.1993753 |
| crispedricewafer | 0.06994969 | 0.3282654 | 0.3246797 |
| hard | 0.09180975 | -0.2443653 | -0.3103816 |
| bar | 0.09998516 | 0.5184065 | 0.4299293 |
| pluribus | 0.04552282 | -0.2207936 | -0.2474479 |
| sugarpercent | 1.00000000 | 0.3297064 | 0.2291507 |
| pricepercent | 0.32970639 | 1.0000000 | 0.3453254 |
| winpercent | 0.22915066 | 0.3453254 | 1.0000000 |

values of plus one means things are correlated, negative means they are anti correlation, if you have fruit you have anti caramel

```
library(corrplot)
corrplot(cij)
```



Q22.Examining this plot what two variables are anti-correlated (i.e. have minus values)?

Chocolate and fruity flavors are anti-correlated Pluribus and bar are anti-correlated

Q23. Similarly, what two variables are most positively correlated?

Chocolate and winpercent are the most positively correlated

Principal Component Analysis

Let's apply PCA using the 'prcomp()' function to our candy dataset remembering to set the **scale=TRUE** argument

```
pca <- prcomp(candy, scale=TRUE)
```

```
summary(pca)
```

Importance of components:

| | PC1 | PC2 | PC3 | PC4 | PC5 | PC6 | PC7 |
|------------------------|--------|--------|--------|---------|--------|---------|---------|
| Standard deviation | 2.0788 | 1.1378 | 1.1092 | 1.07533 | 0.9518 | 0.81923 | 0.81530 |
| Proportion of Variance | 0.3601 | 0.1079 | 0.1025 | 0.09636 | 0.0755 | 0.05593 | 0.05539 |
| Cumulative Proportion | 0.3601 | 0.4680 | 0.5705 | 0.66688 | 0.7424 | 0.79830 | 0.85369 |

| | PC8 | PC9 | PC10 | PC11 | PC12 |
|------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Standard deviation | 0.74530 | 0.67824 | 0.62349 | 0.43974 | 0.39760 |
| Proportion of Variance | 0.04629 | 0.03833 | 0.03239 | 0.01611 | 0.01317 |
| Cumulative Proportion | 0.89998 | 0.93832 | 0.97071 | 0.98683 | 1.00000 |

```
attributes(pca)
```

\$names

```
[1] "sdev"      "rotation" "center"    "scale"     "x"
```


\$class

```
[1] "prcomp"
```

Let's plot our main results as our PCA "score plot"

```
#pca$x
ggplot(pca$x)+
  aes(PC1, PC2, label=rownames(pca$x))+
  geom_point(col=mycols)+
  geom_text_repel(col=mycols, max.overlaps = 10)
```


Warning: ggrepel: 48 unlabeled data points (too many overlaps). Consider increasing max.overlaps



fruity candy has a clear separation from the other two classes in the dataset

Finally, let's look at how the original variables contribute to PC1

```
ggplot(pca$rotation)+  
  aes(PC1, reorder(rownames(pca$rotation), PC1))+  
  geom_col()
```



Q24. What original variables are picked up strongly by PC1 in the positive direction? Do these make sense to you?

Fruity, hard, pluribus are picked up strongly by PC1 in the positive direction. These make sense because based on previous plots, fruity, hard, and pluribus all have positive correlation with each other.

```
par(mar=c(8,4,2,2))
barplot(pca$rotation[,1], las=2, ylab="PC1 Contribution")
```

