Continuation

the Ultimate GOTO

suhorno

GO TO

 A Brief, Incomplete, and Mostly Wrong History of Programming Languages

1964 - John Kemeny and Thomas Kurtz create BASIC, an unstructured programming language for non-computer scientists.

1965 - Kemeny and Kurtz go to 1964.

• Jump!

```
void dfs(int u) {
  for (int i = 0; i != deg[u]; ++i) {
    int v = edges[u][i];
    if (!vst[v]) {
       vst[v] = true;
       dfs(v);
    }
  }
}
```

• Make it a loop!

• goтo is insufficient

```
struct frame_t { int u, i, addr; };
void dfs2(int u) {
 vector<frame t> stk;
 stk.push back(\{u, 0, -1\});
call:
 for (; stk.back().i != deg[stk.back().u]; ++stk.back().i) {
   int v; v = edges[stk.back().u][stk.back().i];
   if (!vst[v]) {
     vst[v] = true;
      stk.push back({v, 0, 1});
      goto call;
ret1:;
ret2:
 switch (stk.back().addr) {
    case 1: stk.pop back(); goto ret1;
```

- Time for the Continuation!
 - The rest of the computation

$$\mathtt{let}\ x = 5 + 6 \times 3\ \mathtt{in}\ f\ (f\ x)$$

- Time for the Continuation!
 - The rest of the computation

$$\mathtt{let}\ x = 5 + 6 \times 3\ \mathtt{in}\ f\ (f\ x)$$

$$ightsquigarrow$$
 let $x=5+18$ in $f\left(f|x
ight)$

- Time for the Continuation!
 - The rest of the computation

$$\mathtt{let}\ x = 5 + 6 \times 3\ \mathtt{in}\ f\left(f\ x\right)$$

$$ightsquigarrow$$
 let $x=5+18$ in $f(fx)$

$$ightsquare$$
 let $x = 23$ in $f(fx)$

- Time for the Continuation!
 - The rest of the computation

$$\mathtt{let}\ x = 5 + 6 \times 3\ \mathtt{in}\ f\left(f\ x\right)$$

$$ightsquare$$
 let $x=5+18$ in $f\left(f|x
ight)$

$$ightsquare$$
 let $x = 23$ in $f(fx)$

$$\rightsquigarrow f(f 23)$$

- Time for the Continuation!
 - The rest of the computation

$$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{let } x = 5 + 6 \times 3 \textbf{ in } f \left(f \, x \right) \\ \\ \rightsquigarrow \textbf{let } x = 5 + 18 \textbf{ in } f \left(f \, x \right) \\ \\ \rightsquigarrow \textbf{let } x = \textbf{23} \textbf{ in } f \left(f \, x \right) \\ \\ \rightsquigarrow f \left(f \, \textbf{23} \right) \end{array}$$

 $\rightsquigarrow f(???_1)$

- Time for the Continuation!
 - The rest of the computation

$$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{let } x = 5 + 6 \times 3 \textbf{ in } f \left(f \, x \right) \\ \\ \rightsquigarrow \textbf{let } x = 5 + 18 \textbf{ in } f \left(f \, x \right) \\ \\ \rightsquigarrow \textbf{let } x = \textbf{23} \textbf{ in } f \left(f \, x \right) \\ \\ \rightsquigarrow f \left(f \, \textbf{23} \right) \\ \\ \rightsquigarrow f \left(\ref{eq:continuous_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_series_s$$

- Time for the Continuation!
 - The rest of the computation

```
"let x = 5 + [\ ] in f(fx)" and "6 \times 3"

"let x = [\ ] in f(fx)" and "5 + 18"

"" and "let x = 23 in f(fx)"

"f([\ ])" and "f23"

"" and "f???_1"
```

$$``E[R] := 1 + 2 imes (f \ x)"$$

- The program can be decomposed into two parts
 - Current computation, or a "redex"
 - \blacksquare R := (f x)
 - Rest of the computation, the "evalutaion context"
 - $E[] := 1 + 2 \times []$

Representation of the continuation

- As a "function" (not quite)
- The famous call/cc operator

```
(define fast-product
 (lambda (xs)
   (call/cc
             ; call/cc is a built-in operator
    (lambda (k)
      (letrec
          ([prod
           (lambda (xs)
             (cond
              [(null? xs) 1]
              [(zero? (car xs)) (k 0)]
              [else (* (car xs) (prod (cdr xs)))]))])
        (prod xs))))))
```

- What it does
 - \circ Capture the whole $E[\]$ evaluation context and make it a first-class "value"
 - When the (captured) continuation is applied, discard the current evalution context and installs the captured one
- Define the abort operator abort(M) to be

```
E[\mathtt{abort}(M)] \leadsto M, i.e. abandoning E[]
```

• call/cc is then

$$E[\operatorname{call/cc}(f)] \leadsto E[f(\lambda v. \operatorname{abort}(E[v]))]$$

$$1+5 imes (exttt{call/cc}(\lambda exttt{k.}\ 3- exttt{k}(2))) \qquad (=E_1[R_1]) \ R_1= exttt{call/cc}(\lambda exttt{k.}\ 3- exttt{k}(2)) \ E_1[\]=1+5 imes[\]$$

$$1+5 imes (exttt{call/cc}(\lambda exttt{k.} \; 3- exttt{k}(2))) \qquad (=E_1[R_1]) \ R_1 = exttt{call/cc}(\lambda exttt{k.} \; 3- exttt{k}(2)) \ E_1[\;] = 1+5 imes [\;] \
ightharpoonup 1+5 imes (3- exttt{k}(2)) \ R_2 = exttt{k}(2) \ E_2[\;] = 1+5 imes (3-[\;]) \ exttt{k} = E_1[\;] = 1+5 imes [\;]$$

$$1+5 imes (exttt{call/cc}(\lambda exttt{k. } 3- exttt{k}(2))) \qquad (=E_1[R_1]) \ R_1 = exttt{call/cc}(\lambda exttt{k. } 3- exttt{k}(2)) \ E_1[\] = 1+5 imes [\] \ R_2 = exttt{k}(2) \ E_2[\] = 1+5 imes (3-[\]) \ exttt{k} = E_1[\] = 1+5 imes [\] \
ightharpoonup 1+5 imes 2 \ (=E_3[R_3]); E_1[\] exttt{ is installed with } [\] = 2 \ R_3 = 5 imes 2 \ E_3[\] = 1+[\]$$

→ 11

$$1+5 imes (exttt{call/cc}(\lambda exttt{k. } 3- exttt{k}(2))) \qquad (=E_1[R_1]) \ R_1 = exttt{call/cc}(\lambda exttt{k. } 3- exttt{k}(2)) \ E_1[\] = 1+5 imes [\] \ R_2 = exttt{k}(2) \ E_2[\] = 1+5 imes (3-[\]) \ exttt{k} = E_1[\] = 1+5 imes [\] \ exttt{k} = E_1[\] = 1+5 imes [\] \ exttt{k} = E_3[\] = 1+[\] \ exttt{m} = 1+10$$

In Meta-language: Continuation-Passing Style (CPS)

• A computation of type a:

$$\circ$$
 (a \rightarrow w) \rightarrow w

Continuation expecting a value of type a:

- A function a → w
- A function of type a → b:
 - A transformation $(b \rightarrow w) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow w)$, or equivalently $a \rightarrow (b \rightarrow w) \rightarrow w$

In Meta-language: Continuation-Passing Style (CPS)

```
(lambda (f) ; direct style
  (lambda (x)
     (f (f x))))
```

Implementing call/cc for CPS programs

• An example: $1+5 \times \text{call/cc}(\lambda k. 3 - k(2))$

CPS Transformation

Value:

$$\circ$$
 $\mathcal{T}(\mathtt{x}) = \lambda \mathtt{k}$. \mathtt{k} \mathtt{x}

• Function:

$$\circ \ \mathcal{T}(\lambda \mathtt{x.\,e}) = \lambda \mathtt{x.\,} \lambda \mathtt{k.\,} \ \mathcal{T}(\mathtt{e}) \ \mathtt{k}$$

• Application:

$$\ \, \circ \ \, \mathcal{T}(\mathtt{e}_1 \ \mathtt{e}_2) = \mathcal{T}(\mathtt{e}_1) \, \left(\lambda \mathtt{v}_1. \,\, \mathcal{T}(\mathtt{e}_2) \, \left(\lambda \mathtt{v}_2. \,\, \mathtt{v}_1 \,\, \mathtt{v}_2 \,\, \mathtt{k}\right)\right)$$

Static Single Assignment Form (SSA)

 Every variable can be assigned once -- one can view it as definition

```
z ← x + y
b ← z * 3

if (b > 0)
    u ← 5

else
    v ← 2

w ← φ(u, v)
...
```

• There is a special φ function, combining values from different control paths

CPS v.s. SSA

```
Z ← x + y
b ← z * 3
if (b > 0)
u ← 5
else
v ← 2
W ← φ(u, v)
...
```

Others

CPS/ANF: An intermediate representation in the compiler

Delimited continuation (better than undelimited one)

```
(define (shift f k) ; (its implementation in CPS)
  (f (lambda (v k1) (k1 (k v))); compose the cont.
    id))

(define (reset e k) ; (its implementation in CPS)
  (k (e id)))
```

25 / 26

Others

- continuation ⇔ classical logic
- (delimited) continuation ⇒ generator, coroutine, exception
 - They are specialized operations on the continuation
- CPS: Simple syntax ⇒ a good intermediate form
 - I shall return There is no "return"; all are tail calls

```
v ::= x | (\lambda x. e)
e ::= (v1 v2 ...)
```

• Interpreter ⇔ CPS ⇔ Stack Machine