## I. Proof of Lemma 1

**Lemma 1** If  $W_S$  has an upper bound, then we have

$$\|\boldsymbol{W}_{S}\boldsymbol{W}_{A}\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{W}_{S}Soft(\boldsymbol{W}_{A}\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{b})\|_{2}^{2} \leq c\sigma^{2}$$
 (1)

for some universal constant c independent of  $\sigma$ .

Proof: From Eqn. (1), we have

$$||\mathbf{W}_{S}\mathbf{W}_{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{W}_{S}Soft(\mathbf{W}_{A}\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b})||_{2}^{2}$$

$$\leq ||\mathbf{W}_{S}||_{2}^{2} ||\mathbf{W}_{A}\mathbf{x} - Soft(\mathbf{W}_{A}\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b})||_{2}^{2}.$$
(2)

A convolutional layer with RealSN constraint [1] is utilized to generate the synthesis sparsifying transform, which makes  $\|\boldsymbol{W}_S\|_2^2$  have an upper bound. Defined the upper bound is  $\alpha$ , and the upper bound of Eqn. (2) can be further determined by

$$||\mathbf{W}_{S}\mathbf{W}_{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{W}_{S}Soft(\mathbf{W}_{A}\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b})||_{2}^{2}$$

$$< \alpha ||\mathbf{W}_{A}\mathbf{x} - Soft(\mathbf{W}_{A}\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b})||_{2}^{2}.$$
(3)

Let  $(W_A x)_i$  represent the *i*-th element of  $W_A x$  and  $\beta_i$  denote the *i*-th element of **b**. The soft thresholding operator  $Soft[(W_A x)_i, \beta_i]$  is defined as

$$Soft[(\mathbf{W}_{A}\mathbf{x})_{i}, \beta_{i}] = \begin{cases} (\mathbf{W}_{A}\mathbf{x})_{i} + \beta_{i}, & (\mathbf{W}_{A}\mathbf{x})_{i} < -\beta_{i} \\ 0, & |(\mathbf{W}_{A}\mathbf{x})_{i}| \leq \beta_{i} \\ (\mathbf{W}_{A}\mathbf{x})_{i} - \beta_{i}, & (\mathbf{W}_{A}\mathbf{x})_{i} > \beta_{i}, \end{cases}$$

$$(4)$$

then one of the following situations will happen.

**CASE 1:** Any element of  $W_A x$  satisfies  $(W_A x)_i < -\beta_i$ , then  $Soft(W_A x, b) = W_A x + b$ . Therefore, we have

$$||\mathbf{W}_{S}\mathbf{W}_{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{W}_{S}Soft(\mathbf{W}_{A}\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b})||_{2}^{2}$$

$$\leq \alpha ||\mathbf{W}_{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{W}_{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}||_{2}^{2}$$

$$= \alpha ||\mathbf{b}||_{2}^{2}$$
(5)

**CASE 2:** Any element of  $W_A x$  satisfies  $|(W_A x)_i| \leq \beta_i$ , then  $Soft(W_A x, b) = 0$ . Therefore, we have

$$||\mathbf{W}_{S}\mathbf{W}_{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{W}_{S}Soft(\mathbf{W}_{A}\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b})||_{2}^{2}$$

$$\leq \alpha ||\mathbf{W}_{A}\mathbf{x}||_{2}^{2}.$$
(6)

Since  $|(\boldsymbol{W}_A\boldsymbol{x})_i| \leq \beta_i$ , then  $||\boldsymbol{W}_A\boldsymbol{x}||_2^2 \leq ||\boldsymbol{b}||_2^2$ , it follows that

$$||\mathbf{W}_{S}\mathbf{W}_{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{W}_{S}Soft(\mathbf{W}_{A}\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b})||_{2}^{2}$$

$$\leq \alpha ||\mathbf{b}||_{2}^{2}.$$
(7)

**CASE 3:** Any element of  $W_A x$  satisfies  $(W_A x)_i > \beta_i$ , then  $Soft(W_A x, b) = W_A x - b$ . Therefore, we have

$$||\mathbf{W}_{S}\mathbf{W}_{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{W}_{S}Soft(\mathbf{W}_{A}\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b})||_{2}^{2}$$

$$\leq \alpha ||\mathbf{W}_{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{W}_{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}||_{2}^{2}$$

$$= \alpha ||\mathbf{b}||_{2}^{2}.$$
(8)

**CASE 4: CASE 4** is a union of the **CASE 1**, **CASE 2** and **CASE 3**. Therefore, as long as we find the upper bound of  $||W_SW_Ax - W_SSoft(W_Ax, b)||_2^2$  under the **CASE 1-3**, the upper bound of that under the **CASE 4** will be determined. Hence, when **CASE 4** happens, the upper bound of  $||W_SW_Ax - W_SSoft(W_Ax, b)||_2^2$  is the maximum upper

bound of the first three situations. Based on Eqn. (5), Eqn. (7) and Eqn. (8), we have

$$||\boldsymbol{W}_{S}\boldsymbol{W}_{A}\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{W}_{S}Soft(\boldsymbol{W}_{A}\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{b})||_{2}^{2} \leq \alpha ||\boldsymbol{b}||_{2}^{2}$$
 (9)

Recall the definition of threshold vectors  $b = c \otimes s$ , and each element of proportional constant vectors c has a limited range  $c_i \in [c_{min}, c_{max}]$ . Let  $\beta_{max} = c_{max} \cdot \sigma$  denote the maximum element of b. Thus,

$$||\boldsymbol{W}_{S}\boldsymbol{W}_{A}\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{W}_{S}Soft(\boldsymbol{W}_{A}\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{b})||_{2}^{2} \leq \alpha c_{max}^{2}\sigma^{2}$$
 (10)

### II. PROOF OF LEMMA 2

**Lemma 2** (The bounded gradient of data fidelity function) Consider the sampling model y = Hx + n and  $y = F_ux + n$ , where  $||n||_2 \le \epsilon$ , then the functions  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}||y - Hx||_2^2$  and  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}||y - F_ux||_2^2$  have the bounded gradient, respectively.

(In the SCI task) The gradient of  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}||y - Hx||_2^2$  in the SCI formulation is

$$\nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{H}^{\top} (\boldsymbol{H} \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}) \tag{11}$$

thus, we have

$$\|\nabla f(\boldsymbol{x})\|_{2} \leq \|\boldsymbol{H}^{\top} \boldsymbol{H} \boldsymbol{x}\|_{2} + \|\boldsymbol{H}^{\top} \boldsymbol{y}\|_{2}$$
  
$$\leq \|\boldsymbol{H}^{\top}\|_{2} (\|\boldsymbol{H} \boldsymbol{x}\|_{2} + \|\boldsymbol{y}\|_{2}).$$
(12)

Since the values in the sensing matrix H and the pixel values in the image x are normalized into [0,1], we obtain

$$\|\mathbf{H}\mathbf{x}\|_{2} \leq \|\mathbf{H}\|_{2} \|\mathbf{x}\|_{2} \leq \sqrt{\lambda_{1}N}.$$
 (13)

where  $\lambda_1$  denotes the maximum eigenvalue of  $\boldsymbol{H}^{\top}\boldsymbol{H}$ , and N represents the pixel values of the image. In Eqn. (13),  $\|\boldsymbol{y}\|_2 = \|\boldsymbol{H}\boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{n}\|_2$  and  $\|\boldsymbol{n}\|_2 \leq \epsilon$ , here,  $\boldsymbol{x}$  is the image whose pixel values are normalized into [0,1]. Therefore,

$$\|y\|_{2} = \|Hx + n\|_{2} \le \|Hx\|_{2} + \|n\|_{2} \le \sqrt{\lambda_{1}N} + \epsilon.$$
 (14)

Let  $\lambda_2$  denote the maximum eigenvalue of  $\boldsymbol{H}\boldsymbol{H}^{\top}$ , then we have

$$\left\| \boldsymbol{H}^{\top} \right\|_{2} \le \sqrt{\lambda_{2}}.\tag{15}$$

Substituting Eqn. (13) and Eqn. (14) Eqn. (15) into Eqn. (12), we get

$$\|\nabla f(\boldsymbol{x})\|_{2} \leq \|\boldsymbol{H}^{\top}\|_{2} (\|\boldsymbol{H}\boldsymbol{x}\|_{2} + \|\boldsymbol{y}\|_{2})$$

$$\leq \sqrt{\lambda_{2}} \left(2\sqrt{\lambda_{1}N} + \epsilon\right). \tag{16}$$

This completes the proof of **Lemma 2** in the SCI task. (In the CSMRI task) See [2] for detailed proof.

#### III. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

**Theorem 2** (Convergence of the proposed algorithms) Based on Lemma 2 and Theorem 1, as well as the value of  $\sigma$  satisfies the condition of sequentially diminishing as the unfolding stage t increases in the proposed DUN-BSTNet algorithm, then both  $x^t$  and  $v^t$  will converge, respectively.

Proof: Following [3], we define  $\theta^t = (x^t, v^t)$ , and let  $\Theta$  be the domain of  $\theta^t$  for all stages t. On the domain  $\Theta$ , we define a distance function  $D: \Theta \times \Theta \to \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$D(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{t}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{t-1}) = ||\boldsymbol{x}^{t} - \boldsymbol{x}^{t-1}||_{2} + ||\boldsymbol{v}^{t} - \boldsymbol{v}^{t-1}||_{2}.$$
(17)

1

(In the SCI task) Consider the proposed formulation

$$\boldsymbol{x}^{t} = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{\boldsymbol{x}} \left\| \boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{H} \boldsymbol{x} \right\|_{2}^{2} + \gamma \left\| \boldsymbol{x} - \mathcal{D} \left( \boldsymbol{x}^{t-1}, \sigma \right) \right\|_{2}^{2}, \quad (18)$$

based on the first order optimality of Eqn. (18), we have

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) + \gamma \left(\mathbf{x} - \mathcal{D}\left(\mathbf{x}^{t-1}, \sigma\right)\right) = 0.$$
 (19)

We assume that the optimal solution is  $x^t$  at the t-th iteration. Substituting this solution into the above equation yields

$$\mathbf{x}^{t} - \mathcal{D}\left(\mathbf{x}^{t-1}, \sigma\right) = -\frac{\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{t})}{\gamma^{t-1}}.$$
 (20)

At the t-th iteration, the value of  $\gamma$  for updating image is  $\gamma^{t-1}$ . Using the **Lemma 2**, we have

$$\|\boldsymbol{x}^{t} - \mathcal{D}\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{t-1}, \sigma\right)\|_{2} \le \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{2}}\left(2\sqrt{\lambda_{1}N} + \epsilon\right)}{\gamma^{t-1}}.$$
 (21)

Based on this bound and the bounded property of BSTNet, we obtain

$$\|\boldsymbol{x}^{t} - \boldsymbol{x}^{t-1}\|_{2}$$

$$= \|\boldsymbol{x}^{t} - \mathcal{D}\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{t-1}, \sigma\right) + \mathcal{D}\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{t-1}, \sigma\right) - \boldsymbol{x}^{t-1}\|_{2}$$

$$\leq \|\boldsymbol{x}^{(t)} - \mathcal{D}\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{t-1}, \sigma\right)\|_{2} + \|\mathcal{D}\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{t-1}, \sigma\right) - \boldsymbol{x}^{t-1}\|_{2} \quad (22)$$

$$\leq \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{2}}\left(2\sqrt{\lambda_{1}N} + \epsilon\right)}{\gamma^{t-1}} + C\sigma^{t-1}.$$

Based on this, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & || \boldsymbol{v}^{t} - \boldsymbol{v}^{t-1} ||_{2} \\ &= || \mathcal{D}_{\sigma} \left( \boldsymbol{x}^{t} \right) - \mathcal{D}(\boldsymbol{x}^{t-1}, \sigma) ||_{2} \\ &= || \mathcal{D}_{\sigma} \left( \boldsymbol{x}^{t} \right) - \boldsymbol{x}^{t} \\ &+ \boldsymbol{x}^{t} - \boldsymbol{x}^{t-1} + \boldsymbol{x}^{t-1} - \mathcal{D}(\boldsymbol{x}^{t-1}, \sigma) ||_{2} \\ &\leq || \mathcal{D}_{\sigma} \left( \boldsymbol{x}^{t} \right) - \boldsymbol{x}^{t} ||_{2} \\ &+ || \boldsymbol{x}^{t} - \boldsymbol{x}^{t-1} ||_{2} + || \boldsymbol{x}^{t-1} - \mathcal{D}(\boldsymbol{x}^{t-1}, \sigma) ||_{2}. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

The inequality holds true due to the triangle inequality. Based on the bounded property of BSTNet and (22), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left| \boldsymbol{v}^{t} - \boldsymbol{v}^{t-1} \right| \right|_{2} \\ \leq & \left| \left| \mathcal{D}_{\sigma} \left( \boldsymbol{x}^{t} \right) - \boldsymbol{x}^{t} \right| \right|_{2} + \left| \left| \boldsymbol{x}^{t} - \boldsymbol{x}^{t-1} \right| \right|_{2} \\ & + \left| \left| \boldsymbol{x}^{t-1} - \mathcal{D} \left( \boldsymbol{x}^{t-1}, \sigma \right) \right| \right|_{2} \\ \leq & C \sigma^{t} + \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{2}} \left( 2\sqrt{\lambda_{1}N} + \epsilon \right)}{\gamma^{t-1}} + C \sigma^{t-1} + C \sigma^{t-1} \\ \leq & 3C \sigma^{t-1} + \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{2}} \left( 2\sqrt{\lambda_{1}N} + \epsilon \right)}{\gamma^{t-1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Using the distance function defined in Eqn. (17), it is easily to see from Eqn. (22) and Eqn. (24) that

$$D\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{t}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{t-1}\right) \leq \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{2}}\left(2\sqrt{\lambda_{1}N} + \epsilon\right)}{\gamma^{t-1}} + C\sigma^{t-1}$$

$$+ 3C\sigma^{t-1} + \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{2}}\left(2\sqrt{\lambda_{1}N} + \epsilon\right)}{\gamma^{t-1}}$$

$$= \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{2}}\left(4\sqrt{\lambda_{1}N} + 2\epsilon\right)}{\gamma^{t-1}} + 4C\sigma^{t-1},$$
(25)

Since  $\frac{1}{1+\gamma^t} < 1$  and  $\sigma$  diminishes grandually, when  $t \to \infty, \ \gamma^t \to \infty$  and  $\sigma \to 0$ , then  $\left\| \boldsymbol{x}^t - \boldsymbol{x}^{t-1} \right\|_2 \to 0$ ,  $\left\| \boldsymbol{v}^t - \boldsymbol{v}^{t-1} \right\|_2 \to 0$ , and  $D\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^t, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{t-1}\right) \to 0$ .

(In the CSMRI task) Consider the proposed formulation

$$\boldsymbol{x}^{t} = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{\boldsymbol{x}} \|\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{F}_{u}\boldsymbol{x}\|_{2}^{2} + \gamma \|\boldsymbol{x} - \mathcal{D}\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{t-1}, \sigma\right)\|_{2}^{2}, \quad (26)$$

based on the first order optimality of Eqn. (26), we have

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) + \gamma \left(\mathbf{x} - \mathcal{D}\left(\mathbf{x}^{t-1}, \sigma\right)\right) = 0.$$
 (27)

We assume that the optimal solution is  $x^t$  at the t-th iteration. Substituting this solution into the above equation yields

$$\mathbf{x}^{t} - \mathcal{D}\left(\mathbf{x}^{t-1}, \sigma\right) = -\frac{\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{t})}{\gamma^{t-1}}.$$
 (28)

At the t-th iteration, the value of  $\gamma$  for updating image is  $\gamma^{t-1}$ . Using the **Lemma 2**, we have

$$\|\boldsymbol{x}^{t} - \mathcal{D}(\boldsymbol{x}^{t-1}, \sigma)\|_{2} \le \frac{\left(2\sqrt{N} + \epsilon\right)}{\gamma^{t-1}}.$$
 (29)

Based on this bound and the bounded property of BSTNet, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \boldsymbol{x}^{t} - \boldsymbol{x}^{t-1} \right\|_{2} \\ &= \left\| \boldsymbol{x}^{t} - \mathcal{D} \left( \boldsymbol{x}^{t-1}, \sigma \right) + \mathcal{D} \left( \boldsymbol{x}^{t-1}, \sigma \right) - \boldsymbol{x}^{t-1} \right\|_{2} \\ &\leq \left\| \boldsymbol{x}^{(t)} - \mathcal{D} \left( \boldsymbol{x}^{t-1}, \sigma \right) \right\|_{2} + \left\| \mathcal{D} \left( \boldsymbol{x}^{t-1}, \sigma \right) - \boldsymbol{x}^{t-1} \right\|_{2} \\ &\leq \frac{\left( 2\sqrt{N} + \epsilon \right)}{\gamma^{t-1}} + C\sigma^{t-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

Based on this, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & || \boldsymbol{v}^{t} - \boldsymbol{v}^{t-1} ||_{2} \\ &= || \mathcal{D}_{\sigma} \left( \boldsymbol{x}^{t} \right) - \mathcal{D}(\boldsymbol{x}^{t-1}, \sigma) ||_{2} \\ &= || \mathcal{D}_{\sigma} \left( \boldsymbol{x}^{t} \right) - \boldsymbol{x}^{t} \\ &+ \boldsymbol{x}^{t} - \boldsymbol{x}^{t-1} + \boldsymbol{x}^{t-1} - \mathcal{D}(\boldsymbol{x}^{t-1}, \sigma) ||_{2} \\ &\leq || \mathcal{D}_{\sigma} \left( \boldsymbol{x}^{t} \right) - \boldsymbol{x}^{t} ||_{2} \\ &+ || \boldsymbol{x}^{t} - \boldsymbol{x}^{t-1} ||_{2} + || \boldsymbol{x}^{t-1} - \mathcal{D}(\boldsymbol{x}^{t-1}, \sigma) ||_{2} \,. \end{aligned}$$

$$(31)$$

The inequality holds true due to the triangle inequality. By utilizing the bounded property of BSTNet and (30), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left| \mathbf{v}^{t} - \mathbf{v}^{t-1} \right| \right|_{2} \\ & \leq \left| \left| \mathcal{D}_{\sigma} \left( \mathbf{x}^{t} \right) - \mathbf{x}^{t} \right| \right|_{2} + \left| \left| \mathbf{x}^{t} - \mathbf{x}^{t-1} \right| \right|_{2} \\ & + \left| \left| \mathbf{x}^{t-1} - \mathcal{D} \left( \mathbf{x}^{t-1}, \sigma \right) \right| \right|_{2} \\ & \leq C \sigma^{t} + \frac{\left( 2\sqrt{N} + \epsilon \right)}{\gamma^{t-1}} + C \sigma^{t-1} + C \sigma^{t-1} \\ & \leq 3C \sigma^{t-1} + \frac{\left( 2\sqrt{N} + \epsilon \right)}{\gamma^{t-1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Using the distance function defined in Eqn. (17), it is easily to see from Eqn. (30) and Eqn. (32) that

$$D\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{t}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{t-1}\right) \leq \frac{\left(2\sqrt{N} + \epsilon\right)}{\gamma^{t-1}} + C\sigma^{t-1} + 3C\sigma^{t-1} + \frac{\left(2\sqrt{N} + \epsilon\right)}{\gamma^{t-1}}$$

$$= \frac{\left(4\sqrt{N} + 2\epsilon\right)}{\gamma^{t-1}} + 4C\sigma^{t-1},$$
(33)

Since  $\gamma^t = \eta \gamma^{t-1} = \eta^{t-1} \gamma^0$  and  $\sigma$  diminishes grandually, where  $\eta > 1$ , when  $t \to \infty$ ,  $\gamma^t \to \infty$  and  $\sigma \to 0$ , then  $\left\| \boldsymbol{x}^t - \boldsymbol{x}^{t-1} \right\|_2 \to 0$ ,  $\left\| \boldsymbol{v}^t - \boldsymbol{v}^{t-1} \right\|_2 \to 0$ , and  $D\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^t, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{t-1}\right) \to 0$ .

This completes the proof of **Theorem 2** in different tasks.

#### IV. THE VISUALIZATIONS OF 10 SCENES



Fig. 1: The RGB images on 10 scenes of KAIST dataset.

# REFERENCES

- E. Ryu, J. L. Liu, S. C. Wang, X. H. Chen, Z. Y. Wang, W. T. Yin, Plugand-play methods provably converge with properly trained denoisers, in: Proceedings of the International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML), 2019, pp. 5546–5557.
- [2] B. Shi, K. Liu, Regularization by multiple dual frames for compressed sensing magnetic resonance imaging with convergence analysis., IEEE/CAA Journal of Automatica Sinica 10 (11) (2023) 2136–2153. doi:10.1109/JAS.2023.123543.
- [3] S. H. Chan, X. Wang, O. A. Elgendy, Plug-and-play ADMM for image restoration: Fixed-point convergence and applications, IEEE Transactions on Computational Imaging. 3 (1) (2017) 84–98. doi:10.1109/TCI. 2016.2629286.