

MF 790 HW 6, PART 1 - SOLUTIONS

This assignment is due on Thursday, December 2nd at 8 AM. Problem 1 is worth 20 points, and problems 2,3 are worth 25 points each, for a total of 70 points.

1. Covariation of Independent Brownian Motions and Lévy's Theorem.

Fix $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$ and let W_1, \dots, W_d be d independent Brownian motions.

- (a) Recall the quadratic covariation of two processes X, Y is first defined on a partition $\Pi = \{0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = t\}$ of $[0, t]$ by

$$[X, Y]_t^\Pi = \sum_{i=1}^n (X_{t_i} - X_{t_{i-1}}) (Y_{t_i} - Y_{t_{i-1}}).$$

Then, provided the limit exists, we set $[X, Y]_t = \lim_{\|\Pi\| \rightarrow 0} [X, Y]_t^\Pi$.

For $p \neq q$ and any partition Π show that

$$(i) \mathbb{E} [[W^p, W^q]_t^\Pi] = 0.$$

$$(ii) \text{Var} [[W^p, W^q]_t^\Pi] = \sum_{i=1}^n (t_i - t_{i-1})^2 \leq t \times \|\Pi\|$$

Therefore, taking $\|\Pi\| \rightarrow 0$, we may conclude that $[W^p, W^q]_t = 0$ almost surely for each $t \geq 0$.

- (b) Now, define the martingale \widetilde{W} by

$$\widetilde{W}_t := \sum_{p=1}^d \int_0^t \Delta_u^p dW_u^p, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Using the heuristic

$$\begin{aligned} d[\widetilde{W}, \widetilde{W}]_t &\approx (d\widetilde{W}_t)^2 = \left(\sum_{p=1}^d \Delta_u^p dW_u^p \right) \left(\sum_{q=1}^d \Delta_u^q dW_u^q \right) \\ &= \sum_{p,q=1}^d \Delta_u^p \Delta_u^q dW_u^p dW_u^q \approx \sum_{p,q=1}^d \Delta_u^p \Delta_u^q d[W^p W^q]_u, \end{aligned}$$

in conjunction with Lévy's theorem, identify a condition on the $\{\Delta^p\}_{p=1}^d$ such that \widetilde{W} is a Brownian motion.

Solution

- (a) For part (i) we have

$$\mathbb{E} [[W^p, W^q]_t^\Pi] = \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n (W_{t_i}^p - W_{t_{i-1}}^p) (W_{t_i}^q - W_{t_{i-1}}^q) \right].$$

The result follows since for each i , by independence of W^p, W^q and the distributional properties of Brownian motion, we may conclude

$$\mathbb{E} [(W_{t_i}^p - W_{t_{i-1}}^p) (W_{t_i}^q - W_{t_{i-1}}^q)] = \mathbb{E} [(W_{t_i}^p - W_{t_{i-1}}^p)] \times \mathbb{E} [(W_{t_i}^q - W_{t_{i-1}}^q)] = 0.$$

For part (ii) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var} [[W^p, W^q]_t^\Pi] &= \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (W_{t_i}^p - W_{t_{i-1}}^p)(W_{t_i}^q - W_{t_{i-1}}^q) \right)^2 \right], \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} [(W_{t_i}^p - W_{t_{i-1}}^p)^2 (W_{t_i}^q - W_{t_{i-1}}^q)^2] \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=i+1}^n \mathbb{E} [(W_{t_i}^p - W_{t_{i-1}}^p)(W_{t_i}^q - W_{t_{i-1}}^q)(W_{t_j}^p - W_{t_{j-1}}^p)(W_{t_j}^q - W_{t_{j-1}}^q)].\end{aligned}$$

For the diagonal terms, using the independence of W^p, W^q and the distributional properties of Brownian motion, we know

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E} [(W_{t_i}^p - W_{t_{i-1}}^p)^2 (W_{t_i}^q - W_{t_{i-1}}^q)^2] &= \mathbb{E} [(W_{t_i}^p - W_{t_{i-1}}^p)^2] \times \mathbb{E} [(W_{t_i}^q - W_{t_{i-1}}^q)^2] \\ &= (t_i - t_{i-1})^2.\end{aligned}$$

For the off-diagonal terms, note that $j \geq i + 1$ implies that $t_{j-1} \geq t_i$. Then, by independence of W^p, W^q

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E} [(W_{t_i}^p - W_{t_{i-1}}^p)(W_{t_i}^q - W_{t_{i-1}}^q)(W_{t_j}^p - W_{t_{j-1}}^p)(W_{t_j}^q - W_{t_{j-1}}^q)] \\ = \mathbb{E} [(W_{t_i}^p - W_{t_{i-1}}^p)(W_{t_j}^p - W_{t_{j-1}}^p)] \mathbb{E} [(W_{t_i}^q - W_{t_{i-1}}^q)(W_{t_j}^q - W_{t_{j-1}}^q)]\end{aligned}$$

Using the martingale property for W^p and TOWER at $\mathcal{F}_{t_{j-1}}$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E} [(W_{t_i}^p - W_{t_{i-1}}^p)(W_{t_j}^p - W_{t_{j-1}}^p)] \\ = \mathbb{E} [(W_{t_i}^p - W_{t_{i-1}}^p) \mathbb{E} [(W_{t_j}^p - W_{t_{j-1}}^p) | \mathcal{F}_{t_{j-1}}]] = 0.\end{aligned}$$

It thus follows that

$$\text{Var} [[W^p, W^q]_t^\Pi] = \sum_{i=1}^n (t_i - t_{i-1})^2 \leq \|\Pi\|t,$$

giving the result.

(b) From part (a) we see that

$$d[\widetilde{W}, \widetilde{W}]_t = \sum_{p,q=1}^d \Delta_u^p \Delta_u^q d[W^p W^q]_u = \sum_{p=1}^d (\Delta_u^p)^2 du.$$

As \widetilde{W} is a continuous martingale, by Lévy we know that \widetilde{W} will be a Brownian motion provided $[\widetilde{W}, \widetilde{W}]_t = t$ with probability one for all $t \geq 0$. The condition we therefore need is

$$1 = \sum_{p=1}^d (\Delta_u^p)^2,$$

with probability one for all $t \geq 0$.

2. The CIR Process. In this exercise we will construct an explicit solution to the CIR SDE

$$dX_t = \kappa(\theta - X_t)dt + \xi\sqrt{X_t}d\widetilde{W}_t; \quad X_0 = x > 0,$$

where \widetilde{W} is a (to-be-determined) Brownian motion, when θ takes the special form

$$(0.1) \quad \theta = \frac{\xi^2 d}{4\kappa},$$

for some integer $d = 2, 3, \dots$

(a) For $p = 1, \dots, d$ let Y^p be an OU process with dynamics

$$dY_t^p = -\frac{1}{2}\kappa Y_t^p dt + dW_t^p; \quad Y_0^p = \sqrt{\frac{x}{\kappa\theta}}.$$

Next, for arbitrary $\theta > 0$, define the process X by

$$X_t := \frac{\kappa\theta}{d} \sum_{p=1}^d (Y_t^p)^2, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Note that $X_0 = x$. Using Itô's formula, show that

$$dX_t = \kappa(\theta - X_t)dt + \xi\sqrt{X_t} \times \frac{2\kappa\theta}{d\xi\sqrt{X_t}} \sum_{p=1}^d Y_t^p dW_t^p.$$

Note: it can be shown for $d \geq 2$, that $X_t > 0$ with probability one for all t so there is no problem with $\sqrt{X_t}$ in the denominator.

(b) Specifying θ from (0.1), and using your result from problem 1, show that

$$\widetilde{W}_t := \sum_{p=1}^d \int_0^t \frac{2\kappa\theta}{d\xi\sqrt{X_u}} Y_u^p dW_u^p,$$

is a Brownian motion. Conclude that

$$dX_t = \kappa(\theta - X_t)dt + \xi\sqrt{X_t}d\widetilde{W}_t,$$

solves the CIR SDE.

(c) Using the explicit distribution for each Y_t^p , what is the distribution of X_t ? Here, leave your answer in terms of θ from (0.1), plugging in $d = 4\kappa\theta/\xi^2$ wherever d appears.

Solution:

(a) By Itô and the definition of \widetilde{W} we know

$$\begin{aligned} dX_t &= \frac{\kappa\theta}{d} \sum_{p=1}^d \left(2Y_t^p \left(-\frac{1}{2}\kappa Y_t^p dt + dW_t^p \right) + dt \right), \\ &= \left(\kappa\theta - \frac{\kappa^2\theta}{d} \sum_{p=1}^d (Y_t^p)^2 \right) dt + \frac{2\kappa\theta}{d} \sum_{p=1}^d Y_t^p dW_t^p, \\ &= \kappa(\theta - X_t)dt + \xi\sqrt{X_t} \frac{2\kappa\theta}{d\xi\sqrt{X_t}} \sum_{p=1}^d Y_t^p W_t^p. \end{aligned}$$

(b) We have $\widetilde{W}_t = \sum_{p=1}^d \int_0^t \Delta_u^p dW_u^p$ for

$$\Delta_u^p := \frac{2\kappa\theta}{d\xi\sqrt{X_u}} Y_u^p.$$

From problem 1 part (b) we know \widetilde{W} will be a Brownian motion provided with probability one for all $t \geq 0$ we have

$$1 = \sum_{p=1}^d (\Delta_t^p)^2 = \frac{4\kappa^2\theta^2}{d^2\xi^2 X_t} \sum_{p=1}^d (Y_t^p)^2 = \frac{4\kappa\theta}{d\xi^2 X_t} X_t = \frac{4\kappa\theta}{d\xi^2}.$$

Therefore, since θ satisfies (0.1) we obtain the result.

(c) We know for each $t \geq 0, p = 1, \dots, d$ that $Y_t^p \sim N(\nu_t, \zeta_t^2)$ where

$$\mu_t = \sqrt{\frac{x}{\kappa\theta}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\kappa t}; \quad \zeta_t^2 = \frac{1}{\kappa} (1 - e^{-\kappa t}).$$

Therefore, we see that

$$X_t = \frac{\kappa\theta}{d} \sum_{p=1}^d (N(\nu_t, \zeta_t^2)^p)^2 = \frac{\kappa\theta\zeta_t^2}{d} \sum_{p=1}^d \left(N\left(\frac{\nu_t}{\zeta_t}, 1\right)^p \right)^2.$$

Since the normals are independent we see that X_t is $\kappa\theta\zeta_t^2/d$ times a non-central chi-square distribution with d degrees of freedom and non-centrality parameter

$$\lambda = d \frac{\nu_t^2}{\zeta_t^2}$$

Plugging back in for μ_t, ζ_t and replacing d with $4\kappa\theta/\xi^2$ throughout we see that

$$\frac{\kappa\theta\zeta_t^2}{d} = \frac{\xi^2(1 - e^{-\kappa t})}{4\kappa}; \quad d = \frac{4\kappa\theta}{\xi^2}; \quad \lambda = \frac{4\kappa x e^{-\kappa t}}{\xi^2(1 - e^{-\kappa t})}.$$

Remarkably, the same distributional conclusions hold for arbitrary θ , not just θ from (0.1).

3. On the CIR Interest Rate Model. Read Example 6.5.2 and do Exercise 6.4 on page 285 of the class textbook.

Solution:

- (i) Since $\varphi'(t) = -(1/2)\sigma^2 C(t, T)\varphi(t)$ the formula for (6.9.8) is clear. As for (6.9.9) we have

$$C'(t, T) = -\frac{2\varphi''(t)}{\sigma^2\varphi(t)} + \frac{2(\varphi'(t))^2}{\sigma^2\varphi(t)^2} = -\frac{2\varphi''(t)}{\sigma^2\varphi(t)} + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \left(\frac{2\varphi'(t)}{\sigma^2\varphi(t)} \right)^2,$$

from which the result follows.

- (ii) Using the result from (i) and (6.5.14) we see that

$$0 = -C'(t, T) + bC(t, T) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 C(t, T)^2 - 1 = -\frac{2b\varphi'(t)}{\sigma^2\varphi(t)} + \frac{2\varphi''(t)}{\sigma^2\varphi(t)} - 1.$$

This gives the result, when multiplying by $2\sigma^2\varphi(t)$.

- (iii) The solutions to the characteristic equation are

$$\lambda_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}b \pm \gamma.$$

This means

$$\varphi(t) = a_1 e^{(\frac{1}{2}b+\gamma)t} + a_2 e^{(\frac{1}{2}b-\gamma)t} = \tilde{a}_1 e^{-(\frac{1}{2}b+\gamma)(T-t)} + \tilde{a}_2 e^{-(\frac{1}{2}b-\gamma)(T-t)},$$

if we set

$$a_1 = \tilde{a}_1 e^{-(\frac{1}{2}b+\gamma)T}; \quad a_2 = \tilde{a}_2 e^{-(\frac{1}{2}b-\gamma)T}.$$

As \tilde{a}_1, \tilde{a}_2 are general, and since $\gamma > b/2$ the result follows by setting

$$\tilde{a}_1 = \frac{c_1}{\frac{1}{2}b + \gamma}; \quad \tilde{a}_2 = -\frac{c_2}{\frac{1}{2}b - \gamma},$$

for to-be-determined c_1, c_2 .

- (iv) (6.9.12) follows by taking a derivative. Since $C(T, T) = 0$ we know from (i) that $\varphi'(T) = 0$ as well. Then $c_1 = c_2$ is immediate.
- (v) The first equality follows from (iv) and obtaining a common denominator. For the second equality, use $b^2/4 - \gamma^2 = -\sigma^2/2$ and the definition of cosh and sinh. The formula for $\varphi'(t)$ follows immediately from (6.9.12), $c_1 = c_2$ and the definition of sinh. Lastly, (6.5.16) is clear given part (i).
- (vi) Since $A(T, T) = 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= A(T, T) = A(t, T) + \int_t^T A(u, T) du = A(t, T) + \frac{2a}{\sigma^2} \int_t^T \frac{\varphi'(u)}{\varphi(u)} du \\ &= A(t, T) + \frac{2a}{\sigma^2} \log \left(\frac{\varphi(T)}{\varphi(t)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

From part (v), along with $\sinh(0) = 0, \cosh(0) = 1$ we see that

$$\frac{\varphi(T)}{\varphi(t)} = \frac{2\gamma e^{\frac{1}{2}b(T-t)}}{2\gamma \cosh(\gamma(T-t)) + b \sinh(\gamma(T-t))}.$$

The result then follows.