

Portfolio optimization:

wealth at time 1:

$$W_1 = \frac{W_0}{B_{0,1}} + \Delta \left(S_1 - \frac{S_0}{B_{0,1}} \right)$$

consider $B_{0,1} = (1+r)^{-1}$, where r is one-period simple interest rate. Then

$$W_1 = (1+r)W_0 + \Delta (S_1 - (1+r)S_0)$$

↑
Value from
riskless
investment

↑
excess value from
risky investment

Agent preference: utility function $U: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Agent's portfolio choice problem:

$$\max_{\Delta} \mathbb{E}[U(W_1)] = \max_{\Delta} \mathbb{E}[U((1+r)W_0 + \Delta(S_1 - (1+r)S_0))]$$

consider $\mathbb{E}[U((1+r)W_0 + \Delta(S_1 - (1+r)S_0))]$ as a function

wrt Δ . It is a ~~convex~~ ^{concave} function. Optimal Δ is specified by the first order condition (FOC)

$$0 = \mathbb{E}[U'((1+r)W_0 + \Delta(S_1 - (1+r)S_0)) (S_1 - (1+r)S_0)]$$

$$0 = \mathbb{E} [U'(W_1) (S_1 - (1+r)S_0)] \quad (1)$$

Define another measure \mathbb{Q} via

$$Z = \frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}} = \frac{U'(W_1)}{\mathbb{E}[U'(W_1)]} \quad \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[Z] = 1.$$

Then from (1), we have

$$0 = \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{U'(W_1)}{\mathbb{E}[U'(W_1)]} (S_1 - (1+r)S_0) \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}} (S_1 - (1+r)S_0) \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} [S_1 - (1+r)S_0]$$

$$\Leftrightarrow S_0 = \frac{1}{1+r} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} [S_1]$$

i. e. Discounted stock price is a martingale under \mathbb{Q}

$\Rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ is a risk neutral measure.

Come back to FOC (1). Apply Taylor expansion to approximate U' , consider $r=0$.

$$\begin{aligned} U'(W_1) &\approx U'(W_0) + U''(W_0)(W_1 - W_0) \\ &= U'(W_0) + U''(W_0) \Delta (S_1 - S_0) \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = E[U'(W_1)(S_1 - S_0)] &\approx U'(W_0) E[S_1 - S_0] \\ &\quad + U''(W_0) E[\Delta (S_1 - S_0)^2] \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta \approx - \frac{U'(W_0)}{U''(W_0)} \frac{E[S_1 - S_0]}{E[(S_1 - S_0)^2]}$$

- $-\frac{U'(W_0)}{U''(W_0)}$: (absolute risk aversion)⁻¹
- $E[S_1 - S_0]$: excess expected p&L
- $E[(S_1 - S_0)^2]$: variance.

$$\min_{\Delta, \lambda_1, \lambda_2} \left(\delta^T \Delta - \lambda_1 (\Delta^T \vec{r} - \bar{r}) - \lambda_2 (\Delta^T \vec{1} - 1) \right)$$

If $\Delta^T \vec{r} - \bar{r} \neq 0$, say $\Delta^T \vec{r} - \bar{r} > 0$, we can have $\lambda_1 \rightarrow \infty$ to get $\min -\infty$. So we must have $\Delta^T \vec{r} - \bar{r} = 0$.

$$\text{then } \lambda_1 (\Delta^T \vec{r} - \bar{r}) = 0.$$

$$\lambda_2 (\Delta^T \vec{1} - 1) = 0.$$

$$\Delta_f r_f + \Delta^T \vec{r} = \bar{r}$$

$$\Delta_f + \Delta^T \vec{1} = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow (1 - \Delta^T \vec{1}) r_f + \Delta^T \vec{r} = \bar{r} \quad \Rightarrow \Delta_f = 1 - \Delta^T \vec{1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta^T (\vec{r} - r_f) = \bar{r} - r_f$$