# MODELING FAT TAILS IN FINANCIAL RETURNS: The Student-t distribution

## **Boston University Questrom School of Business**

**MF840 - Spring 2018** 

## **Eric Jacquier**

- 1. Motivation: Student-t is a natural extension for fat-tailed distributions
- 2. Estimation by MLE difficult in small sample: likelihood surface uninformative

## 1 Motivation

Need to predict tail events for risk management: Need to compute VAR

- 1) Pure simulation approach:
  - Bootstrapping the available returns and compute sample quantiles
  - Very imprecise for tail computations:

    Not enough information in the data about extreme events
- 2) Parametric approach: Normal distribution
  - $R \sim N(\mu, \sigma) = Pr(R < \mu 1.96 \sigma) = 0.05$
  - Financial returns exhibit far more extreme events than predicted by the Normal tail probabilities
  - To use the parametric approach, we need distributions that reflects better the tail properties of financial series

# Tail properties of financial series

• Fat Tails | Fleet

• Asymmetric index returns. 收益系統

# Modeling fat tails

• Fat tail distribution: Student-t

• Time varying variance:  $R(t) \sim N(\mu, \sigma(t))$  $\sigma(t) \sim GARCH \text{ or SV (stochastic volatility)}$ 

- Even with GARCH or SV, we may need extra fat-tailness for the conditional distribution 有GARCH 或 SV 也 平衡 标 tail
- So the Student-t is useful either for the unconditional distribution or for the conditional distribution of returns
- Alternative: Jump processes, regime shifts

## **Student-t Distribution Estimation**

- Maximum Likelihood
- Bayesian Methods
  - Standard Bayesian is hard, not much better than MLE, MC solves the problem
  - MC: Data augmentation, model the Student-t as a mixture
  - Can be incorporated in a larger model if time varying variance

### **Student-t Distribution**

- Standard t:  $t \sim \frac{N(0,1)}{\sqrt{\chi^2(\nu)/\nu}}$
- It comes up as integration of the sample variance of a conditionally normal mean, or regression slope coefficient, or forecast,
- v = 1: Cauchy Distribution,  $v = \infty$ : Normal distribution
- Moments of order v or higher do not exist: Var(t) = v / v-2
- Textbook formulation uses standard Student-t, Returns are not standardized
  - Density:  $R \sim \sigma t(v)$   $p(R_t \mid \sigma, v) \propto \frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{v+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{v}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \frac{1}{\sqrt{v}} \left(1 + \frac{R_t^2}{v\sigma^2}\right)^{-\frac{v+1}{2}}$
  - Variance:  $V(R) = \frac{\sigma^2 v}{(v-2)}$
  - Kurtosis:  $K(R) = 3 \sigma^4 v^2 / (v-2)(v-4)$

# 2 Estimation by Maximum Likelihood

Sample of returns:  $R_t \sim i.i.d \sigma t(v)$ , t = 1, ..., T

We will only get an estimate of  $(v, \sigma)$ 

Likelihood:

$$p(R \mid \sigma, \nu) \propto \frac{1}{\sigma^T} \left( \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\nu+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2})} \right)^T \nu^{-\frac{T}{2}} \prod_t \left( 1 + \frac{R_t^2}{\nu \sigma^2} \right)^{-\frac{\nu+1}{2}}$$

#### Likelihood Function

```
# Function computes likelihood of data(TT) ~ sd * Student(df)

t.loglik <- function(data,TT,df,sd){
loglik <- TT*df*log(sd) + sum(log(dt(data,df)))
loglik <- loglik -
0.5*(df+1)*sum(log((df*sd^2+data^2)/(df+data^2)))
loglik }</pre>
```

### **Simulating Student-t Data**

```
# Simulate TT data Student-t(nudraw,sdraw)

TT <- 10000
nu <- 10  # Try low and high nu.
sig <- 0.2/sqrt(52)  # Weekly US index standard deviation
rm(xx)
xx <- rt(TT,nu)*sig # simulated data</pre>
```

## **Plotting the Likelihood**

#### Plot the likelihood versus $\mathbf{v}$ and $\mathbf{\sigma}$

```
rm(loglikmat); loglikmat<- matrix(0,nrow=70,ncol=70)</pre>
inu<-1; isiq<-1; i<-1
for (inu in 1:70){ for (isig in 1:70)}
loglikmat[inu,isiq]<-t.loglik(xx,TT,nuvec[inu],siqvec[isiq])</pre>
                                                                 }}
contour(nuvec, sigvec, loglikmat, nlevels=40)
abline(v= nuvec[which(loglikmat==max(loglikmat),arr.ind=T)[1]])
abline(h= siqvec[which(loglikmat==max(loglikmat),arr.ind=T)[2]])
persp(nuvec, sigvec, loglikmat, theta=-20, phi=25)
plot(sigvec,colMaxs(loglikmat))  # requires package timeSeries
plot(nuvec,colMaxs(t(loglikmat))
persp(nuvec, sigvec, loglikmat, theta=-20, phi=25)
```

• Likelihood is very uninformative with respect to v

### Estimate parameters by MLE

```
Same as t.loglik but structured to be acceptable by nlminb
t.loglikmle <- function(p,xx,TT){  # routine computes minus the likelihood
loglik <- TT*p[2]*log(p[1]) + sum(log(dt(xx,p[2])))
loglik <- loglik - 0.5*(p[2]+1)*sum(log((p[2]*p[1]^2+xx^2)/(p[2]+xx^2)))
loalik <- -loalik
loglik }
# MLE in R: nlminb (no numerical Hessian)
#
            optim ( numerical Hessian)
#
            fitdistr in MASS uses optim
# ind<-which(loglikvec==max(loglikvec),arr.ind=T)</pre>
      <- rt(TT,nu)*sig # simulated data
XX
out1<-nlminb(c(shat,30),t.loglikmle,xx=xx,TT=TT,lower=c(0,3),upper=c(Inf,100))
out1$par
out2<-optim(c(shat,30),xx=xx,TT=TT,t.loglikmle, lower=c(slow,4), upper=c(shigh,50),
hessian=T, method = "L-BFGS-B")
out2$value; out2$par; sqrt(diag(solve(out2$hessian)))
fitdistr(rt(500,5)*0.2/sqrt(52),"t",list(m=0,s=1,df=20))
```

- uninformative for high nu: Not a real problem, a fact of life
- v and  $\sigma$  related: especially for low v
- Even with large sample size (try 500, 1000) optimization often fails to produce reliable standard errors.
- It takes a LOT of observations to learn about v.