2/11/2020 Untitled

Simple Machine Learning Classification Demo

```
In [52]: import sklearn
import csv
import random
```

The data is from UCI Data repository. The data contains bank-note image data that has been transfromed into 5 features. https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/banknote+authentication (https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/banknote+authentication)

The goal is to try to classify the images into forged and genuine banknote images. The images were taken with a digital camera and wavelet tranformation was used to extract the features. All the data are contious data except for the classification data which is an integer.

Attribute Information:

- 1. variance of Wavelet Transformed image
- 2. skewness of Wavelet Transformed image
- 3. curtosis of Wavelet Transformed image
- 4. entropy of image
- 5. class

```
In [9]: # create an empty list to append the data. Read data which comes in as a string and convert to float.
         #Also convert classification column - last column - to TRUE/FALSE
         data = []
         with open('data banknote authentication.txt') as f:
             all_line = csv.reader(f, delimiter = ",")
             for line in all line:
                 li = [1] + [float(d) for d in line]
                 li[-1] = li[-1] > 0
                 data.append(li)
         len(data)
         clean_data = []
         for d in data:
             if 'NA' in d or None in d:
                 continue
             else:
                 clean_data.append(d)
         #check to see if data is clean
         len(data) == len(clean data)
 Out[9]: True
In [10]: data[0] #view a sample
Out[10]: [1, 3.6216, 8.6661, -2.8073, -0.44699, False]
In [17]: total samples = len(data)
In [18]: posi = sum([d[-1] for d in data])
In [19]: percent_pos = posi/total_samples
In [50]: print(f'Total samples: {total samples}, Positive samples: {posi}, Percent positive: {percent pos:.2f}
         용')
```

Run through analysis without splitting into train and test for illustration

Total samples: 1372, Positive samples: 610, Percent positive: 0.44%

2/11/2020 Untitled

```
In [39]: X = [d[:-1]] for d in data]
         y = [d[-1] \text{ for } d \text{ in } data]
In [40]: y[100]
Out[40]: False
In [25]: from sklearn import linear_model
In [41]: | model = linear_model.LogisticRegression()
         model.fit(X,y)
Out[41]: LogisticRegression(C=1.0, class_weight=None, dual=False, fit_intercept=True,
                             intercept_scaling=1, l1_ratio=None, max_iter=100,
                             multi_class='auto', n_jobs=None, penalty='12',
                             random_state=None, solver='lbfgs', tol=0.0001, verbose=0,
                             warm start=False)
In [42]: | predictions = model.predict(X)
In [51]: accuracy = sum(predictions == y)/len(y) #note this is using full sample and not advisable
         print(f'Accuracy : {accuracy:.4f}%')
         Accuracy : 0.9898%
```

Analysis splitting data into train and test set using a 50% ration.

```
In [54]: random.shuffle(data)
         Xs = [d[:-1]  for d  in data]
         ys = [d[-1] \text{ for } d \text{ in } data]
In [55]: X_train = Xs[:total_samples//2]
         X test = Xs[total samples//2:]
         y_train = ys[:total_samples//2]
         y_test = ys[total_samples//2:]
In [57]: total_samples, len(X_train), len(X_test)
Out[57]: (1372, 686, 686)
In [58]: | models = linear_model.LogisticRegression()
         models.fit(X train,y train)
Out[58]: LogisticRegression(C=1.0, class_weight=None, dual=False, fit_intercept=True,
                             intercept_scaling=1, l1_ratio=None, max_iter=100,
                             multi_class='auto', n_jobs=None, penalty='12',
                             random_state=None, solver='lbfgs', tol=0.0001, verbose=0,
                             warm start=False)
In [60]: preds = model.predict(X_train)
         preds_test = model.predict(X_test)
         accus = sum(preds == y train)/len(y train)
         accutest = sum(preds_test == y_test)/len(y_test)
         print(f'Accuracy training set : {accus:.4f}%')
         print(f'Accuracy test set : {accutest:.4f}%')
         Accuracy training set : 0.9942%
         Accuracy test set : 0.9854%
```

Accuracy for the test set was almost as high as for the analysis without any test data, however the result is a better indicator of performance

```
In [ ]:
```