

CS180: HW #5

1. Give an algorithm to print a paragraph of n words on a printer in a way that minimizes the above sum. Analyze the running time and space requirements of your algorithm.

Let $M[i, j]$ be a 2D array, Let i and j be indexes of the input with respect to each word

Each cell value represents the cost to store words $i \dots j$ in a single line

Compute the costs of all possible lines in M

Calculate extra space for each line

Calculate the line that is associated with the aforementioned space

Calculate the minimum cost

Create an array that stores the optimal cost of arranging the words $i..j$, recursively recording by each line:

$$array[j] = \begin{cases} 0 & (\text{if } j = 0) \\ \min (c[i - 1] + l_c[i, j]) & (\text{if } j > 0) \\ 1 \leq i \leq j \end{cases}$$

Output the words with the calculated, optimally specified ranges of words $i \dots j$ with respect to the array

The time complexity of this algorithm is $O(n^2)$, as the costliest operation is iterating through the 2D array to calculate the extra space, and then to calculate the line associated with that space, requiring a nested loop capability.

2a. Design a divide-and-conquer algorithm for solving the longest ascending subsequence problem in time $O(n^2)$.

Divide array A into 2 arrays, A_0 and A_1 .

Find the longest consecutive subsequence, s_0 , of sub array A_0

Find the longest consecutive subsequence, s_1 , of sub array A_1

If the longest consecutive sub sequence is split between A_0 and A_1 as a resulting of dividing

The consecutive sub sequence, s_3 , must contain $A_1[n/2]$ and $A_2[n/2-(n/2)-1)]$

The longest subsequence of the three subsequences, s_0, s_1, s_2 , is the longest subsequence of A.

This algorithm has a time complexity of $O(n^2)$, as every time the algorithm visits an element in A, it has to compare that element with the next element in A to check if it may result in an ascending sequence.

2b. Design a dynamic programming algorithm in time $O(n \log n)$

Create an array, A_0

Let T be an empty tree

Iterate through A for all n elements

If current element is larger than the last element in A_0 , append this element to A_0 (If A_0 is empty, insert as first element)

Insert the current element to T such that the last element in A_0 is its parent (If T is empty, insert the current element as the root).

If the current element is smaller than the last element A_0 , replace the last element with the current element

Use binary search to take the last element on A_0 and follow its parent pointers until root node is reached

The nodes visited in this order is the increasing ascending subsequence.

3. Given a weighted undirected graph $G = (V, E)$, where $|V| = n$ and edge weights are distinct. Design an algorithm to solve MST in $O(n \log n)$ rounds. Values in the input to the problem are of size $O(\log n)$ bits.

```
For all subgraphs,  $G_i$  of  $G$ 
  Iterate through all  $v$  in  $V(G_i)$ 
  If  $v$  has yet to be selected,
    Mark the cheapest outgoing edge of all such  $v$  in  $V(G_i)$ 
    Choose only one cheapest edge for each vertex
  Append cheapest edges to tree  $T$ , ultimately resulting in the MST
```

The algorithm takes $O(n \log n)$ rounds as its input is $O(\log n)$ bits, so at each assessment of “cheapness,” the algorithm considers $\log(n)$ bits. It needs to do so for all vertices, which in total is n . Therefore, $O(n) * O(\log n) = O(n \log n)$.

4. What will the obvious greedy algorithm be for Knapsack? Give a counter example to show that Knapsack is not amenable to a greedy solution. Show that nevertheless if the Hiker is in a hurry and she fills the Knapsack using the greedy algorithm then the value she carries is greater equal than half of the optimal value.

The obvious greedy algorithm would be:

As long as there does not exist an item that can be put in the knapsack such that its addition will not exasperate the knapsack weight capacity:

 Iterate through all items, find the lowest weight/value (for all items
 weight=value)

 Put the item in the knapsack

This algorithm is not amenable to the Knapsack problem, as demonstrated by the following counter-example: Say the max capacity is 25, and the following items are given,

Weight	1	2	5	8	10
Volume	1	2	5	8	10

The better items to take would be 2, 5, 8, and 10 for a total of 25. The greedy algorithm would select 1, 2, 5, and 8 for a total of 16. Therefore, this algorithm is not an effective solution for the knapsack problem.

The current value is greater than or equal to the optimal value/2 in this case ($12.5 \leq 16$). This is generally the case as with each selection of the cheapest item, the selection of the most expensive item is thrown out. Therefore, the maximum possible value must be greater than or equal to the half of the optimal value as with each iteration the choices are reduced by half.