

Answer to the Question no: 5

For task 01 there is a for loop that has a time complexity of $O(V)$ which also applies for the task 2 and task 3.

task 02:

DFS (visited, graph, node, endpoint)

Do visited[node-1] \rightarrow 1

Do queue.append(node)

while queue:

Do $m \leftarrow \text{pop()}$

print m

if $m = \text{endpoint}$ break

For neighbour in graph[m]

if visited[neighbour-1] \leftrightarrow 0

Do visited[neighbour-1] \leftarrow 1

Do queue.append(neighbour)

Here the while loop will traverse through the nodes $O(V)$ times and for loop will loop through all nodes taking $O(E)$ times.

Therefore the time complexity for each and every node and edges traversed will be $O(V)$

Task 03:

DFS_Visit (graph, node):

Do visited [int(node)-1] \leftarrow 1
printed. append (node)

For each node in graph [node]

If node not visited

DFS_Visit (graph, node)

DFS (graph, endpoint)

For each node in graph

If node visited

DFS_Visit (graph, node)

DFS_Visit Function will traverse through edges taking $O(E)$ times. For adjacency matrix it will run for all vertices and edges taking $O(V)$ times. Therefore the time complexity will be $O(V + E)$ or $O(V)$.

Here we can see the time complexity of both adjacency list matrix is same for each task.

Hence in our case the endpoint at the end of the map. we know BFS is a parent-priority based algorithm and DFS travel less places than BFS therefore Gray will reach before me by applying DFS.