

## Dot Product, Matrix Multiplication, and the Inverse Matrix

### 1. (Some side notes) Dot product and correlation

(a) The physics interpretation of the dot product.

if there is one force  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2)$  acting in the displacement vector  $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2)$  then  $\mathbf{ab} = a_1 * b_1 + a_2 * b_2$  can be interpreted as work the force does: the sum of that on the x direction plus that on the y direction. This equals  $\mathbf{ab} = ||\mathbf{a}|| * ||\mathbf{b}|| \cos(\theta)$ , which can be interpreted by projecting  $\mathbf{b}$  onto 2 directions: one perpendicular to  $\mathbf{a}$ , and the other in the same direction as  $\mathbf{a}$ . The work done perpendicular to  $\mathbf{a}$  is 0, while the other is the actual work done.

(b) With two random variables X and Y with zero mean, the correlation between the two is defined as:  $\text{corr}(x, y) = \frac{E(XY)}{||X|| ||Y||} = \cos(\theta)$

### 2. Matrix Multiplication:

Viewpoint 1: Look in columns

Viewpoint 2: Matrix acts on a vector. In the following example: A acts on  $\mathbf{a}$

Example:

$$A\mathbf{a} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} * x_1 + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} * x_2 + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} * x_3 = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ -x_1 + x_2 \\ -x_2 + x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{b} \quad (1)$$

### 3. (Toy example) Transforming in 2D:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}; \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}; \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix};$$

The third example is transforming a 2D point into a 1-D subspace: x-axis.

### 4. Inverse and invertible matrix:

The word: inverse, invertible. Another case where the word 'inverse' is used: the inverse function, commonly shown as:  $y^{-1}(x)$ .

(a) What is the "inverse of a matrix"

Suppose I have  $\mathbf{b}$ , which is the right hand side of the equation (1), and the matrix  $A$  but not  $\mathbf{a}$ . Now I want to find  $\mathbf{a}$ . This question is the *inverse* of that we explored in section 2 above, where we go from the left of the equation to the right: now we want to go from the transformed  $\mathbf{a}$ , which is  $\mathbf{b}$ , back to  $\mathbf{a}$ . How do we do it? Wouldn't it be great to have something like below that can easily invert the transformation from  $\mathbf{a}$  to  $\mathbf{b}$  so that we can get  $\mathbf{b}$  from  $\mathbf{a}$  easily?

$$A^{-1}A\mathbf{a} = A^{-1}\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{a} \quad (2)$$

This something, specifically,  $A^{-1}$ , is called the inverse of  $A$ .

(b) the existence of the inverse of a matrix

This topic will be explored later in the course formally, but here we talk about some intuition.

Put simply: a transformation brought up by a matrix  $A$  is invertible if the transformation is from  $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $A$  will have its inverse matrix  $A^{-1}$ , and  $A$  is invertible.

Otherwise, dimension reduction occurs, and information is lost forever by the transformation, so the inverse is not attainable.

This is the idea elaborated by p25-27 of the textbook (4th edition). Matrix  $C$  in equation 11 on page 25 is not invertible, ie, *singular*, as it essentially brings  $\mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ . Wait...t, why does it bring  $\mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ ?

Figure 1.10 makes it clear. Building this intuition is all you need at this point of the course – it will come back later.

In this case, it is easy to spot if some column/row is a combination of the others. However, we need a systematic way to find that out. The *elimination* you are learning this week is one such method. The *pivot number tells you this*.

## 5. Solving Linear Equations

Now, let's extend the idea in section 4b. An  $n$  by  $n$  invertible matrix attains the full space in the same dimension of  $n$ . On the contrary, a matrix that is not invertible will transform vectors only to a *subspace* of the  $n^{th}$  dimensional space. This is essentially saying:

**There is some parts in the space the transformed vector cannot go  
if the transformation matrix is singular.**

- (a) With this perspective, can you explain Example 1 in Section 2.1 to me in column picture why there is no solution to the equations?
- (b) How about Example 2?