

**Example**

Suppose  $x \mapsto f(x; \theta)$  is the density of a uniform random variable on  $[0, \theta]$ . We observe four samples drawn from this distribution: 1.41, 2.45, 6.12, and 4.9. Find  $\mathcal{L}(5)$ ,  $\mathcal{L}(10^6)$ , and  $\mathcal{L}(7)$ .

$\mathcal{L}(5) = 0$ . Because  $f_5(6.12) = 0$   
6.12 on  $[0, 5]$

$$\mathcal{L}(10^6) = \left(\frac{1}{10^6}\right)^4 = 10^{-24}.$$

$$\mathcal{L}(7) = \left(\frac{1}{7}\right)^4 = \frac{1}{2401}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_X(\theta) = f_\theta(x_1) \cdot f_\theta(x_2) \cdots f_\theta(x_n)$$

As illustrated in this example, likelihood has the property of being zero or small at implausible values of  $\theta$ , and larger at more reasonable values. Thus we propose the **maximum likelihood estimator**

$$\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}} = \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{L}_X(\theta).$$

### Example

Suppose that  $x \mapsto f(x; \mu, \sigma^2)$  is the normal density with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ . Find the maximum likelihood estimator for  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$ .

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma})^2}$$

$$\log(f(x_i)) = -\log \sigma - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \log 2\pi - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{x_i - \mu}{\sigma}\right)^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \log(\sum (\mu, \sigma^2)) &= \log \left( \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \mu, \sigma^2) \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log f(x_i; \mu, \sigma^2) \\ &= -n \log \sigma - \frac{n}{2} \log 2\pi - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{\sigma^2} \\ &= -\frac{n}{2} \log \sigma^2 - \frac{n}{2} \log 2\pi - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{\sigma^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \log(\sum (x_1, \dots, x_n; \mu, \sigma^2))}{\partial \sigma^2} &= -\frac{n}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{(\sigma^2)^2} \\ &= -\frac{n}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2(\sigma^2)^2} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\partial \log(\sum (x_1, \dots, x_n; \mu, \sigma^2))}{\partial \mu} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(x_i - \mu)}{\sigma^2} = 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = n\mu$$

$$(1) \Rightarrow \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2, \quad \text{log likelihood function } \mu = \bar{x}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Plug in} \\ \bar{x} = \mu \end{array}$$

$$\frac{\partial \log \mathcal{L}_X(\theta)}{\partial \sigma} = -\frac{n}{2\sigma} + \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2 = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \log \mathcal{L}_X(\theta)}{\partial \mu} = -\frac{1}{2\sigma} \sum_{i=1}^n 2(X_i - \mu) = 0,$$

Maximum Point

$\downarrow$   
monotonely Increase

Consider a Poisson random variable  $X$  with parameter  $\lambda$ . In other words,

$$\mathbb{P}(X = x) = \frac{\lambda^x e^{-\lambda}}{x!}.$$

Verify that

$$\log(\mathcal{L}_X(\lambda)) = \log(\lambda) \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - n\lambda - \sum_{i=1}^n \log(X_i!).$$

Show that it follows the maximum likelihood estimator  $\hat{\lambda}$  is equal to the sample mean  $\bar{X}$ , and explain why this makes sense intuitively.

$$\begin{aligned}\log \mathcal{L}_X(\lambda) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \log(P_X(x_i, \lambda)) = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i \log \lambda - \lambda - \log(x_i!)) \\ &= \log(\lambda) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n x_i - n\lambda - \sum_{i=1}^n \log(x_i!) \\ \frac{\partial \log \mathcal{L}_X(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda} &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n x_i - n = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \bar{X}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}2^{\text{nd}} \text{ derivative} &= -\frac{1}{\lambda^2} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n x_i < 0 \quad (\lambda > 0, \text{Int.}) \Rightarrow \text{Local Maximum} \\ \text{decide Max or Min} &\quad \text{at } \lambda = \bar{X} \\ &\quad \downarrow \text{only one CP} \\ &\quad \text{Global Maximum}\end{aligned}$$

### Example

Suppose  $Y = X\beta + \epsilon$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , where  $\epsilon$  has distribution  $\mathcal{N}(0, I\sigma^2)$ . Treat  $\sigma$  as known and  $\beta$  as the only unknown parameter. Suppose that  $n$  observations  $(X_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_n, Y_n)$  are made.

Show that the least squares estimator for  $\beta$  is the same as the MLE for  $\beta$  by making observations about your log likelihood.

$$\epsilon \sim N(0, I\sigma^2) \Rightarrow f_\epsilon(y_i - x_i\beta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi n}} e^{-\frac{(y_i - x_i\beta)^2}{\sigma^2}}$$

$$\log \mathcal{L}_{(X,Y)}(\beta) = \log \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi n}} \right) - \frac{n}{2} \frac{(y_i - x_i\beta)^2}{\sigma^2}$$

$$\text{Maximum } (\log \mathcal{L}_{(X,Y)}(\beta)) \Rightarrow \text{Maximum } \left( -\frac{(y_i - x_i\beta)^2}{\sigma^2} \right) \Rightarrow \text{Min}_{\beta} \left( \frac{(y_i - x_i\beta)^2}{\sigma^2} \right) \hookrightarrow \text{Least Square}$$

### Exercise

(a) Consider the family of distributions which are uniform on  $[0, b]$ , where  $b \in (0, \infty)$ . Explain why the MLE for the distribution maximum  $b$  is the sample maximum.

(b) Show that the MLE for a Bernoulli distribution with parameter  $p$  is the empirical success rate  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ .

$$(a) f(x_i) = \frac{1}{b}$$

$$\sum_X f(x_i) = \left(\frac{1}{b}\right)^n \Rightarrow \text{Maximum} = b \Rightarrow \text{Minimum } (b \geq \max(X))$$

$\therefore b = \max(X_i) \Rightarrow \sum_X f(x_i) \text{ reach Maximum.}$

$$(b) f(x_i) = p^{x_i} \cdot (1-p)^{1-x_i}, x_i \in \{0, 1\}$$

$$\sum_X f(x_i) = p^{\sum x_i} \cdot (1-p)^{n-\sum x_i}, \log \sum = \sum x_i \cdot \log p + (n - \sum x_i) \cdot \log(1-p)$$

$$\frac{\partial \log \sum}{\partial p} = \frac{1}{p} \sum x_i - \frac{n}{1-p} + \frac{1}{1-p} \cdot \sum x_i = 0$$

why Maximum?

$$= \frac{1}{p(1-p)} \sum x_i = \frac{1}{1-p} \cdot n \Rightarrow p = \frac{1}{n} \sum x_i$$

$$2^{\text{nd}} \text{ derivative} = -\frac{1}{p^2} \sum x_i + \frac{n}{(1-p)^2} - \frac{\sum x_i}{(1-p)^2}$$

*Solution.*

(a) The likelihood associated with any value of  $b$  smaller than the sample maximum is zero, since at least one of the density values is zero in that case. The likelihood is a decreasing function of  $b$  as  $b$  ranges from the sample maximum to  $\infty$ , since it's equal to  $(1/b)^n$ . Therefore, the maximal value is at the sample maximum.

(b) The derivative of the log likelihood function is

$$\frac{d}{dp} \log \frac{p^s}{(1-p)^{n-s}} = \frac{s}{p} - \frac{n-s}{1-p},$$

where  $s$  is the number of successes. Setting the derivative equal to zero and solving for  $p$ , we find  $p = s/n$ .

[MLE]

(a) Find the maximum likelihood estimator for the family of geometric distributions with parameter  $0 < p < 1$ . (You don't need to prove that the value you find is actually a maximum; just differentiate the log-likelihood and solve for the zero).

(b) I simulated 10 independent samples from a geometric distribution with parameter  $p$  and got

[0, 4, 1, 3, 4, 3, 1, 14, 0, 13]

Use the maximum likelihood estimator to estimate the value of  $p$  that I used.

$$\Pr(X = k) = (1-p)^{k-1} \cdot p$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \Pr(X_i = x_i) = p^n \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n (1-p)^{x_i - 1}$$

$$\log \left( \prod_{i=1}^n \Pr(X_i = x_i) \right) = n \cdot \log p + \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - 1) \cdot \log(1-p) = n \cdot \log p - n \cdot \log(1-p) + \log \left( \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n x_i}{p^n} \right)$$

$$\frac{\partial \log \left( \prod_{i=1}^n \Pr(X_i = x_i) \right)}{\partial p} = \frac{n}{p} + \frac{n}{1-p} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{p^2} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{p \cdot (1-p)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{n \cdot (1-p)} \Rightarrow p = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} = \frac{1}{\bar{x}}$$

(b)  $\bar{x} = 4.3$

$$\hat{p} = \frac{1}{4.3}$$