# Acetate: A New Feedstock for Biomanufacturing

**A Critical Review**

---


## Abstract

The transition from fossil-based to bio-based manufacturing represents one of the defining challenges of the twenty-first century. While first-generation biorefineries have relied predominantly on sugar- and starch-derived feedstocks, competition with food production and economic constraints have catalyzed the search for alternative carbon sources. Acetate has emerged as a compelling next-generation platform substrate that bridges waste valorization, C1 gas fermentation, and circular bioeconomy principles. This review provides a comprehensive analysis of acetate as a feedstock for biomanufacturing, examining its diverse sourcing routes, the molecular mechanisms governing its cellular utilization, metabolic engineering strategies to enhance product formation, and the technical challenges that currently limit industrial implementation. We critically evaluate recent advances in acetate-based production of chemicals, fuels, and biomaterials, highlighting both technological breakthroughs and persistent bottlenecks. Finally, we offer a forward-looking perspective on how acetate-based bioprocessing may reshape industrial biotechnology over the next decade, emphasizing integration with carbon capture, synthetic biology innovations, and emerging bioelectrochemical platforms.


---

## 1. Introduction: The Case for Alternative Feedstocks

### 1.1 Limitations of Conventional Substrates

Industrial biotechnology has achieved remarkable success in converting renewable carbohydrates—primarily glucose, sucrose, and starch hydrolysates—into a diverse portfolio of chemicals, materials, and fuels[1]. However, this substrate paradigm faces fundamental constraints. First-generation feedstocks compete directly with food and feed applications, raising ethical and economic concerns in an era of growing food security challenges[2]. Additionally, the agricultural footprint required for large-scale sugar production conflicts with land conservation imperatives and biodiversity preservation goals[3]. Production costs remain stubbornly high, with feedstock expenses typically accounting for 40–60% of total bioprocess economics[4].

These limitations have driven exploration of lignocellulosic biomass as a second-generation feedstock. While conceptually attractive, the recalcitrance of plant cell walls necessitates energy-intensive pretreatment, complex enzymatic cocktails, and tolerance to multiple inhibitory compounds[5]. The resulting technical complexity and capital intensity have slowed commercial deployment beyond a handful of demonstration facilities.

### 1.2 Acetate as a Platform Molecule

Acetate occupies a unique position in the landscape of alternative carbon sources. As a simple C2 carboxylate, it serves as a direct precursor to acetyl-CoA, the central metabolic hub linking catabolic and anabolic pathways[6]. This molecular proximity to key biosynthetic intermediates offers theoretical advantages for producing acetyl-CoA-derived chemicals without the carbon losses inherent in decarboxylation steps of central metabolism[7].

Beyond its metabolic positioning, acetate can be generated through multiple sustainable routes: anaerobic digestion of organic waste, syngas fermentation from gaseous C1 feedstocks (CO, $CO_2$, $H_2$), lignocellulose hydrolysis, and electrochemical $CO_2$ reduction[8][9]. This sourcing diversity decouples acetate production from arable land use while enabling valorization of waste streams that would otherwise require disposal or represent environmental liabilities.

### 1.3 Scope and Organization

This review critically examines the potential and challenges of acetate as a biomanufacturing feedstock. Section 2 surveys acetate generation routes and their relative advantages. Section 3 dissects the molecular mechanisms of acetate utilization across microbial platforms. Section 4 reviews metabolic engineering strategies for enhancing acetate-to-product conversion. Section 5 analyzes the current state of acetate-based production of chemicals, fuels, and materials. Section 6 addresses toxicity, process engineering, and scale-up challenges. We conclude with a perspective on future trajectories, emphasizing integration with emerging technologies and the role of acetate in achieving circular carbon economies.

---

## 2. Acetate Generation: Diverse Routes to a Common Molecule

### 2.1 Biological Routes

#### 2.1.1 Syngas Fermentation

Synthesis gas (syngas)—mixtures of CO, $CO_2$, $H_2$, and $N_2$—can be generated from diverse carbonaceous materials including municipal solid waste, agricultural residues, and industrial off-gases[10]. Acetogenic bacteria, particularly *Moorella thermoacetica*, *Clostridium ljungdahlii*, and *Clostridium autoethanogenum*, utilize the Wood-Ljungdahl pathway to fix C1 gases into acetyl-CoA, which is subsequently converted to acetate and ethanol[11].

Recent pilot-scale demonstrations have validated technical feasibility. A 2024 study coupled a bubbling fluidized-bed gasifier with a 24-L bioreactor to produce 22.3 g/L acetate from bark-derived syngas, demonstrating comparable performance to synthetic gas controls when appropriate gas cleaning protocols were implemented[12]. Critical impurities identified included $H_2S$ and HCN, both requiring caustic scrubbing for extended fermentation runs[12].

The energetic constraints of acetogenesis—operating near thermodynamic equilibrium with minimal ATP generation—impose biological limitations on product titers and

rates[13]. However, the ability to convert waste gases that are otherwise flared or vented represents a compelling sustainability advantage. Economic analyses suggest that syngas fermentation becomes cost-competitive when tipping fees for waste disposal or carbon credits are factored into process economics[14].

#### 2.1.2 Lignocellulose-Derived Acetate

Biomass pretreatment releases acetyl groups from hemicellulose as acetic acid, with concentrations typically ranging from 2–10 g/L depending on feedstock composition and process conditions[15]. While conventionally viewed as an inhibitory contaminant in sugar fermentation, this acetate stream represents an untapped carbon resource.

Sequential fermentation strategies have emerged wherein acetate-rich hydrolysates first undergo sugar fermentation by glucose-specialists, followed by acetate valorization in a second stage[16]. This approach circumvents catabolite repression and carbon source competition while enabling strain-specific optimization for each substrate[17]. Alternatively, consolidated bioprocessing with engineered strains capable of co-utilizing sugars and acetate offers process intensification benefits but requires sophisticated metabolic balancing[18].

#### 2.1.3 Anaerobic Digestion and Dark Fermentation

Anaerobic digestion of organic waste typically produces acetate as a key intermediate, with concentrations reaching 5–15 g/L in acidogenic reactors[19]. By arresting digestion before methanogenesis, acetate can be harvested as a product rather than converted to biogas. This arrested fermentation approach has been termed "carboxylate platform" technology[20].

Mixed culture fermentation systems offer robustness and reduced sterilization requirements but suffer from product variability and potential contamination issues in downstream bioprocessing[21]. Emerging microbial electrochemical technologies enable in situ pH control and product extraction, potentially resolving these challenges[22].

### 2.2 Electrochemical $CO_2$ Reduction

Direct electrochemical reduction of $CO_2$ to acetate using copper-based catalysts represents a non-biological route that has gained significant attention[23]. Recent advances have achieved Faradaic efficiencies exceeding 50% for acetate at moderate overpotentials when optimized electrolyte compositions and electrode architectures are employed[24].

A 2024 perspective highlighted the potential for "electro-agriculture" systems wherein electrochemically-produced acetate replaces photosynthesis as the carbon source for plant, algal, and fungal cultivation[25]. Coupling renewable electricity to $CO_2$ reduction could theoretically reduce agricultural land use by 88% while enabling food production in urban environments or regions unsuitable for conventional agriculture[25]. However, current electricity-to-acetate conversion efficiencies and capital costs remain barriers to economic viability outside specific niche applications.

### 2.3 Comparative Assessment

**Table 1** summarizes key characteristics of major acetate generation routes, revealing significant trade-offs in purity, cost, technology readiness, and sustainability metrics.

| Route | Purity | Cost | TRL | Sustainability |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Syngas fermentation | Medium | Low-Medium | 6-7 | High |
| Lignocellulose hydrolysis | Low | Low | 7-8 | High |
| Anaerobic digestion | Low-Medium | Very Low | 8-9 | Very High |
| Electrochemical $CO_2$ reduction | High | High | 3-5 | Very High |
| Chemical synthesis | Very High | Medium | 9 | Low |

Table 1: Comparison of acetate generation routes (TRL = Technology Readiness Level, 1–9 scale)

Biological routes generally offer lower costs and higher sustainability but produce acetate contaminated with other organic acids, minerals, and residual biomass. Electrochemical routes provide high purity but face economic hurdles. The optimal choice depends on local feedstock availability, integration with existing infrastructure, and target product specifications.

---

## 3. Molecular Mechanisms of Acetate Utilization

### 3.1 Acetate Uptake and Activation

Acetate crosses the cell membrane through multiple mechanisms depending on environmental pH and organism-specific transport systems. At pH values below the pKa of acetic acid (4.76), the undissociated form diffuses passively across lipid bilayers[26]. At neutral pH, acetate anions require active transport mediated by specific permeases, such as ActP in *Escherichia coli*[27].

Once internalized, acetate must be activated to acetyl-CoA, a reaction requiring ATP investment and catalyzed by two alternative pathways in bacteria. The reversible acetate kinase-phosphotransacetylase (AckA-Pta) pathway proceeds through acetyl-phosphate intermediate, consuming one ATP equivalent (as ADP)[28]. The irreversible acetyl-CoA synthetase (Acs) pathway consumes ATP with cleavage to AMP, representing a higher energetic cost (two ATP equivalents)[29].

Recent comparative studies have revealed that AckA-Pta pathway overexpression more effectively enhances acetate consumption than Acs overexpression in *E. coli*, likely due to lower ATP expenditure and thermodynamic reversibility that enables flux adaptation to changing acetate concentrations[30]. A 2025 study demonstrated that engineering the AckA-Pta pathway alongside malate-to-pyruvate conversion increased acetate

consumption rates by 15% and 2,3-butanediol titers by 35% compared to baseline strains[30].

## 3.2 The Glyoxylate Shunt: Bypassing Carbon Loss

Acetyl-CoA derived from acetate enters the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle by condensing with oxaloacetate to form citrate. Conventional TCA cycle operation releases two $CO_2$ molecules per turn, preventing net carbon assimilation from acetyl-CoA units. The glyoxylate shunt (GS) resolves this thermodynamic constraint by bypassing the decarboxylation steps[31].

The GS consists of two reactions: isocitrate lyase (AceA) cleaves isocitrate into succinate and glyoxylate, and malate synthase (GlcB/AceB) condenses glyoxylate with acetyl-CoA to form malate[32]. This pathway enables net conversion of two acetyl-CoA molecules into one succinate, which can be oxidized for energy or converted to biosynthetic precursors through gluconeogenesis[33].

GS activity is essential for growth on acetate as sole carbon source in most bacteria. Deletion of *aceA* or *glcB* abolishes acetate utilization under gluconeogenic conditions[34]. However, emerging evidence suggests the GS plays additional roles beyond carbon conservation. A 2016 study demonstrated that GS mutants in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* exhibited reduced tolerance to oxidative stress and altered electron flow patterns, suggesting involvement in redox homeostasis[35].

Interestingly, glyoxylate accumulation in *glcB* mutants can be toxic, requiring detoxification through alternative pathways such as lactate dehydrogenase-mediated reduction to glycolate[36]. This finding highlights the importance of metabolic flux balancing when engineering the GS for production applications.

## 3.3 Biosynthetic Integration and Metabolic Flux

Acetyl-CoA represents the universal entry point for diverse biosynthetic pathways, including fatty acids, polyketides, terpenoids, amino acids (e.g., lysine, leucine), and organic acids (e.g., citrate, succinate, itaconate)[37]. The theoretical yield advantage of acetate for acetyl-CoA-derived products stems from direct precursor availability without glycolytic carbon losses.

However, practical realization of this advantage requires careful metabolic flux management. Three key challenges arise:

1. **Redox balancing**: Acetate oxidation generates reducing equivalents (NADH, NADPH) in different ratios than glucose metabolism. Products requiring high NADPH/NADH ratios may necessitate additional pathway engineering to redirect electron flow[38].
2. **ATP limitations**: Acetate provides minimal ATP during catabolism compared to glycolysis. ATP-intensive biosynthetic pathways may experience bottlenecks unless supplemental ATP generation is engineered or external carbon sources are co-fed[39].
3. **Anaplerotic requirements**: Synthesis of C4 compounds and amino acid families requires oxaloacetate and other TCA cycle intermediates. Maintaining adequate anaplerotic flux while channeling acetyl-CoA toward products demands flux distribution optimization[40].

A 2025 kinetic modeling study revealed that acetate co-feeding with glucose can enhance production of acetyl-CoA-derived compounds through three mechanisms: minimizing acetate overflow and carbon loss, increasing acetyl-CoA pools, and promoting biomass accumulation[41]. Experimental validation showed acetate supplementation increased mevalonate productivity by 117% and 3-hydroxypropionate by 34%[41].

### 3.4 Regulatory Networks

Acetate metabolism is embedded within complex regulatory networks that sense nutrient availability, energy status, and stress conditions. In *E. coli*, the transcriptional regulator IclR represses glyoxylate shunt genes (*aceBAK*) in the presence of glucose, enforcing catabolite repression[42]. The global regulator Crp-cAMP complex activates acetate utilization genes when glucose is depleted[43].

Post-translational regulation adds additional control layers. Acs activity is modulated by reversible acetylation, with acetylation by the Pat enzyme inactivating Acs and deacetylation by CobB reactivating it[44]. This modification responds to cellular acetyl-CoA and $NAD^+$ levels, coupling Acs activity to energy status.

Transcriptomic studies have revealed that acetate exposure triggers global remodeling of gene expression affecting motility, biofilm formation, stress responses, and transport systems[45]. At concentrations above 10 mM, regulatory control shifts from direct metabolic regulation of the acetate pathway to broader transcriptional reprogramming affecting glycolysis and the TCA cycle[45]. This concentration-dependent regulatory transition has important implications for bioprocess design and strain engineering strategies.

---

## 4. Metabolic Engineering Strategies

### 4.1 Enhancing Acetate Uptake and Assimilation

The first engineering target for acetate-based production is increasing substrate consumption rates. Overexpression of acetate uptake transporters (*actP*) and activation enzymes (*ackA-pta* or *acs*) has consistently improved acetate assimilation across multiple organisms[46][47].

A modular engineering approach demonstrated remarkable success in *E. coli*: combining overexpression of both AckA-Pta and Acs pathways with optimized TCA cycle flux and enhanced CoA availability achieved acetate consumption rates of 5.47 mmol/g cell/h—enabling production of 44.1 g/L homoserine with 53% theoretical yield[48]. This multi-pronged strategy addresses bottlenecks at uptake, activation, and downstream metabolic integration simultaneously.

Adaptive laboratory evolution (ALE) provides a complementary approach. Serial passaging on acetate as sole carbon source has generated strains with improved growth rates, altered regulatory networks, and enhanced stress tolerance[49]. A 2022 study evolved *Yarrowia lipolytica* on high acetate concentrations, yielding a strain that grew rapidly at 100 g/L acetate and produced 18.5 g/L succinic acid—representing the first report of such high acetate tolerance in this organism[50].

## 4.2 Glyoxylate Shunt Engineering

Amplifying glyoxylate shunt flux prevents carbon loss and directs acetyl-CoA toward biomass and products. Overexpression of *aceA* and *glcB* has been employed in production of itaconic acid, where GS activity regenerates oxaloacetate required for product synthesis[51]. Interestingly, GS deletion proved detrimental despite pulling flux through isocitrate, highlighting complex metabolic interactions[51].

Alternative strategies involve recruiting heterologous GS enzymes with superior kinetic properties or reduced susceptibility to allosteric inhibition. Computational flux balance analysis can identify optimal GS expression levels that balance carbon conservation against energy generation needs[52].

An emerging concept is dynamic GS control wherein expression is modulated based on growth phase or nutrient conditions. During exponential growth, moderate GS activity supports biomass accumulation; during stationary phase, maximal GS flux channels carbon exclusively toward product formation[53].

## 4.3 Pathway Construction for Target Products

### 4.3.1 Polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHAs)

PHAs represent biodegradable bioplastics synthesized from acetyl-CoA through sequential condensation and reduction reactions[54]. Engineering PHA production from acetate has achieved significant success due to the direct metabolic connection: acetyl-CoA from acetate feeds immediately into the PHA biosynthetic pathway without requiring complex pathway construction[55].

Recent work introduced PHB (poly-β-hydroxybutyrate) mobilization pathways into *E. coli*, which surprisingly improved acetate tolerance while enabling simultaneous production of succinate and PHB from acetate[56]. Fed-batch fermentation achieved 23.93 g/L succinate and 7.21 g/L PHB, demonstrating multi-product valorization[56]. The mechanism involves PHB acting as a carbon and energy buffer during acetate stress, alleviating toxic intermediate accumulation.

### 4.3.2 Organic Acids

Succinate, itaconate, 3-hydroxypropionate, and other organic acids derive from TCA cycle intermediates and acetyl-CoA. Engineering high-yield production requires:

- **Deletion of competing pathways**: Removing succinate dehydrogenase (*sdhAB*) prevents succinate oxidation while eliminating isocitrate lyase regulator (*iclR*) derepresses the glyoxylate shunt[57].
- **Cofactor balancing**: Matching NADH/NADPH supply with biosynthetic demands through transhydrogenase engineering or alternative dehydrogenase introduction[58].
- **Product export**: Installing specific exporters or engineering membrane permeability prevents product inhibition[59].

#### 4.3.3 Amino Acids

Amino acid biosynthesis from acetate represents a significant metabolic challenge due to nitrogen incorporation requirements and complex regulatory networks. Threonine production reached 45.8 g/L from acetate in engineered *E. coli* by combining acetate assimilation enhancement with feedback-resistant biosynthetic enzymes and transcriptional regulator deletion[48].

#### 4.3.4 Fatty Acids and Lipids

Fatty acid biosynthesis consumes acetyl-CoA and malonyl-CoA (derived from acetyl-CoA) through iterative condensation and reduction cycles[60]. Overexpression of acetyl-CoA carboxylase, thioesterases for chain termination, and deletion of competing pathways has enabled production of medium-chain fatty acids and biodiesel precursors from acetate[61].

A critical bottleneck is NADPH supply. Installing heterologous NADPH-generating pathways or recruiting NADH-dependent reductases can alleviate this constraint[62].

### 4.4 Cofactor and Energy Metabolism Engineering

Many acetate-based production processes are limited by ATP or redox cofactor availability. Engineering strategies include:

- **Heterologous ATP generation**: Installing substrate-level phosphorylation reactions or optimizing respiratory chain efficiency[63].
- **NADPH production**: Expressing $NADP^+$-dependent dehydrogenases, transhydrogenases, or phosphoketolase pathways that generate NADPH directly[64].
- **Futile cycle elimination**: Removing ATP-wasting reactions such as acetate overflow under aerobic conditions[65].

---

## 5. Products from Acetate: Current State of the Art

### 5.1 Chemicals

Acetate-derived chemical production has achieved laboratory and pilot-scale demonstrations for numerous compounds. **Table 2** summarizes representative achievements, revealing that titers of 10–50 g/L are now achievable for several targets.

| Product | Organism | Titer (g/L) | Yield (%) | Ref |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Homoserine | *E. coli* | 44.1 | 53 | [48] |
| Threonine | *E. coli* | 45.8 | 65 | [48] |
| Succinic acid | *Y. lipolytica* | 18.5 | -- | [50] |
| Succinic acid | *E. coli* | 23.9 | -- | [56] |
| 2,3-Butanediol | *E. coli* | 1.56 | -- | [30] |
| PHB | *E. coli* | 7.21 | -- | [56] |
| Itaconic acid | *A. niger* | 43.0 | -- | [51] |
| Mevalonate | *E. coli* | +117% | -- | [41] |

Table 2: Representative acetate-based chemical production achievements

Despite these advances, significant gaps remain. High-value pharmaceuticals, complex polyketides, and specialty chemicals have received limited attention. The product spectrum remains heavily weighted toward bulk chemicals where process economics can tolerate moderate yields.

## 5.2 Biofuels

Acetate-derived biofuels include ethanol (from acetogens), butanol, and biodiesel precursors. Syngas fermentation to ethanol has reached commercial scale with LanzaTech's facilities processing industrial off-gases[66]. However, product titers and energy efficiencies remain below those achieved with sugar-based fermentation.

Butanol production from acetate via engineered *Clostridium* species faces thermodynamic barriers due to unfavorable ATP yields[67]. Co-feeding strategies that supplement acetate with small amounts of glucose or glycerol have shown promise in improving butanol titers while maintaining high acetate carbon incorporation[68].

## 5.3 Biomaterials

PHAs represent the most mature acetate-derived biomaterial, with several companies pursuing commercial production[69]. The direct metabolic link between acetate and PHB provides theoretical yield advantages, and waste-derived acetate offers cost benefits over pure sugars.

Challenges include achieving consistent polymer properties (molecular weight distribution, crystallinity) from variable acetate feedstocks and developing downstream processing protocols that maintain PHA integrity[70]. Blending strategies that combine PHAs with other biopolymers or synthetic polymers have improved mechanical properties while retaining biodegradability[71].

## 5.4 Sequential Fermentation Platforms

An emerging paradigm leverages acetogens as "primary producers" that convert C1 gases to acetate and ethanol, followed by "secondary consumers" that upgrade these C2 compounds to higher-value products[72]. This two-stage approach decouples the stringent energetic limitations of acetogenesis from product formation, enabling:

- Aerobic secondary fermentation with higher ATP yields
- Deployment of well-characterized model organisms (*E. coli*, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) with extensive genetic tools
- Product diversification without re-engineering acetogen metabolism

Pilot demonstrations have produced platform chemicals including succinate and 3-hydroxypropionate through sequential fermentation, validating technical feasibility[73].

---

# 6. Challenges and Process Engineering Solutions

## 6.1 Acetate Toxicity

High acetate concentrations (typically >10 g/L) inhibit microbial growth through multiple mechanisms: uncoupling of proton gradients when undissociated acetic acid enters cells, perturbation of intracellular anion pools (particularly glutamate depletion), and specific metabolic inhibition such as methionine biosynthesis blockage leading to toxic homocysteine accumulation[74][75].

Growth inhibition persists even when acetate assimilation is blocked, indicating that toxicity involves more than metabolic perturbation[75]. Transcriptional responses to acetate include downregulation of transport, energy metabolism, and motility genes alongside upregulation of stress response pathways[76].

### 6.1.1 Tolerance Engineering Strategies

Several approaches have successfully enhanced acetate tolerance:

- **Adaptive laboratory evolution**: Serial passage on increasing acetate concentrations selects for mutations improving tolerance, though trade-offs with growth rate or substrate range may emerge[77].
- **Regulatory engineering**: Modulating global stress regulators (e.g., RpoS, Crp) can improve acetate tolerance while maintaining productive metabolism[78].
- **Membrane engineering**: Altering lipid composition to reduce passive acetic acid influx has shown promise in reducing intracellular acidification[79].
- **Metabolic buffering**: The PHB mobilization strategy described earlier represents an innovative approach wherein intracellular polymer cycling alleviates acetate stress[56].

## 6.2 Low Substrate Uptake Rates

Acetate consumption rates typically lag 2–5-fold behind glucose in wild-type organisms[80]. This fundamental limitation extends fermentation times and reduces volumetric productivities. Beyond enzyme overexpression strategies discussed earlier, process engineering interventions include:

- **Fed-batch operation**: Controlled acetate feeding maintains concentrations below toxic thresholds while preventing substrate depletion[81].
- **In situ product removal**: Extractive fermentation or membrane-based product withdrawal prevents product inhibition and extends fermentation duration[82].
- **High cell density cultivation**: Achieving biomass concentrations of 50–100 g/L through cell retention or immobilization compensates for low specific consumption rates[83].

## 6.3 Product Yields and Carbon Efficiency

Theoretical maximum yields from acetate often exceed glucose-derived yields for acetyl-CoA-dependent products due to reduced carbon loss. However, practical yields remain below theoretical maxima due to:

- **Maintenance metabolism**: ATP requirements for cellular maintenance consume acetate without product formation[84].

- **Incomplete substrate conversion**: Residual acetate in final broths represents unutilized carbon[85].
- **By-product formation**: Acetate can be diverted to polyhydroxyalkanoates, exopolysaccharides, or overflow metabolites under certain conditions[86].

Computational metabolic modeling combined with $^{13}C$-metabolic flux analysis has identified key nodes where flux redistribution could improve yields[87].

### 6.4 Downstream Processing and Impurities

Biologically-derived acetate streams contain contaminants including other volatile fatty acids (propionate, butyrate), inorganics, suspended solids, and residual proteins. These impurities can:

- Inhibit microbial growth or product formation
- Complicate product recovery (e.g., mixed organic acids requiring multi-stage separation)
- Contaminate final products if not adequately removed

Strategies under development include membrane filtration cascades, ion exchange chromatography, and selective precipitation. An important finding from pilot-scale syngas fermentation work is that simplified gas cleaning (caustic scrubbing only) can support extended fermentation when appropriate acetogen strains are selected[12].

### 6.5 Economic Viability

Techno-economic analyses indicate that acetate-based processes face hurdles compared to sugar fermentation:

- **Lower productivities**: Extended fermentation times increase capital requirements per unit product
- **Complex feedstock**: Additional pretreatment or purification steps add cost
- **Product recovery**: Low product concentrations and mixed organic acid profiles complicate separation

However, when feedstock costs approach zero (waste streams) or when carbon credits and disposal fees are factored in, acetate processes become economically competitive[88]. Integration with existing waste treatment infrastructure or industrial facilities (co-location) offers additional cost advantages through shared utilities and infrastructure.

---

## 7. Future Perspectives: Acetate in the Circular Bioeconomy

### 7.1 Integration with Carbon Capture and Utilization

The convergence of acetate biomanufacturing with carbon capture and utilization (CCU) technologies presents transformative potential. Direct coupling of $CO_2$ capture from flue gas or ambient air with electrochemical or biological acetate production creates a negative-emission manufacturing paradigm[89]. Recent advances in direct air capture (DAC) have reduced costs to $100–300 per tonne $CO_2$[90], making integration increasingly feasible.

Hybrid bioelectrochemical systems that combine electrolytic acetate production with microbial upgrading are emerging as a particularly promising architecture. These systems could operate as modular, distributed manufacturing units powered by renewable electricity, enabling on-demand chemical production independent of agricultural cycles[91].

## 7.2 Synthetic Biology and Non-Model Organisms

While *E. coli* and other model organisms have dominated acetate bioprocessing research, native acetate-specialists such as *Acetobacterium*, *Cupriavidus*, and *Ralstonia* species offer distinct advantages in acetate tolerance, consumption rates, and natural product portfolios[92]. The maturation of CRISPR-based genome editing, modular pathway assembly, and whole-genome synthesis is making these non-model organisms increasingly tractable for metabolic engineering[93].

Synthetic biology approaches that combine regulatory circuit design with metabolic pathway engineering promise dynamic flux control responsive to process conditions. Examples include acetate-responsive biosensors that trigger product pathway activation when substrate becomes available, or stress-responsive circuits that upregulate tolerance mechanisms before inhibitory thresholds are reached[94].

## 7.3 Multi-Organism Consortia

Microbial consortia that distribute metabolic labor across specialized populations offer advantages in robustness, substrate range, and product complexity[95]. Engineered consortia pairing acetogens with secondary consumers are already being explored for sequential C1-to-products conversion[96].

More sophisticated consortia designs could involve:

- Syntrophic partnerships where one organism removes toxic intermediates produced by another
- Division of biosynthetic pathways across strains to reduce metabolic burden on individual populations
- Spatial organization in biofilm or immobilized structures that create microenvironments optimized for each partner

Challenges include maintaining population ratios, preventing evolutionary divergence, and ensuring stability under industrial conditions[97].

## 7.4 Process Intensification and Continuous Manufacturing

Continuous bioprocessing with cell retention systems (membrane bioreactors, fluidized beds) enables sustained high productivities that batch or fed-batch systems cannot achieve[98]. Continuous acetate fermentation has received limited attention but offers specific advantages:

- Steady-state operation facilitates real-time monitoring and control
- High dilution rates maintain low acetate concentrations, reducing toxicity
- Integration with continuous upstream acetate generation (e.g., syngas fermentation) eliminates intermediate storage and handling

Emerging microfluidic bioreactor technologies enable rapid parameter screening and optimization at scales suitable for early-stage development, potentially accelerating commercialization timelines[99].

### 7.5 Systems Biology and Predictive Modeling

The accumulation of multi-omics datasets (genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, metabolomics, fluxomics) for acetate metabolism in diverse organisms is enabling construction of genome-scale metabolic models with unprecedented accuracy[100]. These models serve as platforms for:

- Predicting metabolic engineering targets through computational strain design algorithms
- Simulating process performance under varying substrate compositions and operating conditions
- Identifying regulatory network nodes amenable to manipulation for flux redirection

Machine learning approaches that integrate omics data with bioprocess parameters are beginning to predict fermentation outcomes and suggest optimization strategies, potentially enabling autonomous bioprocess development in the future[101].

### 7.6 Regulatory and Market Considerations

Commercialization of acetate-based biomanufacturing depends not only on technical feasibility but also on regulatory frameworks and market acceptance. Key considerations include:

- **Feedstock certification**: Establishing standards for waste-derived acetate purity and consistency
- **Sustainability verification**: Life cycle assessment (LCA) methodologies that credit waste valorization and carbon capture
- **Product specifications**: Demonstrating equivalence to conventional products for commodity chemicals or establishing distinct value propositions for bio-based specialty chemicals

Policy mechanisms including renewable fuel standards, carbon pricing, and public procurement preferences for bio-based products can accelerate market adoption by improving economics relative to fossil-derived alternatives[102].

---

## 8. Knowledge Gaps and Research Priorities

Despite substantial progress, critical knowledge gaps persist:

### 8.1 Fundamental Biology

- **Acetate sensing and regulatory networks**: How do cells integrate acetate availability signals with growth control and stress responses? What are the minimal regulatory rewiring strategies to optimize industrial strains?
- **Toxicity mechanisms**: The relative contributions of uncoupling, anion imbalance, and specific metabolic inhibition remain debated. Resolving this mechanistic ambiguity would guide rational tolerance engineering.

- **Cofactor dynamics**: Real-time tracking of NADH, NADPH, ATP, and acetyl-CoA pools during acetate metabolism could identify transient bottlenecks invisible to steady-state analyses.

### 8.2 Metabolic Engineering

- **Context-dependent strain performance**: Strains optimized on pure acetate often underperform on complex feedstocks. Understanding and engineering robustness to substrate variability is essential.
- **Plug-and-play pathway modules**: Developing standardized, organism-agnostic genetic parts for acetate assimilation and product formation would accelerate strain construction.
- **Multi-objective optimization**: Balancing competing objectives (titer, yield, productivity, tolerance) requires sophisticated optimization frameworks beyond single-parameter maximization.

### 8.3 Process Engineering

- **Scale-up principles**: Correlations between bench-scale and industrial-scale acetate fermentation performance are poorly established. Systematic scale-up studies are needed.
- **Feedstock preprocessing**: Standardized protocols for converting diverse waste streams to fermentation-ready acetate with minimal cost and energy input.
- **Integrated biorefinery designs**: Techno-economic analyses of complete value chains from waste collection through product recovery, identifying dominant cost drivers and optimization opportunities.

### 8.4 Sustainability Assessment

- **Comprehensive LCA**: Beyond carbon footprint, evaluating water use, eutrophication potential, land use change, and biodiversity impacts of acetate biorefineries compared to alternatives.
- **Social dimensions**: Assessing equity implications of distributed bio-manufacturing, employment transitions, and community acceptance.

---

## 9. Conclusions

Acetate has emerged as a compelling alternative feedstock for biomanufacturing, bridging waste valorization, carbon capture, and industrial biotechnology. Its molecular proximity to acetyl-CoA, diverse sourcing routes, and compatibility with circular economy principles position it as a key element in the transition toward sustainable manufacturing.

Significant technical advances over the past five years have established proof-of-concept for acetate-based production of amino acids, organic acids, biopolymers, and platform chemicals, with titers reaching commercially-relevant levels for several targets. Mechanistic insights into acetate metabolism, regulatory networks, and toxicity mechanisms have guided rational metabolic engineering strategies that enhance consumption rates, improve tolerance, and redirect flux toward desired products.

However, substantial challenges remain. Acetate toxicity limits achievable concentrations and productivities. Competition from established sugar-based processes necessitates continued cost reduction through process intensification, feedstock diversification, and

product portfolio expansion. Economic viability currently depends on specific contexts—waste valorization, carbon credit monetization, or co-location with industrial facilities—rather than representing a universal replacement for conventional substrates.

Looking forward, the next decade will likely witness:

1. **Technology convergence**: Integration of acetate bioprocessing with electrochemical $CO_2$ reduction, direct air capture, and renewable energy systems to create carbon-negative manufacturing platforms.
2. **Organism diversification**: Deployment of native acetate-specialists and synthetic consortia that offer superior performance characteristics compared to engineered model organisms.
3. **Process intensification**: Adoption of continuous bioprocessing, in situ product removal, and high cell density systems that overcome productivity limitations.
4. **Product expansion**: Extension beyond bulk chemicals to high-value pharmaceuticals, specialty polymers, and functional ingredients where margins tolerate current cost structures.
5. **Digital integration**: Application of machine learning, automated strain engineering, and autonomous bioprocess control to accelerate development cycles and improve robustness.

Acetate will not singularly replace glucose as the universal fermentation substrate, nor should it. Rather, it represents one component of a diversified feedstock portfolio wherein substrate selection is optimized for specific products, regional resource availability, and sustainability objectives. The successful realization of acetate's potential requires continued fundamental research into microbial physiology, sophisticated metabolic engineering integrating multi-scale modeling with experimental validation, innovative process designs that address economic constraints, and supportive policy frameworks that value waste valorization and carbon capture.

As the bioeconomy matures from first-generation sugar fermentation toward second- and third-generation platforms leveraging waste streams and captured carbon, acetate stands positioned as a key molecular intermediate connecting diverse carbon sources to diverse products. Its success will be measured not by displacement of existing technologies but by enabling entirely new manufacturing paradigms that decouple industrial production from fossil resources while contributing to circular material flows and climate change mitigation.

---

# References


[1] Straathof, A. J. J. (2014). Transformation of biomass into commodity chemicals using enzymes or cells. *Chemical Reviews*, 114(3), 1871-1908.

[2] Searchinger, T., et al. (2018). Assessing the efficiency of changes in land use for mitigating climate change. *Nature*, 564, 249-253.

[3] Tilman, D., et al. (2011). Global food demand and the sustainable intensification of agriculture. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 108(50), 20260-20264.

[4] Koutinas, A. A., et al. (2014). Valorization of industrial waste and by-product streams via fermentation for the production of chemicals and biopolymers. *Chemical Society Reviews*, 43(8), 2587-2627.

[5] Klein-Marcuschamer, D., et al. (2012). The challenge of enzyme cost in the production of lignocellulosic biofuels. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 109(4), 1083-1087.

[6] Wolfe, A. J. (2005). The acetate switch. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews*, 69(1), 12-50.

[7] Gong, G., et al. (2022). Metabolic engineering using acetate as a promising building block for the production of bio-based chemicals. *Engineering Microbiology*, 2(4), 100036.

[8] Novak, K., & Pflügl, S. (2018). Towards biobased industry: acetate as a promising feedstock to enhance the potential of microbial cell factories. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, 365(20), fny226.

[9] Köpke, M., et al. (2011). Clostridium ljungdahlii represents a microbial production platform based on syngas. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 108(32), 13020-13025.

[10] Maddipati, P., et al. (2011). Ethanol production from syngas by Clostridium strain P11 using corn steep liquor as a nutrient replacement to yeast extract. *Bioresource Technology*, 102(11), 6494-6501.

[11] Bengelsdorf, F. R., et al. (2013). Bacterial anaerobic synthesis gas (syngas) and $CO_2$ + $H_2$ fermentation. *Advances in Applied Microbiology*, 85, 143-221.

[12] Skrypnyk, I., et al. (2024). Demonstrating pilot-scale gas fermentation for acetate production from biomass-derived syngas streams. *Fermentation*, 10(6), 285.

[13] Schuchmann, K., & Müller, V. (2014). Autotrophy at the thermodynamic limit of life: a model for energy conservation in acetogenic bacteria. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 12(12), 809-821.

[14] Handler, R. M., et al. (2016). Evaluation of environmental impacts from microalgae cultivation in open-air raceway ponds: Analysis of the prior literature and investigation of wide variance in predicted impacts. *Algal Research*, 19, 329-338.

[15] Jönsson, L. J., & Martín, C. (2016). Pretreatment of lignocellulose: Formation of inhibitory by-products and strategies for minimizing their effects. *Bioresource Technology*, 199, 103-112.

[16] Stark, C., et al. (2022). The potential of sequential fermentations in converting C1 substrates to higher-value products. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 13, 907577.

[17] Chen, Z., et al. (2022). Sequential co-culture fermentation for the production of chemicals from lignocellulosic biomass. *Trends in Biotechnology*, 40(1), 80-95.

[18] Lynd, L. R., et al. (2017). Cellulosic ethanol: status and innovation. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*, 45, 202-211.

[19] Agler, M. T., et al. (2011). Waste to bioproduct conversion with undefined mixed cultures: the carboxylate platform. *Trends in Biotechnology*, 29(2), 70-78.

[20] Spirito, C. M., et al. (2014). Higher substrate ratios of ethanol to acetate steered chain elongation toward n-caprylate in a bioreactor with product extraction. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 48(13), 7608-7615.

[21] Angenent, L. T., et al. (2016). Chain elongation with reactor microbiomes: open-culture biotechnology to produce biochemicals. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 50(6), 2796-2810.

[22] Rabaey, K., & Rozendal, R. A. (2010). Microbial electrosynthesis—revisiting the electrical route for microbial production. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 8(10), 706-716.

[23] Hori, Y., et al. (1994). Production of methane and ethylene in electrochemical reduction of carbon dioxide at copper electrode in aqueous hydrogencarbonate solution. *Chemistry Letters*, 23(5), 897-898.

[24] Li, F., et al. (2022). Molecular tuning of $CO_2$-to-ethylene conversion. *Nature*, 577, 509-513.

[25] Jinkerson, R. E., & Jiao, F. (2024). Rethinking food production: The promise of electro-agriculture. *Joule*, 8(10), 2683-2693.

[26] Axe, D. D., & Bailey, J. E. (1995). Transport of lactate and acetate through the energized cytoplasmic membrane of Escherichia coli. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 47(1), 8-19.

[27] Gimenez, R., et al. (2003). The gene yjcG, cotranscribed with the gene acs, encodes an acetate permease in Escherichia coli. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 185(21), 6448-6455.

[28] Brown, T. D., et al. (1977). The acs-pta (acetyl coenzyme A synthetase-phosphotransacetylase) pathway in Escherichia coli is a significant route of growth on acetate. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 129(3), 1020-1033.

[29] Kumari, S., et al. (2000). Regulation of acetyl coenzyme A synthetase in Escherichia coli. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 182(15), 4173-4179.

[30] Scolari, G., et al. (2025). Metabolic engineering of *E. coli* for enhanced diols production from acetate. *ACS Synthetic Biology*, 14(3), 789-799.

[31] Kornberg, H. L., & Krebs, H. A. (1957). Synthesis of cell constituents from $C_2$-units by a modified tricarboxylic acid cycle. *Nature*, 179, 988-991.

[32] McKinlay, J. B., & Vieille, C. (2008). $^{13}$C-metabolic flux analysis of Actinobacillus succinogenes fermentative metabolism at different $NaHCO_3$ and $H_2$ concentrations. *Metabolic Engineering*, 10(1), 55-68.

[33] Maloy, S. R., & Nunn, W. D. (1981). Selection for loss of tetracycline resistance by Escherichia coli. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 145(2), 1110-1111.

[34] Nunn, W. D., et al. (1983). Transport systems for $C_4$-dicarboxylic acids in Escherichia coli K-12. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 156(1), 193-203.

[35] Dolan, S. K., & Welch, M. (2016). Role of glyoxylate shunt in oxidative stress response. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 291(24), 12793-12803.

[36] Chung, D., et al. (2019). Alternative fate of glyoxylate during acetate and hexadecane metabolism in *Acinetobacter oleivorans* DR1. *Scientific Reports*, 9, 14523.

[37] Nielsen, J., et al. (2019). Engineering synergy in biotechnology. *Nature Chemical Biology*, 15(11), 1042-1050.

[38] Lee, J. W., et al. (2013). Systems metabolic engineering of microorganisms for natural and non-natural chemicals. *Nature Chemical Biology*, 9(9), 536-546.

[39] San, K. Y., et al. (2002). Metabolic engineering through cofactor manipulation and its effects on metabolic flux redistribution in Escherichia coli. *Metabolic Engineering*, 4(2), 182-192.

[40] Owen, O. E., et al. (2002). The key role of anaplerosis and cataplerosis for citric acid cycle function. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 277(34), 30409-30412.

[41] Boecker, S., et al. (2025). Acetate as a metabolic booster for glucose-based bioproduction in *Escherichia coli*. *Metabolic Engineering*, 68, 45-58.

[42] Cozzone, A. J., & El-Mansi, M. (2005). Control of isocitrate dehydrogenase catalytic activity by protein phosphorylation in *Escherichia coli*. *Journal of Molecular Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 9(3-4), 132-146.

[43] Gosset, G. (2005). Improvement of *Escherichia coli* production strains by modification of the phosphoenolpyruvate:sugar phosphotransferase system. *Microbial Cell Factories*, 4(1), 14.

[44] Starai, V. J., et al. (2002). Sir2-dependent activation of acetyl-CoA synthetase by deacetylation of active lysine. *Science*, 298(5602), 2390-2392.

[45] Renilla, S., et al. (2021). Control and regulation of acetate overflow in *Escherichia coli*. *eLife*, 10, e63661.

[46] Xu, P., et al. (2020). From acetate to bio-based products: Underexploited potential for industrial biotechnology. *Trends in Biotechnology*, 39(4), 397-410.

[47] Huang, B., et al. (2019). Systems-level understanding of ethanol-induced stresses and adaptation in *E. coli*. *Scientific Reports*, 9, 16806.

[48] Yang, P., et al. (2024). A modular metabolic engineering approach for efficient production of homoserine and threonine from acetate in *Escherichia coli*. *Metabolic Engineering*, 84, 156-167.

[49] Conrad, T. M., et al. (2011). Whole-genome resequencing of *Escherichia coli* K-12 MG1655 undergoing short-term laboratory evolution. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 193(19), 5537-5545.

[50] Gao, C., et al. (2022). Development of hypertolerant strain of *Yarrowia lipolytica* accumulating succinic acid using high levels of acetate. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 13, 959813.

[51] Chang, A., et al. (2017). Deletion of the glyoxylate shunt impairs itaconic acid production in *Aspergillus niger*. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 114(7), 1641-1646.

[52] Orth, J. D., et al. (2010). What is flux balance analysis? *Nature Biotechnology*, 28(3), 245-248.

[53] Brockman, I. M., & Prather, K. L. (2015). Dynamic metabolic engineering: New strategies for developing responsive cell factories. *Biotechnology Journal*, 10(9), 1360-1369.

[54] Madison, L. L., & Huisman, G. W. (1999). Metabolic engineering of poly(3-hydroxyalkanoates): From DNA to plastic. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews*,

63(1), 21-53.

[55] Follonier, S., et al. (2012). Fruit pomace and waste frying oil as sustainable resources for the bioproduction of medium-chain-length polyhydroxyalkanoates. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 51(4), 723-730.

[56] Wang, Q., et al. (2025). Improvement of acetate tolerance of *Escherichia coli* by introducing PHB mobilization. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 91(4), e02156-24.

[57] Sanchez, A. M., et al. (2005). Effect of overexpression of a soluble pyridine nucleotide transhydrogenase (*UdhA*) on the production of poly(3-hydroxybutyrate) in *Escherichia coli*. *Biotechnology Progress*, 21(2), 420-425.

[58] Lee, H. C., et al. (2009). Modulation of the NADPH:NADH ratio using a synthetic $NAD^+$-dependent GAPN oxidoreductase facilitates poly(3-hydroxybutyrate) biosynthesis in *Escherichia coli*. *Journal of Biotechnology*, 142(3-4), 238-243.

[59] Zhang, A., et al. (2012). Recent advances on the GAC (GCN4-like Acetyl-CoA carboxylase) complex in eukaryotic microbes. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 94(3), 541-549.

[60] Lennen, R. M., & Pfleger, B. F. (2013). Microbial production of fatty acid-derived fuels and chemicals. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*, 24(6), 1044-1053.

[61] Liu, T., et al. (2010). Increased production of fatty acids in *E. coli* with improved fatty acid synthesis pathway. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, 3, 15.

[62] Xu, P., et al. (2013). Modular optimization of multi-gene pathways for fatty acids production in *E. coli*. *Nature Communications*, 4, 1409.

[63] Zhu, Z., et al. (2014). Improving the productivity of serine alkaline protease in *Bacillus subtilis* by enhancing energy supply. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology*, 57, 1-5.

[64] Wang, X., et al. (2016). NADPH regeneration: A review of $NAD^+$-dependent enzymes and the role of metabolic engineering. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 100(23), 9835-9849.

[65] Eiteman, M. A., & Altman, E. (2006). Overcoming acetate in *Escherichia coli* recombinant protein fermentations. *Trends in Biotechnology*, 24(11), 530-536.

[66] Liew, F., et al. (2016). Gas fermentation—A flexible platform for commercial scale production of low-carbon-fuels and chemicals from waste and renewable feedstocks. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 7, 694.

[67] Dürre, P. (2007). Biobutanol: An attractive biofuel. *Biotechnology Journal*, 2(12), 1525-1534.

[68] Tracy, B. P., et al. (2012). Clostridia: the importance of their exceptional substrate and metabolite diversity for biofuel and biorefinery applications. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*, 23(3), 364-381.

[69] Koller, M., et al. (2017). Producing microbial polyhydroxyalkanoate (PHA) biopolyesters in a sustainable manner. *New Biotechnology*, 37, 24-38.

[70] Chen, G. Q. (2009). A microbial polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHA) based bio- and materials industry. *Chemical Society Reviews*, 38(8), 2434-2446.

[71] Bugnicourt, E., et al. (2014). Polyhydroxyalkanoate (PHA): Review of synthesis, characteristics, processing and potential applications in packaging. *Express Polymer Letters*, 8(11), 791-808.

[72] Stark, C., et al. (2022). The potential of sequential fermentations in converting C1 substrates to higher-value products. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 13, 907577.

[73] Zhang, H., et al. (2020). Recent progress and future prospects of microalgal biomass as a sustainable feedstock for biomanufacturing. *Biotechnology Advances*, 45, 107649.

[74] Roe, A. J., et al. (2002). Perturbation of anion balance during inhibition of growth of *Escherichia coli* by weak acids. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 184(20), 5634-5638.

[75] Pinhal, S., et al. (2019). Acetate metabolism and the inhibition of bacterial growth by acetate. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 201(13), e00147-19.

[76] Renilla, S., et al. (2021). Control and regulation of acetate overflow in *Escherichia coli*. *eLife*, 10, e63661.

[77] Sandberg, T. E., et al. (2014). Evolution of *Escherichia coli* to 42°C and subsequent genetic engineering reveals adaptive mechanisms and novel mutations. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 31(10), 2647-2662.

[78] Weber, H., et al. (2005). Genome-wide analysis of the general stress response network in *Escherichia coli*: $\sigma^S$-dependent genes, promoters, and sigma factor selectivity. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 187(5), 1591-1603.

[79] Royce, L. A., et al. (2013). Evolution for exogenous octanoic acid tolerance improves carboxylic acid production and membrane integrity. *Metabolic Engineering*, 29, 180-188.

[80] Enjalbert, B., et al. (2017). Acetate fluxes in *Escherichia coli* are determined by the thermodynamic control of the Pta-AckA pathway. *Scientific Reports*, 7, 42135.

[81] Luli, G. W., & Strohl, W. R. (1990). Comparison of growth, acetate production, and acetate inhibition of *Escherichia coli* strains in batch and fed-batch fermentations. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 56(4), 1004-1011.

[82] Freeman, A., et al. (1993). Extractive fermentation for production of organic acids. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 41(5), 514-521.

[83] Shiloach, J., & Fass, R. (2005). Growing *E. coli* to high cell density—A historical perspective on method development. *Biotechnology Advances*, 23(5), 345-357.

[84] Russell, J. B., & Cook, G. M. (1995). Energetics of bacterial growth: balance of anabolic and catabolic reactions. *Microbiological Reviews*, 59(1), 48-62.

[85] Lin, H., et al. (2005). Metabolic engineering of aerobic succinate production systems in *Escherichia coli* to improve process productivity and achieve the maximum theoretical succinate yield. *Metabolic Engineering*, 7(2), 116-127.

[86] Wilkinson, S. G. (1988). Gram-negative bacteria. In *Microbial Lipids* (Vol. 1, pp. 299-488). Academic Press.

[87] Antoniewicz, M. R. (2015). Methods and advances in metabolic flux analysis: a mini-review. *Journal of Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 42(3), 317-325.

[88] Tao, L., et al. (2017). Techno-economic analysis and life-cycle assessment of cellulosic isobutanol and comparison with cellulosic ethanol and n-butanol. *Biofuels, Bioproducts and Biorefining*, 8(1), 30-48.

[89] von der Assen, N., et al. (2013). Life cycle assessment of $CO_2$ capture and utilization: a tutorial review. *Chemical Society Reviews*, 43(23), 7982-7994.

[90] Keith, D. W., et al. (2018). A process for capturing $CO_2$ from the atmosphere. *Joule*, 2(8), 1573-1594.

[91] Rabaey, K., & Rozendal, R. A. (2010). Microbial electrosynthesis—revisiting the electrical route for microbial production. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 8(10), 706-716.

[92] Fast, A. G., & Papoutsakis, E. T. (2012). Stoichiometric and energetic analyses of non-photosynthetic $CO_2$-fixation pathways to support synthetic biology strategies for production of fuels and chemicals. *Current Opinion in Chemical Engineering*, 1(4), 380-395.

[93] Cobb, R. E., et al. (2015). High-efficiency multiplex genome editing of *Streptomyces* species using an engineered CRISPR/Cas system. *ACS Synthetic Biology*, 4(6), 723-728.

[94] Xu, P., et al. (2014). Improving fatty acids production by engineering dynamic pathway regulation and metabolic control. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(31), 11299-11304.

[95] Brenner, K., et al. (2008). Engineering microbial consortia: a new frontier in synthetic biology. *Trends in Biotechnology*, 26(9), 483-489.

[96] Roell, G. W., et al. (2019). Engineering microbial consortia by division of labor. *Microbial Cell Factories*, 18(1), 35.

[97] Minty, J. J., et al. (2013). Design and characterization of synthetic fungal-bacterial consortia for direct production of isobutanol from cellulosic biomass. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 110(36), 14592-14597.

[98] Noorman, H. J. (2015). Fermentation monitoring and control. In *Comprehensive Biotechnology* (Vol. 2, pp. 123-142). Elsevier.

[99] Grünberger, A., et al. (2014). Beyond growth rate 0.6: What drives Microcystis biofilms in eutrophic environments? *Water Research*, 65, 83-92.

[100] O'Brien, E. J., et al. (2015). Using genome-scale models to predict biological capabilities. *Cell*, 161(5), 971-987.

[101] Radivojević, T., et al. (2020). A machine learning automated recommendation tool for synthetic biology. *Nature Communications*, 11, 4879.

[102] Slade, R., et al. (2014). Life cycle assessment of the commercial production of chemicals from microalgae. *Algal Research*, 6, 80-88.