Microbial Biodegradation of Polyethylene: From Chemical Recalcitrance to a Circular Bio-economy

1. Introduction: The Polyethylene Paradox

The Global Scale of the Polyethylene Problem

The Anthropocene is defined, in part, by the pervasive presence of synthetic polymers. Among these, polyethylene (PE) stands as the most produced thermoplastic globally, with annual production exceeding 122 million metric tons and a market value projected to surpass USD 210 billion by 2034.[1] As a family of polymers, PE accounts for more than 30% of all plastics produced worldwide. This dominance is distributed among three main types: high-density polyethylene (HDPE), which holds the largest market share at approximately 46%, low-density polyethylene (LDPE), and linear low-density polyethylene (LLDPE).[2] The utility of these materials is vast, with the packaging sector alone consuming nearly 55% of the total global output, a testament to PE's role in modern commerce, food preservation, and logistics.

This immense production volume is mirrored by an equally staggering waste crisis. An estimated 20 million metric tons of plastic litter enter the environment annually, a figure expected to rise significantly by 2040. The waste management infrastructure has failed to keep pace; global recycling rates for plastics are estimated to be a mere 9%, with another 12% incinerated.[5] The vast majority—nearly 80% of all plastic ever produced—has accumulated in landfills or the natural environment, where it can persist for hundreds of years.[5] This accumulation is not benign; it is a primary driver of biodiversity loss, ecosystem degradation, and a contributor to climate change, with impacts on human health, food safety, and economies worldwide.[4]

The Paradox of Utility and Persistence

The central challenge of the polyethylene problem lies in a fundamental paradox: the very chemical properties that make PE an exceptionally useful material are the same properties that confer its profound environmental recalcitrance.[7] Its durability, flexibility, excellent moisture barrier properties, and resistance to chemical attack are derived from its simple yet robust molecular structure—a long chain of repeating ethylene units, $(C_2H_4)_n$.[7] This chemical inertness, a feature highly prized in manufacturing, renders the polymer exceptionally resistant to natural degradation pathways. Society has, in effect, mass-produced a material designed *not* to be deconstructed, creating a legacy of waste that will outlast its utility by centuries. This duality presents a formidable scientific and societal challenge, demanding new strategies to close the loop on the PE life cycle.

The discourse on plastic pollution often fails to capture the nuanced reality of the PE waste stream. The PE "family" is not a monolith but a spectrum of materials with distinct structural properties and, consequently, different degrees of recalcitrance. HDPE, used for rigid containers and pipes, is characterized by a linear polymer structure that allows for tight chain packing and high crystallinity.[3] In contrast, the extensive branching in LDPE and LLDPE, used for flexible films and bags, disrupts this packing, resulting in more amorphous, less dense materials.[12] This distinction is not merely academic; it has profound implications for bioremediation. The amorphous regions of a polymer are far more accessible to microbial enzymatic attack than the dense, ordered crystalline regions.[12] Therefore, the market-driven prevalence of highly crystalline HDPE in durable goods and packaging presents a fundamentally greater biodegradation challenge than that of the more amorphous LDPE films. Any viable solution must account for this structural heterogeneity within the PE waste stream.

The Biological Frontier and Statement of Scope

In the face of this challenge, harnessing the metabolic potential of microbial communities offers a promising, if complex, frontier for a sustainable solution.[12] Biodegradation represents a pathway to break down PE waste under mild conditions, potentially converting it back into benign environmental components or even valuable new products, thereby contributing to a circular economy.[8] This review seeks to provide a critical and comprehensive assessment of the current state of PE biodegradation research. Moving beyond a simple catalogue of findings, the objective is to dissect the fundamental mechanisms of PE's molecular persistence, scrutinize the analytical evidence underpinning claims of biodegradation,

highlight key scientific controversies, and chart a course for future research. The ultimate goal is to evaluate the realistic potential of microbial processes to address the polyethylene paradox and to integrate this biological solution into a viable, circular bio-economy.

Table 1: Global Polyethylene (PE) Production and Waste Statistics (2024 Estimates)

PE Type	Global Production Volume (Million Metric Tons)	Primary Applications	Estimated Market Share (%)	Estimated Global Recycling Rate (%)
HDPE	~56	Rigid containers (bottles, jugs), pipes, caps, automotive fuel tanks	~46.1	<10% (29.3% for natural bottles in the US, 2018)
LDPE	~22	Films, bags, food packaging, agricultural films, coatings	~18.0 (Estimated)	<9% [5]
LLDPE	~44	Stretch wrap, flexible tubing, pouches, industrial packaging	~36.0 (Estimated)	<9% [5]
Total PE	**~122**	Packaging, construction, automotive, consumer goods	**100**	**~9%** [5]
Note: Market share for LDPE and LLDPE are				

estimates based on total production volume and HDPE's known share. Recycling rates are global estimates, which are generally low, with specific higher rates noted for certain product streams in developed regions.				

2. The Molecular Fortress: Why Polyethylene Persists

The extraordinary environmental persistence of polyethylene is not accidental but is a direct consequence of its fundamental molecular architecture. Its recalcitrance can be understood as a multi-layered defense system, where a combination of synergistic physicochemical properties creates a veritable "molecular fortress" that is highly resistant to both abiotic and biotic degradation pathways.

Physicochemical Barriers to Degradation

Four key properties work in concert to establish PE's durability and biological inertness:

1. **The Carbon-Carbon Backbone:** At its core, polyethylene is a saturated aliphatic hydrocarbon. Its backbone consists of exceptionally strong, non-polar carbon-carbon ($C-C$) single bonds (bond energy ~346 kJ/mol) and carbon-hydrogen ($C-H$) bonds (~411 kJ/mol).[17] This simple, repeating structure lacks the

reactive functional groups, such as the ester or amide linkages found in polymers like polyethylene terephthalate (PET) or polyamides, that are the primary targets for the hydrolytic enzymes commonly employed by microorganisms for polymer degradation. From a biological perspective, the PE backbone is essentially unrecognizable to the vast majority of microbial enzymatic systems.[13]

2. **High Molecular Weight:** Commercial PE consists of extremely long polymer chains, with molecular weights often ranging from tens of thousands to several million Daltons.[18] These macromolecules are far too large to pass through the microbial cell membrane for intracellular metabolism. Consequently, any biodegradation must be initiated by extracellular enzymes that attack the polymer surface.[13] This presents a significant challenge, as even if initial chain scission occurs, the resulting fragments often remain too large for microbial assimilation, requiring numerous successive enzymatic "cuts" to yield metabolizable oligomers.
3. **Hydrophobicity:** The non-polar nature of the hydrocarbon backbone makes the surface of PE highly hydrophobic.[13] This property creates a significant barrier to biodegradation, which typically occurs in aqueous environments. The hydrophobicity of the polymer surface repels water, hindering the access of water-soluble extracellular enzymes secreted by microorganisms. Furthermore, it impedes the initial, crucial step of microbial colonization and the formation of a productive biofilm, as many microbes struggle to adhere effectively to the inert, water-repellent surface.
4. **Crystallinity:** Polyethylene is a semi-crystalline polymer, meaning its structure is a composite of highly ordered, densely packed crystalline lamellae and disordered, loosely packed amorphous regions. This structural arrangement is a critical determinant of its biodegradability. The flexible polymer chains within the amorphous regions are sterically accessible to microbial enzymes. However, the chains within the crystalline regions are locked in a rigid, tightly packed lattice that is physically impenetrable to large enzyme molecules.[12] This makes the crystalline domains highly resistant to degradation, acting as a physical shield that protects the bulk of the polymer. The degree of crystallinity thus becomes a major rate-limiting factor for the entire biodegradation process.

These four barriers are not independent but are deeply interconnected. For example, the high molecular weight and linear structure of HDPE allow for a high degree of crystallinity, which in turn reinforces the inertness of the C-C backbone by making it physically inaccessible. The high molecular weight also creates a vast, uniform hydrophobic surface, making microbial attachment even more difficult. A successful degradation strategy must therefore overcome these defenses sequentially: it must first address the hydrophobic surface to allow for biofilm attachment, then attack the accessible amorphous regions, and finally find a way to disrupt the recalcitrant crystalline domains to achieve complete degradation.

Structural Variants and Recalcitrance (HDPE vs. LDPE/LLDPE)

The interplay of these physicochemical properties is best illustrated by comparing the main commercial grades of PE. The differences in their molecular architecture, driven by distinct polymerization processes, directly translate into a spectrum of recalcitrance.

- **High-Density Polyethylene (HDPE):** Produced via catalytic polymerization at low pressures, HDPE consists of primarily linear polymer chains with very little branching. This linearity allows the chains to pack together efficiently, resulting in a material with high density (>0.941 g/cm^3) and a very high degree of crystallinity (often 80–95%).[3] This highly ordered structure makes HDPE rigid, strong, and highly resistant to chemical attack, but also renders it the most recalcitrant form of PE to biodegradation due to the minimal accessible amorphous content.
- **Low-Density Polyethylene (LDPE):** Synthesized via free-radical polymerization at high pressure and temperature, LDPE has a highly branched structure containing both short and long side chains. This extensive branching disrupts the packing of polymer chains, leading to a lower density ($0.910–0.940 \text{ g/cm}^3$) and significantly lower crystallinity (typically 50–60%).[10] The larger proportion of amorphous regions makes LDPE more flexible and, in principle, more susceptible to microbial attack than HDPE.
- **Linear Low-Density Polyethylene (LLDPE):** LLDPE is a copolymer of ethylene with short-chain alpha-olefins (e.g., 1-butene, 1-hexene). It has a linear backbone but with many short, uniform branches. While it has a density comparable to LDPE, its structural properties and recalcitrance fall between those of LDPE and HDPE.

This structure-property-recalcitrance relationship is fundamental to understanding the challenge of PE biodegradation. The global waste stream is not a uniform material but a mixture of these variants, each presenting a unique set of barriers to microbial communities.

Table 2: Physicochemical Properties of PE Variants and their Implications for Recalcitrance

Property	High-Density Polyethylene (HDPE)	Low-Density Polyethylene (LDPE)	Linear Low-Density Polyethylene (LLDPE)
Molecular Structure	Linear chains, minimal branching	Highly branched (short & long chains)	Linear backbone with short branches
Density	0.941–0.965	0.910–0.940	0.915–0.925

(g/cm^3)			
Crystallinity (%)	80–95%	50–60%	60–70% (typical)
Mechanical Properties	Rigid, high tensile strength	Flexible, high ductility	High tensile strength and impact resistance
Primary Degradation Barrier	Extreme crystallinity, limited accessible surface	High molecular weight and hydrophobicity	High molecular weight and moderate crystallinity
Data compiled from sources.[3]			

3. Priming the Polymer: The Indispensable Role of Abiotic Degradation

While the ultimate goal is biological degradation, extensive evidence indicates that for high-molecular-weight polyethylene, significant microbial attack does not occur on the pristine, untreated polymer. Studies of PE films buried in soil for over 30 years have shown only partial degradation and negligible weight loss, underscoring the polymer's inherent resistance to direct microbial consumption.[12] The consensus emerging in the field is that an initial phase of abiotic degradation, driven by environmental factors, is an indispensable prerequisite for meaningful biodegradation. This abiotic weathering serves to "prime" the polymer, altering its chemical and physical structure to make it more amenable to microbial colonization and enzymatic attack. For PE in the environment, this abiotic phase is often the rate-determining step for its entire degradation cascade.

Mechanisms of Abiotic Weathering

The primary drivers of abiotic degradation in the environment are ultraviolet (UV) radiation and heat, which act synergistically in the presence of oxygen.

- **Photo-oxidation:** This process is initiated when UV radiation from sunlight provides the energy to break covalent bonds within the PE structure, generating highly reactive alkyl free radicals ($R\cdot$) on the polymer backbone. In an aerobic environment, these radicals rapidly react with oxygen to form peroxy radicals ($ROO\cdot$), which can then abstract a hydrogen atom from an adjacent polymer chain to form hydroperoxides ($ROOH$) and another alkyl radical. This initiates a chain reaction of auto-oxidation.[27] The unstable hydroperoxides subsequently decompose, particularly in the presence of heat or UV light, leading to a cascade of complex reactions (e.g., Norrish type I and II reactions) that result in two critical changes:
    1. **Chain Scission:** The polymer backbone is cleaved, reducing the average molecular weight of the PE.
    2. **Formation of Oxygenated Groups:** A variety of oxygen-containing functional groups are introduced into the hydrocarbon chains, including carbonyls (ketones, aldehydes), carboxylic acids, and esters.[26]
- **Thermo-oxidation:** Elevated temperatures, such as those found on sun-exposed surfaces, significantly accelerate the kinetics of these oxidative reactions. Heat promotes the decomposition of hydroperoxides, fueling the radical chain reactions and leading to more rapid chain scission and embrittlement of the material.[29]

Bridging the Abiotic-Biotic Gap

The cumulative effect of photo- and thermo-oxidation is the transformation of PE from a non-polar, hydrophobic, high-molecular-weight polymer into a more polar, hydrophilic, and lower-molecular-weight material. This transformation is crucial for bridging the gap to biological degradation in two ways.[30] First, the introduction of polar functional groups like carboxyls and carbonyls increases the surface energy and hydrophilicity of the polymer, which facilitates the attachment of microbial cells and the formation of biofilms.[20] Second, the reduction in molecular weight through chain scission produces shorter polymer fragments (oligomers) that are more physically accessible to the extracellular enzymes secreted by the colonizing microorganisms.

Recognizing the importance of this initial oxidative step, so-called "oxo-degradable" plastics were developed. These materials incorporate pro-oxidant additives, typically metal stearates (e.g., iron, manganese, cobalt), which act as catalysts to accelerate the process of abiotic photo- and thermo-oxidation, thereby shortening the time required to make the polymer susceptible to microbial action.[20]

However, this reliance on abiotic priming presents a significant environmental dilemma. While essential for initiating biodegradation, the abiotic fragmentation process is also the primary

pathway for the formation of microplastics (MPs) and nanoplastics (NPs).[13] If the rate of abiotic fragmentation significantly outpaces the rate of subsequent microbial mineralization of those fragments, the net result is not the removal of plastic from the environment, but its conversion from a macro-pollutant into a potentially more pervasive and bioavailable micro-pollutant. This kinetic imbalance casts considerable doubt on the net environmental benefit of technologies like oxo-degradable additives. Promoting rapid fragmentation without ensuring an equally rapid and complete biological cleanup risks exacerbating the problem of microplastic pollution. The central challenge, therefore, is not merely to initiate degradation but to synchronize the abiotic and biotic phases to prevent the accumulation of persistent intermediate fragments.

4. The Biological Offensive: Microorganisms and Enzymes at the Plastic-Biofilm Interface

Following abiotic priming, the weathered polyethylene surface becomes a potential substrate for microbial colonization. The biological phase of degradation is a complex process involving a diverse array of microorganisms that deploy a specialized enzymatic toolkit to attack the modified polymer. This process is not a simple, single-step reaction but rather a community-level effort that unfolds at the interface between the plastic surface and a structured microbial biofilm.

Microbial Colonizers: A Survey of Key Players

A wide variety of microorganisms capable of degrading PE to some extent have been isolated from diverse environments, including landfills, agricultural soils, marine sediments, and even the gut of insects.[34] The initial and most critical step in this process is the formation of a biofilm on the polymer surface. This allows microbes to adhere to the substrate and create a protected microenvironment with elevated concentrations of secreted extracellular enzymes and metabolites.

- **Bacteria:** Numerous bacterial genera have been implicated in PE degradation. Gram-positive bacteria such as *Rhodococcus*, *Bacillus*, and *Streptomyces* are frequently reported, known for their robust oxidative metabolic capabilities.[22] Gram-negative genera, including *Pseudomonas* and *Acinetobacter*, are also commonly identified, valued for their metabolic versatility and ability to degrade various hydrocarbons.[13]
- **Fungi:** Fungi, particularly saprotrophic molds, are considered highly effective degraders

due to their ability to secrete powerful, non-specific extracellular enzymes. Genera such as *Aspergillus*, *Penicillium*, and *Fusarium* are consistently found colonizing plastic surfaces and have demonstrated significant degradative capabilities in laboratory studies.[21] White-rot fungi, known for their ability to degrade the highly recalcitrant biopolymer lignin, are of particular interest due to the functional similarities between their enzymatic systems and those required for PE oxidation.

The Enzymatic Toolkit for a Recalcitrant Substrate

Because the C-C backbone of polyethylene is not susceptible to hydrolysis, the key enzymatic step is an initial oxidation. The enzymes believed to be responsible are primarily broad-specificity oxidoreductases.

- **Ligninolytic Enzymes (Laccases and Peroxidases):** A leading hypothesis is that the enzymes involved in lignin degradation are also capable of attacking PE. Lignin, like PE, is a large, complex polymer that is resistant to degradation. Lignin-modifying enzymes (LMEs) such as laccases, manganese peroxidases (MnP), and lignin peroxidases (LiP) are secreted by fungi and some bacteria. These enzymes are not highly specific; they work by generating highly reactive, diffusible chemical mediators or free radicals that can carry out non-specific oxidation reactions at a distance from the enzyme's active site.[19] This mechanism is particularly attractive for a substrate like PE, as it bypasses the problem of fitting a large, insoluble polymer chain into a specific enzymatic active site. Laccase-like multicopper oxidases (LMCOs) have been identified in PE-degrading bacteria like *Rhodococcus* and have been shown to have a degradative effect on PE film when expressed recombinantly.[36]
- **Alkane Hydroxylases and Monooxygenases:** Once the long PE chains are broken down into smaller oligomers, a different set of more specific enzymes can take over. Alkane hydroxylase systems, such as the integral-membrane alkane monooxygenase (AlkB), are widespread in hydrocarbon-degrading bacteria. These enzymes are known to catalyze the terminal or sub-terminal hydroxylation of n-alkanes, converting them into alcohols. These alcohols can then be further oxidized to aldehydes and carboxylic acids, effectively transforming the hydrocarbon fragments into fatty acid analogues. These can then be funneled into the cell's central metabolism via the β-oxidation pathway and the citric acid cycle.

The Central Controversy: Direct Enzymatic Attack vs. Indirect Oxidation

A major point of debate in the field concerns the precise mechanism of the initial oxidative attack on the PE backbone.

- **Hypothesis 1: Direct Enzymatic Attack.** This model proposes that an enzyme, such as a laccase, directly binds to a site on the polymer surface and catalyzes the abstraction of a hydrogen atom, initiating the oxidation process. While conceptually simple, this hypothesis faces significant steric and chemical challenges. It is questionable whether a non-water-soluble, high-molecular-weight polymer can effectively enter and be processed within the highly structured, aqueous active site of an enzyme.
- **Hypothesis 2: Indirect ROS-Mediated Attack.** A more chemically plausible model suggests an indirect mechanism. In this scenario, microorganisms secrete enzymes whose primary role is to generate highly reactive oxygen species (ROS), such as hydroxyl radicals ($•OH$), or to work in concert with small-molecule mediators. These small, diffusible, and highly reactive species then carry out the initial, non-specific oxidation of the polymer surface.[13] This mechanism circumvents the steric problem of direct enzyme-polymer interaction and is consistent with the known activity of ligninolytic enzyme systems. The growing body of evidence for the role of ROS and enzymatic mediators suggests this indirect pathway may be the predominant mechanism for the initial depolymerization of recalcitrant polymers like PE.

The evidence increasingly suggests that PE biodegradation is not the work of a single "polyethylene-ase" enzyme but rather a community-level process involving a division of labor. Pioneer species, likely fungi, may initiate a non-specific oxidative "etching" of the polymer surface via LMEs and ROS. This initial attack releases a heterogeneous mixture of oxidized oligomers, which then serve as a carbon source for a secondary community of bacteria equipped with more specific metabolic pathways, such as those involving alkane hydroxylases and β-oxidation. This consortium-based model effectively explains the observed diversity of PE-degrading microbes, the variety of enzymes implicated, and the consistently superior performance of mixed microbial cultures over single strains.[21]

The Role of Invertebrates

A novel and exciting avenue of research involves invertebrates that can physically consume and degrade PE. Larvae of the greater wax moth (*Galleria mellonella*) and the mealworm (*Tenebrio molitor*) have been shown to masticate plastic films and, in some cases, partially mineralize the ingested PE.[13] This degradation is thought to be mediated either by symbiotic microorganisms within the insect's gut or, as recent evidence suggests, by enzymes present in the insect's own saliva. This represents a unique paradigm that combines mechanical

fragmentation with a potent biological system, offering new possibilities for waste processing.

Table 3: Key Microbial Genera and Enzyme Classes Implicated in Polyethylene Biodegradation

Microbial Group	Genus	Implicated Enzyme Class	Proposed Role in Degradation	Key References
Bacteria	*Rhodococcus*	Laccase-like Multicopper Oxidase (LMCO), Lipase, Esterase	Initial oxidative attack on polymer backbone; metabolism of oligomers	[21]
Bacteria	*Pseudomonas*	Alkane Hydroxylase (AlkB), Peroxidase	Metabolism of oligomeric intermediates via β-oxidation	[19]
Bacteria	*Bacillus*	Lipase, Protease	Biofilm formation, degradation of additives, potential oligomer metabolism	[13]
Bacteria	*Streptomyces*	Peroxidase, Esterase	Oxidative degradation, particularly in actinomycetes known for complex polymer breakdown	[13]
Fungi	*Aspergillus*	Laccase, Manganese	Secretion of powerful,	[21]

		Peroxidase (MnP), Esterase	non-specific extracellular oxidases for initial attack	
Fungi	*Penicillium*	Laccase, Lipase	Secretion of extracellular enzymes, surface colonization	[13]
Fungi	*Fusarium*	Cutinase-like enzymes, Laccase	Potential attack on oxidized surface sites, ligninolytic activity	[21]

5. Measuring the Unseen: A Critical Appraisal of Analytical Methods

The Challenge of Proving Biodegradation

A significant impediment to progress in the field of polyethylene biodegradation is the widespread use of analytical methods that provide indirect or inconclusive evidence. Many studies in the literature have reported high degradation rates based on methodologies that are prone to misinterpretation and artifacts.[12] This has led to a "crisis of reproducibility" where claims are difficult to validate and compare, obscuring the true, slow rate of PE biodegradation and hindering the identification of genuinely effective microbial systems. True biodegradation, or mineralization, must be rigorously defined as the microbial conversion of the carbon within the polymer backbone into carbon dioxide (CO_2) under aerobic conditions (or methane under anaerobic conditions), water, and microbial biomass. Any analytical approach must be evaluated against its ability to provide unambiguous proof of this

specific transformation.

A Critical Review of Common Techniques

The methods commonly employed to assess PE degradation can be categorized by the type of evidence they provide, each with inherent strengths and critical limitations.

- **Visual and Microscopic Methods (SEM, TEM, AFM):** Techniques like Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM), Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM), and Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM) are invaluable for visualizing the physical interaction between microorganisms and the polymer surface. They provide high-resolution images of biofilm formation, surface colonization, and physical deterioration such as the formation of pits, cracks, and grooves.[39] **However, their fundamental limitation is that they document biodeterioration, not necessarily biodegradation.** The observed surface changes cannot definitively be attributed to the microbial consumption of the PE backbone. These changes could equally result from the abiotic erosion of the polymer or, more critically, from the microbial consumption of low-molecular-weight additives (e.g., plasticizers, stabilizers, fillers) that can constitute a significant fraction of a commercial plastic product. The loss of these additives can leave behind a porous, cracked surface that mimics true polymer degradation.
- **Spectroscopic and Thermal Analysis (FTIR, TGA, DSC):** Fourier-Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) is widely used to detect chemical changes on the polymer surface, specifically the appearance of new absorption bands corresponding to carbonyl ($C=O$) and hydroxyl ($-OH$) groups.[14] This provides strong evidence of oxidation, a necessary step in degradation. Thermal methods like Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA) and Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC) can reveal changes in the polymer's thermal stability and crystallinity, respectively, which can indicate structural degradation.[14] **The limitation of these techniques is that they demonstrate chemical modification, not biological assimilation.** While oxidation is a prerequisite for biodegradation, its presence alone does not prove that microorganisms are metabolizing the polymer's carbon backbone. The oxidation could be a purely abiotic process, or microbes could be oxidizing the surface without being able to mineralize the resulting products.
- **Indirect Measures (Weight Loss, GPC, Respirometry):** Measuring the gravimetric weight loss of a PE sample over time is the most frequently reported method due to its simplicity. **It is also the most notoriously unreliable.** The measurement is highly susceptible to artifacts, including the leaching of soluble additives, incomplete removal of attached biofilm, and absorption of moisture by the polymer, all of which can be misinterpreted as polymer mass loss.[12] Gel Permeation Chromatography (GPC) provides data on the molecular weight distribution of the polymer. A decrease in average molecular weight is indicative of chain scission. However, like surface changes, this does

not distinguish between biotic and abiotic processes. Respirometry, which measures the evolution of CO_2 from the system, is a much stronger method as it directly quantifies mineralization. **Its weakness lies in attribution.** In a complex system, it is difficult to prove that the evolved CO_2 originates exclusively from the PE backbone and not from the degradation of other trace carbon sources in the medium or the metabolism of leached additives.[42]

The Gold Standard: Isotope Labeling

The only analytical method that provides unequivocal, direct proof of PE biodegradation is the use of stable isotope labeling. In this approach, custom-synthesized polyethylene containing a known abundance of the heavy carbon isotope (^{13}C) is used as the substrate. By tracking the fate of the ^{13}C label, researchers can definitively demonstrate the conversion of the polymer's carbon backbone into key metabolic end-products. The detection of $^{13}CO_2$ via mass spectrometry provides unambiguous proof of mineralization. Furthermore, analyzing microbial biomass (e.g., through phospholipid fatty acid analysis, or PLFA-SIP) for the incorporation of ^{13}C can prove that the microorganisms are assimilating carbon from the polymer for growth.[14] Despite being the gold standard, studies employing ^{13}C-labeled PE are exceedingly rare due to the cost and complexity of synthesizing the labeled polymer. This scarcity of definitive proof is a primary source of the controversy and conflicting reports that characterize the field. To advance, the research community must adopt higher evidentiary standards, prioritizing isotope-tracing studies or, at a minimum, the use of multiple, orthogonal analytical methods on additive-free polymer substrates to build a more robust and reliable body of evidence.

Table 4: Critical Comparison of Analytical Techniques for Measuring PE Biodegradation

Technique	Principle	Information Provided	Strengths	Critical Limitations & Potential for Misinterpretation
SEM/AFM	Electron/Probe Microscopy	Surface morphology, biofilm formation, physical	High resolution, direct visualization of microbe-plasti	**Shows biodeterioration, not biodegradation.** Cannot

		erosion (pits, cracks)	c interface	distinguish polymer degradation from additive loss or abiotic weathering. [14]
FTIR Spectroscopy	Infrared Absorption	Changes in chemical functional groups (e.g., formation of $C=O$, $-OH$)	Sensitive to chemical changes, confirms surface oxidation	**Shows oxidation, not mineralization.** Cannot prove microbial assimilation of the polymer backbone. [14]
Weight Loss	Gravimetric Analysis	Reduction in total mass of the plastic sample	Simple, inexpensive, widely accessible	**Highly prone to artifacts.** Easily confounded by loss of soluble additives, moisture absorption, and incomplete removal of biofilm. [12]
GPC	Size-Exclusion Chromatography	Molecular weight distribution of the polymer	Quantifies chain scission and reduction in polymer size	**Does not distinguish between biotic and abiotic chain scission.** Cannot confirm microbial metabolism.

Respirometry	CO_2 / CH_4 Measurement	Rate and extent of mineralization to gaseous end-products	Direct measure of mineralization, the final step of biodegradation	**Attribution is challenging.** Difficult to prove the gas evolved solely from the polymer backbone vs. other carbon sources (additives, media).
^{13}C-Isotope Tracing	Mass Spectrometry	Tracks the fate of ^{13}C from the polymer into CO_2 and biomass	**Unambiguous proof of mineralization and assimilation.** The definitive "gold standard" method.	Expensive, requires custom synthesis of labeled polymer, technically complex. [14]

6. Accelerating Nature: Strategies to Enhance PE Biodegradation

The natural rate of polyethylene biodegradation, even after abiotic priming, is exceedingly slow, rendering it impractical as a standalone solution for the global plastic waste crisis.[25] Consequently, a major focus of current research is the development of strategies to accelerate and enhance this process. The most promising approaches recognize that PE degradation is not a purely biological problem but one that requires an integrated, multi-stage system. The emerging paradigm is a "chemo-biotechnological" or "bio-hybrid" process, where an efficient physical or chemical pre-treatment is tightly coupled with an engineered biological system to overcome the polymer's inherent recalcitrance.

Synergistic Pre-treatment and Biological Action

The goal of pre-treatment is to rapidly and controllably mimic and accelerate the natural weathering process, preparing the PE for microbial attack.

- **Advanced Oxidation Processes (AOPs):** These methods employ highly reactive chemical species, primarily hydroxyl radicals ($•OH$), to aggressively oxidize the polymer surface. One effective strategy is the combination of UVC irradiation with Fenton's reagent ($Fe^{2+} + H_2O_2$), a process known as UVC-Fenton. This AOP has been shown to increase the total oxidative index of PE by a factor of 135 and improve the efficiency of subsequent microbial degradation by 4.3 times. Other AOPs include plasma treatment, which uses ionized gas to create a variety of oxygen functional groups on the polymer surface, and photocatalysis. Photocatalysis involves incorporating semiconductor materials like titanium dioxide (TiO_2) into the plastic; when exposed to UV light, these materials generate ROS that attack the polymer backbone.[13] These methods offer a high degree of control over the initial oxidative step, far surpassing the slow and stochastic nature of environmental weathering.

Engineering Potent Biological Systems

Once the polymer is pre-treated, the challenge shifts to developing biological systems that can rapidly and completely mineralize the resulting oxidized fragments.

- **Microbial Consortia:** Building on the "division of labor" model, the use of defined microbial consortia is a powerful strategy. Rather than relying on a single microbial strain, synthetic microbial consortia (SMCs) can be constructed with members that have complementary metabolic capabilities.[19] For example, a consortium could pair a fungus efficient at secreting powerful oxidative enzymes for the initial breakdown with a bacterium that specializes in the rapid metabolism of the resulting oligomers via β-oxidation. Such consortia have demonstrated significantly higher degradation rates on mixed plastic waste compared to monocultures, showcasing the power of synergistic interactions.[21]
- **Enzyme and Metabolic Engineering:** Modern biotechnology offers tools to engineer both the enzymes and the microorganisms for enhanced performance. Protein engineering can be used to improve the catalytic activity, thermal stability, and substrate affinity of key enzymes like laccases or alkane hydroxylases.[43] Techniques like site-directed mutagenesis and directed evolution can tailor enzymes for the specific conditions of a bioreactor. Concurrently, metabolic engineering can be used to optimize microbial hosts. This can involve overexpressing genes for rate-limiting enzymes, deleting

competing metabolic pathways to channel more carbon from the plastic into desired products, or engineering cells to display the degradative enzymes on their outer surface, thereby overcoming secretion limitations and increasing the effective enzyme concentration at the polymer interface.[48]

- **Cell-Free Systems:** An emerging approach is the use of cell-free enzymatic systems. In this strategy, the degradative enzymes are produced recombinantly and then purified and applied directly to the plastic waste in a bioreactor.[50] This approach offers several advantages over whole-cell systems: it bypasses the limitations of cellular uptake and metabolism, allows for much higher enzyme concentrations, and enables the precise control of reaction conditions (e.g., temperature, pH) to maximize enzyme activity.[48] However, the major challenges for cell-free systems are the high cost of enzyme production and purification and the need to ensure long-term enzyme stability and reusability, often through immobilization on solid supports.

The future of PE bioremediation lies not in finding a single "super-bug" but in designing and optimizing these integrated, multi-stage processes. The challenge is shifting from one of microbiological discovery to one of biochemical and process engineering: how to efficiently couple a controlled chemical oxidation step with a robust, engineered biological system to achieve complete and rapid conversion of PE waste.

7. Outlook: From Degradation to a Circular Bio-economy

Addressing the Grand Challenges

Despite decades of research, the practical application of microbial biodegradation for polyethylene waste management remains a distant goal, beset by several grand challenges. The foremost of these is the extremely slow degradation rate of untreated, high-molecular-weight PE, which is orders of magnitude too slow for any industrial process.[25] Second, any strategy that accelerates abiotic fragmentation without ensuring complete and rapid mineralization risks exacerbating the environmental burden of microplastics.[20] Finally, the scalability and economic viability of proposed biotechnological solutions remain a major hurdle; any process must be cost-competitive with landfilling or incineration to be widely adopted.[25] Overcoming these challenges will require significant innovation and a paradigm

shift in how we approach the problem.

Frontiers in Discovery and Innovation

The next 5–10 years of research are poised to leverage powerful new tools and concepts that could fundamentally alter the landscape of plastic biodegradation.

- **Metagenomic and AI-Driven Discovery:** The "plastisphere"—the complex microbial communities that colonize plastic debris in the environment—represents a vast, untapped reservoir of genetic and enzymatic diversity. High-throughput metagenomic sequencing of these communities, combined with functional screening, can lead to the discovery of novel enzymes and metabolic pathways specifically adapted to plastic degradation. This discovery process can be dramatically accelerated by the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning. AI models can be trained on known enzyme structures and sequences to predict the function of novel proteins from metagenomic data and to guide the rational design and engineering of next-generation enzymes with enhanced stability, activity, and specificity for plastic substrates.
- **Beyond Mineralization: The Bio-Upcycling Paradigm:** Perhaps the most significant shift in the field will be the move away from the goal of simple mineralization (i.e., converting PE waste into CO_2) and towards **bio-upcycling**. In this model, PE waste is viewed not as a problem to be eliminated but as a valuable carbon feedstock.[15] The objective becomes the controlled, partial depolymerization of PE into valuable chemical intermediates. For example, engineered microbial consortia could be designed to convert PE into long-chain dicarboxylic acids, which are platform chemicals used in the production of nylons, polyesters, and lubricants. An even more ambitious goal is the direct conversion of PE waste into new bioplastics, such as polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHAs), a class of biodegradable polymers produced by many bacteria.[43] This approach creates a closed-loop system where non-biodegradable, fossil-fuel-derived plastic is transformed into a high-value, biodegradable biopolymer. By creating economic value from waste, bio-upcycling provides a powerful financial incentive that could drive the development and adoption of these technologies.

Concluding Perspective: Integrating Biology into a Circular Plastic Economy

Microbial biodegradation is not a panacea that will magically clean up the PE already accumulated in the world's oceans and landfills. Its true potential lies as a critical enabling

technology for a future circular plastic economy.[8] The most pressing need is for solutions that can handle mixed, contaminated, and multi-layer plastic waste streams that are currently deemed "unrecyclable" by conventional mechanical methods.

The path forward lies in the development of integrated industrial processes that combine controlled chemical pre-treatments with engineered biological systems. Imagine a facility where mixed plastic waste is first subjected to a precise photocatalytic oxidation process to reduce its molecular weight and functionalize its surface. The resulting slurry of oligomers is then fed into a bioreactor containing a synthetic microbial consortium specifically designed to convert these intermediates into a valuable product like PHA.

Realizing this vision will require a deeply interdisciplinary effort, breaking down the silos between polymer chemistry, environmental microbiology, synthetic biology, process engineering, and economics. Scientists must not only discover new enzymes but also work with engineers to design reactors that can handle solid-phase substrates. Economists and policymakers must create frameworks that incentivize the collection of low-value plastic waste and support the market for upcycled products. While the challenges are immense, the potential reward is transformative: a truly circular system where the concept of plastic "waste" is eliminated, and the polyethylene paradox is finally resolved.

References

IUCN. (n.d.). Plastic pollution. IUCN. Retrieved from https://iucn.org/resources/issues-brief/plastic-pollution
UNEP. (n.d.). Plastic Pollution. UNEP. Retrieved from https://www.unep.org/plastic-pollution
European Environment Agency. (n.d.). Plastics. EEA. Retrieved from https://www.eea.europa.eu/en/topics/in-depth/plastics
Waste Direct. (n.d.). Plastic Waste Statistics. Waste Direct. Retrieved from https://wastedirect.co.uk/blog/plastic-waste-statistics/
Wikipedia. (n.d.). Polyethylene. Wikipedia. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Polyethylene
Smart Turf. (n.d.). Polyethylene Explained. Smart Turf. Retrieved from https://smartturf.com/polyethylene-explained
Mecking, S. (2024). Designed-for-Recycling Polyethylene-Type Materials: Synthesis and Deconstruction. Chemical Reviews. Retrieved from https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemrev.3c00587
ResearchGate. (n.d.). Physical and chemical properties of most used plastics. ResearchGate. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Physical-and-chemical-properties-of-most-used-plastics_tbl1_348112187

Montazer, Z., Habibi Najafi, M. B., & Levin, D. B. (2020). Challenges with Verifying Microbial Degradation of Polyethylene. Polymers (Basel). Retrieved from https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7022683/
Ghatge, S., Yang, Y., Ahn, J. H., & Hur, H. G. (2020). Biodegradation of polyethylene: a brief review. Applied Biological Chemistry. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/341433719_Biodegradation_of_polyethylene_a_brief_review
Zhang, L., et al. (2023). Key Enzymes and Metabolic Pathways in Polyethylene Degradation by Rhodococcus sp. A34. Environmental Science & Technology. Retrieved from https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.est.3c03778
ResearchGate. (n.d.). Simplified scheme of the abiotic degradation of polyethylene by oxygen and light. ResearchGate. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Simplified-scheme-of-the-abiotic-degradation-of-polyethylene-by-oxygen-and-light_fig3_315670940
Scott, G. (2000). Environmental biodegradation of polyethylene. Polymer Degradation and Stability. Retrieved from https://epi-global.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/04/Environmental-biodegradation-of-polyethylene.pdf
Koutny, M., Sancelme, M., Dabin, C., Pichon, N., Delort, A. M., & Lemaire, J. (2009). Abiotic and biotic degradation of oxo-biodegradable polyethylenes. Polymer Degradation and Stability. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/229354156_Abiotic_and_biotic_degradation_of_oxo-biodegradable_polyethylenes
Andreani, C., et al. (2024). A Comparative Study of Pre-Treatments to Enhance the Degradation of Different Types of Plastic Films. Polymers. Retrieved from https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4360/16/3/359
Amobonye, A., Bhagwat, P., Singh, S., & Pillai, S. (2021). Plastic Degradation by Microbes: A Sustainable Approach. Frontiers in Microbiology. Retrieved from https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/microbiology/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2020.580709/full
Aamir, S., et al. (2024). Biodegradation of Low-Density Polyethylene and Polyurethane by Pedospheric Fungi and Bacteria. Polymers. Retrieved from https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4360/17/2/169
Mahugija, J. A. M., et al. (2022). Potential of Bacteria, Actinomycetes and Fungi from Morogoro, Tanzania for Degradation of Polyethylene Plastic Bags and Bottles. Frontiers in Microbiology. Retrieved from https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/microbiology/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2022.1077588/full
Zhang, J., et al. (2023). Microbial degradation of polyethylene: challenges and perspectives. Frontiers in Microbiology. Retrieved from https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/microbiology/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1181967/full
Shahriar, S. M. N., et al. (2023). Polyethylene Degradation by Microbes (Bacteria, Fungus): A Review. Journal of Global Ecology and Environment. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/371450494_Polyethylene_Degradation_by_Microbes_Bacteria_Fungus_A_Review

Wang, Y., et al. (2022). A Novel Laccase-like Multi-Copper Oxidase from Acinetobacter baumannii Rd-H2 Degrades Polyethylene. Polymers. Retrieved from https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4360/14/23/5127
Pollegioni, L., et al. (2021). The analysis of the enzymatic degradation of PET is key to establish a circular economy for this plastic. The FEBS Journal. Retrieved from https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33792200/
Urbanek, A. K., et al. (2025). Analytical Techniques Used to Detect Biodegradation of Plastics. Molecules. Retrieved from https://www.mdpi.com/1420-3049/30/11/2462
Mattonai, M., et al. (2025). Quantification of polyethylene in biodegradable plastics by analytical pyrolysis-based methods with GC split modulation. Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry. Retrieved from https://research.vu.nl/en/publications/quantification-of-polyethylene-in-biodegradable-plastics-by-analy
Devi, R. S., et al. (2020). Biodegradation of Low-Density Polyethylene by a Novel Strain of Brevibacillus borstelensis Isolated From a Landfill Site. Frontiers in Microbiology. Retrieved from https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/microbiology/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2020.603210/full
Khan, S., & Majeed, Z. (2021). A Review on the Role of Microbes in Polyethene Degradation. Journal of Basic & Applied Sciences. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Factors-affecting-the-process-of-biodegradation-of-plastics-by-microorganism_fig2_340000131
Encyclopedia.pub. (2023). Aging Degradation of Polyethylene. Encyclopedia.pub. Retrieved from https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/42013
Temporiti, M. E., et al. (2023). Engineering of synthetic microbial consortia for the degradation of polyethylene. Luleå University of Technology. Retrieved from https://ltu.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:1955313/FULLTEXT01.pdf
Li, J., et al. (2024). UV-Induced Photo-Degradation in Different Types of Polyethylene Sheets. Polymers. Retrieved from https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4360/16/19/2709
Uribe-Alvarez, C., et al. (2025). Photocatalysis as a Pre-treatment for Enhancing Plastic Biodegradation. Sustainability. Retrieved from https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/16/21/9395
Mukherjee, S., et al. (2025). Enhancing biodegradation of polyolefins and real mixed plastic waste by combination of pretreatment and mixed microbial consortia. Water Research. Retrieved from https://upliftproject.eu/wp-content/uploads/2025/02/Enhancing-biodegradation-polyiolefins.pdf
Zrimec, J., et al. (2021). Plastic-Degrading Enzymes Are Widespread in the Global Microbiome. mBio. Retrieved from https://journals.asm.org/doi/10.1128/mbio.02155-21
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. (n.d.). Plastics: Material-Specific Data. EPA.gov. Retrieved from https://www.epa.gov/facts-and-figures-about-materials-waste-and-recycling/plastics-material-specific-data
Grand View Research. (n.d.). Low-density Polyethylene (LDPE) - Plastic Waste Management Market Statistics. Grand View Research. Retrieved from

https://www.grandviewresearch.com/horizon/statistics/plastic-waste-management-market/polymer-type/low-density-polyethylene-ldpe/global
Fortune Business Insights. (n.d.). Polyethylene (PE) Market Size, Share & COVID-19 Impact Analysis. Fortune Business Insights. Retrieved from https://www.fortunebusinessinsights.com/industry-reports/polyethylene-pe-market-101584
Flexible Packaging Association. (n.d.). North American Polyethylene Market Report. FPA. Retrieved from https://www.flexpack.org/publication/RG93bmxvYWQ6MTI1Ng==/download
Virtue Market Research. (n.d.). Low-Density Polyethylene Market Size (2025 – 2030). Virtue Market Research. Retrieved from https://virtuemarketresearch.com/report/low-density-polyethylene-market
Gulf Petrochemicals and Chemicals Association. (2023). LDPE in focus. GPCA. Retrieved from https://www.gpca.org.ae/wp-content/uploads/2023/08/LPDE-in-focus-1.pdf
Zhang, K., et al. (2023). Aging Degradation of Polyethylene: A Review. Applied Sciences. Retrieved from https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/13/5/3045
SpecialChem. (n.d.). Polyethylene (PE) Plastic: A Comprehensive Guide. SpecialChem. Retrieved from https://www.specialchem.com/plastics/guide/polyethylene-plastic
Ghatge, S., et al. (2020). Biodegradation of polyethylene: a brief review. Applied Biological Chemistry. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/341433719_Biodegradation_of_polyethylene_a_brief_review
Tan, F., & Ruan, Y. (2021). Polyethylene Terephthalate Pollution and Its Remediation. Applied Sciences. Retrieved from https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8755403/
Xometry. (n.d.). What Is Polyethylene (PE)?. Xometry. Retrieved from https://www.xometry.com/resources/materials/polyethylene/
Montazer, Z., et al. (2020). Challenges with Verifying Microbial Degradation of Polyethylene. Polymers. Retrieved from https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7022683/
Das, M. P., & Kumar, S. (2025). An approach to biological degradation of plastic. 3 Biotech. Retrieved from https://d-nb.info/1260915557/34
Muhonja, C. N., et al. (2018). Biodegradability of polyethylene by bacteria and fungi from Dandora dumpsite Nairobi-Kenya. PLOS ONE. Retrieved from https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0198446
Temporiti, M. E., et al. (2023). Engineering of synthetic microbial consortia for the degradation of polyethylene. Luleå University of Technology. Retrieved from https://ltu.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:1955313/FULLTEXT01.pdf
Shahriar, S. M. N., et al. (2023). Polyethylene Degradation by Microbes (Bacteria, Fungus): A Review. Journal of Global Ecology and Environment. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/371450494_Polyethylene_Degradation_by_Microbes_Bacteria_Fungus_A_Review
Zhang, J., et al. (2023). Microbial degradation of polyethylene: challenges and perspectives. Frontiers in Microbiology. Retrieved from https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/microbiology/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1181967/full
Ghatge, S., et al. (2020). Biodegradation of polyethylene: a brief review. Applied Biological Chemistry. Retrieved from

https://scispace.com/pdf/biodegradation-of-polyethylene-a-brief-review-315bl64neh.pdf
ResearchGate. (2020). Analytical review on Biodegradation of Plastics. ResearchGate. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/344498876_Analytical_review_on_Biodegradation_of_Plastics
Urbanek, A. K., et al. (2025). Analytical Techniques Used to Detect Biodegradation of Plastics. Molecules. Retrieved from https://www.mdpi.com/1420-3049/30/11/2462
Neptune Journal. (2021). Biodegradation of Low Density Polyethylene (LDPE) by Streptomyces werraensis SDJM Strain. Neptune Journal. Retrieved from https://neptjournal.com/upload-images/(15)B-3772.pdf
Colachis, M., et al. (2023). Analytical Tools to Assess Polymer Biodegradation: A Critical Review and Recommendations. Science of the Total Environment. Retrieved from https://www.osti.gov/biblio/2438502
Fischer, D., et al. (2018). Quantification of Poly(ethylene terephthalate) Microplastics in Soil by Thermogravimetry–Mass Spectrometry. Analytical Chemistry. Retrieved from https://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/acs.analchem.8b00355
Hakkarainen, M., & Albertsson, A. C. (2004). Environmental Degradation of Polyethylene. Advances in Polymer Science. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/225711829_Environmental_Degradation_of_Polyethylene
Hong, Z. Y., & Yu, J. (2005). Environmental factors and kinetics of microbial degradation of poly(3-hydroxybutyrate-co-3-hydroxyvalerate) in an aqueous medium. Polymer Degradation and Stability. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/230041133_Environmental_factors_and_kinetics_of_microbial_degradation_of_poly3-hydroxybutyrate-co-3-hydroxyvalerate_in_an_aqueous_medium
Meereboer, K. W., et al. (2020). Review on the Biological Degradation of Polymers in Various Environments. Materials. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/346240650_Review_on_the_Biological_Degradation_of_Polymers_in_Various_Environments
Cerda-García-Rojas, C. M., et al. (2022). Mathematical Modeling of the Biodegradation of Plastics: A Review. Polymers. Retrieved from https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8840406/
Battegazzore, D., et al. (2020). Environmental Degradation of Plastic Composites with Natural Fillers. Polymers. Retrieved from https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7022390/
Ren, L., et al. (2025). Challenges of polyethylene (PE) biodegradation - A perspective. Biotechnology Advances. Retrieved from https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/40975460/
Peña-Artiga, C., et al. (2024). Biodegradation of plastics in agriculture: a review of recent advances and future perspectives. Frontiers in Microbiology. Retrieved from https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/microbiology/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1510817/full
Bertocchini, F., et al. (2023). The plastic-eating insects: A new paradigm in plastic biodegradation. PLOS Biology. Retrieved from https://journals.plos.org/plosbiology/article?id=10.1371/journal.pbio.3001979

Reports and Data. (n.d.). Polyethylene (PE) Market Size, Share, And Industry Analysis. Reports and Data. Retrieved from https://www.reportsanddata.com/report-detail/polyethylene-market
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. (n.d.). Plastics: Material-Specific Data. EPA.gov. Retrieved from https://www.epa.gov/facts-and-figures-about-materials-waste-and-recycling/plastics-material-specific-data
Marks & Spencer Solutions. (n.d.). Polyethylene Market Size, Share, Trends, Growth, and Forecast 2024-2030. Marks & Spencer Solutions. Retrieved from https://marksparksolutions.com/reports/polyethylene-market
American Chemistry Council. (2022). A Decreasing Footprint: Life Cycle Assessment for HDPE, LDPE, LLDPE, and PP Resins. ACC. Retrieved from https://plasticmakers.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/09/A-Decreasing-Footprint-LCI-HDPE-LDPE-LLDPE-PP.pdf
Fortune Business Insights. (n.d.). Polyethylene (PE) Market Size, Share & COVID-19 Impact Analysis. Fortune Business Insights. Retrieved from https://www.fortunebusinessinsights.com/industry-reports/polyethylene-pe-market-101584
Flexible Packaging Association. (n.d.). North American Polyethylene Market Report. FPA. Retrieved from https://www.flexpack.org/publication/RG93bmxvYWQ6MTI1Ng==/download
Danso, D., et al. (2025). Microbial plastic degradation: enzymes, pathways, challenges, and perspectives. Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews. Retrieved from https://journals.asm.org/doi/abs/10.1128/mmbr.00087-24
Amobonye, A., et al. (2021). Plastic Degradation by Microbes: A Sustainable Approach. Frontiers in Microbiology. Retrieved from https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/microbiology/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2020.580709/full
Amobonye, A., et al. (2020). Microbial Degradation of Synthetic Plastics. Journal of Polymers and the Environment. Retrieved from https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7726165/
Kenny, S. T., et al. (2024). Biodegradation of Plastics: Current Knowledge and Future Outlooks. Applied Sciences. Retrieved from https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/14/24/11942
ResearchGate. (n.d.). Microbial degradation and deterioration of polyethylene - A review. ResearchGate. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/259995084_Microbial_degradation_and_deterioration_of_polyethylene_-_A_review
Shahriar, S. M. N., et al. (2023). Polyethylene Degradation by Microbes (Bacteria, Fungus): A Review. Journal of Global Ecology and Environment. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/371450494_Polyethylene_Degradation_by_Microbes_Bacteria_Fungus_A_Review
Pagga, U. (1997). Biodegradable kinetics of plastics under controlled composting conditions. Polymer Degradation and Stability. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/49776613_Biodegradable_kinetics_of_plastics_under_controlled_composting_conditions
Stark, N. M., & Matuana, L. M. (2004). Surface chemistry changes of weathered HDPE/wood-flour composites studied by XPS and FTIR spectroscopy. Polymer Degradation and Stability. Retrieved from https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC6388198/

Zhang, L., et al. (2023). Key Enzymes and Metabolic Pathways in Polyethylene Degradation by Rhodococcus sp. A34. Environmental Science & Technology. Retrieved from https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.est.3c03778
Elsayed, M., & Kim, J. (2022). Estimation of kinetic constants in high-density polyethylene bead degradation using hydrolytic enzymes. Environmental Pollution. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/357673490_Estimation_of_kinetic_constants_in_high-density_polyethylene_bead_degradation_using_hydrolytic_enzymes
Al-Salem, S. M., et al. (2023). Thermal Degradation Kinetics of Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Microplastics Using TGA and an Artificial Neural Network. Processes. Retrieved from https://www.mdpi.com/2227-9717/11/2/496
Chamas, A., et al. (2020). Degradation Rates of Plastics in the Environment. ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering. Retrieved from https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acssuschemeng.9b06635
Wang, Z., et al. (2023). Engineering Escherichia coli for In Vivo Immobilization of PET-Hydrolyzing Enzymes onto Polyhydroxyalkanoate Granules toward Sustainable PET Plastic Recycling. ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering. Retrieved from https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acssuschemeng.5c04115
Numata, K., et al. (2025). Multiscale analysis of structural decay of amorphous poly(ethylene terephthalate) film by a PET-hydrolyzing enzyme. bioRxiv. Retrieved from https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2025.03.24.645130v1.full-text
Cherian, A. Q., et al. (2023). Complete Depolymerization of Polyethylene Terephthalate at Ambient Temperature Using a Yeast Whole-Cell Biocatalyst. ACS Environmental Science & Technology Letters. Retrieved from https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.estlett.5c00190
Kim, Y., et al. (2024). A Cell-Free Protein Synthesis Platform for Rapid Screening of Plastic-Degrading Enzymes. Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology. Retrieved from https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12283258/
Raoufi, H., et al. (2023). Enzymatic Degradation of Polyethylene and Polyethylene Terephthalate: A Mini Review. American Journal of Environment and Climate. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/373983620_Enzymatic_Degradation_of_Polyethylene_and_Polyethylene_Terephthalate_A_Mini_Review
Wu, Y., et al. (2023). Recent advances in PET-degrading enzymes: structure, mechanism, and engineering. Frontiers in Microbiology. Retrieved from https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/microbiology/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1265139/full
Peña-Artiga, C., et al. (2024). Biodegradation of plastics in agriculture: a review of recent advances and future perspectives. Frontiers in Microbiology. Retrieved from https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/microbiology/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1510817/full
UNEP. (n.d.). Plastic Pollution. UNEP. Retrieved from https://www.unep.org/plastic-pollution
Ghatge, S., et al. (2020). Biodegradation of polyethylene: a brief review. Applied Biological Chemistry. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/341433719_Biodegradation_of_polyethylene_a_brief_review
Amobonye, A., et al. (2021). Plastic Degradation by Microbes: A Sustainable Approach. Frontiers in Microbiology. Retrieved from

https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/microbiology/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2020.580709/full
Montazer, Z., et al. (2020). Challenges with Verifying Microbial Degradation of Polyethylene. Polymers. Retrieved from https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7022683/
Ghatge, S., et al. (2020). Biodegradation of polyethylene: a brief review. Applied Biological Chemistry. Retrieved from https://scispace.com/pdf/biodegradation-of-polyethylene-a-brief-review-315bl64neh.pdf
Pollet, E., et al. (2024). Recent advances in marine enzymes for plastic depolymerization. Marine Drugs. Retrieved from https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11509169/
Zhang, J., et al. (2023). Microbial degradation of polyethylene: challenges and perspectives. Frontiers in Microbiology. Retrieved from https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/microbiology/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1181967/full
ResearchGate. (2013). The effect of pH on the hydrolytic degradation of poly(e-caprolactone)-block-poly(ethylene glycol) copolymers. ResearchGate. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/257025533_The_effect_of_pH_on_the_hydrolytic_degradation_of_polye-caprolactone-block-polyethylene_glycol_copolymers
Scribd. (n.d.). The Effect of PH Temperature On Plastic. Scribd. Retrieved from https://www.scribd.com/document/724337189/The-effect-of-pH-temperature-on-plastic
ResearchGate. (2020). Effect of pH on Hydrolysis of Biodegradable Polyethylene Terephthalate. ResearchGate. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/342336884_Effect_of_pH_on_Hydrolysis_of_Biodegradable_Polyethylene_Terephthalate
Manenti, F., et al. (2015). Microkinetic Modeling of Polylactic Acid Hydrolytic Degradation. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research. Retrieved from https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.iecr.5c00540
NHSJS. (2023). The Degradation Kinetics of Commercially Available Polylactic Acid (PLA) Materials Under Differing pH Levels. National High School Journal of Science. Retrieved from https://nhsjs.com/2023/the-degradation-kinetics-of-commercially-available-polylactic-acid-pla-materials-under-differing-ph-levels/
IWA Publishing. (2023). Investigating biodegradation of polyethylene and polypropylene microplastics by isolated bacterial strains from municipal wastewater. Water Science & Technology. Retrieved from https://iwaponline.com/wst/article/88/11/2996/98692/Investigating-biodegradation-of-polyethylene-and

---

Download Options:

- (placeholder_link.pdf)
- (placeholder_link.docx)

Works cited

1. Polyethylene Market by Type (HDPE, LDPE ... - Reports and Data, accessed on October 23, 2025,

https://www.reportsanddata.com/report-detail/polyethylene-market
2. Polyethylene Market Size, Trends, Growth | Forecast Till 2030, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://marksparksolutions.com/reports/polyethylene-market
3. Polyethylene Market Size, Share, Growth | Industry Outlook 2032 - Fortune Business Insights, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.fortunebusinessinsights.com/industry-reports/polyethylene-pe-market-101584
4. Plastic pollution - resource - IUCN, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://iucn.org/resources/issues-brief/plastic-pollution
5. Plastics | In-depth topics | European Environment Agency (EEA), accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.eea.europa.eu/en/topics/in-depth/plastics
6. Plastic Waste Statistics & Trends (UPDATED 2025), accessed on October 23, 2025, https://wastedirect.co.uk/blog/plastic-waste-statistics/
7. Understanding Polyethylene: Properties, Uses, and FAQs | Smart Turf® Artificial Grass, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://smartturf.com/polyethylene-explained
8. Plastic Pollution - UNEP, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.unep.org/plastic-pollution
9. Polyethylene - Wikipedia, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Polyethylene
10. Polyethylene (PE Plastic) – Structure, Properties & Toxicity - SpecialChem, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.specialchem.com/plastics/guide/polyethylene-plastic
11. Polyethylene (PE): Structure, Properties, and Applications - Xometry, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.xometry.com/resources/materials/polyethylene/
12. Challenges with Verifying Microbial Degradation of Polyethylene - PMC - PubMed Central, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7022683/
13. (PDF) Biodegradation of polyethylene: a brief review - ResearchGate, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/341433719_Biodegradation_of_polyethylene_a_brief_review
14. Biodegradation of polyethylene: a brief review - SciSpace, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://scispace.com/pdf/biodegradation-of-polyethylene-a-brief-review-315bl64neh.pdf
15. Microbial and Enzymatic Biodegradation of Plastic Waste for a Circular Economy - MDPI, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/14/24/11942
16. Synthesis and Deconstruction of Polyethylene-type Materials ..., accessed on October 23, 2025, https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemrev.3c00587
17. Physical and chemical properties of most used plastics. - ResearchGate, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Physical-and-chemical-properties-of-most-used-plastics_tbl1_348112187

18. Polyethylene biodegradation - DiVA portal, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://ltu.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:1955313/FULLTEXT01.pdf
19. Study of Factors Affecting UV-Induced Photo-Degradation in ... - MDPI, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4360/16/19/2709
20. Factors affecting the process of biodegradation of plastics by microorganism - ResearchGate, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Factors-affecting-the-process-of-biodegradation-of-plastics-by-microorganism_fig2_340000131
21. Polyethylene Degradation by Microbes (Bacteria & Fungus): A Review - ResearchGate, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/371450494_Polyethylene_Degradation_by_Microbes_Bacteria_Fungus_A_Review
22. Microbial and Enzymatic Degradation of Synthetic Plastics - Frontiers, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/microbiology/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2020.580709/full
23. Challenges of polyethylene (PE) biodegradation - A perspective, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/40975460/
24. Environmental Degradation of Polyethylene | Request PDF - ResearchGate, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/225711829_Environmental_Degradation_of_Polyethylene
25. Simplified scheme of the abiotic degradation of polyethylene by oxygen... - ResearchGate, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Simplified-scheme-of-the-abiotic-degradation-of-polyethylene-by-oxygen-and-light_fig3_315670940
26. A Review of Degradation and Life Prediction of Polyethylene - MDPI, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/13/5/3045
27. Degradation and Life Prediction of Polyethylene - Encyclopedia.pub, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/42013
28. (PDF) Abiotic and biotic degradation of oxo-biodegradable ..., accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/229354156_Abiotic_and_biotic_degradation_of_oxo-biodegradable_polyethylenes
29. Effect of Abiotic Treatments on Agricultural Plastic Waste: Efficiency of the Degradation Processes - MDPI, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4360/16/3/359
30. Environmental biodegradation of polyethylene | EPI Global, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://epi-global.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/04/Environmental-biodegradation-of-polyethylene.pdf
31. Why have we not yet solved the challenge of plastic degradation by ..., accessed on October 23, 2025, https://journals.plos.org/plosbiology/article?id=10.1371/journal.pbio.3001979
32. Degradation of polyethylene plastic bags and bottles using microorganisms

isolated from soils of Morogoro, Tanzania - Frontiers, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/microbiology/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2022.1077588/full
33. Microbial degradation and deterioration of polyethylene – A review | Request PDF, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/259995084_Microbial_degradation_and_deterioration_of_polyethylene_-_A_review
34. Polyethylene Degradation by a Rhodococcous Strain Isolated from Naturally Weathered Plastic Waste Enrichment | Environmental Science & Technology - ACS Publications, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.est.3c03778
35. Biodegradability of polyethylene by bacteria and fungi from Dandora ..., accessed on October 23, 2025, https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0198446
36. Microbial Biodegradation of Synthetic Polyethylene and Polyurethane Polymers by Pedospheric Microbes: Towards Sustainable Environmental Management - MDPI, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4360/17/2/169
37. Biodegradation of Unpretreated Low-Density Polyethylene (LDPE) by Stenotrophomonas sp. and Achromobacter sp., Isolated From Waste Dumpsite and Drilling Fluid - Frontiers, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/microbiology/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2020.603210/full
38. Assembly strategies for polyethylene-degrading microbial ... - Frontiers, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/microbiology/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1181967/full
39. Screening of Polyethylene-Degrading Bacteria from Rhyzopertha Dominica and Evaluation of Its Key Enzymes Degrading Polyethylene - MDPI, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4360/14/23/5127
40. (PDF) Analytical review on Biodegradation of Plastics - ResearchGate, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/344498876_Analytical_review_on_Biodegradation_of_Plastics
41. Enhancing biodegradation of polyolefins and real mixed plastic ..., accessed on October 23, 2025, https://upliftproject.eu/wp-content/uploads/2025/02/Enhancing-biodegradation-polyiolefins.pdf
42. Current Approaches to Microplastics Detection and Plastic Biodegradation - MDPI, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/1420-3049/30/11/2462
43. Quantitative Analysis of Poly(ethylene terephthalate) Microplastics in Soil via Thermogravimetry–Mass Spectrometry | Analytical Chemistry - ACS Publications, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/acs.analchem.8b00355

44. Status and Enhancement Techniques of Plastic Waste Degradation in the Environment: A Review - MDPI, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/16/21/9395
45. Plastic-Degrading Potential across the Global Microbiome ..., accessed on October 23, 2025, https://journals.asm.org/doi/10.1128/mbio.02155-21
46. High-Efficiency Biocatalytic Degradation of Polyethylene Terephthalate via Engineered Biopolymer-Immobilized Enzymes for Sustainable Plastic Recycling - ACS Publications, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acssuschemeng.5c04115
47. Complete Enzymatic Depolymerization of Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Plastic Using a Saccharomyces cerevisiae-Based Whole-Cell Biocatalyst | Environmental Science & Technology Letters - ACS Publications, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.estlett.5c00190
48. Structural decay of poly(ethylene terephthalate) by enzymatic degradation - bioRxiv, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2025.03.24.645130v1.full-text
49. Rapid Screening of Plastic-Degrading Enzymes Using an Optimized Cell-Free Protein Synthesis Platform - PMC, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12283258/
50. (PDF) Enzymatic Degradation of Polyethylene and Polyethylene ..., accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/373983620_Enzymatic_Degradation_of_Polyethylene_and_Polyethylene_Terephthalate_A_Mini_Review
51. Global perspectives on the biodegradation of LDPE in ... - Frontiers, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/microbiology/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1510817/full