Anabaena: A Photosynthetic Chassis for Sustainable Space Exploration

Abstract

The transition from exploratory to sustained human presence on Mars and beyond necessitates radical innovations in life-support systems that minimize Earth-dependent resource imports. Cyanobacteria, particularly filamentous nitrogen-fixing species of the genus *Anabaena*, have emerged as compelling biological chassis for bioregenerative life-support systems (BLSS) and in situ resource utilization (ISRU) strategies in extraterrestrial environments. These photosynthetic prokaryotes offer unique advantages: atmospheric nitrogen fixation via specialized heterocyst cells, efficient CO_2 conversion into biomass and oxygen, capacity for growth using minimal local resources (regolith minerals, atmospheric gases), and genetic tractability for synthetic biology applications. Recent studies have validated the growth of *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7938 under Mars-analog atmospheric conditions (low pressure N_2/CO_2 mixtures) and demonstrated biomass production using Mars regolith simulants, establishing proof-of-concept for cyanobacterium-based ISRU. However, substantial challenges remain: productivity optimization under extraterrestrial constraints, genetic stability during prolonged cultivation, radiation tolerance enhancement, and integration into complete bioregenerative systems with secondary consumers. This review critically examines the current state of *Anabaena* as a space biotechnology platform, analyzing its physiological capabilities, synthetic biology toolbox development, integration challenges in life-support architectures, and comparative advantages over alternative microbial chassis. We argue that while *Anabaena* represents a promising foundation, achieving mission-relevant productivity will require coordinated efforts in strain optimization, photobioreactor engineering, and systems-level integration. The field stands at a critical juncture where fundamental biological questions intersect with engineering constraints, demanding interdisciplinary approaches to realize the potential of cyanobacterial biotechnology for human space exploration.

Introduction: The Case for Cyanobacteria in Space

The Economics of Extraterrestrial Life Support

Human exploration beyond low Earth orbit confronts a fundamental economic constraint: the prohibitive cost of launching mass from Earth. Current estimates place launch costs at approximately $300,000 per kilogram for deep-space missions[1]. A single astronaut requires approximately 5 kg of oxygen, 0.8 kg of food, and 3.5 kg of water daily[2]. For a crew of six on a 500-day Mars surface mission, importing all consumables from Earth would require launching over 16,000 kg—representing billions of dollars in launch costs alone. This calculation excludes return propellant, habitat maintenance, and contingency reserves, rendering Earth-supplied missions economically and logistically untenable for sustained exploration programs.

The imperative for ISRU is thus not merely desirable but mission-critical. Mars offers substantial local resources: a CO_2-dominant atmosphere (95.3% CO_2), subsurface water ice,

and mineral-rich regolith containing essential nutrients[3]. Converting these raw materials into life-support consumables through biological processes represents a paradigm shift from purely physico-chemical ISRU approaches, which while valuable for propellant production, cannot address the full spectrum of human needs including nutrition, pharmaceuticals, and complex organic feedstocks.

Why Cyanobacteria? Physiological Rationale

Cyanobacteria occupy a unique evolutionary and metabolic position that makes them exceptionally suited for space applications. As the progenitors of oxygenic photosynthesis approximately 2.4 billion years ago, they possess the most ancient and efficient light-harvesting machinery among photoautotrophs[4]. Unlike eukaryotic algae, cyanobacteria lack membrane-bound organelles, resulting in simpler cellular architecture with higher volumetric productivity. Their prokaryotic nature enables rapid growth (doubling times as short as 3–4 hours in optimal conditions) and facilitates genetic manipulation using established bacterial molecular biology techniques[5].

The subset of cyanobacteria capable of diazotrophy—biological nitrogen fixation—holds particular significance. Nitrogen, while abundant in Earth's atmosphere, exists primarily as chemically inert N_2 requiring substantial energy for reduction to biologically accessible ammonia. On Mars, atmospheric N_2 partial pressure is merely 0.2–0.3 hPa (versus 79,000 hPa on Earth), potentially limiting non-diazotrophic organisms[6]. Diazotrophic cyanobacteria circumvent this constraint through the nitrogenase enzyme complex, directly assimilating atmospheric N_2 and eliminating dependence on imported nitrogen fertilizers or energy-intensive Haber-Bosch processes.

Anabaena: A Model Genus

Within the phylogenetically diverse cyanobacterial lineage, filamentous genera exhibiting heterocyst differentiation have attracted particular attention for space applications. *Anabaena* species represent paradigmatic heterocystous cyanobacteria with well-characterized biology and growing genetic resources. The genus comprises filamentous chains of photosynthetically active vegetative cells punctuated at semi-regular intervals by morphologically distinct heterocysts—specialized cells that create anaerobic microenvironments for oxygen-sensitive nitrogenase[7].

Anabaena sp. PCC 7938 has been explicitly proposed as a reference strain for Mars ISRU development[8]. Selection criteria included: robust growth under low-pressure CO_2/N_2 atmospheres, efficient mineral nutrient extraction from Mars regolith simulants, tolerance to stress conditions, and demonstrated capacity to support secondary heterotrophic consumers. Additional *Anabaena* strains, including PCC 7120 (a genetic model organism) and 33047 (exhibiting exceptionally rapid photoautotrophic growth), complement the emerging *Anabaena* platform with distinct advantages[9][10].

This review examines the current evidence for *Anabaena* as a space biotechnology chassis, critically evaluating both established capabilities and unresolved challenges. We organize our analysis around three central questions: (1) What are the demonstrated physiological capacities of *Anabaena* under space-relevant conditions? (2) How advanced are synthetic biology tools for *Anabaena* strain engineering? (3) What system-level integration challenges must be resolved for mission deployment?

Physiological Performance Under Mars-Analog Conditions

Atmospheric Pressure and Gas Composition Requirements

A defining challenge for cyanobacterial ISRU is identifying the atmospheric pressure regime that optimizes the competing demands of biological productivity and engineering simplicity. Engineering considerations favor operating near Martian ambient pressure (6–11 hPa) to minimize photobioreactor structural requirements and enable direct utilization of atmospheric gases. However, liquid water cannot exist at pressures below 611 Pa (6.11 hPa) at 0°C, and biological membranes may experience disruption at extreme low pressures.

Verseux et al. (2021) addressed this fundamental trade-off using a purpose-built low-pressure photobioreactor system (Atmos) capable of maintaining stable atmospheric conditions across nine parallel cultivation chambers[11]. They demonstrated that *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7938 achieves vigorous photoautotrophic, diazotrophic growth under a "Mars-Design Atmosphere 1" (MDA-1): 96% N_2, 4% CO_2 at 100 hPa total pressure—representing a 10-fold increase over Martian surface pressure but a 10-fold decrease relative to Earth's atmosphere.

Critically, the study revealed that lowering total pressure from 1000 hPa to 100 hPa while maintaining constant partial pressures of metabolizable gases (pCO_2 and pN_2) did not significantly reduce growth rates. This finding indicates that total pressure per se is not limiting within this range; rather, the partial pressures of metabolically relevant gases constitute the critical parameters. Subsequent work by the same group established functional relationships between growth rate and pCO_2 and pN_2, analogous to Monod kinetics, providing quantitative frameworks for atmosphere optimization[12].

These results demonstrate that *Anabaena* can thrive under atmospheric conditions representing a practical engineering compromise: sufficiently low pressure to reduce photobioreactor mass and structural complexity, yet high enough to maintain liquid water and support robust biological activity. The 100 hPa threshold has consequently emerged as a reference condition for Mars ISRU system design.

Nutrient Acquisition from Regolith Simulants

Demonstrating atmospheric carbon and nitrogen utilization represents only part of the ISRU requirement. Cyanobacteria must also acquire essential mineral nutrients—particularly phosphorus, sulfur, iron, and trace elements—from local regolith rather than imported media. Martian regolith contains these nutrients in mineral forms, but bioavailability depends on cyanobacterial capacity for mineral weathering (bioleaching) through organic acid excretion and chelator production.

Verseux et al. (2022) systematically characterized *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7938 growth in media containing varying concentrations of MGS-1, a simulant of the Rocknest sand at Gale Crater representing a widespread Martian soil type[13]. They demonstrated photoautotrophic, diazotrophic growth using MGS-1 as the sole nutrient source, confirming that *Anabaena* can extract bioavailable nutrients from Mars-analog regolith. Growth kinetics analysis identified phosphorus as the limiting nutrient in MGS-1, with biomass yield correlating directly with phosphate concentration.

The identification of phosphorus limitation has important implications. Phosphate bioavailability in Martian regolith likely varies substantially by location, depending on mineralogy and weathering history. High-phosphate sites may offer preferred locations for initial BLSS deployment. Alternatively, strains could be engineered for enhanced phosphate solubilization through overexpression of acid phosphatases or increased organic acid production. The fundamental demonstration that *Anabaena* can grow using regolith-derived nutrients validates a core assumption of the ISRU concept, though optimization of mineral extraction efficiency remains an active area of investigation.

Biomass Composition and Downstream Utilization

The ultimate value of cyanobacterial ISRU depends not merely on biomass production but on biomass utility for supporting downstream processes—either direct human consumption or as feedstock for secondary organisms. *Anabaena* biomass composition has been characterized as approximately 47% protein, 12% carbohydrate, and <1% lipid on a dry weight basis[14]. Amino acid profiling reveals a relatively complete protein with reasonable levels of essential amino acids, though amino acid balance would require supplementation for optimal human nutrition.

Critically, Verseux et al. (2021) demonstrated that *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7938 biomass grown under MDA-1 conditions could support growth of heterotrophic *Escherichia coli* and the aquatic plant *Lemna* sp. (duckweed), representing both microbial and higher-organism secondary consumers[11]. This establishes that the biochemical quality of cyanobacterial biomass remains adequate under Mars-analog conditions, supporting the concept of multi-trophic BLSS architectures where cyanobacteria serve as primary producers feeding diverse downstream processes.

The demonstration of cyanobacteria-to-duckweed nutrient transfer is particularly significant. Duckweed represents a candidate crop for space cultivation due to rapid growth and high protein content. A BLSS architecture might therefore involve cyanobacteria converting regolith and atmosphere into biomass, which is then processed to support higher plants that provide more palatable and nutritionally complete food for astronauts. Such cascaded systems distribute metabolic functions across specialized organisms, potentially achieving greater overall efficiency than single-organism approaches.

Comparative Performance: Strain Selection Considerations

While *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7938 has emerged as a reference strain for Mars ISRU, the genus contains substantial diversity with potentially exploitable phenotypic variation. *Anabaena* sp. PCC 33047, isolated from salt flats, exhibits exceptional growth characteristics including a doubling time of 3.8 hours under photoautotrophic, nitrogen-fixing conditions—among the fastest recorded for any oxygenic photosynthetic organism[15]. Its biomass productivity reaches 3.0 g L^{-1} day^{-1}, substantially exceeding typical cyanobacterial strains. Moreover, PCC 33047 demonstrates high light tolerance and halotolerance (growing well in seawater), traits potentially valuable for space applications where osmotic stress management and intense solar irradiation are concerns.

Anabaena cylindrica and *Anabaena* variabilis have been studied in the context of nitrogen fixation responses to atmospheric pressure, revealing that heterocyst spacing patterns correlate with pN_2[16]. This morphological plasticity could be exploited as a biosensor for

atmospheric quality monitoring in BLSS, or potentially engineered to optimize nitrogen fixation efficiency under Martian pN_2.

The diversity of *Anabaena* strains suggests that a one-size-fits-all approach may be suboptimal. Different mission architectures, environmental constraints, and production objectives might favor different strains. A more mature field would develop a strain portfolio with characterized trade-offs, enabling mission-specific strain selection. However, this requires substantial investment in comparative physiology and standardized testing protocols—resources currently limited.

Synthetic Biology Toolbox for Anabaena Engineering

Current State of Genetic Tools

Realizing the full potential of *Anabaena* as a space biotechnology chassis requires robust genetic engineering capabilities to optimize native functions, introduce heterologous pathways, and confer novel phenotypes. The synthetic biology toolbox for cyanobacteria has expanded substantially over the past decade, though it remains less developed than for model heterotrophic bacteria like *E. coli* or *Bacillus subtilis*[17][18].

For *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7120, the most genetically tractable strain, established tools include conjugative transfer of shuttle vectors from *E. coli*, homologous recombination-based gene deletion, and integration of heterologous genes at neutral chromosomal sites[19]. Selectable markers including antibiotic resistance cassettes (chloramphenicol, kanamycin, spectinomycin) enable transformed cell selection. Inducible promoter systems, including theophylline-responsive riboswitches and metal-responsive promoters, provide dynamic gene expression control[20].

However, significant limitations persist. Transformation efficiency in *Anabaena* remains substantially lower than in model organisms, requiring laborious selection procedures. Genetic instability poses challenges, particularly when expressing pathways that impose metabolic burden. The filamentous morphology of *Anabaena* complicates single-cell cloning and genetic homogeneity verification. Furthermore, many genetic tools developed for unicellular cyanobacteria (e.g., *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803) do not transfer efficiently to filamentous strains due to differences in DNA uptake mechanisms and cell wall structure.

CRISPR-Based Genome Editing

The advent of CRISPR-Cas technologies has revolutionized microbial genetic engineering, and cyanobacteria are increasingly benefiting from these advances. CRISPR interference (CRISPRi) systems have been successfully implemented in cyanobacteria for reversible gene knockdown without genome editing, enabling metabolic flux analysis and pathway optimization[21]. CRISPR-Cas9 and Cas12a-based editing systems allow targeted gene disruption with substantially improved efficiency compared to traditional recombination-based approaches.

Recent developments include cytosine and adenine base editors that enable precise point mutations in cyanobacterial genomes without double-strand breaks, reducing cellular stress and improving editing efficiency[22]. These tools are particularly valuable for optimization through directed evolution, allowing systematic testing of single amino acid substitutions in enzymes of interest. Multiplexed editing capabilities—simultaneous

modification of multiple genomic loci—have been demonstrated in *Synechocystis* and are being adapted for *Anabaena*[23].

Despite these advances, CRISPR deployment in *Anabaena* specifically remains at an early stage compared to model cyanobacteria. Optimization of guide RNA design, promoter selection for Cas protein expression, and delivery methods for filamentous strains requires sustained effort. The current state allows proof-of-concept demonstrations but has not yet achieved the throughput and reliability required for large-scale strain optimization campaigns.

Metabolic Engineering for Bioproduct Synthesis

Beyond growth optimization, *Anabaena* has been engineered for production of diverse bioproducts relevant to space applications. These efforts focus on three categories: (1) biofuels and chemical feedstocks, (2) high-value compounds including pharmaceuticals, and (3) nutritional supplements.

Anabaena sp. PCC 7120 has been engineered to produce limonene, a terpene with potential applications as a biofuel or precursor for polymer synthesis[24]. Technoeconomic analysis suggests that while current productivities remain insufficient for terrestrial commercial viability, the economics could differ for space applications where the value proposition is eliminating mass import rather than competing with petroleum-based products. A biotechnology-enabled ISRU strategy for Mars has been proposed wherein cyanobacteria convert CO_2 into sugars, which are then metabolized by engineered *E. coli* to produce 2,3-butanediol—a potential rocket propellant component[25]. This bio-ISRU approach was calculated to require 32% less total mass than chemical ISRU strategies while generating substantial excess oxygen.

Challenges in metabolic engineering of *Anabaena* mirror those in other cyanobacteria: low carbon flux through engineered pathways, metabolic burden causing growth inhibition, and genetic instability of production strains. The photoautotrophic metabolism of cyanobacteria differs fundamentally from heterotrophic bacteria, with distinct cofactor ratios (particularly NADPH/NADH balance) and regulatory architectures that complicate pathway optimization strategies developed for heterotrophs[26]. Addressing these challenges requires systems-level understanding of cyanobacterial metabolism, which remains incomplete for *Anabaena* specifically.

Chassis Optimization: Current Limitations and Engineering Targets

Viewing *Anabaena* as a biological chassis rather than merely a wild-type organism reframes engineering objectives. Key chassis properties requiring optimization include:

Genetic stability: Maintaining heterologous pathways over extended cultivation periods (months to years for space missions) without selection pressure remains problematic. Strategies include integration at genomically stable loci, balancing metabolic burden through dynamic regulation, and potentially implementing genetic containment circuits that couple essential functions to engineered pathway maintenance.

Stress tolerance: Mars-relevant stressors include temperature fluctuations, high UV/ionizing radiation, osmotic stress (if using brackish water), and potentially toxic metals in regolith. While some *Anabaena* strains exhibit native stress tolerance, systematic improvement through directed evolution or rational engineering could enhance reliability. *Chroococcidiopsis* species, extremophile cyanobacteria that survived 548 days in low Earth

orbit, demonstrate that cyanobacteria can be extraordinarily radiation-resistant[27][28]. Transferring such traits to *Anabaena* through horizontal gene transfer of DNA repair systems or radioprotective compounds biosynthesis could enhance mission suitability.

Productivity under non-optimal conditions: Laboratory cultivation typically occurs under controlled, optimized conditions. Actual BLSS deployment will involve suboptimal lighting, temperature variations, potential contamination, and equipment limitations. Robustness—the capacity to maintain acceptable productivity across a range of suboptimal conditions—may be as important as peak productivity under ideal conditions.

Morphological control: Filament length and heterocyst frequency influence both biological function (nitrogen fixation capacity) and engineering properties (viscosity, settling behavior, separation from growth medium). The molecular circuitry controlling these traits is increasingly understood and represents an attractive engineering target[29][30].

Current synthetic biology efforts in *Anabaena* remain predominantly at the proof-of-concept stage, demonstrating feasibility rather than achieving mission-ready performance. Transitioning to application-ready strains will require sustained investment in tool development, fundamental biological understanding, and iterative design-build-test-learn cycles.

System-Level Integration: From Strain to Spacecraft

Photobioreactor Design for Space Environments

Even optimally engineered strains cannot function without appropriate cultivation systems. Photobioreactor (PBR) design for space applications confronts unique constraints absent in terrestrial systems: microgravity (in transit) or reduced gravity (on Mars/Moon), limited water availability, power constraints, stringent mass and volume limitations, and isolation from Earth's biosphere (requiring closed-loop operation without external inputs).

The Atmos photobioreactor, developed for testing cyanobacteria under Mars-analog atmospheres, demonstrates key design principles[11]. It maintains stable low-pressure atmospheres while providing controlled illumination, temperature regulation, and continuous or batch culture capability. For actual Mars deployment, PBRs must additionally address: in situ fabrication or deployment (minimizing launched mass), radiation shielding, thermal management in the Martian temperature regime, and integration with regolith processing and water recycling systems.

Two architectural paradigms have been proposed: enclosed PBRs within habitats, and outdoor PBRs exposed to Martian ambient conditions (with greenhouse enclosures). Enclosed systems offer superior environmental control but require artificial lighting (energy cost) and are volume-limited by habitat space. Outdoor systems leverage natural sunlight and could scale to larger volumes but face temperature extremes, dust accumulation on transparent surfaces, and direct radiation exposure.

Recent modeling suggests that PBR mass optimization depends critically on the pressure differential they must withstand[31]. Operating at 100 hPa reduces structural requirements approximately 10-fold compared to 1 bar systems, representing hundreds of kilograms of mass savings for production-scale systems. This calculation underscores the importance of

low-pressure-tolerant strains like *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7938 in enabling lightweight ISRU architectures.

Integration with Complete BLSS Architectures

Cyanobacterial cultivation represents only one component of a complete BLSS. Integration challenges include:

Regolith processing: Mars regolith must be collected, sieved to remove large particles, potentially heat-treated for sterilization (planetary protection), and extracted with water to create a mineral-supplemented growth medium. The efficiency of this process determines regolith consumption rates and the required mining infrastructure. Studies using MGS-1 simulant involve simple aqueous extraction, but actual Martian regolith may require chemical or biological pretreatment to enhance phosphate solubilization[13].

Atmospheric processing: While the Martian atmosphere provides abundant CO_2, N_2 partial pressure is low. Enriching N_2 from 2% (Mars ambient) to 96% (MDA-1 atmosphere) requires gas separation, likely through membrane technologies or cryogenic distillation. The mass and energy cost of atmospheric processing must be balanced against biological benefit. Alternative strategies include operating at higher CO_2 partial pressures, which some cyanobacteria tolerate, though impacts on diazotrophic growth require further investigation[32].

Water management: Water is likely the most precious resource on Mars. Cyanobacterial cultivation requires water, which must be recovered from biomass processing, metabolic water production, and transpiration. Achieving >95% water recovery is essential for sustainability, requiring sophisticated condensation, filtration, and sterilization systems.

Nutrient cycling: A self-sustaining BLSS must recycle nutrients from human waste streams (urine, feces) back to cyanobacterial cultivation. This requires waste processing to convert organic nitrogen and phosphorus into forms accessible to cyanobacteria or secondary consumers. The multi-organism MELiSSA (Micro-Ecological Life Support System Alternative) consortium developed by ESA represents an advanced approach to nutrient cycling, though integration with Martian ISRU remains unexplored[33].

Contamination management: Biological systems are vulnerable to contamination by unwanted microorganisms. On Mars, maintaining axenic cultures (or controlled co-cultures) without terrestrial laboratory infrastructure poses significant challenges. Contamination could reduce productivity, introduce pathogens, or compromise planetary protection protocols. Robust contamination detection and response strategies are essential but currently underdeveloped.

Mission Architecture: Phased Implementation

Given complexity and risk, deploying *Anabaena*-based ISRU will likely follow a phased approach:

Phase 1 (Precursor missions): Small-scale PBR systems (<1 L) deployed robotically to validate cyanobacterial growth under actual Martian conditions using local resources. These technology demonstration missions would provide invaluable data on performance under true Martian regolith, radiation, and atmospheric conditions, which simulants can only approximate. Failure or underperformance at this stage would not compromise crew safety.

Phase 2 (Early crewed missions): Pilot-scale BLSS (~10–100 L) providing supplemental oxygen and potentially experimental food production. These systems would reduce but not eliminate dependence on Earth-supplied consumables, serving as redundant backups while validating reliability. Crew members would monitor and maintain systems, providing operational experience.

Phase 3 (Sustained presence): Production-scale BLSS (>1000 L) providing majority of crew consumables, with Earth supply serving as emergency reserve. This represents true ISRU-enabled sustainability, where Mars resources provide ongoing life support.

This phased approach aligns with overall Mars exploration strategies moving from short-duration missions to permanent settlement. The timeline extends over decades, providing time for iterative technology refinement based on operational experience.

Challenges and Controversies

The Productivity Problem

A persistent criticism of cyanobacterial ISRU concerns productivity—the mass of useful product generated per unit volume per day. While *Anabaena* sp. 33047 achieves impressive growth rates (3 g L^{-1} day^{-1} biomass), this remains an order of magnitude below what is needed for sole life support of crews[34]. A rough calculation: an astronaut requires ~0.8 kg food daily; if this were entirely cyanobacterial biomass (nutritionally inadequate, but for illustration), supporting one person would require 267 L of culture at 3 g L^{-1} day^{-1} productivity, or 1600 L for a six-person crew.

These volume requirements, while feasible, represent substantial infrastructure that must be landed, set up, and maintained on Mars. Proponents argue that distributed biological production is still mass-efficient compared to importing consumables. Critics question whether the engineering complexity and failure risk justify the approach compared to advanced physico-chemical life support with periodic resupply.

This debate lacks definitive resolution because it depends on mission parameters (duration, crew size, resupply frequency) and technology development trajectories (will cyanobacterial productivity improve 2-fold? 10-fold?). What is clear is that current productivities represent the lower bound of feasibility; substantial improvement would strengthen the case for biological ISRU.

Genetic Stability and Evolutionary Drift

Prolonged cultivation of engineered cyanobacteria creates evolutionary pressure to lose heterologous functions that impose metabolic burden. In terrestrial applications, this is managed through continuous selection (maintaining antibiotic pressure) or frequent inoculation from cryopreserved stocks. Neither approach is practical for space missions where antibiotic mass is limited and no master cell bank exists.

Genomic stability experiments involving continuous cultivation of cyanobacteria over hundreds of generations reveal loss-of-function mutations in engineered pathways, particularly when those pathways reduce growth rate[35]. For space applications extending months to years, evolutionary drift could degrade system performance below acceptable levels. Strategies to address this include: designing pathways that provide fitness advantages (difficult for production strains), implementing genetic circuits that couple

engineered function to essential cellular processes, or accepting periodic "reset" cycles where fresh inoculum is generated from small cryopreserved reserves.

Surprisingly, some combinatorial library approaches have identified engineered pathway variants that maintain stability over many generations without selection[36]. The mechanisms underlying this stability are not fully understood but may involve minimizing metabolic burden through optimal expression tuning. Systematic investigation of stability-productivity trade-offs could inform strain design principles.

Planetary Protection and Biological Contamination

Mars is currently protected under COSPAR planetary protection guidelines to preserve potential indigenous Martian life and maintain scientific integrity of astrobiological investigations. Introducing large-scale biological systems to Mars creates contamination risks, even with robust containment. A catastrophic PBR failure releasing *Anabaena* into the Martian environment could compromise planetary protection objectives.

Anabaena could not survive naked on the Martian surface due to extreme cold, low pressure, and radiation. However, it might persist in protected microenvironments, potentially contaminating subsurface aquifers if released. This risk must be weighed against mission objectives. Some argue that once humans land on Mars, stringent planetary protection becomes impractical—human-associated microbiota will inevitably be released. Others maintain that deliberate release of cultivated organisms differs ethically and practically from incidental contamination.

Technical mitigation approaches include biological containment through auxotrophy (engineered dependence on nutrients unavailable in nature), genetic kill switches that activate if organisms escape containment, and redundant physical containment barriers. Nevertheless, no containment strategy is 100% reliable, and risk tolerance will ultimately reflect policy decisions rather than purely technical assessments.

Alternative Chassis: Why Not Other Microorganisms?

Anabaena competes with alternative biological chassis for space applications. *Chroococcidiopsis*, an extremophile cyanobacterium, exhibits extraordinary desiccation and radiation tolerance, surviving exposure to space vacuum and cosmic radiation[27][28]. However, *Chroococcidiopsis* grows more slowly than *Anabaena* and is less genetically tractable, with limited molecular tools available.

Unicellular cyanobacteria such as *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803 and *Synechococcus elongatus* benefit from more advanced genetic tools and simpler cultivation (no filaments complicating handling). However, they cannot fix atmospheric nitrogen, requiring either N_2 fixation modules or imported nitrogen. This represents a fundamental disadvantage for Mars ISRU where atmospheric N_2 partial pressure is low.

Microalgae (eukaryotic) such as *Chlorella* or *Spirulina* have been studied extensively for space life support. *Spirulina* (actually a cyanobacterium, *Arthrospira*) produces highly digestible biomass with good nutritional profile. However, neither *Chlorella* nor *Spirulina* fix nitrogen. Eukaryotic algae are also more challenging to genetically engineer than prokaryotic cyanobacteria.

The choice of biological chassis ultimately depends on mission requirements. *Anabaena* occupies a niche defined by: nitrogen-fixing capability (critical for Mars ISRU), genetic

tractability (moderate and improving), and sufficient productivity (adequate if not optimal). No single organism excels in all dimensions, and future missions might employ consortia leveraging multiple species' complementary strengths.

Knowledge Gaps and Future Research Priorities

Fundamental Biology

Despite decades of research on *Anabaena* heterocyst differentiation and nitrogen fixation, substantial knowledge gaps impede rational engineering:

Heterocyst patterning: While the core regulatory network involving HetR, PatS, and HetN is understood, quantitative models predicting heterocyst frequency under varying environmental conditions remain imprecise[37][38]. Engineering heterocyst frequency to optimize nitrogen fixation under Mars pN_2 would benefit from more complete mechanistic understanding, including the role of molecular diffusion at filament termini (boundary effects)[30].

Nitrogen allocation: Fixed nitrogen produced in heterocysts is distributed to vegetative cells, but the molecular mechanisms, transport kinetics, and regulatory logic governing nitrogen allocation among cells remain incompletely characterized. Optimizing this process could enhance biomass productivity per heterocyst.

Stress response networks: *Anabaena* responses to Mars-relevant stressors (temperature extremes, osmotic stress, oxidative stress from radiation) are poorly characterized at the systems level. Transcriptomic and proteomic analyses under stress conditions would identify bottlenecks and guide protective pathway engineering.

Diurnal rhythm and dark period metabolism: Mars' day-night cycle (24.6 hours) closely resembles Earth's, but photobiological systems experience extended dark periods requiring metabolic reorganization. How *Anabaena* circadian rhythms adapt to Martian light cycles and whether this impacts nitrogen fixation and growth requires investigation.

Applied Systems Biology

Metabolic modeling: Genome-scale metabolic models (GEMs) provide computational frameworks for predicting cellular behavior and identifying metabolic engineering targets. While GEMs exist for *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7120, they remain incomplete and poorly validated under Mars-relevant conditions[39]. Experimental flux analysis using ^{13}C-isotope labeling to validate and refine models would enhance predictive capacity.

Photobioreactor optimization: Current PBR designs are laboratory prototypes. Engineering space-qualified PBRs requires addressing mechanical robustness, mass minimization, thermal management, and in situ deployment/fabrication. Computational fluid dynamics modeling coupled with biological growth models could optimize light distribution, mixing, and gas transfer while minimizing mass.

Life cycle assessment: Comprehensive environmental and resource analyses comparing biological ISRU to alternative approaches (physico-chemical ISRU, Earth resupply, hybrid strategies) are needed. Such assessments must account for development costs, launch mass, energy requirements, reliability, and maintenance burden over mission lifetimes.

Synthetic Biology Priorities

Expanding the genetic toolkit: High-priority tool development includes: inducible promoters with wide dynamic range and minimal leakiness, protein degradation tags for post-translational regulation, standardized neutral integration sites for predictable expression, and improved transformation protocols increasing efficiency 10–100-fold.

High-throughput screening: Engineering campaigns require testing thousands of variants. Automated platforms for *Anabaena* library cultivation, phenotyping, and selection would accelerate optimization. Microfluidic systems enabling single-filament analysis could address the challenge of genetic heterogeneity within filaments.

Directed evolution: Adaptive laboratory evolution (ALE) under Mars-analog conditions could naturally select for beneficial mutations. Coupling ALE with whole-genome sequencing identifies causative mutations, which can then be reconstructed in clean genetic backgrounds. This empirical approach complements rational engineering.

Space Environment Testing

Radiation biology: While some cyanobacteria exhibit radiation tolerance, dose-response curves for *Anabaena* strains under space-relevant radiation (GCR and solar particle events) are incomplete. Long-duration exposure experiments, ideally conducted on the ISS or in lunar orbit, would provide critical data on radiation effects and recovery capacity.

Microgravity and reduced-gravity effects: Cyanobacterial physiology under microgravity is poorly understood. While Mars gravity (0.38 g) may suffice for normal function, understanding is empirical data would be invaluable. Gas-liquid mass transfer, which influences CO_2 and O_2 exchange, could be significantly affected by altered buoyancy-driven convection.

Actual regolith testing: All current experiments use regolith simulants approximating Martian mineralogy, but actual Martian regolith may contain unexpected components (e.g., perchlorates) or exhibit different physical properties. Experiments with returned Mars samples, once available, would be the ultimate validation.

Future Perspectives: The Next Decade of Development

Near-Term Milestones (2025-2030)

The coming quinquennium will likely witness several critical advances:

Standardization of testing protocols: The cyanobacteria-for-space field currently lacks standardized protocols, complicating comparison of results across laboratories. International consensus on reference strains (likely including *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7938), standard growth conditions, productivity metrics, and reporting guidelines would accelerate progress. Analogous to the standardization that propelled synthetic biology in *E. coli* and yeast, such frameworks could catalyze the field.

Flight demonstrations: Small-scale technology demonstration missions (CubeSat-class or ISS experiments) testing *Anabaena* cultivation under actual space conditions are overdue. Such experiments would provide proof-of-concept validation and identify unanticipated challenges. The BioSentinel mission demonstrated yeast survival in deep space; analogous experiments with cyanobacteria are logistically feasible and scientifically valuable.

Engineered production strains: Moving beyond proof-of-concept, development of application-focused strains optimized for specific outputs (oxygen production, high-protein biomass, specific bioproducts) will mature. These strains would balance productivity, stability, and stress tolerance, representing genuinely mission-relevant capabilities rather than laboratory demonstrations.

Integration modeling: System-level models integrating cyanobacterial cultivation with regolith processing, atmospheric extraction, water recycling, and downstream utilization will provide quantitative frameworks for mission planning. Such models would identify system bottlenecks, quantify mass/energy budgets, and guide technology development prioritization.

Medium-Term Vision (2030-2035)

The early 2030s, coinciding with anticipated first crewed Mars missions, could see:

Robotic precursor deployment: Unmanned missions to Mars carrying pilot-scale *Anabaena* BLSS systems operating autonomously to generate oxygen and biomass before crew arrival. Successful operation would provide both psychological confidence and material resources (pre-positioned consumables) for subsequent crewed missions.

Consortium-based BLSS: Moving beyond single-organism systems, engineered microbial consortia where *Anabaena* serves as primary producer supporting specialized downstream organisms (e.g., bacteria producing specific vitamins, fungi for protein texturization, engineered plants for nutrition) would distribute metabolic burden and enhance product diversity.

Synthetic genomes: Advances in synthetic genomics may enable construction of minimized *Anabaena* chromosomes retaining essential functions while eliminating extraneous genes. Such "streamlined" genomes could enhance genetic stability, reduce metabolic overhead, and provide clean chassis for heterologous pathway integration.

In situ manufacturing: Additive manufacturing (3D printing) using Martian regolith as feedstock is advancing rapidly. Fabricating photobioreactor components in situ from regolith-derived materials would dramatically reduce launch mass requirements. Integration of biological and materials engineering could enable largely self-replicating ISRU systems.

Long-Term Horizons (2035+)

Looking toward mid-century, more speculative but transformative developments may emerge:

Biological terraforming: While full terraforming of Mars remains scientifically controversial and ethically fraught, localized biological modification of Martian environments (e.g., establishing cyanobacterial communities in sealed lava tubes to generate habitable refugia) could be explored. This differs from planetary-scale terraforming, focusing instead on creating bioengineered "oases" supporting human presence.

Autonomous biological factories: Advanced BLSS incorporating artificial intelligence for automated process control, predictive maintenance, and adaptive optimization could

operate with minimal human intervention. Such systems would be critical for forward deployment to Mars orbital stations or surface caches before human arrival.

Beyond Mars applications: Technologies developed for *Anabaena*-based Mars ISRU could transfer to other destinations. Ceres, Europa, and Enceladus possess water ice; appropriate cyanobacterial adaptations could enable biological resource utilization across the solar system. More speculatively, generation-ship scenarios for interstellar travel envision closed-loop ecosystems where photosynthetic organisms play central roles.

Fundamental insights into oxygenic photosynthesis: Applied research on *Anabaena* for space applications will generate fundamental biological knowledge. Understanding how organisms adapt to extreme conditions, optimize metabolic networks, and maintain function under stress has intrinsic scientific value beyond space applications, potentially informing terrestrial biotechnology and biogeochemistry.

Conclusions

Anabaena represents a compelling, if imperfect, biological chassis for space exploration. Its nitrogen-fixing capability addresses a fundamental constraint of Mars ISRU; its photosynthetic efficiency enables direct solar energy utilization; and its genetic tractability permits ongoing optimization through synthetic biology. Recent demonstrations of growth under Mars-analog atmospheric conditions and using regolith-derived nutrients provide critical proof-of-concept validation, transitioning *Anabaena*-based ISRU from speculation to engineering design.

However, substantial challenges temper enthusiasm. Productivity remains below ideal levels, requiring either significant improvement or acceptance of large cultivation volumes. Genetic stability over mission-relevant timescales is unproven and may require innovative containment strategies. System-level integration—connecting cyanobacterial cultivation to regolith processing, downstream utilization, and closed-loop nutrient cycling—remains largely undemonstrated. Radiation tolerance, particularly under Mars surface conditions with intermittent solar particle events, requires more comprehensive characterization.

The path forward demands interdisciplinary collaboration bridging microbiology, synthetic biology, space engineering, and systems analysis. Standardization of protocols, flight demonstrations, and sustained funding will be essential. International cooperation, already evident in ESA's MELiSSA program and NASA's bioregenerative life support research, should expand to include emerging space agencies and private sector actors.

Ultimately, *Anabaena*-based ISRU represents not merely a technological solution but an expansion of humanity's relationship with biology. For the first time, we contemplate deliberately transporting terrestrial life to another planet to support human presence—a step with profound implications. If executed thoughtfully, with appropriate planetary protection measures and recognition of uncertainties, this approach could enable sustainable human presence beyond Earth. The coming decade will reveal whether *Anabaena* fulfills its promise as a biological partner in space exploration, or whether alternative strategies prove more practical. Either outcome will advance our understanding of both biology and engineering at the extremes of habitability.

References

[1] Verseux, C., et al. (2015). Sustainable life support on Mars – the potential roles of cyanobacteria. *International Journal of Astrobiology*, 15(1), 65-92.

[2] Massa, G. D., et al. (2007). Evaluation of lettuce and tomato as food production crops for long-duration spaceflight. *Advances in Space Research*, 40(11), 1793-1798.

[3] Grotzinger, J. P., et al. (2014). A habitable fluvio-lacustrine environment at Yellowknife Bay, Gale crater, Mars. *Science*, 343(6169), 1242777.

[4] Schirrmeister, B. E., et al. (2013). Evolution of multicellularity coincided with increased diversification of cyanobacteria and the Great Oxidation Event. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 110(5), 1791-1796.

[5] Bandyopadhyay, A., et al. (2011). Novel metabolic attributes of the genus cyanobacteria. *MBio*, 2(5), e00214-11.

[6] Owen, T., et al. (1977). The composition of the atmosphere at the surface of Mars. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 82(28), 4635-4639.

[7] Kumar, K., et al. (2010). Cyanobacterial heterocysts. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology*, 2(4), a000315.

[8] Verseux, C., et al. (2022). Selection of *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7938 as a cyanobacterium model for biological ISRU on Mars. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 88(14), e00594-22.

[9] Wolk, C. P., et al. (1984). Construction of shuttle vectors capable of conjugative transfer from *Escherichia coli* to nitrogen-fixing filamentous cyanobacteria. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 81(5), 1561-1565.

[10] Bandyopadhyay, A., et al. (2021). *Anabaena* sp. 33047: a fast-growing cyanobacterial chassis for light-driven bioproduction. *Plant Physiology*, 187(4), 2072-2086.

[11] Verseux, C., et al. (2021). A low-pressure, N_2/CO_2 atmosphere is suitable for cyanobacterium-based life-support systems on Mars. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 12, 611798.

[12] Verseux, C., et al. (2024). Dependence of cyanobacterium growth and Mars-specific photobioreactor mass on total pressure, pN_2 and pCO_2. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 15, 1382576.

[13] Verseux, C., et al. (2022). On the growth dynamics of the cyanobacterium *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7938 when cultivated in urine-supplemented Moon and Mars regolith simulants. *npj Microgravity*, 8(1), 46.

[14] Trumbo, P., et al. (1994). The role of cyanobacteria as an alternative food source in a bioregenerative life support system. *FASEB Journal*, 8, A931.

[15] Bandyopadhyay, A., et al. (2011). High rates of photosynthesis and photorespiration in wild-type and a glycolate dehydrogenase mutant of *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7120. *Photosynthesis Research*, 108(2-3), 233-243.

[16] Stüeken, E. E., et al. (2017). Measuring N_2 pressure using cyanobacteria. *Astrobiology*, 17(10), 1-10.

[17] Angermayr, S. A., et al. (2015). Energy biotechnology with cyanobacteria. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*, 33, 1-7.

[18] Berla, B. M., et al. (2013). Synthetic biology of cyanobacteria: unique challenges and opportunities. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 4, 246.

[19] Elhai, J., & Wolk, C. P. (1988). Conjugal transfer of DNA to cyanobacteria. *Methods in Enzymology*, 167, 747-754.

[20] Ma, A. T., et al. (2014). Integrated toolset for studying inducible expression in cyanobacteria. *ACS Synthetic Biology*, 3(11), 832-842.

[21] Yao, L., et al. (2016). Pooled CRISPRi screening of the cyanobacterium *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803 for tolerance to 1-butanol. *ACS Synthetic Biology*, 5(12), 1427-1438.

[22] Zheng, Y., et al. (2024). Development of a base editor for convenient and multiplex genome editing in cyanobacteria. *Communications Biology*, 7, 1004.

[23] Wendt, K. E., et al. (2016). CRISPR/Cas9 mediated targeted mutagenesis of the fast growing cyanobacterium *Synechococcus elongatus* UTEX 2973. *Microbial Cell Factories*, 15(1), 115.

[24] Halfmann, C., et al. (2014). Engineering cyanobacteria for the production of a cyclic hydrocarbon fuel from CO_2 and H_2O. *Green Chemistry*, 16(6), 3175-3185.

[25] Berliner, A. J., et al. (2021). Designing the bioproduction of Martian rocket propellant via a biotechnology-enabled in situ resource utilization strategy. *Nature Communications*, 12, 6166.

[26] Luan, G., & Lu, X. (2018). Tailoring cyanobacterial cell factory for improved industrial properties. *Biotechnology Advances*, 36(2), 430-442.

[27] Cockell, C. S., et al. (2011). Exposure of phototrophs to 548 days in low Earth orbit: microbial selection pressures in outer space and on early Earth. *ISME Journal*, 5(10), 1671-1682.

[28] Fagliarone, C., et al. (2023). Enabling deep-space experimentations on cyanobacteria by monitoring cell division resumption in dried *Chroococcidiopsis* sp. 029 with accumulated DNA damage. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 14, 1150224.

[29] Flores, E., & Herrero, A. (2010). Compartmentalized function through cell differentiation in filamentous cyanobacteria. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 8(1), 39-50.

[30] Lechno-Yossef, S., et al. (2022). Terminal heterocyst differentiation in the *Anabaena patA* mutant as a result of post-transcriptional modifications and molecular leakage. *PLoS Computational Biology*, 18(8), e1010359.

[31] Verseux, C., et al. (2016). Sustainable life support on Mars – the potential roles of cyanobacteria. *International Journal of Astrobiology*, 15(1), 65-92.

[32] Verseux, C., et al. (2015). Pressurized Martian-like pure CO_2 atmosphere supports strong growth of cyanobacteria, and causes significant changes in their metabolism. *Origins of Life and Evolution of Biospheres*, 46(1), 119-131.

[33] Hendrickx, L., et al. (2006). Microbial ecology of the closed artificial ecosystem MELiSSA: reinventing the wheel? *Research in Microbiology*, 157(4), 341-348.

[34] Wijffels, R. H., & Barbosa, M. J. (2010). An outlook on microalgal biofuels. *Science*, 329(5993), 796-799.

[35] Yu, J., et al. (2015). Synechococcus elongatus UTEX 2973, a fast growing cyanobacterial chassis for biosynthesis using light and CO_2. *Scientific Reports*, 5, 8132.

[36] Taylor, G. M., et al. (2021). Combinatorial assembly platform enabling engineering of genetically stable metabolic pathways in cyanobacteria. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 49(21), e123.

[37] Herrero, A., et al. (2016). Cellular differentiation and the NtcA transcription factor in filamentous cyanobacteria. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, 40(1), 73-89.

[38] Di Patti, F., et al. (2018). Negative feedback regulation can govern robust pattern formation in bacterial communities. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 115(16), E3683-E3690.

[39] Malatinszky, D., et al. (2017). A genome-scale metabolic model of *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7120. *Metabolic Engineering Communications*, 4, 30-48.