Qwen

Anabaena: A New Chassis for Space Exploration

The prospect of sustained human presence beyond Earth has catalyzed a paradigm shift in space biology, moving from passive cargo to active, engineered life support. Central to this new paradigm is the development of robust chassis organisms capable of functioning autonomously in the extreme environments of space and other planetary bodies. Among these, filamentous cyanobacteria, particularly species of Anabaena, have emerged as a leading candidate due to their remarkable physiological versatility, genetic tractability, and demonstrated resilience. This review synthesizes current knowledge on Anabaena as a chassis organism, examining its fundamental biological properties, its performance under simulated and real-space stressors, the maturation of its genetic engineering toolkit, and its potential integration into future bioregenerative life support systems (BLSS). We critically analyze the significant progress made while highlighting persistent challenges and critical knowledge gaps that must be addressed to transition this promising technology from the laboratory to operational use in lunar or Martian outposts.

Foundational Properties and Ecophysiology of Anabaena

Anabaena represents a genus of filamentous, freshwater cyanobacteria renowned for their complex multicellularity and metabolic plasticity, traits that make them exceptionally well-suited for challenging extraterrestrial environments. A defining feature is their ability to differentiate specialized cell types within a single filament, most notably heterocysts, which are terminally differentiated cells that create an anaerobic microenvironment to protect the oxygen-sensitive nitrogenase enzyme [45]. This capability allows Anabaena to fix atmospheric dinitrogen (N_2), a crucial advantage for missions where combined nitrogen sources are limited or non-existent. The strain Anabaena sp. PCC 7938, for instance, can grow using a gas mixture simulating the Martian atmosphere (96% N_2, 4% CO_2) at a low total pressure of 100 hPa, demonstrating its capacity for primary production without reliance on Earth-supplied fertilizers [1 31 36]. This diazotrophic ability not only supports the organism's own growth but also contributes to the creation of a sustainable nitrogen cycle, a cornerstone of any long-term BLSS [3].

Beyond nitrogen fixation, Anabaena is a powerful photosynthetic primary producer. Like all cyanobacteria, it performs oxygenic photosynthesis, converting CO_2 and water into organic carbon and O_2, essential inputs for both crewed life support and potential terraforming efforts [1 7]. The high biomass productivity of phototrophic microorganisms, potentially ten times greater than that of terrestrial plants, makes them highly efficient for mass conversion in compact space habitats [3]. Furthermore, certain strains possess capabilities that extend beyond basic life support. For example, Anabaena cylindrica UTAD A212 can solubilize phosphate from rock dust and enrich soil with fixed nitrogen and potassium, suggesting its potential role in paraterraforming initiatives aimed at making extraterrestrial regolith more hospitable for higher plants [23]. The genome of Anabaena variabilis contains genes for glutamate/glutamine pools that are affected by salt stress, indicating sophisticated internal regulatory mechanisms to cope with environmental shifts [24].

A critical aspect of Anabaena's ecophysiology is its dormancy strategy. The formation of akinetes—a thick-walled, metabolically quiescent resting cell type—allows the organism to survive harsh conditions such as desiccation and extreme temperatures [25]. While some studies report that akinetes can remain viable for over a hundred years in sediments, their germination is often triggered by specific cues like light or nutrient availability, which may limit their utility for long-duration, unmonitored missions [25]. In contrast, the strain Anabaena sp. PCC 7120 exhibits a different survival mechanism, tolerating prolonged desiccation by entering a state of suspended animation and reviving vital functions upon rehydration [4]. This trait is complemented by the presence of gas vesicles (GVs), which provide buoyancy control in aquatic environments. Although the genetic potential for GV synthesis exists in PCC 7120, functional GVs were not observed under standard lab conditions, suggesting a complex regulatory system that remains to be fully understood [30]. The number of genome copies per cell also varies among strains, with PCC 7120 having 8.2 copies at 28° C, which could influence its metabolic capacity and resilience [37]. These foundational properties collectively position Anabaena as a uniquely versatile chassis, capable of performing multiple, integrated life-support functions simultaneously.

Feature	Description	Relevance to Space Exploration	Source(s)
Nitrogen Fixation	Differentiation of specialized heterocysts containing nitrogenase for fixing atmospheric N_2.	Enables operation in N_2-rich atmospheres (e.g., Mars) and reduces dependency on Earth-supplied nitrogen fertilizers.	[[25,45]]
Photosynthesis	Oxygenic photosynthesis producing O_2 and sequestering CO_2 into biomass.	Primary source of breathable air and organic matter for food and fuel production in BLSS.	[[1,7,26]]
Desiccation Tolerance	Survives complete drying by entering a dormant state and reviving upon rehydration.	Critical for survival during transit and in arid extraterrestrial environments.	[[4]]
Buoyancy Regulation	Genetic potential for gas vesicle synthesis allows vertical migration between nutrient-rich and light-rich layers.	Enhances access to resources in controlled photobioreactors, optimizing growth efficiency.	[[30]]
Exopolysaccharide (EPS)	Production of EPS sheaths provides protection against environmental stressors and facilitates aggregation.	Can enhance biofilm formation for stable cultivation but may cause issues in fluid-based systems.	[[2,44]]

Performance Under Simulated and Real-Space Stressors

The viability of Anabaena as a space chassis hinges on its ability to withstand the multifaceted stressors of the space environment, including cosmic radiation, microgravity, extreme temperature fluctuations, and exposure to reactive chemicals like perchlorates. Research has begun to dissect its response to these factors, revealing a complex interplay of direct damage and adaptive responses. One of the most impressive findings is the extraordinary radioresistance of Anabaena sp. PCC 7120, which can survive gamma radiation doses up to 15 kGy, with a lethal dose for 50% of the population (LD50) at 6 kGy [4]. This resilience is not due to avoidance but to a robust repair and recycling machinery. Following irradiation, the organism undergoes massive proteome degradation, breaking down nearly 70 proteins, followed by the resynthesis of around 40-50 key proteins to restore function [4]. This process involves specific molecular components, such as the SSB protein All4779, which when overexpressed enhances tolerance to various stresses, and the peroxiredoxin Q protein Alr3183, which protects against oxidative damage [4]. Studies on the effects of simulated microgravity have shown that while growth can be slower, the organism mounts a clear defense response. Cultures exposed to simulated microgravity via a clinostat exhibit increased levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and elevated activity of antioxidant enzymes like superoxide dismutase (SOD) and catalase [5 17 39]. However, this response appears maladaptive, as intracellular glutathione content—a key non-enzymatic antioxidant—decreases, suggesting a disrupted redox balance [17 39].

Exposure to ultraviolet radiation (UVR), a major threat on the surface of Mars without a protective atmosphere, triggers a cascade of defensive mechanisms. UVR induces the synthesis of UV-screening compounds like mycosporine-like amino acids (MAAs) and scytonemin, which absorb harmful wavelengths and act as antioxidants [20]. Scytonemin, located in the extracellular sheath, can reduce UV-A penetration by up to 90% [20]. Anabaena also employs enzymatic defenses, including photolyases that use light energy to repair DNA damage directly (photoreactivation) and nucleotide excision repair pathways involving proteins like UvrC [20]. The primary targets of UVR damage include photosystem II (PSII), whose electron transport rate is reduced, and nitrogenase, which is inactivated, highlighting the interconnected nature of the organism's core metabolic processes [20 46].

Perhaps the most relevant stressor for Martian applications is the combination of regolith and perchlorates. Studies show that Anabaena sp. PCC 7938 grows well on Martian regolith simulants like MGS-1 and MMS-2, utilizing nutrients leached from the rock powder [2 18]. However, the presence of perchlorates, which are toxic oxidizing agents found in Martian soil, poses a significant challenge. Growth inhibition follows a Haldane-type kinetics model, indicating that low concentrations may even stimulate growth, but toxicity increases sharply at higher concentrations [35]. For instance, PCC 7938 shows intermediate resistance, maintaining >66% of its control biomass at 6 mM calcium perchlorate, but is less resilient than other strains like PCC 7524 [12 33]. Interestingly, embedding cells within the regolith simulant itself provides a physical shield, allowing some recovery after prolonged UV-B exposure equivalent to 72 Martian sols, whereas exposed samples are sterilized [2]. This suggests that containment within a regolith matrix could be a viable strategy for mitigating surface-level hazards. The table below summarizes key performance metrics under these stressors.

Stressor	Organism/ Strain	Key Findings	Mechanisms/ Evidence	Source(s)
Gamma Radiation	Anabaena sp. PCC 7120	Exceptional tolerance; LD50 of 6 kGy. Can repair fragmented genome and recycle damaged proteome.	Overexpression of SSB protein All4779 enhances tolerance. Proteome degradation-resynthesis cycle.	[[4]]
Simulated Microgravity	Anabaena sp. PCC 7120	Slower growth; upregulation of ROS and antioxidant enzymes (SOD, CAT); decreased glutathione.	Imbalance in oxidative/ antioxidative status suggests a maladaptive response.	[[5,17,39]]
UV-B Radiation	Anabaena sp. PCC 7120	Induces filament fragmentation, inhibits photosynthesis (reduced Fv/Fm, rETR). Produces screening compounds (scytonemin, MAAs).	Upregulates photolyases (CPD, 6-4) and nucleotide excision repair (uvrC). Nitrogen availability modulates recovery.	[[20,46]]
Regolith & Perchlorate	Anabaena sp. PCC 7938	Grows well on MGS-1 simulant; phosphorus is limiting nutrient. Intermediate perchlorate resistance (6-12 mM).	Growth is enhanced by direct contact with regolith particles for nutrient mobilization. Combined effects follow multiplicative kinetics.	[[12,18,33,35]]
Desiccation	Anabaena spp.	Hinders growth but cells can recover within 30 days.	Physiological response involves accumulation of cryoprotectants like PHA granules. Dormancy strategies like akinete formation.	[[2,4,9]]

Despite these encouraging results, a critical gap remains: comprehensive studies on the complex, synergistic effects of multiple simultaneous stressors. Most experiments are conducted in isolation. Understanding how cumulative damage from chronic low-dose radiation, microgravity, and periodic desiccation events affects long-term stability, genetic integrity, and metabolic output is a key challenge for future research [3].

Genetic Engineering Toolkits for Anabaena

The immense potential of Anabaena as a space chassis is contingent upon our ability to engineer it with enhanced resilience and novel functionalities. Fortunately, the field of synthetic biology has recently provided the tools necessary to transform this organism from a mere model to a customizable platform. Historically, genetic manipulation of filamentous cyanobacteria was fraught with difficulty, primarily due to two major barriers: restriction-modification (RM) systems and the presence of a thick exopolysaccharide (EPS) sheath [44]. RM systems in Anabaena sp. PCC 7120, such as AvaI, AvaII, and AvaIII, recognize and cleave foreign DNA, severely hampering transformation efforts [19 34 44]. Conjugal transfer of plasmids from E. coli is exponentially reduced for each unprotected restriction site on the incoming DNA [21 34]. To overcome this, researchers developed helper plasmids expressing the corresponding methyltransferases (e.g., pRL623 carrying M.AvaI, M.Eco47II, and M.EcoT22I) to protect the DNA from cleavage during transfer [19 22]. Another strategy involved isolating spontaneous mutant strains deficient in specific restriction enzymes, like the AV strain lacking AvaI activity, which enabled uptake of unmethylated DNA [19].

While these methods were effective, they were often cumbersome and inefficient. The landscape changed dramatically with the introduction of CRISPR-Cas technologies. A breakthrough study described an improved CRISPR-Cpf1 system for Anabaena sp. PCC 7120 that achieved near 100% efficiency for single genomic modifications using a "two-spacers" strategy [8]. This system allowed for multiple edits using plasmids with different antibiotic markers and facilitated marker recycling via sacB-mediated sucrose sensitivity. Crucially, it enabled the conditional manipulation of essential genes like polA (DNA polymerase I) and the deletion of a massive 118 kb chromosomal region—the largest bacterial deletion reported using CRISPR [8]. These advances significantly expand the scope of synthetic biology applications, enabling the construction of complex circuits and the targeted enhancement of desired traits. Other established methods like electroporation and triparental conjugation have been successfully used for transforming strains like M131 and PCC 7120, though electroporation is often less efficient and requires careful optimization of parameters and pre-methylation of DNA [13 32].

The table below compares different transformation methods for Anabaena, highlighting their respective advantages and limitations.

Method	Strains Tested	Efficiency	Key Advantages	Key Limitations	Source(s)
Conjugation	Anabaena sp. PCC 7120,		Overcomes RM barriers	Requires donor (E.	[[19,22,32]]

Method	Strains Tested	Efficiency	Key Advantages	Key Limitations	Source(s)
	Fischerella muscicola, Chlorogloeopsis fritschii	Reproducible, high efficiency	with helper plasmids; does not require specialized equipment.	coli) and recipient (Anabaena) hosts; vector size limits.	
Electroporation	Anabaena sp. M131, F. muscicola	Low to moderate	Faster than conjugation; can be used for DNA extraction.	High variability; dependent on strain, vector methylation, and physical removal of EPS.	[[13,32]]
CRISPR-Cpf1 System	Anabaena sp. PCC 7120	Nearly 100% for single edits	Highly precise; enables multiple edits, large deletions, and conditional knockouts; broad host range applicability.	Relatively new; requires design of specific guide RNAs.	[[8]]

With these advanced tools, the focus is now shifting towards engineering specific enhancements for space applications. Potential targets include strengthening DNA repair pathways to further increase radioresistance, enhancing the production of protective compounds like scytonemin or trehalose, improving nutrient uptake from inert regolith simulants, and developing inducible expression systems for producing pharmaceuticals or biopolymers on demand [6 20]. The successful demonstration of heterologous expression of natural products like lyngbyatoxin A in PCC 7120 further confirms its synthetic biology potential [45]. As NASA's 2023 Decadal Survey emphasizes, the development of space-worthy chassis organisms engineered for resilience is a critical priority for achieving sustainable human exploration [7]. The maturation of genetic toolkits for Anabaena is therefore a pivotal step in realizing this vision.

Comparative Analysis of Anabaena Strains for Space Applications

The selection of an optimal Anabaena strain is a critical decision that will determine the success of any biotechnological application in space. Comparative studies have revealed significant phenotypic diversity among different strains, necessitating a careful evaluation based on a suite of criteria relevant to the mission architecture. The most prominent comparison is between Anabaena sp. PCC 7938 and Anabaena sp. PCC 7120, which represent two distinct but complementary profiles. PCC 7938 has been championed as a superior chassis for Martian ISRU due to a confluence of favorable traits. In direct comparative tests on Martian Global Simulant (MGS-1), PCC 7938 produced over twice the biomass of PCC 7120 and four other related strains, yielding 0.5 ± 0.02 g L^{-1}after 28 days [10 11 12 33]. Its genome sequencing confirmed its phylogenetic proximity to PCC 7122 (ANI > 99.9%), validating its status as a shared model organism for Mars biotechnology development [10 12]. Furthermore, its culture homogeneity, with minimal aggregation, is a significant advantage for cultivation in liquid-phase photobioreactors, as aggregates can hinder light penetration and mass transfer [10 33].

However, the case for PCC 7120 is equally compelling, especially concerning resilience. This strain exhibits exceptional tolerance to desiccation and high doses of gamma radiation, far surpassing what has been reported for PCC 7938 [4]. It also possesses a robust genetic toolkit, with numerous studies documenting its physiology and successful transformations [8 45]. The choice between these two strains thus represents a classic trade-off between growth yield and inherent stress tolerance. While PCC 7938 might be ideal for maximizing biomass production in a protected habitat, PCC 7120 could be better suited for applications requiring hardiness, such as open-field cultivation or emergency backup systems.

Other strains also offer unique advantages. Anabaena cylindrica UTAD A212 demonstrates strong growth on Martian regolith simulants and notable resilience to desiccation and UV-B radiation, recovering from exposure equivalent to 72 Martian sols when embedded in regolith [2]. Its ability to improve soil fertility by increasing nitrogen and phosphorus content also makes it a valuable candidate for paraterraforming projects [23]. When considering the entire Nostocaceae family, it becomes clear that no single strain is universally optimal. For instance, while PCC 7938 excelled in biomass production, another strain, PCC 7524, showed superior resistance to high concentrations of calcium perchlorate [12 33]. This highlights the need for a portfolio approach, where different strains are selected for different niches within a larger bioregenerative system. The table below provides a comparative overview of key strains.

Feature	Anabaena sp. PCC 7938	Anabaena sp. PCC 7120	Anabaena cylindrica UTAD A212	Anabaena sp. PCC 7524
Martian Regolith Growth	Excellent: Highest biomass productivity (>2x other strains).	Good: Lower biomass than PCC 7938.	Good: Grew well on MGS-1 and MMS-2.	Excellent: Superior to PCC 7938.

Feature	Anabaena sp. PCC 7938	Anabaena sp. PCC 7120	Anabaena cylindrica UTAD A212	Anabaena sp. PCC 7524
Perchlorate Resistance	Moderate: Resistant to 3-6 mM Ca-perchlorate. Less resistant than PCC 7524 at 12 mM.	Information not available in provided sources.	Information not available in provided sources.	Excellent: Outperformed PCC 7938 at 12 mM Ca-perchlorate.
Radioresistance	Information not available in provided sources.	Exceptional: Survives up to 15 kGy gamma rays.	Information not available in provided sources.	Information not available in provided sources.
Genetic Tractability	Moderate: Genome sequenced; minimal aggregation.	Excellent: Well-established genetic toolkit, including CRISPR.	Information not available in provided sources.	Information not available in provided sources.
Aggregate Formation	Minimal: Favorable for planktonic cultivation.	Significant: Can form aggregates, hindering reproducibility.	Information not available in provided sources.	Information not available in provided sources.
Suitability as Feedstock	Excellent: Supported highest growth of downstream producers (Lemna, E. coli).	Information not available in provided sources.	Information not available in provided sources.	Information not available in provided sources.
Key Advantage	Maximizing primary biomass production for ISRU and feedstock.	Maximizing inherent resilience and genetic engineering potential.	Strong soil-enrichment capabilities and resilience.	Superior perchlorate resistance.

Ultimately, the optimal strain depends on the specific mission requirements. A deep-space habitat reliant on closed-loop systems might prioritize PCC 7938 for its high yield and manageable growth habit. A surface outpost on Mars might benefit from a dual-strain system, using PCC 7938 for bulk resource production in protected bioreactors and PCC 7120 in more exposed modules for redundancy and resilience. The ongoing debate between these options underscores the complexity of designing living systems for alien worlds and the necessity for continued comparative research across multiple strains.

Integration into Bioregenerative Life Support Systems

The ultimate goal of developing a chassis organism like Anabaena is its integration into a cohesive Bioregenerative Life Support System (BLSS) capable of sustaining human life on long-duration missions. Such a system aims to close material loops by recycling air, water, and waste into essential resources like oxygen, food, and building materials. Anabaena is poised to play a foundational role, functioning as the primary producer at the base of a multi-compartment trophic pyramid. Current BLSS concepts, such as the European MELiSSA program and NASA's Environmental Control and Life Support System (ECLSS), provide a blueprint for this integration, though they face significant technical hurdles [6 16 27]. The primary challenge lies in scaling up from small, controlled laboratory experiments to the large, fully closed systems required to support a human crew [14 27]. For example, ground experiments have shown that one crew member requires approximately 8 − 20 m^2 of illuminated surface area for Chlorella vulgaris photobioreactors to meet their O_2 and CO_2 needs [27].

In a typical BLSS architecture, Anabaena would operate in a dedicated photobioreactor (PBR) compartment. Its principal functions would be threefold: (1) Atmospheric Revitalization, continuously removing metabolic CO_2 and replenishing O_2; (2) Food Production, generating edible biomass rich in proteins and other nutrients that could supplement traditional food supplies; and (3) Resource Generation, producing precursor chemicals that can be converted into fuels, plastics, or pharmaceuticals [1 6 7]. The high biomass productivity of cyanobacteria offers significant advantages over higher plants, with potential mass savings of 26 − 85% over abiotic methods for certain applications [7]. The integration of Anabaena would also involve creating a symbiotic relationship with secondary producers. Filtered lysates of Anabaena sp. PCC 7938, for instance, have been shown to support the robust growth of the heterotrophic bacterium E. coli W and the higher plant Lemna sp. (duckweed), forming a simple food web [10 12 33]. This creates a more efficient system where waste products from one process become feedstocks for another, mimicking natural ecosystems.

However, several critical challenges must be overcome for successful integration. First is the issue of system closure and automation. Maintaining a completely sterile and stable environment over long periods is difficult, with risks of contamination from unwanted microbes compromising the entire system, as seen in the Biosphere 2 project [6 16]. Second is the problem of scale and hardware. Gas-liquid transfer is notoriously inefficient in microgravity, rendering conventional airlift PBRs ineffective; membrane-based gas exchange is a promising alternative being tested on the ISS [27]. Third is the management of biomass. Harvesting, processing, and handling large quantities of algal biomass in a closed system presents significant logistical challenges that require automated solutions [26]. Finally, the long-term genetic stability of the engineered Anabaena strains is a major unknown. Continuous cultivation under space-specific stressors could lead to mutations or loss of engineered traits, and ensuring consistent performance over years is a critical knowledge gap [3 27]. Addressing these challenges through rigorous testing in analog environments and on the International Space Station is essential before any BLSS incorporating Anabaena can be deployed on a mission to Mars or beyond.

Critical Knowledge Gaps and Future Directions

While the potential of Anabaena as a space chassis is undeniable, the path to operational deployment is paved with significant scientific and engineering challenges. Identifying and addressing these critical knowledge gaps is paramount for the next five to ten years. The most pressing need is for holistic research that investigates the synergistic effects of multiple space stressors. Current data largely come from single-factor experiments. A true assessment of Anabaena's viability requires understanding how chronic low-dose radiation interacts with fluctuating gravity, temperature, and nutrient availability over extended periods. Such long-term, multi-stressor studies are currently lacking but are essential for predicting the long-term stability and performance of a BLSS [3].

Another major gap lies in the transition from static laboratory models to dynamic, automated systems. Most research focuses on batch cultures in controlled flasks. Future work must concentrate on cultivating Anabaena in continuous-flow photobioreactors that mimic the conditions of a spacecraft life support system. This includes developing robust sensors for real-time monitoring of key parameters like biomass, photosynthetic efficiency, and nutrient levels, as demonstrated by the Arthrospira-B experiment on the ISS [27]. Furthermore, research is needed on scalable biomass harvesting and processing techniques that can be automated for hands-free operation during long-duration missions [26]. The Eu:CROPIS satellite failure serves as a stark reminder of the difficulties in integrating complex biological systems with spacecraft hardware, underscoring the need for rigorous testing and redundancy [27].

From a genetic perspective, while CRISPR technology has revolutionized editing, there is still room for improvement. Developing more sophisticated gene expression systems, such as tunable promoters and biosensors that respond to specific environmental cues (e.g., light intensity, nutrient levels), would allow for fine-tuned control over engineered traits. Additionally, research should explore epigenetic regulation and other forms of cellular memory that might help Anabaena adapt more quickly to changing conditions. The recent finding that the global regulator LexA modulates the radiation stress response in PCC 7120 opens a new avenue for systems-level engineering, where controlling a single master switch could enhance resilience across multiple pathways [42].

Finally, the ecological dimension cannot be overlooked. Any large-scale deployment of Anabaena on Mars or elsewhere raises profound questions about planetary protection and paraterraforming. Ensuring that the organism cannot escape containment and contaminate the planet is a non-negotiable requirement. Conversely, if Anabaena is to be part of a paraterraforming effort, its long-term impact on the Martian environment must be carefully modeled and studied. The Biosphere 2 experience highlighted the unintended consequences of introducing a closed ecosystem to a novel environment, including oxygen depletion due to unforeseen interactions between soil microbes and minerals [6]. Future research must therefore adopt a cautious, iterative approach, starting with small-scale, contained experiments and gradually expanding the complexity of the systems being tested.

To conclude, Anabaena stands at the forefront of a new era in astrobiology and space exploration. Its unique combination of physiological robustness, genetic tractability, and proven performance under simulated space conditions positions it as a prime candidate for a self-sustaining biological infrastructure in deep space. The development of powerful genetic engineering tools has transformed

it from a passive subject of study into an active instrument of biodesign. The future direction for the field is clear: move beyond isolated proof-of-concepts to build and test integrated, automated, and resilient Anabaena-based BLSS. By systematically addressing the critical knowledge gaps in multi-stressor adaptation, system integration, and genetic stability, we can unlock the full potential of this remarkable organism. In the coming decade, Anabaena could evolve from a promising chassis in a research paper to the engine of life for humanity's first off-world colonies.

Reference

1. Synthetic biology for space exploration - PMC - PubMed Central https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12255725/
2. Survival of Filamentous Cyanobacteria Through Martian ... https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12114234/
3. Phototrophic microorganisms in bioregenerative life ... https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0094576523003570
4. High radiation and desiccation tolerance of nitrogen-fixing ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/257755268_High_radiation_and_desiccation_tolerance_of_nitrogen-fixing_cultures_of_the_cyanobacterium_Anabaena_sp_Strain_PCC_7120_emanates_from_genomeproteome_repair_capabilities
5. Simulated microgravity promotes cellular senescence via ... https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0197018609002241
6. Grand challenges in space synthetic biology - PMC https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4707852/
7. Space Synthetic Biology: A Paradigm for Sustainability on ... https://www.liebertpub.com/doi/10.1089/space.2024.0002
8. Expanding the Potential of CRISPR-Cpf1-Based Genome ... https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30525474/
9. Evaluating stress resilience of cyanobacteria through flow ... https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s12223-024-01212-w
10. Selection of Anabaena sp. PCC 7938 as a Cyanobacterium ... https://journals.asm.org/doi/10.1128/aem.00594-22
11. Selection of Anabaena sp. PCC 7938 as a ... https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9361815/
12. Selection of Anabaena sp. PCC 7938 as a Cyanobacterium ... https://journals.asm.org/doi/abs/10.1128/aem.00594-22
13. Cyanobacteria: Electrotransformation and Electroextraction https://www.researchgate.net/publication/300731044_Cyanobacteria_Electrotransformation_and_Electroextraction

14. Cyanobacteria and microalgae in supporting human ... https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0734975022000428
15. Eco-physiological adaptations that favour freshwater ... https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0043135411007883
16. Importance and challenges of integrating BLSS into ECLSS https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0094576524002157
17. Reactive oxygen species and antioxidant enzymes activity of ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/7928927_Reactive_oxygen_species_and_antioxidant_enzymes_activity_of_Anabaena_sp_PCC_7120_Cyanobacterium_under_simulated_microgravity
18. On the growth dynamics of the cyanobacterium Anabaena ... https://www.nature.com/articles/s41526-022-00240-5
19. (PDF) Reduction of conjugal transfer efficiency by three ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/14143066_Reduction_of_conjugal_transfer_efficiency_by_three_restriction_activities_of_Anabaena_sp_strain_PCC_7120
20. Resilience and Mitigation Strategies of Cyanobacteria ... https://www.mdpi.com/1422-0067/24/15/12381
21. Reduction of conjugal transfer efficiency by three restriction ... https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/9068647/
22. Reduction of conjugal transfer efficiency by three restriction ... https://www.addgene.org/browse/article/8693/
23. Biofertilizing Effect of Soil Cyanobacterium Anabaena ... https://www.mdpi.com/2077-0472/15/2/189
24. (PDF) Effect of NaCl on Growth and Physiological Traits ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/45727786_Effect_of_NaCl_on_Growth_and_Physiological_Traits_of_Anabena_cylindrica_L
25. Eco-physiological adaptations that favour freshwater ... https://www.carey.biol.vt.edu/wp-content/uploads/2013/09/Carey_2012_Water-Res.pdf
26. Microalgae in bioregenerative life support systems for ... https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024AlgRe..7703332R/abstract
27. Use of Photobioreactors in Regenerative Life Support ... https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8281973/
28. Bioregenerative Life Support Systems in Space Research https://www.researchgate.net/publication/330321376_Bioregenerative_Life_Support_Systems_in_Space_Research
29. Nitrogen cycling in bioregenerative life support systems https://repository.uantwerpen.be/docman/irua/c68302/148996_2019_02_20.pdf

30. The model cyanobacteria Anabaena sp. PCC 7120 possess ... https://bmcmicrobiol.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12866-020-01805-8

31. Synthetic biology for space exploration | ART Tor Vergata https://art.torvergata.it/bitstream/2108/428023/1/2025_Synthetic%20Biology%20for%20human%20space%20exploration.pdf

32. Transformation and Conjugal Transfer of Foreign Genes ... https://www.molevol.hhu.de/fileadmin/redaktion/Fakultaeten/Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftliche_Fakultaet/Biologie/Institute/Molekulare_Evolution/Dokumente/Transformation_and_Conjugal_Transfer_of_Foreign_Genes_into_Filamentous_Multicelular_Cyanobacteria_Fischerella_and_Chlorogloepsis.pdf

33. (PDF) Selection of Anabaena sp. PCC 7938 as a ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/361932079_Selection_of_Anabaena_sp_PCC_7938_as_a_Cyanobacterium_Model_for_Biological_ISRU_on_Mars

34. Reduction of conjugal transfer efficiency by three restriction ... https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC178925/

35. On the growth dynamics of the cyanobacterium Anabaena ... https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9606272/

36. (PDF) Synthetic biology for space exploration https://www.researchgate.net/publication/393640731_Synthetic_biology_for_space_exploration

37. Advancements in Synthetic Biology for Enhancing ... https://www.mdpi.com/2673-3994/5/3/23

38. Comparative Phenotypic Analysis of Anabaena sp. ... https://www.jmb.or.kr/journal/view.html?doi=10.4014/jmb.2103.03009

39. Reactive oxygen species and antioxidant enzymes activity ... https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15806733/

40. Comparative Phenotypic Analysis of Anabaena sp. PCC ... https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33879642/

41. Comparative Phenotypic Analysis of Anabaena sp. ... https://www.jmb.or.kr/journal/view.html?uid=5837&vmd=Full

42. Gamma (γ)-radiation stress response of the ... https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2022.07.04.498681.full

43. Two TonB-dependent outer membrane transporters ... http://microbialcell.com/researcharticles/2024a-graf-microbial-cell/

44. Cyanobacterial defense mechanisms against foreign DNA ... https://www.scielo.cl/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S0716-97602013000400009

45. Anabaena sp. strain PCC 7120: Laboratory Maintenance ... https://currentprotocols.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/cpmc.71

46. differential responses of anabaena sp. pcc 7120 (... http://en.jaas.ac.cn/upload/20140104/qhj001.pdf

47. Selection of Anabaena sp. PCC 7938 as a ... https://hal.science/hal-05182012v1

48. Selection of Anabaena sp. PCC 7938 as a ... https://media.suub.uni-bremen.de/entities/publication/b8449118-dd6a-4493-8bcf-00c7774c1026