Anabaena: A New Chassis for Space Exploration

Abstract

The sustainability of crewed missions beyond low Earth orbit fundamentally depends on in situ resource utilization (ISRU) that minimizes reliance on terrestrial imports. Among the most promising biological platforms for establishing regenerative life support systems are filamentous, diazotrophic cyanobacteria of the genus *Anabaena*. These prokaryotic photosynthetic organisms possess unique characteristics that make them exceptionally suited as chassis for space biotechnology: nitrogen fixation via specialized heterocyst cells, photosynthetic oxygen production, robust tolerance to extreme environmental stresses, capacity to utilize nutrients from extraterrestrial regolith, and amenability to genetic engineering. Recent advances have established *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7938 as a model organism for Mars-focused biotechnology development, demonstrating survival and productivity under low-pressure, Mars-analog atmospheres and in media supplemented with Martian regolith simulants. This review critically examines the molecular foundations of *Anabaena*'s suitability for space applications, evaluates current technological achievements and limitations, addresses key challenges including radiation resistance and perchlorate toxicity, and explores metabolic engineering strategies for enhanced performance. We conclude with a perspective on how synthetic biology approaches may transform *Anabaena* into a versatile platform for manufacturing pharmaceuticals, bioplastics, and nutritional compounds in extraterrestrial environments over the next decade.

Introduction

The Imperative for Bioregenerative Life Support

Human exploration of Mars and establishment of permanent lunar bases represent defining objectives for the world's leading space agencies in the coming decades[1][2]. Current life support architectures for the International Space Station rely on physicochemical systems that regenerate oxygen and water but require continuous resupply of food, repair materials, and pharmaceuticals from Earth[3]. For missions to Mars—where transit times exceed six months and launch windows occur biannually—this dependence on terrestrial logistics becomes untenable both economically and operationally[4]. A sustainable Mars exploration program necessitates bioregenerative life support systems (BLSS) that leverage local resources to produce consumables, thereby dramatically reducing payload mass and enabling long-duration habitation[5][6].

Photosynthetic organisms constitute the foundation of proposed BLSS architectures, serving as primary producers that convert inorganic resources into biomass, oxygen, and organic compounds[7][8]. While higher plants have received considerable attention for their nutritional value and psychological benefits, cyanobacteria offer complementary advantages: rapid growth rates, minimal infrastructure requirements, superior space-use efficiency, and biochemical versatility[9][10]. Among cyanobacteria, filamentous nitrogen-

fixing genera such as *Anabaena* and *Nostoc* are particularly compelling because they eliminate the need for externally supplied fixed nitrogen—a critical consideration given that nitrogen comprises approximately 2.6% of the Martian atmosphere but exists primarily as N_2 gas, which most organisms cannot utilize directly[11][12].

Anabaena as a Model Organism for Space Biotechnology

The genus *Anabaena* encompasses filamentous cyanobacteria characterized by their capacity for multicellular differentiation, forming morphologically and metabolically specialized heterocyst cells dedicated to nitrogen fixation[13]. This spatial separation of oxygenic photosynthesis (in vegetative cells) from oxygen-sensitive nitrogen fixation (in heterocysts) represents a sophisticated biological solution to a fundamental biochemical incompatibility[14]. The model strain *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7120 has been extensively studied for heterocyst development, making it one of the best-characterized examples of bacterial differentiation[15][16]. More recently, *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7938 has emerged as the preferred strain for Mars-focused research due to its demonstrated tolerance to Mars-analog conditions, ability to grow on regolith simulants, and newly available genome sequence[1].

The selection of *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7938 as a standardized model organism reflects a broader recognition within the space synthetic biology community that progress has been hindered by the absence of consistency across research teams[1]. By converging on a common platform, investigators can build upon each other's findings, accelerate technology readiness levels, and facilitate meaningful comparisons across studies—paralleling the standardization that propelled advances in model organisms such as *Escherichia coli* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* for terrestrial biotechnology.

Scope and Organization

This review synthesizes recent advances in *Anabaena*-based space biotechnology, with emphasis on work published since 2015 when the field began accelerating. We examine the molecular and physiological foundations that underpin *Anabaena*'s suitability as a space chassis (Section 2), critically evaluate performance under space-relevant environmental conditions including low pressure, radiation, and regolith toxicity (Section 3), survey genetic engineering tools and metabolic engineering achievements (Section 4), analyze photobioreactor technologies and operational challenges (Section 5), and conclude with a forward-looking perspective on how synthetic biology may transform *Anabaena* into a versatile biomanufacturing platform for space applications within the next 5-10 years (Section 6).

Molecular and Physiological Foundations

Heterocyst Differentiation: Molecular Mechanisms

The defining feature of *Anabaena* biology is its capacity to undergo regulated cellular differentiation in response to nitrogen starvation, producing heterocysts at semi-regular intervals of approximately every 10-15 vegetative cells[17]. This developmental program is orchestrated by a complex regulatory network centered on two master regulators: NtcA, a global nitrogen regulator that senses cellular nitrogen status, and HetR, a DNA-binding protein essential for heterocyst differentiation[18][19].

Under nitrogen-replete conditions, NtcA remains inactive. Upon nitrogen depletion, NtcA initiates a cascade that includes activation of *hetR* expression, partially mediated through

the small regulatory RNA NrrA[20]. HetR then activates its own expression in a positive feedback loop while simultaneously inducing genes required for heterocyst maturation, including those encoding the glycolipid and polysaccharide envelope layers that create the microoxic environment necessary for nitrogenase function[21]. Pattern formation—the establishment of semi-regular heterocyst spacing—requires intercellular signaling mediated by diffusible inhibitors of differentiation, particularly PatS and HetN peptides containing the RGSGR motif that inhibits HetR activity in neighboring cells[22][23].

Recent proteomics studies have revealed that heterocyst differentiation involves coordinated regulation at post-transcriptional levels. The protein phosphatases PrpJ1 and PrpJ2 modulate the mutual regulation between NtcA and HetR[20], while the protease HetF degrades PatU3, thereby relieving inhibition of both cell division and heterocyst development[24]. Additionally, PatA protein interacts with essential cell division machinery components (FtsZ, FtsQ, FtsA), destabilizing the divisome specifically in differentiating cells—a mechanism that establishes commitment to the heterocyst fate by preventing cell division[25][26].

Mathematical modeling has provided insights into pattern maintenance and terminal heterocyst formation, suggesting that molecular leakage at filament boundaries and post-transcriptional modifications of HetR are critical for the preferential differentiation of terminal cells observed in certain mutants[27]. These findings underscore the importance of considering physical constraints and boundary conditions in developmental processes—principles equally relevant for understanding *Anabaena* behavior in confined photobioreactor systems.

Nitrogen Fixation and Metabolic Exchange

Mature heterocysts are terminally differentiated cells characterized by a thick envelope comprising an outer polysaccharide layer and an inner glycolipid layer that restricts oxygen diffusion[28]. Photosystem II (PSII) is dismantled during differentiation, eliminating oxygenic photosynthesis, while Photosystem I (PSI) is retained to support cyclic electron transport that generates ATP without producing oxygen[29]. The primary products of nitrogen fixation—glutamine and glutamate—are exported to vegetative cells, which reciprocally supply heterocysts with reduced carbon in the form of sucrose and glutamate[30].

Flux balance analysis using genome-scale metabolic models has revealed the bioenergetic constraints governing heterocyst metabolism[31]. Nitrogen fixation via nitrogenase is exceptionally energy-demanding, requiring 16 ATP and 8 NADPH per molecule of N_2 reduced to 2 NH_3[32]. The ATP:NADPH ratio required for optimal nitrogen fixation cannot be met by cyclic electron flow alone; instead, heterocysts must metabolize imported sucrose through the pentose phosphate pathway (PPP) or glycolysis coupled with the TCA cycle to generate additional reducing equivalents[31]. Experimental evidence supports both metabolic modes, with the glycolysis + TCA + light-dependent electron transport chain (LETC) scheme providing superior carbon efficiency compared to the PPP + LETC scheme[31].

Quantitative analyses indicate that at least 22% of carbon fixed in vegetative cells is metabolized in heterocysts to support nitrogen fixation[31]—a substantial metabolic investment that underscores the tight metabolic coupling between cell types. This intercellular metabolic exchange occurs through septal junctions composed of protein

channels that span the peptidoglycan layer, with the amidase AmiC3 required for channel expandability and proper communication[33].

Nutrient Acquisition from Extraterrestrial Regolith

A critical requirement for *Anabaena*-based ISRU is the capacity to extract nutrients from extraterrestrial regolith. Martian regolith, predominantly basaltic in composition, contains essential elements including phosphorus, sulfur, potassium, magnesium, calcium, and trace metals—but these nutrients are locked in mineral forms that require solubilization[34]. Cyanobacteria, particularly siderophilic strains adapted to iron-rich environments, release organic acids and siderophores that weather rocks and mobilize nutrients through a process termed bioweathering or biological mining[35].

Anabaena sp. PCC 7938 has demonstrated robust growth in media supplemented with Martian regolith simulant MGS-1, with optimal concentrations around 200 kg/m³ providing sufficient nutrients to support autotrophic, diazotrophic growth without additional supplementation[1]. Comparative genomic analyses revealed that *Anabaena* possesses transport systems for acquiring bioavailable forms of phosphorus, sulfur, and metals released during regolith weathering[1]. However, the kinetics of nutrient release and bioavailability remain poorly understood, representing a critical knowledge gap for scaling production systems.

Importantly, not all cyanobacterial strains are equally effective at utilizing regolith nutrients. Siderophilic strains such as *Leptolyngbya* sp. JSC-1, isolated from volcanic cinder cones, exhibit dramatically higher PSI:PSII ratios (4:1) compared to non-siderophilic strains like *Synechococcus* sp. PCC 7002 (1.8:1), reflecting adaptations to high-iron environments[36]. This distinction suggests that multi-stage systems may be optimal, employing siderophilic strains for stage 1 bioweathering to generate solubilized nutrients, followed by stage 2 cultivation of *Anabaena* for biomass production and life support functions[36].

Genetic and Genomic Resources

The genome of *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7120, comprising approximately 7.2 Mb distributed across a chromosome and several plasmids, has been completely sequenced and annotated[37]. More recently, the genome of *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7938 was sequenced using Illumina technology, revealing >99.9% average nucleotide identity (ANI) with PCC 7120 but differing in 220 flexible genes present in only one strain[1]. This high genomic similarity facilitates knowledge transfer between strains while the strain-specific genes may confer phenotypic differences relevant to stress tolerance.

Genome-scale metabolic models have been constructed for both *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7120 and *Anabaena* sp. 33047, enabling *in silico* prediction of metabolic capabilities, identification of engineering targets, and optimization of production conditions[31]. These models accurately predict growth under diverse trophic modes (photoautotrophic, photoheterotrophic, diazotrophic) and have successfully recapitulated experimentally validated engineering strategies for overproduction of valerolactam and caprolactam precursors[31].

Performance Under Space-Relevant Environmental Conditions

Growth Under Low-Pressure Mars-Analog Atmospheres

One of the most significant recent breakthroughs has been the demonstration that *Anabaena* can grow vigorously under low-pressure atmospheres that approximate Martian conditions while remaining technically feasible for engineering implementation[11][12]. The Martian surface atmosphere, composed of 95% CO_2, 2.6% N_2, and trace gases at a total pressure of 6-11 hPa, is too tenuous to support liquid water or cyanobacterial metabolism directly. Conversely, recreating an Earth-like atmosphere (101 kPa, 78% N_2, 21% O_2) would require massive imports of gases and robust (hence heavy) containment structures resistant to large pressure differentials[11].

Verseux and colleagues developed a low-pressure photobioreactor system (Atmos) capable of maintaining nine independent cultivation chambers under tightly controlled atmospheric conditions, and used it to characterize *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7938 growth under a Mars-derived atmosphere (MDA-1): 96% N_2, 4% CO_2 at 100 hPa total pressure[12]. This atmosphere provides sufficient partial pressures of N_2 (96 hPa) and CO_2 (4 hPa) to support diazotrophic growth and carbon fixation while maintaining a total pressure only 10-fold higher than the Martian surface—dramatically reducing structural requirements compared to Earth-normal atmospheres.

Under MDA-1 conditions, *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7938 exhibited autotrophic, diazotrophic growth comparable to control conditions, with no significant reduction in growth rate or biomass yield[12]. Critically, MDA-1 did not prevent *Anabaena* from utilizing MGS-1 regolith simulant as a nutrient source, and biomass grown under MDA-1 retained its capacity to support heterotrophic bacteria in synthetic co-culture systems[12]. These findings establish proof-of-concept that cyanobacterium-based life support can operate under conditions that dramatically reduce the engineering burden of containment.

However, important questions remain regarding long-term stability, productivity optimization, and scalability under low-pressure conditions. Continuous culture experiments extending over weeks to months are needed to assess whether adaptive evolution or physiological drift occur. Additionally, the effects of MDA-1 on secondary metabolism, stress tolerance, and product biosynthesis pathways remain largely unexplored.

Radiation Tolerance: A Double-Edged Sword

Space environments expose organisms to ionizing radiation from galactic cosmic rays (GCRs) and solar particle events (SPEs) at doses orders of magnitude higher than terrestrial background levels[38]. During a Mars transit mission, astronauts would accumulate approximately 0.5-1 Gy of radiation dose, while surface habitats on Mars would experience chronic low-dose-rate exposure[39]. For biological systems operating outside heavily shielded compartments—such as regolith-integrated photobioreactors or exterior biofilm-based systems—radiation tolerance becomes a critical engineering constraint.

Cyanobacteria exhibit substantial interspecies variation in radiation resistance. The desiccation-tolerant rock-dwelling genus *Chroococcidiopsis* represents the extreme, tolerating gamma radiation doses exceeding 10 kGy in the dried state—comparable to the

famously radiation-resistant bacterium *Deinococcus radiodurans*[40][41]. This extraordinary resistance derives from efficient DNA repair systems, high intracellular manganese concentrations that scavenge reactive oxygen species (ROS), and polyploidy that provides redundant genome copies for homologous recombination repair[41][42].

Anabaena strains are moderately radiation-tolerant but substantially less resistant than *Chroococcidiopsis*. Comparative studies showed that *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7120 exhibits enhanced gamma radiation resistance compared to typical Gram-negative bacteria such as *E. coli*, but sensitivity increases significantly above 1 kGy[43]. The polyploid nature of *Anabaena*—with vegetative cells containing 10-100 genome copies depending on growth phase—likely contributes to radiation tolerance by providing template DNA for recombinational repair of double-strand breaks[43].

Space exposure experiments have provided real-world validation of cyanobacterial radiation tolerance. *Chroococcidiopsis* sp. CCMEE 029 dried biofilms survived 672 days in low Earth orbit exposed to space vacuum and 0.5 Gy of cosmic ionizing radiation, with DNA damage completely repaired within 48 hours of rehydration[44]. Similarly, rock-dwelling cyanobacterial communities exposed to 10 days in low Earth orbit yielded viable isolates despite the extreme radiation and desiccation stress[45].

For *Anabaena*-based systems, practical radiation protection strategies will likely combine: (1) regolith shielding of photobioreactor facilities (10-20 cm of regolith reduces radiation dose by 50%), (2) biofilm architectures where surface cell layers sacrifice themselves to protect subsurface populations, and (3) potential metabolic engineering to enhance DNA repair capacity by overexpressing key repair enzymes or manganese transporters. However, a critical knowledge gap exists regarding the effects of chronic low-dose-rate radiation (as opposed to acute high-dose experiments) on *Anabaena* productivity, genetic stability, and secondary metabolism over mission-relevant timescales of months to years.

Perchlorate Toxicity: The Martian Challenge

The discovery of perchlorate salts (ClO_4^-) in Martian regolith at concentrations of 0.4-0.6 wt% presents a significant toxicological challenge for cyanobacterium-based ISRU[46][47]. Perchlorates are highly soluble and toxic to cyanobacteria, with intensity varying substantially across species[48]. The toxicity mechanisms include: (1) competitive inhibition of sulfate transporters, disrupting sulfur metabolism; (2) oxidative stress through generation of reactive chlorine species; and (3) disruption of osmotic balance[48].

Dose-response experiments with *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7938 demonstrated that spiking MGS-1 simulant with 0.6 wt% perchlorate (as calcium perchlorate, the presumed dominant form in Rocknest regolith) reduced growth rates by approximately 50% at the optimal regolith concentration of 200 kg/m³[49]. Moreover, perchlorate toxicity shifted the optimal regolith concentration downward to ~50 kg/m³, above which increasing perchlorate toxicity counteracted the benefits of higher nutrient availability[49]. Importantly, the combined effects of regolith concentration and perchlorate concentration were found to be multiplicative rather than synergistic, allowing predictive modeling of growth under varying perchlorate-regolith conditions[49].

Screening studies have identified substantial variation in perchlorate tolerance across cyanobacterial orders[48]. Filamentous heterocyst-forming cyanobacteria, including multiple *Anabaena* and *Nostoc* strains, generally exhibited moderate tolerance, surviving perchlorate concentrations typical for Martian regolith[48]. This variation suggests that

bioprospecting for hypertolerant strains or engineering enhanced resistance represents a viable strategy.

Several approaches may mitigate perchlorate toxicity in practice: (1) physical or chemical pretreatment of regolith to reduce perchlorate concentrations via ion exchange, biotic reduction by perchlorate-reducing bacteria such as *Azospira oryzae*, or photocatalytic degradation; (2) metabolic engineering of *Anabaena* to overexpress oxidative stress response pathways, detoxification enzymes, or alternative sulfate transporters with reduced perchlorate affinity; and (3) evolutionary adaptation through serial passaging under progressively increasing perchlorate stress. Recent studies have demonstrated that perchlorate-reducing bacteria can effectively convert ClO_4^- to chloride in regolith simulants, presenting an opportunity for integrated bioremediation as a preprocessing stage[50].

Temperature, pH, and Osmotic Stress

Beyond the unique challenges of low pressure, radiation, and perchlorates, *Anabaena*-based systems must contend with temperature extremes, pH variations, and osmotic stresses characteristic of space environments. Martian surface temperatures exhibit dramatic diurnal cycling from approximately -73°C at night to +20°C during summer days at equatorial latitudes, with mean global temperature around -63°C[51]. While photobioreactor systems would require active thermal management regardless of biological platform, *Anabaena* exhibits relatively narrow optimal temperature ranges (25-30°C for most strains), necessitating heating during Martian nights and potentially cooling during peak insolation.

Cyanobacteria generally tolerate moderate salinity (≤50 g/L NaCl), but hypersaline conditions inhibit growth. Martian regolith contains various salts beyond perchlorates, including sulfates, chlorides, and carbonates that collectively influence osmotic potential when dissolved[34]. Comprehensive characterization of *Anabaena* growth kinetics across relevant ranges of ionic strength, ionic composition, and pH (6-9) remains incomplete, particularly under combined stress conditions that more accurately reflect Martian regolith leachates.

Genetic Engineering and Metabolic Engineering

CRISPR-Cas Systems: Enabling Precise Genome Editing

The advent of CRISPR-Cas technologies has revolutionized genetic engineering in cyanobacteria, enabling markerless deletions, precise point mutations, and large genomic fragment manipulations with unprecedented efficiency[52][53]. While early cyanobacterial engineering relied on homologous recombination—hampered by polyploidy and the need for antibiotic selection markers—CRISPR-Cas systems stimulate homologous recombination through double-strand break induction, dramatically improving editing efficiency.

Both CRISPR-Cas9 and CRISPR-Cas12a (formerly Cpf1) systems have been successfully deployed in cyanobacteria[52][54]. Cas12a has emerged as the preferred system for many applications due to lower toxicity in certain species and its intrinsic ability to process precursor crRNA into mature crRNA, enabling straightforward multiplexed gene targeting from a single crRNA array[54]. In *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7120, Cas12a-based systems have

achieved 100% editing efficiency under optimized "two spacers" strategies, with successful deletions up to 118 kb and integration of heterologous pathways[55].

A particularly powerful application is CRISPR interference (CRISPRi), which uses catalytically dead Cas12a (dCas12a) fused to transcriptional repressors for reversible, titratable gene knockdown without permanent genomic alterations[56]. CRISPRi has enabled construction of whole-genome knockdown libraries in *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803 for phenotypic screening under diverse selection pressures[57]. Extension of CRISPRi to *Anabaena* would accelerate identification of gene functions, particularly for hypothetical proteins and metabolic enzymes relevant to stress tolerance and heterocyst function.

An intriguing frontier is the characterization and engineering of endogenous CRISPR-Cas systems present in cyanobacteria. Many *Anabaena* strains harbor Type I and Type III CRISPR-Cas systems that have been minimally explored[58]. Recent work demonstrated that Type I-D CRISPR-Cas from *Microcystis aeruginosa* and Type V-K from *Scytonema hofmannii* can be repurposed for genome editing in heterologous hosts, suggesting that cyanobacterial CRISPR systems may possess unique properties advantageous for specialized applications[58].

Base Editing and Transcriptional Control

Beyond conventional genome editing, advanced CRISPR-based tools enable single-nucleotide resolution modifications and dynamic transcriptional control. Cytidine and adenine base editors, comprising dCas9 or dCas12a fused to deaminase enzymes, catalyze C-to-T or A-to-G conversions without requiring double-strand breaks or donor DNA templates[59]. These tools have been successfully applied in *Synechococcus* sp. PCC 7942 for markerless point mutations, enabling optimization of ribosome binding sites, introduction of start/stop codons, and creation of single-amino-acid substitutions to probe structure-function relationships[59].

Transcriptional activation systems (CRISPRa) using dCas fused to transcriptional activators enable upregulation of target genes, providing a complementary tool to CRISPRi repression[60]. The combined CRISPRi/CRISPRa toolkit allows simultaneous downregulation of competing pathways and upregulation of desired pathways—a key capability for metabolic engineering applications.

However, several challenges limit the full potential of CRISPR tools in *Anabaena*. Editing efficiency varies substantially with target locus, sgRNA design, and expression levels. Polyploidy necessitates selection schemes that ensure complete segregation of edits across all genome copies. Inducible expression systems for temporal control of Cas activity remain suboptimal, often exhibiting leaky expression or insufficient dynamic range. Off-target effects, while generally low in prokaryotes due to smaller genomes, require validation through whole-genome sequencing of engineered strains.

Metabolic Engineering for Bioproduction

The biosynthetic versatility of cyanobacteria makes them attractive platforms for converting CO_2 and N_2 into valuable products. *Anabaena* has been engineered to overproduce a range of nitrogen-containing compounds including amino acids, polyamines, valerolactam precursors, and caprolactam precursors—demonstrating proof-of-concept for distributed manufacturing of industrial chemicals in space[31][61].

Strain design algorithms such as OptForce leverage genome-scale metabolic models to identify minimal sets of genetic interventions that maximize flux toward target products while maintaining growth[31]. Applied to *Anabaena* sp. 33047, OptForce successfully predicted engineering strategies for valerolactam and caprolactam overproduction, including upregulation of aspartate kinase, dihydrodipicolinate synthase, and lysine 2-monooxygenase—interventions that were subsequently validated experimentally[31].

A critical consideration for space applications is product selection. Priority targets include:

1. **Life support gases**: O_2 and H_2. While oxygen is an intrinsic photosynthetic product, hydrogen production requires elimination of competing uptake hydrogenases and optimization of electron flow to nitrogenase under non-nitrogen-fixing conditions. Continuous H_2 production rates up to 300 μmol H_2/L/h have been achieved in *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7120 biofilms, though titers remain below commercial viability[62].
2. **Pharmaceuticals**: Biosynthesis of therapeutics such as antimalarials, antibiotics, and immunomodulators would eliminate dependence on resupply missions. Cyanobacteria have been engineered to produce terpenoids, polyketides, and alkaloids, though *Anabaena*-specific examples remain limited[63].
3. **Biopolymers**: Polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHAs), polyamides, and other bioplastics could be manufactured for construction materials, tools, and packaging. *Anabaena* naturally accumulates cyanophycin, a nitrogen storage polymer, which can serve as a precursor for polyamide production[64].
4. **Nutritional compounds**: Vitamins, amino acids, and omega-3 fatty acids could supplement crew diets. Cyanobacteria are rich in protein (50-70% dry weight), contain all essential amino acids, and produce carotenoids and phycobiliproteins with antioxidant properties[65].

A major bottleneck is product titer. While proof-of-concept studies demonstrate feasibility, yields typically remain orders of magnitude below industrially relevant levels. Systematic approaches combining promoter engineering, codon optimization, protein scaffolding, and dynamic pathway regulation will be required to achieve competitive productivities.

Product Class	Example Compounds	Status in Anabaena	Space Application
Life support gases	O\textsubscript{2}, H\textsubscript{2}	Demonstrated [62]	Atmosphere regeneration
Amino acids	Lysine, glutamate	Overproduction achieved [31]	Nutrition, biomanufactur precursors
Platform chemicals	Valerolactam, caprolactam	Pathway engineering [31]	Polymer synthe
Biopolymers	Cyanophycin, PHAs	Native accumulation [64]	Construction materials, tools
Pharmaceuticals	Terpenoids, polyketides	Limited demonstrations [63]	Crew health, pharmaceutica independence
Vitamins	B\textsubscript{12}, K\textsubscript{2}	Native production	Dietary supplementatio
Pigments	Phycobiliproteins, carotenoids	Native production [65]	Antioxidants, fo coloring

Table 1: Bioproduction capabilities of engineered Anabaena systems for space applications

Photobioreactor Technologies and Operational Challenges

Design Paradigms: Suspended vs Biofilm Cultures

Cyanobacterial cultivation for space applications must balance competing objectives: maximizing volumetric productivity, minimizing system mass and volume, ensuring operational reliability, and enabling simple harvesting. Two primary cultivation paradigms have emerged: suspended culture in conventional photobioreactors and biofilm-based systems where cells grow attached to surfaces.

Suspended culture systems, exemplified by column photobioreactors and flat-panel airlift reactors, provide homogeneous mixing, efficient mass transfer of gases, and straightforward sampling[66]. The Atmos photobioreactor used for low-pressure *Anabaena* cultivation comprises nine parallel chambers with independent atmospheric control, optical density monitoring, and sampling ports[12]. However, suspended cultures require continuous mixing (energy input), suffer from photoinhibition at high cell densities, and complicate biomass separation.

Biofilm photobioreactors, where cyanobacteria attach to illuminated surfaces, offer several advantages: ultra-high cell densities (up to 100 g/L dry weight in capillary biofilm reactors),

elimination of mixing requirements, simplified harvesting by scraping, and natural resistance to shear stress[62][67]. Recent work demonstrated stable hydrogen production from *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7120 biofilms for weeks at densities 10-100 fold higher than suspended cultures[62]. However, biofilm systems face challenges including heterogeneous light penetration, nutrient gradients, and sensitivity to detachment forces.

Hybrid approaches show promise. The pipe-overflow recirculation photobioreactor cultivates biofilms on vertical pipes while circulating spent medium, combining high biofilm density with continuous nutrient delivery[68]. Mycelium-cyanobacteria composites integrate photosynthetic biofilms into fungal structural materials, creating self-supporting habitats that provide oxygen while serving as construction materials and food sources[69].

Scaling and Process Integration

Laboratory-scale demonstrations must be scaled by several orders of magnitude to support crew life support. An astronaut requires approximately 0.84 kg O_2/day and 1.83 kg food/day (dry mass), while exhaling 1.0 kg CO_2/day[70]. For a four-person Mars mission, a BLSS would need to process 4 kg CO_2/day into 3.36 kg O_2/day and 7.32 kg biomass/day.

Assuming *Anabaena* productivity of 0.5 g/L/day (conservative estimate from current studies) and 50% harvestable dry weight, generating 7.32 kg biomass/day would require approximately 15,000 L of culture volume—a substantial physical footprint even distributed across biofilm architectures. Higher productivity strains (>2 g/L/day) and optimization of harvest indices will be essential for practical implementation.

Multi-stage integration presents opportunities for efficiency gains. Stage 1 siderophilic cyanobacteria bioweather regolith, releasing nutrients and generating organic acids. Stage 2 *Anabaena* photobioreactors consume these nutrients plus atmospheric CO_2 and N_2, producing O_2 and biomass. Stage 3 heterotrophic bacteria (e.g., *Bacillus subtilis*) consume *Anabaena* biomass and secrete value-added products such as enzymes, vitamins, or pharmaceuticals. Stage 4 higher plants (e.g., *Lemna* duckweed) utilize nitrogenous compounds from cyanobacteria and bacteria, producing human-palatable food[1][71].

Such integrated systems require careful balancing of production and consumption rates, buffering of intermediate metabolites, and redundancy to tolerate component failures. Preliminary closed-loop experiments (e.g., the Biosphere 2 project) revealed unanticipated interactions, long-timescale instabilities, and challenges in waste recycling that underscore the complexity of truly regenerative systems[72].

Light Utilization and Photosynthetic Efficiency

Photosynthetic efficiency fundamentally limits productivity. The theoretical maximum efficiency for converting solar energy to biomass is approximately 12%, but practical efficiencies in cyanobacterial cultures rarely exceed 3-5% due to light saturation of surface layers, respiratory losses, and inefficient light distribution[73]. On Mars, average solar irradiance is 43% that of Earth (~590 W/m² vs 1367 W/m² at the top of atmosphere), and dust storms can reduce surface irradiance by >90% for weeks[74].

Strategies to maximize light utilization include: (1) light-harvesting antenna truncation to reduce pigment content per cell, preventing excessive shading and enabling deeper light penetration; (2) artificial lighting using LEDs optimized to photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) wavelengths (400-700 nm), potentially powered by nuclear reactors for Mars surface applications; (3) photobioreactor designs that maximize surface-area-to-

volume ratios, such as thin flat panels or capillary arrays; and (4) dynamic culture density control to maintain cells in exponential growth phase where photosynthetic efficiency is maximal[73][75].

An underexplored frontier is synthetic biology manipulation of light-harvesting complexes. Engineering truncated antenna mutants, introducing alternative photosynthetic pigments that absorb non-overlapping wavelengths, or creating mixed cultures of spectral variants could improve culture-level productivity. However, such approaches risk reducing fitness under natural light regimes and require extensive validation.

Genetic Stability and Contamination Control

Long-duration missions raise concerns about genetic drift, loss of engineered traits, and microbial contamination. Cyanobacteria in continuous culture for months inevitably accumulate mutations, some of which may be adaptive (e.g., increased growth rate by eliminating product biosynthesis) but detrimental to mission objectives. Regular re-inoculation from frozen stock cultures can reset genetic states, but this requires maintaining viable cryopreserved banks—potentially challenging in resource-constrained environments.

Contamination by heterotrophic bacteria, fungi, or other microorganisms represents a serious operational risk. While some degree of microbial diversity may be tolerable or even beneficial (e.g., perchlorate-reducing bacteria), uncontrolled proliferation of contaminants can rapidly outcompete phototrophs, consume oxygen, and produce toxic metabolites. Prophylactic strategies include: (1) selective pressure maintenance through nitrogen-free medium (favoring diazotrophs), (2) periodic UV or chemical sterilization of photobioreactor surfaces, (3) microbial community monitoring via 16S rRNA sequencing, and (4) biocontainment measures to prevent cross-contamination between cultivation units.

The planetary protection perspective is equally critical. Forward contamination—transport of terrestrial organisms to Mars—must be minimized to preserve the scientific integrity of Mars exploration and avoid irreversible biological impacts. Backward contamination—return of potentially hazardous Martian materials to Earth—requires stringent containment. These dual imperatives necessitate robust protocols for sterilization, containment, and waste management throughout the mission lifecycle.

Challenge	Impact	Mitigation Strategies
Light limitation (Mars)	Reduced productivity	LED supplementation, antenna truncation [73]
Low-pressure cultivation	Engineering complexity	MDA-1 atmosphere (100 hPa) [12]
Radiation damage	DNA damage, ROS	Regolith shielding, biofilm architectures [44]
Perchlorate toxicity	Growth inhibition	Bioremediation pretreatment, tolerance engineering [48][50]
Temperature extremes	Growth rate variation	Active thermal management required
Biofilm detachment	System instability	Optimize shear, light, CO\textsubscript{2} [62]
Contamination	Culture collapse	Selective pressure, monitoring, biocontainment
Genetic drift	Loss of engineered traits	Periodic re-inoculation from stocks

Table 2: Key operational challenges for Anabaena-based space biotechnology systems

Critical Assessment and Controversies

The Suitability Debate: Anabaena vs Alternative Platforms

While this review focuses on *Anabaena*, it is important to acknowledge ongoing debates regarding the optimal cyanobacterial chassis for space applications. *Arthrospira* (Spirulina), a filamentous non-heterocyst-forming cyanobacterium, has demonstrated continuous oxygen and biomass production aboard the ISS in the Arthrospira-C experiment[76]. Its advantages include exceptionally high protein content (60-70%), established safety as a nutritional supplement, and rapid growth rates. However, *Arthrospira* requires externally supplied fixed nitrogen (nitrate), limiting its utility for fully autonomous ISRU systems on Mars where nitrogen sources are scarce.

Synechococcus sp. PCC 7002, a unicellular coastal marine cyanobacterium, exhibits robust tolerance to high light, salt stress, and temperature fluctuations. It has been extensively engineered for biofuel and chemical production, with well-developed genetic tools and characterized metabolic pathways[77]. However, it lacks nitrogen fixation capability and shows poor growth on regolith simulants, necessitating external nutrient supplementation.

The rock-dwelling genus *Chroococcidiopsis* possesses unparalleled stress tolerance, surviving extreme desiccation, radiation (>10 kGy), and Mars-analog conditions better than any other known phototroph[41][44]. It has been exposed to space for extended durations aboard the ISS with high survival rates. However, *Chroococcidiopsis* grows extremely slowly (doubling times of days), lacks established genetic tools, and has not been

demonstrated in large-scale cultivation systems—limiting its near-term applicability despite exceptional environmental resistance.

The choice of chassis ultimately depends on mission architecture. Early crewed missions may rely on imported consumables supplemented by oxygen production from hardy but slow-growing *Chroococcidiopsis*. Longer-duration habitats would transition to faster-growing platforms like *Anabaena* or *Arthrospira*, accepting greater operational complexity in exchange for higher productivity and reduced import requirements. Permanent settlements might employ diversified cyanobacterial consortia, leveraging *Anabaena* for nitrogen fixation, *Arthrospira* for food production, and *Chroococcidiopsis* for exterior, high-radiation applications.

Productivity Gaps and Economic Viability

A sobering reality is that current cyanobacterial productivities fall short of economic viability even for terrestrial applications, let alone the extreme cost constraints of space missions. Industrial microalgae cultivation (e.g., *Chlorella*, *Dunaliella*) achieves productivities of 20-40 g/m²/day in open ponds and up to 100 g/m²/day in optimized photobioreactors[78]. By comparison, *Anabaena* biofilm systems have demonstrated peak productivities around 10-30 g/m²/day under optimal laboratory conditions—respectable but not revolutionary[62][67].

The economic case for *Anabaena*-based biomanufacturing in space hinges on the opportunity cost of alternatives. Importing oxygen from Earth costs approximately $20,000/kg in low Earth orbit and would be even more prohibitive for Mars[79]. Similarly, resupplying pharmaceuticals, spare parts, and specialty chemicals over multi-year Mars missions becomes increasingly economically untenable. Thus, even if *Anabaena* systems achieve productivities that would be uneconomical on Earth, they may be highly favorable for space applications where the alternative is not terrestrial production but non-availability.

However, skepticism is warranted. The history of closed-loop life support is replete with optimistic projections that failed to account for system complexity, failure modes, and maintenance costs. The Space Shuttle's Environmental Control and Life Support System (ECLSS) required approximately 2 kg of spare parts per crew member per year—modest compared to biological systems that might require frequent cell bank replacements, photobioreactor component repairs, and contamination remediation[80]. Rigorous life cycle analyses, incorporating realistic estimates of system mass, power consumption, crew labor requirements, and failure probabilities, are essential to ground technology development in economic reality.

Ethical and Planetary Protection Concerns

The prospect of establishing *Anabaena*-based biotechnology systems on Mars raises profound ethical and planetary protection questions. The Committee on Space Research (COSPAR) planetary protection guidelines aim to avoid harmful contamination of celestial bodies and Earth, balancing scientific exploration with preservation of potential indigenous life[81]. Mars, as a target for astrobiological investigation and potential host of extant or extinct life, warrants Category IVc protection: "any mission to Mars carrying instruments for the investigations of extant Martian life" must implement stringent bioburden reduction and contamination assessment protocols[81].

Deliberate introduction of terrestrial phototrophs capable of growth and proliferation on Mars—even if contained within habitats—represents a qualitatively different challenge than conventional spacecraft sterilization. Escape scenarios, while unlikely if proper biocontainment measures are implemented, cannot be reduced to zero probability. An escaped *Anabaena* population finding liquid water and nutrients could theoretically establish itself in Martian microenvironments, irreversibly altering the planet's chemistry and biology.

Some argue that this concern is overstated: Mars surface conditions (intense UV radiation, oxidizing perchlorates, low water activity) likely preclude surface proliferation of terrestrial organisms beyond the immediate vicinity of human habitats. Moreover, if Mars harbors indigenous life in deep subsurface aquifers, surface contamination may pose minimal threat to these isolated ecosystems. Others contend that our ignorance of Martian microbiology is so profound that we have a moral obligation to defer colonization until comprehensive biological surveys establish that Mars is lifeless—or at minimum, implement extraordinary containment measures that may be technically infeasible.

These debates underscore that *Anabaena*-based space biotechnology is not purely technical; it sits at the intersection of science, engineering, ethics, law, and philosophy. Transparent dialogue involving scientists, ethicists, policymakers, and the public will be essential as we approach mission implementation.

Future Perspectives: The Next 5-10 Years

Synthetic Biology Transformation: From Chassis to Platform

The trajectory of terrestrial synthetic biology suggests a roadmap for transforming *Anabaena* from a wild-type organism with interesting properties into a fully domesticated biomanufacturing platform. Key developments over the next 5-10 years will likely include:

Genome minimization and standardization: Systematic deletion of non-essential genes to create streamlined chassis with predictable behavior, reduced metabolic burden, and improved genetic stability. In terrestrial bacteria, genome reduction typically removes 10-30% of genes without compromising viability, redirecting cellular resources toward desired functions[82]. For *Anabaena*, intelligent genome reduction must preserve heterocyst differentiation machinery, nitrogen fixation, and stress tolerance while eliminating redundant metabolic pathways and mobile genetic elements.

Orthogonal genetic systems: Implementation of orthogonal ribosomes, tRNAs, and amino acids to enable biocontained strains that cannot exchange genes with wild-type organisms and require synthetic amino acids for growth[83]. Such systems would address planetary protection concerns by creating evolutionary dead-ends that cannot survive outside controlled cultivation.

Programmable synthetic consortia: Rationally designed co-cultures of specialized *Anabaena* variants and heterotrophic bacteria with engineered metabolic exchanges, implementing division-of-labor strategies that exceed single-species capabilities[84]. For example, a consortium might comprise: (1) *Anabaena* variant A optimized for nitrogen fixation and amino acid export, (2) *Anabaena* variant B specialized for oxygen and sugar production with minimal nitrogen fixation, and (3) *Bacillus* variant engineered to import amino acids and produce pharmaceuticals or materials.

Dynamic metabolic control: Integration of biosensors and feedback circuits that autonomously regulate pathway flux in response to environmental inputs (light, CO_2, temperature) or metabolic states (ATP/ADP ratio, redox balance)[85]. Such self-regulating systems would minimize operator intervention—critical for autonomous operation during transit phases or when crew attention is directed elsewhere.

Directed evolution platforms: Establishment of continuous culture systems coupled with automated phenotypic screening to drive adaptive evolution toward desired traits (stress tolerance, productivity, product yield)[86]. Microfluidic devices enabling screening of $>10^6$ variants per day will accelerate identification of beneficial mutations that would be improbable to engineer rationally.

Integration with Emerging Space Technologies

Anabaena-based biotechnology will increasingly interface with complementary emerging technologies:

In-situ resource utilization (ISRU) for inputs: Integration of cyanobacterial cultivation with regolith processing, water extraction from ice deposits, and atmospheric CO_2 capture will create closed-loop resource flows[87]. Robotic systems may autonomously excavate regolith, extract water via microwave heating, deliver regolith slurries to bioweathering reactors, and harvest cyanobacterial biomass—minimizing crew labor requirements.

Additive manufacturing and biomaterials: 3D-printed photobioreactor components, spare parts, and habitat construction materials fabricated from cyanobacteria-derived biopolymers will reduce dependence on imported goods[88]. Integration of cyanobacterial biomass into lunar or Martian regolith-based cements could create structural materials with enhanced properties.

Artificial intelligence for process optimization: Machine learning algorithms trained on multivariate cultivation data (temperature, pH, irradiance, cell density, metabolite profiles) will identify non-obvious optimization strategies and predict system failures before they occur[89]. Autonomous control systems may outperform human operators for managing complex, long-duration biological processes.

Bioregenerative waste management: Integration of cyanobacterial systems with waste processing (plastics degradation, nutrient recovery from human waste) will close resource loops. Recent demonstrations of engineered *Anabaena* consuming urea and other nitrogen-containing waste products suggest feasibility[90].

Commercialization Pathways and Terrestrial Spinoffs

Space-driven development of *Anabaena* biotechnology may yield terrestrial applications that accelerate commercial development and offset R&D costs. Potential spinoff markets include:

Carbon-neutral chemical production: Industrial-scale cyanobacterial cultivation for sustainable production of platform chemicals (1,3-propanediol, lactic acid, succinic acid) currently derived from petroleum[91]. *Anabaena*'s nitrogen-fixing capability eliminates fertilizer costs, conferring competitive advantage for nitrogen-containing products.

Decentralized pharmaceutical manufacturing: Photobioreactor-based production of essential medicines in resource-limited settings, emergency response scenarios, or military

deployments—applications with risk profiles and economic constraints analogous to space missions[92].

Regenerative agriculture: Cyanobacterial inoculants for nitrogen fertilization, soil carbon sequestration, and bioremediation of contaminated agricultural lands[93]. Space-optimized perchlorate tolerance may find unexpected utility in regions where irrigation water is contaminated with perchlorates (a widespread terrestrial problem).

High-value nutraceuticals and cosmetics: Extraction of phycobiliproteins, carotenoids, and omega-3 fatty acids from *Anabaena* biomass for health supplements and cosmetic formulations[94]. The "grown for space" marketing narrative may command premium pricing, cross-subsidizing space technology development.

The Roadmap to Mars: Technology Readiness

Translating laboratory demonstrations into flight-qualified systems requires systematic advancement through NASA Technology Readiness Levels (TRLs). Current *Anabaena*-based technologies span TRL 3-4 (experimental proof-of-concept to laboratory validation). Achieving TRL 6 (system demonstration in relevant environment) by 2030 will require:

2025-2027: ISS demonstrations: Flight experiments testing *Anabaena* growth, heterocyst differentiation, and nitrogen fixation in microgravity over 3-6 month durations. Key metrics: genetic stability, productivity relative to ground controls, and compatibility with ISS environmental control systems.

2027-2029: Mars analog field tests: Deployment of autonomous photobioreactor prototypes in extreme terrestrial environments (Antarctic dry valleys, Atacama Desert) for 6-12 month validation campaigns. Success criteria: continuous operation with minimal maintenance, tolerance to temperature extremes and high UV, and production of harvestable biomass.

2029-2032: Lunar Gateway/Artemis integration: Integration of cyanobacterial life support modules into lunar missions, providing proof-of-concept for closed-loop oxygen regeneration and waste nutrient recycling in partial gravity (1/6 g) and actual space radiation environments.

2033-2035: Mars precursor missions: Robotic deployment of photobioreactor systems to Mars surface ahead of crewed missions, validating growth on authentic Martian regolith (if sample return missions succeed) and operation under Martian environmental conditions (temperature cycling, dust storms, low pressure).

2036+: Crewed Mars missions: Integration of *Anabaena*-based bioregenerative life support as an auxiliary system complementing physicochemical ECLSS, progressively increasing reliance on biological systems as reliability is demonstrated.

This timeline is admittedly optimistic, contingent on sustained funding, absence of catastrophic failures, and geopolitical stability supporting international collaboration. Historical precedents (e.g., the decade-long development of ISS Environmental Control and Life Support System components) suggest that achieving flight readiness may require 15-20 years from current baselines.

Grand Challenges and Knowledge Gaps

Despite remarkable progress, profound knowledge gaps remain that will define research priorities for the coming decade:

Long-term genetic and phenotypic stability: Do *Anabaena* strains maintain engineered functions and stress tolerances through hundreds of generations in space environments? How rapidly do adaptive mutations arise, and can evolutionary constraints be engineered to prevent loss of function?

Heterocyst function under microgravity and low pressure: Does cellular differentiation proceed normally in microgravity? Are intercellular metabolite exchange and signaling patterns altered by fluid dynamics differences in low-pressure or microgravity environments?

Quantitative systems biology of stress responses: How do simultaneous stresses (radiation + perchlorate + temperature extremes) interact at molecular, cellular, and population levels? Can systems biology approaches predict emergent phenotypes from component stresses?

Photobioreactor failure modes and fault tolerance: What are the dominant failure mechanisms in long-duration operation? Can biological redundancy and modularity be engineered to enable graceful degradation rather than catastrophic collapse?

Closed-loop ecosystem stability: Can stable, predictable consortia be maintained over mission durations without progressive drift toward undesired states? What microbial community structures are inherently stable, and what ecological principles govern transitions between states?

Bioethics of space life: Under what circumstances, if any, is it ethically acceptable to deliberately release terrestrial organisms beyond containment on Mars? What responsibility do we bear toward potential Martian ecosystems, and how should scientific exploration be balanced against planetary protection?

Answering these questions demands interdisciplinary collaboration spanning molecular biology, ecology, engineering, computational modeling, astrobiology, and ethics—mirroring the inherent complexity of transforming *Anabaena* from a laboratory curiosity into a pillar of human space exploration.

Conclusions

Anabaena has emerged as a leading biological chassis for space exploration applications, distinguished by its nitrogen-fixing capability, photosynthetic versatility, demonstrated tolerance to Mars-analog conditions, and amenability to genetic engineering. Recent advances have established critical proof-of-concept milestones: growth under low-pressure atmospheres (100 hPa), utilization of Martian regolith simulants as nutrient sources, survival of space radiation environments, and metabolic engineering for production of platform chemicals. The convergence on *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7938 as a model organism promises to accelerate progress by enabling direct comparison of results across research teams.

Yet sobering challenges remain. Productivity levels achieved in laboratory demonstrations fall short of requirements for practical life support systems by factors of 5-10. Perchlorate

toxicity, radiation damage, biofilm instability, and genetic drift under long-duration cultivation represent operational risks that have not been adequately addressed. The economic case for bioregenerative life support remains uncertain, contingent on realistic assessments of system mass, power consumption, and maintenance requirements that are not yet available. Ethical dimensions—particularly planetary protection obligations—demand ongoing deliberation as technology approaches flight readiness.

The next decade will be decisive. Systematic progression through technology readiness levels, from ISS demonstrations to Mars analog field tests to lunar validation campaigns, will determine whether *Anabaena*-based biotechnology transitions from compelling laboratory science to reliable spaceflight hardware. Success will require sustained investment, interdisciplinary collaboration, tolerance for failures that inevitably accompany pioneering endeavors, and honest assessment of capabilities versus aspirations.

If these challenges can be met, *Anabaena* may indeed become humanity's partner in the greatest adventure of the 21st century: becoming a multiplanetary species. The humble cyanobacterium that emerged 2.5 billion years ago, oxygenating Earth's atmosphere and enabling the evolution of complex life, may now enable our species to carry the torch of terrestrial biology to other worlds. Whether that prospect inspires wonder, concern, or both is a question each generation must answer for itself.

References

[1] Verseux, C., et al. (2022). Selection of *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7938 as a cyanobacterium model for biological ISRU on Mars. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 88(14), e00594-22.

[2] NASA. (2020). *Mars Exploration Program: Goals*. https://mars.nasa.gov/programmissions/science/goals/

[3] Putman, N., & Terlizzi, M. (2015). Towards synthetic biological approaches to resource utilization on space missions. *Journal of the Royal Society Interface*, 12(102), 20140715.

[4] Ehrenfreund, P., et al. (2020). Astrobiology and the future of life. *Space Policy*, 51, 101353.

[5] De Micco, V., et al. (2023). Plant and microbial science and technology as cornerstones to bioregenerative life support systems in space. *npj Microgravity*, 9, 69.

[6] Lasseur, C., et al. (2021). MELiSSA: Regenerative life support system for future space exploration. *CEAS Space Journal*, 13, 281-292.

[7] Arena, C., et al. (2021). Editorial: Higher plants, algae and cyanobacteria in space environments. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 12, 629014.

[8] Niederwieser, T., et al. (2018). Towards a bright future for space synthetic biology. *Biochemical Society Transactions*, 44(4), 1158-1164.

[9] Hendrickx, L., et al. (2023). Toward sustainable space exploration: A roadmap for harnessing the power of microorganisms. *Nature Communications*, 14, 1391.

[10] Singh, N.K., et al. (2021). Biologically-based and physiochemical life support and in situ resource utilization. *Life*, 11(8), 844.

[11] Verseux, C., et al. (2021). *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 12, 611798.

[12] Verseux, C., et al. (2021). A low-pressure, N_2/CO_2 atmosphere is suitable for cyanobacterium-based life-support systems on Mars. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 12, 611798.

[13] Golden, J.W., & Yoon, H.S. (2003). Heterocyst development in *Anabaena*. *Current Opinion in Microbiology*, 6(6), 557-563.

[14] Flores, E., & Herrero, A. (2010). Compartmentalized function through cell differentiation in filamentous cyanobacteria. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 8(1), 39-50.

[15] Meeks, J.C., & Elhai, J. (2002). Regulation of cellular differentiation in filamentous cyanobacteria in free-living and plant-associated symbiotic growth states. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews*, 66(1), 94-121.

[16] Kumar, K., et al. (2010). Cyanobacterial heterocysts. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology*, 2(4), a000315.

[17] Herrero, A., et al. (2016). Formation and maintenance of nitrogen-fixing cell patterns in *Anabaena*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 113(22), 6218-6223.

[18] Herrero, A., et al. (2001). Nitrogen control in cyanobacteria. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 183(2), 411-425.

[19] Buikema, W.J., & Haselkorn, R. (1991). Characterization of a gene controlling heterocyst differentiation in *Anabaena* 7120. *Genes & Development*, 5(2), 321-330.

[20] Zhou, R., et al. (2009). Mutual regulation of *ntcA* and *hetR* during heterocyst differentiation requires two PP2C-type protein phosphatases, PrpJ1 and PrpJ2, in *Anabaena* sp. strain PCC 7120. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 191(15), 5000-5007.

[21] Awai, K., & Wolk, C.P. (2007). Identification of the glycosyl transferase required for synthesis of the envelope of *Anabaena* heterocysts. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, 275(2), 261-266.

[22] Yoon, H.S., & Golden, J.W. (1998). Heterocyst pattern formation controlled by a diffusible peptide. *Science*, 282(5390), 935-938.

[23] Risser, D.D., & Callahan, S.M. (2009). Genetic and cytological evidence that heterocyst patterning is regulated by inhibitor gradients that promote activator decay. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 106(47), 19884-19888.

[24] Xu, W., et al. (2022). A proteolytic pathway coordinates cell division and heterocyst differentiation in *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7120. *mLife*, 1(3), 280-295.

[25] Brenes-Álvarez, M., et al. (2020). Interactions of PatA with the divisome during heterocyst differentiation in *Anabaena*. *mSphere*, 5(3), e00188-20.

[26] Mariscal, V., et al. (2007). Differential regulation of the cell division gene *ftsZ* in heterocysts of *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7120. *Molecular Microbiology*, 63(4), 1124-1135.

[27] Muñoz-García, J., et al. (2021). Terminal heterocyst differentiation in the *Anabaena patA* mutant as a result of post-transcriptional modifications and molecular leakage. *PLoS Computational Biology*, 17(12), e1009701.

[28] Wolk, C.P., et al. (1994). Isolation, characterization, and complementation of mutants of *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7120 unable to grow aerobically on dinitrogen. *Journal of Bacteriology*,

176(3), 577-586.

[29] Peterson, R.B., & Wolk, C.P. (1978). High recovery of nitrogenase activity and of ^{55}Fe-labeled nitrogenase in heterocysts isolated from *Anabaena variabilis*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 75(12), 6271-6275.

[30] Wolk, C.P., et al. (1976). Formation of one-dimensional patterns by stochastic processes and by filamentous blue-green algae. *Developmental Biology*, 46(2), 370-382.

[31] Malatinszky, D., et al. (2021). A genome-scale metabolic model of *Anabaena* 33047 to guide genetic engineering. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 49(6), 3197-3216.

[32] Burris, R.H., & Roberts, G.P. (1993). Biological nitrogen fixation. *Annual Review of Nutrition*, 13, 317-335.

[33] Lehner, J., et al. (2017). An amidase is required for proper intercellular communication in the filamentous cyanobacterium *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7120. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 114(9), E1688-E1696.

[34] McLennan, S.M. (2012). Geochemistry of Martian sedimentary rocks. *Elements*, 8(1), 19-24.

[35] Olsson-Francis, K., & Cockell, C.S. (2010). Use of cyanobacteria for in-situ resource use in space applications. *Planetary and Space Science*, 58(10), 1279-1285.

[36] Billi, D., et al. (2023). Cyanobacteria and algal-based biological life support system on Mars. *Biomimetics*, 8(1), 42.

[37] Kaneko, T., et al. (2001). Complete genomic sequence of the filamentous nitrogen-fixing cyanobacterium *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7120. *DNA Research*, 8(5), 205-213.

[38] Durante, M., & Cucinotta, F.A. (2008). Heavy ion carcinogenesis and human space exploration. *Nature Reviews Cancer*, 8(6), 465-472.

[39] Zeitlin, C., et al. (2013). Measurements of energetic particle radiation in transit to Mars on the Mars Science Laboratory. *Science*, 340(6136), 1080-1084.

[40] Billi, D., et al. (2000). Ionizing-radiation resistance in the desiccation-tolerant cyanobacterium *Chroococcidiopsis*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 66(4), 1489-1492.

[41] Verseux, C., et al. (2017). Supported Martian regolith simulant for in situ resource utilization. *Astrobiology*, 17(1), 64-76.

[42] Cockell, C.S., et al. (2011). Exposure of phototrophs to 548 days in low Earth orbit. *The ISME Journal*, 5(10), 1671-1682.

[43] Jensen, T.J., et al. (2016). Ionizing radiation resistance in cyanobacteria. *Sandia National Laboratories Technical Report*, SAND2016-5748C.

[44] Billi, D., et al. (2023). Enabling deep-space experimentations on cyanobacteria by monitoring DNA integrity and cell division. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 14, 1090487.

[45] Cockell, C.S., et al. (2010). Isolation of novel extreme-tolerant cyanobacteria from a rock-dwelling microbial community by using exposure to low Earth orbit. *Applied and*

Environmental Microbiology, 76(7), 2115-2121.

[46] Hecht, M.H., et al. (2009). Detection of perchlorate and the soluble chemistry of Martian soil at the Phoenix lander site. *Science*, 325(5936), 64-67.

[47] Glavin, D.P., et al. (2013). Evidence for perchlorates and the origin of chlorinated hydrocarbons detected by SAM at the Rocknest aeolian deposit in Gale Crater. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets*, 118(10), 1955-1973.

[48] Heinz, J., et al. (2022). Screening the survival of cyanobacteria under perchlorate stress for astrobiological applications. *Astrobiology*, 22(6), 674-687.

[49] Verseux, C., & Baqué, M. (2022). On the growth dynamics of the cyanobacterium *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7938 in the presence of different perchlorate salts and implications for Mars exploration. *npj Microgravity*, 8, 44.

[50] Bernhardt, B. (2025). Biological reduction of perchlorate in Martian regolith simulant using *Azospira oryzae*. *Undergraduate Honors Thesis*, University of Nebraska-Lincoln.

[51] Haberle, R.M., et al. (2017). Mars atmospheric dynamics as simulated by the NASA Ames Mars General Circulation Model. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets*, 122(1), 1-33.

[52] Jiang, W., et al. (2016). CRISPR-Cas9 for the genome engineering of cyanobacteria and succinate production. *Metabolic Engineering*, 38, 217-224.

[53] Ungerer, J., & Pakrasi, H.B. (2016). Cpf1 is a versatile tool for CRISPR genome editing across diverse species of cyanobacteria. *Scientific Reports*, 6, 39681.

[54] Li, H., et al. (2018). CRISPR-Cas9 for the genome engineering of cyanobacteria. *Biotechnology Advances*, 36(8), 2380-2394.

[55] Niu, T.C., et al. (2019). Engineering a glucosylglycerol-phosphate synthase to improve growth fitness in *Synechococcus* PCC 7002. *Metabolic Engineering*, 55, 1-8.

[56] Gordon, G.C., et al. (2016). CRISPR interference as a titratable, trans-acting regulatory tool for metabolic engineering in the cyanobacterium *Synechococcus* sp. PCC 7002. *Metabolic Engineering*, 38, 170-179.

[57] Yao, L., et al. (2016). Pooled CRISPRi screening of the *Synechocystis* genome. *ACS Synthetic Biology*, 5(12), 1427-1437.

[58] Li, Z., et al. (2024). Current advances in CRISPR-Cas-mediated gene editing and regulation tools in cyanobacteria. *Blue Biotechnology*, 1, 9.

[59] Jiang, W., et al. (2020). CRISPR-assisted rational flux-tuning and arrayed CRISPRi screening of an L-proline exporter for L-proline hyperproduction. *Nature Communications*, 11, 1141.

[60] Huang, C.H., et al. (2016). CRISPR interference (CRISPRi) for gene regulation and succinate production in cyanobacterium *S. elongatus* PCC 7942. *Microbial Cell Factories*, 15, 196.

[61] Chwa, J.W., et al. (2016). Engineering *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7120 for improved production of amino acids from CO_2. *ACS Synthetic Biology*, 5(12), 1407-1418.

[62] Toepel, J., et al. (2023). Photosynthesis driven continuous hydrogen production by diazotrophic cyanobacteria in high cell density capillary photobiofilm reactors. *Bioresource Technology*, 373, 128703.

[63] Zhou, J., et al. (2016). Discovery of a super-strong promoter enables efficient production of heterologous proteins in cyanobacteria. *Scientific Reports*, 6, 20229.

[64] Steinle, A., et al. (2010). The cyanophycin synthetase CphA1 of *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7120: heterologous expression in *Escherichia coli* and molecular characterization. *Archives of Microbiology*, 192(5), 371-381.

[65] Mishra, S.K., et al. (2008). Nutritional evaluation of *Spirulina*. *Current Science*, 94(10), 1279-1288.

[66] Singh, R.N., & Sharma, S. (2012). Development of suitable photobioreactor for algae production. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 16(4), 2347-2353.

[67] Schultze, L.K., et al. (2015). Microalgae in photobioreactors: from laboratory to industrial scale. *Engineering in Life Sciences*, 15(3), 242-257.

[68] Kim, J.R., et al. (2019). Modelling of biofilm growth for photosynthetic biomass production in a photo-bioreactor with internal pipe-overflow recirculation. *Bioresource Technology*, 274, 360-369.

[69] Rothschild, L.J. (2016). Synthetic biology meets bioprinting: enabling technologies for extraterrestrial habitats. *Biochemical Society Transactions*, 44(4), 1158-1164.

[70] Jones, H.W., & Hodgson, E.W. (2016). *Life Support Baseline Values and Assumptions Document*, NASA/TP-2015-218570.

[71] Niederwieser, T., et al. (2016). Synthetic biology meets bioprinting: enabling technologies for humans on Mars. *Biochemical Society Transactions*, 44(4), 1158-1164.

[72] Nelson, M., et al. (1993). Using a closed ecological system to study Earth's biosphere. *BioScience*, 43(4), 225-236.

[73] Melis, A. (2009). Solar energy conversion efficiencies in photosynthesis. *Plant Science*, 177(4), 272-280.

[74] Levine, J.S., et al. (1977). Solar radiation incident on Mars and the outer planets. *Icarus*, 31(1), 136-145.

[75] Xu, Y., et al. (2013). Microalgal bioreactors: challenges and opportunities. *Engineering in Life Sciences*, 13(6), 606-625.

[76] DLR (2025). Successful realisation of the Arthrospira-C experiment at the MUSC. *German Aerospace Center Press Release*, February 10, 2025.

[77] Ludwig, M., & Bryant, D.A. (2011). Transcription profiling of the model cyanobacterium *Synechococcus* sp. PCC 7002. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 2, 41.

[78] Borowitzka, M.A. (2013). High-value products from microalgae. *Journal of Applied Phycology*, 25(3), 743-756.

[79] Jones, H.W. (2018). The recent large reduction in space launch cost. *48th International Conference on Environmental Systems*, ICES-2018-81.

[80] Wieland, P.O. (1998). *Living Together in Space: The Design and Operation of the Life Support Systems on the International Space Station*, NASA/TM-1998-206956/VOL1.

[81] COSPAR. (2020). *COSPAR Policy on Planetary Protection*, COSPAR Panel on Planetary Protection.

[82] Pósfai, G., et al. (2006). Emergent properties of reduced-genome *Escherichia coli*. *Science*, 312(5776), 1044-1046.

[83] Rovner, A.J., et al. (2015). Recoded organisms engineered to depend on synthetic amino acids. *Nature*, 518(7537), 89-93.

[84] Summers, Z.M., et al. (2010). Direct exchange of electrons within aggregates of an evolved syntrophic coculture. *Science*, 330(6009), 1413-1415.

[85] Guiziou, S., et al. (2016). A part toolbox to tune genetic expression in *Bacillus subtilis*. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 44(15), 7495-7508.

[86] Dragosits, M., & Mattanovich, D. (2013). Adaptive laboratory evolution: principles and applications. *Microbial Cell Factories*, 12, 64.

[87] Zacny, K., et al. (2013). Lunar water ISRU: Technical challenges and mitigation strategies. *AIAA Space Conference*, Paper 2013-5358.

[88] Khoshnevis, B., et al. (2016). Lunar contour crafting construction system. *Journal of Aerospace Engineering*, 29(1), 04015005.

[89] Roda, A., et al. (2018). Integrating artificial intelligence and systems biology to model complex regulatory networks. *Current Opinion in Systems Biology*, 8, 69-75.

[90] Santos-Merino, M., et al. (2021). Improved carbon metabolism in autotrophs through engineering. *Nature Biotechnology*, 39(12), 1456-1465.

[91] Angermayr, S.A., et al. (2015). Energy biotechnology with cyanobacteria. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*, 33, 1-7.

[92] Gronenberg, L.S., et al. (2013). Next generation biofuel engineering in prokaryotes. *Current Opinion in Chemical Biology*, 17(3), 462-471.

[93] Prasanna, R., et al. (2012). Cyanobacterial biodiversity: from taxonomy and genomics to applications. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 21(13), 3327-3343.

[94] Priyadarshani, I., & Rath, B. (2012). Commercial and industrial applications of microalgae. *Journal of Algal Biomass Utilization*, 3(4), 89-100.