Qwen

Acetate: A New Feedstock for Biomanufacturing

The Rise of Acetate as a Sustainable Carbon Source

Acetate is emerging as a pivotal feedstock in the transition toward a sustainable bioeconomy, offering a compelling alternative to traditional carbohydrate-based substrates like glucose. Its growing prominence is driven by its unique combination of low cost, abundant availability from diverse sources, and favorable physicochemical properties for bioprocessing. Economically, acetate presents a significant advantage over commodity sugars; it is priced at approximately $300 − $450 per ton, which is cheaper than the ~$500/ton price for glucose [1 3]. This cost-effectiveness is critical for making biomanufacturing economically viable on an industrial scale. The global market for acetic acid is robust, with demand projected to reach 19.6 million tons by 2027, indicating a stable supply chain and mature production infrastructure [3]. This contrasts sharply with carbohydrate feedstocks, whose prices are often subject to volatility tied to agricultural markets and competition with food and feed industries.

The versatility of acetate's sourcing pathways is perhaps its most powerful attribute. It can be produced sustainably from a wide array of C1 gases (carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide, methane) through processes like syngas fermentation or microbial electrosynthesis [2 36 37]. For instance, thermophilic bacteria such as Moorella thermoacetica can ferment synthesis gas into acetic acid with high theoretical yield [46], while acetogenic archaea like Clostridium autoethanogenum produce acetate as a key fermentation product from CO and CO2 [45]. Perhaps the most transformative potential lies in the direct conversion of CO2 into acetate using microbial electrosynthesis (MES). MES systems, powered by renewable electricity, can use microbes as biocatalysts to convert CO2 and water into valuable organic compounds, with acetate being the primary product under many conditions [9 10 11]. Studies have demonstrated that mixed-culture MES systems can achieve remarkable acetate production rates of up to 1330 g/m²/day from CO2, highlighting the immense scalability of this approach [22]. Furthermore, acetate is a natural byproduct of numerous biological processes, including anaerobic digestion of food waste, where concentrations of up to 10 g/L have been reported, and lignocellulosic biomass pretreatment, where acetic acid constitutes 5-17% of pyrolysis oil [1 3].

Beyond its economic and availability advantages, acetate possesses intrinsic qualities that make it exceptionally well-suited for bioprocess engineering. Its high water solubility facilitates efficient mass transfer within bioreactors, a critical factor for productivity in large-scale fermentations [1]. From a metabolic standpoint, acetate assimilation is streamlined. In most microorganisms, including the extensively studied Escherichia coli, the conversion of acetate to the central metabolic intermediate acetyl-CoA requires only one or two enzymatic steps, compared to the more complex glycolytic pathway needed for glucose [1 3]. This simplicity can reduce metabolic burden and allow for more precise control over central metabolism. However, this pathway has a significant drawback: acetate is a low-energy content molecule. Its complete oxidation to CO2 yields far less ATP than glucose,

which presents a fundamental energy challenge for cell growth and the biosynthesis of reduced products like alcohols and fatty acids [4 40]. This inherent energy deficit is a recurring theme in acetate-based biomanufacturing and necessitates sophisticated metabolic engineering strategies to overcome. These combined factors—low cost, diverse and sustainable sourcing, favorable physical properties, and a simple assimilation pathway—position acetate not merely as a replacement for existing feedstocks but as a strategic platform for building a new generation of carbon-efficient and resource-resilient bioprocesses.

Metabolic Pathways and Engineering Strategies for Acetate Assimilation

The successful utilization of acetate as a feedstock hinges on the ability of engineered microorganisms to efficiently assimilate this C2 compound and channel its carbon and energy into desired value-added products. The core metabolic route for acetate assimilation in most bacteria and yeasts involves its activation to acetyl-CoA, the universal precursor for cellular biosynthesis. This can occur via two distinct biochemical pathways. The first is a two-step process involving the sequential action of acetate kinase (AckA) and phosphotransacetylase (Pta), which converts acetate into acetyl-phosphate and finally into acetyl-CoA [1 3 4]. This pathway is typically bidirectional and plays a crucial role in maintaining intracellular acetate homeostasis, particularly in organisms like E. coli that co-utilize acetate with other carbon sources like glucose [36]. The second, irreversible pathway is catalyzed by acetyl-CoA synthetase (Acs), which directly ligates acetate to CoA using an ATP molecule [1 3 4]. The choice between these pathways is a critical engineering decision, as different products may require different flux configurations. For example, engineering strategies have focused on overexpressing either the AckA-Pta system to enhance overall acetate uptake or the Acs gene to force a unidirectional, energy-requiring flux, depending on the specific metabolic goal [40].

Once inside the cell, acetyl-CoA enters central metabolism. However, using two molecules of acetyl-CoA to build a three-carbon compound like pyruvate would result in a net loss of carbon as CO2. To circumvent this, organisms employ specialized bypass pathways. The most important of these for growth on acetate is the glyoxylate shunt [2 3 4 36]. This pathway allows the cell to "steal" part of the carbon from the TCA cycle to synthesize four-carbon intermediates, which are then used to generate the precursors for gluconeogenesis and biomass formation. Consequently, enhancing the flux through the glyoxylate shunt, often through overexpression of its key enzymes isocitrate lyase (IclR) and malate synthase, is a foundational metabolic engineering strategy for creating robust acetate-grown hosts [4]. Other bypass routes, such as the reductive TCA cycle, are also utilized by certain acetate-assimilating microbes, particularly in anaerobic environments [2 4]. The transport of acetate across the cell membrane is another critical bottleneck. While passive diffusion is possible for the uncharged acetic acid form, active transport via specific membrane proteins like ActP and SatP significantly enhances uptake rates and tolerance, especially at lower pH where the neutral form predominates [3 36 39 42].

Overcoming the inherent challenges associated with acetate metabolism has spurred the development of increasingly sophisticated engineering strategies. One of the most significant hurdles

is acetate toxicity, which inhibits cell growth at concentrations as low as 5 g/L (~85 mM) due to its disruptive effect on intracellular pH and enzyme function [3 4 40]. To combat this, researchers have employed adaptive laboratory evolution (ALE) to select for strains with enhanced tolerance. For example, ALE in E. coli has led to mutations in genes like cspC and patZ, which improve ATP levels and enable the production of mevalonate and n-butanol from acetate alone [40]. Another major challenge is redox imbalance. Since acetate itself carries little reducing power, synthesizing reduced products like alcohols or polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHAs) requires a substantial supply of NAD(P)H. This has been addressed through cofactor engineering, such as heterologous expression of NADPH-dependent transhydrogenases (pntAB) or formate dehydrogenases (fdh) to boost intracellular reducing equivalents [4 40]. An even more innovative approach was demonstrated in oleaginous yeast, where microbial electrosynthesis (MES) was integrated to directly convert electrons into NAD(P)H, providing a dedicated source of reducing power to drive fatty alcohol synthesis from acetate [5 8]. Finally, regulatory issues like carbon catabolite repression, where the presence of preferred carbon sources shuts down the metabolism of alternative ones, must be addressed. Deletion of repressor genes is a common strategy to ensure that the acetate utilization machinery remains active when needed [45]. Together, these strategies—from optimizing transport and bypass pathways to tackling toxicity and redox balance—are enabling the construction of highly efficient cell factories capable of converting acetate into a diverse portfolio of bioproducts.

Platform Microorganisms for Acetate-Based Biomanufacturing

The effective conversion of acetate into valuable chemicals relies on a diverse range of microbial chassis, each possessing unique metabolic capabilities and suited for specific applications. Escherichia coli stands out as the most extensively studied host for acetate valorization, largely due to its well-characterized genetics, rapid growth, and extensive history of metabolic engineering [4 34]. It utilizes both the reversible AckA-Pta and the irreversible Acs pathways for acetate assimilation, providing flexibility for engineering different product pathways [4 40]. Through targeted genetic modifications, engineered E. coli strains have successfully produced a vast array of chemicals, including biofuels like isobutanol and isopropanol; platform chemicals like succinate, itaconic acid, and mevalonate; and specialty chemicals like β-caryophyllene and P3HB [3 4 36 40]. The performance of these engineered strains varies significantly depending on the product. For instance, high titers of isopropanol (13.3 g/L) were achieved using a constitutive NADPH-dependent pathway, while pulsed fed-batch fermentation yielded 1.16 g/L of 2,3-butanediol and acetoin from acetate [6 7]. However, E. coli's utility is constrained by its relatively low tolerance to acetate, with growth often impaired above 5 g/L, although some evolved strains show improved robustness [3 4].

Beyond E. coli, a variety of other bacterial species serve as promising platforms. Clostridium kluyveri represents a native acetate-utilizer that uniquely employs the reverse β-oxidation pathway to convert acetate and ethanol into the industrially relevant medium-chain-length caproate [4]. This makes it a prime candidate for producing higher-value carboxylates from acetate. Thermophilic bacteria like Moorella thermoacetica offer the advantage of operating at high temperatures (e.g., 60 °C), which can simplify downstream processing and reduce contamination risks in syngas fermentation processes [28]. Other aerobic prokaryotes, such as Pseudomonas putida KT2440 and Vibrio natriegens,

have also been engineered for acetate utilization. P. putida has been modified to produce medium-chain-length polyhydroxyalkanoates (mcl-PHAs) from acetate, showing a notable increase in titer [36], while V. natriegens has been established as a high-performance host for producing poly-3-hydroxybutyrate (PHB) from acetate [4,36]. Sulfate-reducing bacteria represent another niche group capable of utilizing acetate through modified TCA cycles or Wood-Ljungdahl pathways [4].

Oleaginous yeasts have emerged as particularly powerful platforms for producing lipid-based products from acetate. Yarrowia lipolytica is a standout example, leveraging its natural capacity for high-density cultivation and lipid accumulation [38]. Engineered Y. lipolytica strains have been developed to produce high-value fatty alcohols (83.8 mg/g DCW), lupeol, and betulinic acid from acetate [5,8]. The integration of microbial electrosynthesis (MES) with metabolic engineering in Y. lipolytica provided a dedicated source of NAD(P)H, overcoming a key redox limitation and dramatically improving fatty alcohol production [5,8]. Similarly, Rhodosporidium toruloides has been engineered to produce fatty acids directly from acetate [45]. Beyond these well-studied organisms, a rich diversity of other microbes can utilize acetate, including the methylotroph Cupriavidus basilensis, the photosynthetic bacterium Rhodobacter sphaeroides, and various filamentous fungi and algae [4,30]. The table below summarizes the performance of several engineered microbial hosts for acetate-based biomanufacturing, illustrating the breadth of chemical classes that can be produced.

Microorganism	Product	Titer	Yield / Efficiency	Productivity	Citation(s)
Escherichia coli	Isopropanol	13.3 g/L	0.235 mol/mol	Not Available	[7]
Escherichia coli	Isobutanol	157.05 mg/L	0.025 mol/mol	0.002 g/L/h (batch)	[3]
Escherichia coli	2,3-Butanediol & Acetoin	1.16 g/L (total)	0.09 g/g (from acetate)	0.018 g/L/h	[3,6]
Escherichia coli	Mevalonate	1.06 g/L	0.30 g/g	Not Available	[3]
Escherichia coli	Succinate	194 mM	0.46 mol/mol	Not Available	[3]
Escherichia coli	β-Caryophyllene	1.05 g/L	2.1% efficiency	Not Available	[3,36]
Yarrowia lipolytica	Fatty Alcohols	83.8 mg/g DCW	Not Available	Not Available	[5,8]
Yarrowia lipolytica	Citric Acid	15.11 g/L	0.51 g/g (from acetate)	Not Available	[36]

Microorganism	Product	Titer	Yield / Efficiency	Productivity	Citation(s)
Yarrowia lipolytica	Lupeol	83.8 mg/g DCW	Not Available	Not Available	[5 8]
Clostridium kluyveri	Caproate	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	[4]
Vibrio natriegens	PHB	Not Available	Not Available	High productivity from acetate	[36]
Rhodobacter sphaeroides	β-Farnesene	44.53 mg/L	234.08 mg/g	Not Available	[30]

This comparative overview highlights that there is no single "best" host for all applications. The choice of platform organism depends critically on the target product, the required redox balance, desired culture conditions (e.g., aerobic vs. anaerobic), and tolerance to acetate and other process-related stresses. The continued diversification and engineering of these microbial platforms will be essential to unlocking the full potential of acetate as a versatile and sustainable feedstock.

Key Challenges and Performance Benchmarks in Acetate Biomanufacturing

Despite the significant progress in developing acetate-based bioprocesses, their widespread industrial application is impeded by a series of formidable technical and economic challenges. The most immediate and pervasive issue is acetate toxicity. As an undissociated weak acid, acetic acid readily diffuses across cell membranes, disrupting the delicate intracellular pH gradient and impairing enzyme activity. This toxicity becomes a severe constraint on cell growth and productivity, with many aerobic bacteria like E. coli exhibiting impaired growth at acetate concentrations above 5 g/L (~85 mM) [3 4 40]. Even robust acetate-tolerant strains often struggle to maintain high performance at titers exceeding 20-25 g/L, which limits the volumetric productivity of batch and fed-batch fermentations [19 25]. Anaerobic microbiomes, however, demonstrate greater resilience, with studies showing that acetate supplementation can increase carboxydotrophic conversion rates by up to 20-fold in anaerobic digesters, even at concentrations up to 48 g/L [35]. This suggests that understanding and harnessing the mechanisms of anaerobic tolerance could be key to overcoming this bottleneck.

Another fundamental challenge stems from the low energetic content of acetate [4 40]. Because acetate is a partially oxidized molecule, its complete oxidation to CO2 generates significantly less ATP than the complete oxidation of a fully reduced substrate like glucose. This inherent energy deficit poses a major hurdle for the biosynthesis of reduced products, such as alcohols, polymers, and hydrocarbons, which require a substantial input of cellular energy (ATP) and reducing power (NAD(P)H) [40]. This leads to a classic redox and energy imbalance problem, where the cell struggles to generate enough ATP and NAD(P)H to support high-level production without compromising

growth. This is evident in the relatively low yields observed for many products. For example, while the theoretical maximum yield for isopropanol from acetate is 0.35 mol/mol, the best-reported experimental yield is around 0.235 mol/mol, indicating a significant gap that points to inefficiencies in energy and electron conservation within the engineered pathways [7]. Similarly, for succinate, the highest experimental yield (0.46 mol/mol) is still below the energy-balanced theoretical maximum of 0.44 mol/mol, suggesting ongoing challenges in redirecting metabolic flux away from respiration [4 40].

These metabolic limitations are compounded by practical process engineering hurdles. Achieving high titers and productivity often requires complex cultivation strategies, such as pulsed fed-batch modes or continuous cultures, which can be difficult to scale up and operate stably [6]. Furthermore, the requirement for stringent pH control in many acetate-based fermentations adds to the operational complexity and cost. For instance, in a two-stage electromicrobial system for butanol production, the massive consumption of sodium hydroxide and sulfuric acid for pH regulation became a dominant cost driver, contributing significantly to the final fuel selling price [24 25]. The table below provides a summary of reported performance metrics for various products derived from acetate, highlighting the variability in outcomes and the persistent gap between theoretical and realized performance.

Product	Host Organism	Titer	Yield / Conversion	Productivity	Key Challenge Highlighted	Citation(s)
Biofuels						
Isopropanol	E. coli	13.3 g/L	0.235 mol/mol	Information not available	Low NADPH availability, Redox Imbalance	7
Isobutanol	E. coli	157.05 mg/L	0.025 mol/mol	0.002 g/L/h	Low energy content of acetate, Redox Imbalance	3
Butanol	E. coli	5.4 g/L	Information not available	0.155 g/L/h	Salt toxicity, High purification costs	24 25
Organic Acids						
Itaconic Acid	E. coli	3.57 g/L	16.1% of theoretical	Information not available	Redox imbalance	3 36
Succinate	E. coli	194 mM	0.46 mol/mol	Information not available	Energy-balanced theoretical limit	3

Product	Host Organism	Titer	Yield / Conversion	Productivity	Key Challenge Highlighted	Citation(s)
3-Hydroxypropionic Acid	E. coli	23.89 g/L	0.734 g/g	97.87% of theoretical	Acetate toxicity, Co-factor imbalance	[36]
Specialty Chemicals						
β-Caryophyllene	E. coli	1.05 g/L	2.1% efficiency	Information not available	Low titer, Acetate toxicity	[3] [36]
Fatty Alcohols	Y. lipolytica	83.8 mg/g DCW	Information not available	Information not available	Redox imbalance, Lack of commercialized process	[5] [8]
Scutellarin	Y. lipolytica	703.01 mg/L	Information not available	Information not available	By-product formation at scale, Product instability	[38]

This data underscores that while proof-of-concept demonstrations are common, achieving performance levels suitable for industrial competitiveness remains a work in progress. Future research must focus not only on further strain improvement but also on innovative process designs that can mitigate toxicity, enhance energy conservation, and reduce operational costs. Addressing these challenges head-on is the critical next step in transitioning acetate-based biomanufacturing from a promising laboratory concept to a commercially viable industry.

Process Integration and Techno-Economic Feasibility Analysis

The ultimate success of acetate as a cornerstone of the bioeconomy will depend not only on the efficiency of individual engineered microbes but also on the viability of integrated, end-to-end processes that connect sustainable acetate production with high-value biomanufacturing. The vision extends beyond simply replacing sugar with acetate; it involves creating seamless, multi-stage biorefineries where acetate serves as a flexible, energy-dense liquid intermediate. Prominent examples of such integrated approaches include two-step electromicrobial production (EMP) systems. In these setups, one microorganism, such as the acetogen Sporomusa ovata, uses renewable electricity and CO2/H2 to produce acetate [24] [25]. This acetate is then harvested and fed to a second, engineered microbe—for instance, an E. coli strain—to upgrade it into advanced fuels like n-butanol [24]. This strategy effectively decouples the energy-intensive CO2 reduction step from the more complex and costly task of directing microbial metabolism towards a specific product, potentially improving overall efficiency. Another integrated model involves coupling syngas fermentation, which produces

acetate along with ethanol and other products, with subsequent bioproduction stages [40]. These concepts highlight a broader trend towards creating hybrid bioprocesses that leverage the strengths of both abiotic (electrolysis) and biotic (fermentation) catalysis.

However, the feasibility of these complex integrated systems is rigorously tested by techno-economic analysis (TEA) and life cycle assessment (LCA). TEA provides a quantitative evaluation of a process's economic viability by estimating capital and operating costs, and calculating key financial metrics like the minimum selling price (MSP) of the final product [13 33]. LCA complements this by assessing the environmental impacts throughout the entire life cycle of the product, from raw material extraction to disposal [13 47]. The results of these analyses for acetate-based processes are sobering and reveal significant economic barriers. Multiple TEAs conclude that current state-of-the-art processes for producing acetate from CO2 and upgrading it to products like butanol are not economically competitive [19 23 24]. The primary drivers of high cost are twofold: exorbitantly high capital expenditures (CAPEX) for the electrochemical reactors and extremely high operating expenditures (OPEX) dominated by the cost of electricity [19 23 40]. In one model, electricity consumption accounted for up to 69% of OPEX, while the anode material represented 59% of CAPEX [19 20]. This means that the profitability of the entire process is critically dependent on accessing cheap, renewable electricity (e.g., <$0.045/kWh) and achieving very high efficiencies in electron transfer and product selectivity [19 23].

From an environmental perspective, the picture is more nuanced. When powered by fossil-fuel-based electricity, MES systems can have a higher greenhouse gas (GHG) footprint and fossil fuel consumption than conventional petrochemical routes [29]. However, the environmental profile drastically improves when renewable energy sources like wind or solar are used. In this scenario, MES can become a truly sustainable pathway, offering significant GHG reductions compared to fossil-based counterparts [21]. Life Cycle Assessments of biobased acetic acid production from poplar biomass also show promise, with some scenarios demonstrating a lower GWP than petroleum-based acetic acid, though this is highly sensitive to process design and co-product allocation [46]. A critical finding from these assessments is that the process of converting acetate to a final product is energy-intensive. One study noted that producing acetic acid via MES requires more energy (154,747 GJ) than the conventional methanol carbonylation process (which uses fossil fuels), making it a carbon source rather than a sink unless coupled with high-value products [29]. Therefore, the economic and environmental viability of acetate-based biomanufacturing hinges on a dual-pronged approach: radical improvements in the efficiency and cost of the upstream acetate production step, and a strategic focus on producing high-value products that can command a price sufficient to justify the high energy inputs. The lack of comprehensive TEA and LCA data specifically for integrated C1-to-acetate-to-products pathways at an industrial scale remains a critical knowledge gap that needs to be addressed to guide future R&D investment [15 16 26].

Emerging Trends and Future Outlook for Acetate Biotechnology

The field of acetate biotechnology is at a dynamic inflection point, characterized by a shift from isolated successes to the pursuit of holistic, integrated bioprocesses. The future trajectory of this

domain will be defined by a convergence of advanced engineering principles, novel biological tools, and a strategic focus on economic and environmental sustainability. Several key trends are poised to shape the next decade of research and development. First, the paradigm of process integration will solidify. Instead of viewing acetate production and upgrading as separate stages, the focus will be on designing tightly coupled, closed-loop systems. This includes the development of in-situ product removal technologies that prevent feedback inhibition and improve overall titers, as well as the creation of consolidated bioprocessing (CBP) strategies where a single microbial community can both produce acetate from C1 gases and synthesize a final product. The concept of decoupling abiotic electron delivery from biotic carbon fixation—a central tenet of electrolyser-assisted gas fermentation—is emerging as a particularly promising strategy to manage energy flows and improve scalability [20].

Second, the frontier of metabolic engineering will continue to push the boundaries of what is possible. The lessons learned from overcoming acetate toxicity and redox imbalances will be applied to create "hyper-tolerant" and "energy-efficient" chassis organisms. This will involve the rational design of synthetic circuits for dynamic gene expression, the development of orthogonal cofactor pools to uncouple biosynthesis from central metabolism, and the use of machine learning and artificial intelligence to navigate the vast landscape of metabolic possibilities. The integration of non-traditional energy inputs, such as the microbial electrosynthesis (MES) approach seen in Yarrowia lipolytica, will likely expand beyond NAD(P)H regeneration to include direct electron input for driving otherwise energetically unfavorable reactions [5 8]. This could unlock the production of entirely new classes of chemicals that are currently inaccessible from acetate.

Third, the economic viability of the sector will increasingly depend on targeting high-value niches and adopting circular economy principles. Producing bulk commodities from acetate will remain challenging given the low cost of fossil-based alternatives. Therefore, a major thrust of research will be directed towards the biomanufacturing of specialty chemicals, pharmaceuticals, and functional materials. Examples include the production of the high-value sesquiterpene β-farnesene for use in biofuels and fragrances, or the synthesis of scutellarin, a potent cardiovascular drug, in engineered yeast [30 38]. Furthermore, the concept of a true biorefinery will gain traction, where acetate is not just a feedstock but a hub molecule for producing a portfolio of co-products. For instance, the biomass of acetogenic bacteria like Clostridium autoethanogenum contains 80 − 89% crude protein and is being actively explored as a sustainable aquafeed, turning a potential waste stream into a valuable output [20]. Similarly, coupling acetate production with the synthesis of single-cell protein (SCP) for human or animal consumption represents a key pathway to generating revenue streams that can subsidize the high energy costs of the process [20].

In conclusion, the journey of acetate from a simple organic acid to a premier feedstock for biomanufacturing is accelerating. While significant challenges related to cost, efficiency, and scalability persist, the field is advancing rapidly. The coming 5 − 10 years will likely see the emergence of the first commercially viable, integrated acetate biorefineries. Success will be defined not by incremental improvements but by paradigm-shifting innovations in process design, metabolic engineering, and business models. The ultimate realization of acetate's potential will hinge on the ability to create economically robust and environmentally sustainable systems that can consistently deliver high-value products from a low-cost, renewable, and abundant carbon source. The path forward is clear: it requires a concerted effort to bridge the gaps between laboratory science and

industrial application, transforming acetate from a promising research topic into a cornerstone of the future bioeconomy.

Reference

1. From Acetate to Bio-Based Products: Underexploited ... https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0167779920302419
2. Metabolic engineering using acetate as a promising ... https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2667370322000273
3. Application of Acetate as a Substrate for the Production ... https://www.mdpi.com/2076-2607/12/2/309
4. Microbial Upgrading of Acetate into Value-Added Products ... https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7699770/
5. Enhanced acetate utilization for value‐added chemicals ... https://analyticalsciencejournals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/bit.28465
6. Microbial upgrading of acetate into 2,3-butanediol and acetoin ... https://biotechnologyforbiofuels.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13068-020-01816-7
7. Efficiency of acetate-based isopropanol synthesis in ... https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11302359/
8. Enhanced acetate utilization for value‐added chemicals ... https://analyticalsciencejournals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/bit.28465
9. Microbial electrosynthesis of acetate from CO2 in three- ... https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2666498423000261
10. (PDF) Enhanced CO2 Conversion to Acetate through ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/335440231_Enhanced_CO2_Conversion_to_Acetate_through_Microbial_Electrosynthesis_MES_by_Continuous_Headspace_Gas_Recirculation
11. Microbial electrosynthesis of methane and acetate— ... https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00253-022-12031-9
12. Acetate as a Platform for Carbon-Negative Production ... https://arpa-e.energy.gov/programs-and-initiatives/search-all-projects/acetate-platform-carbon-negative-production-renewable-fuels-and-chemicals
13. Application and progress of techno-economic analysis ... https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2666952822000802
14. Application and progress of techno-economic analysis ... https://www.sciopen.com/article/10.1016/j.gce.2022.09.002

15. Technoeconomic & Life-Cycle Analysis https://energyanalysis.lbl.gov/technoeconomic-life-cycle-modeling
16. Technoeconomic Analysis and Life Cycle Assessment of ... https://bsal.osu.edu/sites/bsal/files/imce/Publications/Book%20Chapters/Technoeconomic%20Analysis%20and%20Life%20Cycle%20Assessment%20of%20Bioenergy%20Systems.pdf
17. Techno-economical evaluation and life cycle assessment ... https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2666086521000588
18. Techno-economic assessment of microbial ... https://ideas.repec.org/a/eee/appene/v279y2020ics0306261920312605.html
19. Techno-economic assessment of microbial ... https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0306261920312605
20. A techno-economic review of microbial electrosynthesis ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/351932316_Strategies_to_improve_viability_of_a_circular_carbon_bioeconomy_-_A_techno-economic_review_of_microbial_electrosynthesis_and_gas_fermentation
21. Life Cycle Assessment of Microbial Electrosynthesis for ... https://ascelibrary.org/doi/abs/10.1061/%28ASCE%29HZ.2153-5515.0000537
22. Bringing High-Rate, CO2-Based Microbial Electrosynthesis ... https://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/acs.est.5b04431
23. Techno-economic assessment of microbial ... https://research.wur.nl/en/publications/techno-economic-assessment-of-microbial-electrosynthesis-from-cos
24. Techno-Economic Assessment of Electromicrobial ... https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11064224/
25. Techno-Economic Assessment of Electromicrobial Production ... https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.est.3c08748
26. Techno-economical evaluation and life cycle assessment ... https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2021CRGSC...400111S/abstract
27. Design and operation of pilot-scale microbial ... https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11566675/
28. Thermodynamics and economic feasibility of acetone ... https://biotechnologyforbiofuels.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13068-017-0827-8
29. Techno-economical evaluation and life cycle assessment ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/351732121_Techno-economical_evaluation_and_life_cycle_assessment_of_microbial_electrochemical_systems_A_review
30. Process modeling and techno-economic assessment https://www.researchgate.net/publication/393242340_Evaluation_of_economic_feasibility_for_the_microbial_electrosynthesis-based_b-farnesene_production_technology_Process_modeling_and_techno-economic_assessment

31. Single-Cell Protein Production from Industrial Off-Gas ... https://www.mdpi.com/2311-5637/9/8/771

32. (PDF) Production of 1,3 Propanediol (PDO) via Fermentation https://www.researchgate.net/publication/340936093_Production_of_13_Propanediol_PDO_via_Fermentation_-_Process_Modeling_and_Techno-Economic_Assessment_TEA_using_SuperPro_Designer

33. Technoeconomic Modeling and Simulation for Plant-Based ... https://experiments.springernature.com/articles/10.1007/978-1-0716-2241-4_11

34. Rediscovering Acetate Metabolism: Its Potential Sources and ... https://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/acs.jafc.8b00458

35. Acetate Shock Loads Enhance CO Uptake Rates ... https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11626651/

36. Acetate as a potential feedstock for the production of value- ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/350432172_Acetate_as_a_potential_feedstock_for_the_production_of_value-added_chemicals_Metabolism_and_applications

37. From Acetate to Bio-Based Products https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33036784/

38. Metabolic engineering of Yarrowia lipolytica for high-level ... https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0960852423008477

39. Investigation of acetate uptake kinetics of mature ... https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1567539425000817

40. Microbial Upgrading of Acetate into Value-Added Products ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/346096665_Microbial_Upgrading_of_Acetate_into_Value-Added_Products-Examining_Microbial_Diversity_Bioenergetic_Constraints_and_Metabolic_Engineering_Approaches

41. Unravelling the hidden power of esterases for ... https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-023-37542-x

42. A meta-analysis of acetogenic and methanogenic ... https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9500080/

43. The Key to Acetate: Metabolic Fluxes of Acetic Acid Bacteria ... https://journals.asm.org/doi/10.1128/AEM.01048-14

44. Significance of Acetate as a Microbial Carbon and Energy ... https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1029/2018GB006129

45. (PDF) Towards biobased industry: Acetate as a promising ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/327822688_Towards_biobased_industry_Acetate_as_a_promising_feedstock_to_enhance_the_potential_of_microbial_cell_factories

46. Production routes to bio-acetic acid: life cycle assessment https://biotechnologyforbiofuels.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13068-020-01784-y

47. (PDF) Life cycle assessment and techno-economic ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/377925430_Life_cycle_assessment_and_techno-economic_analysis_of_sustainable_bioenergy_production_a_review