Anabaena: A Novel Chassis for Space Exploration

Abstract

The sustainability of human space exploration, particularly crewed missions to Mars, hinges on the development of in situ resource utilization (ISRU) systems that minimize Earth-imported payloads. Among candidate biological platforms, the filamentous, nitrogen-fixing cyanobacterium *Anabaena* has emerged as a compelling chassis for bioregenerative life support systems (BLSS). This review critically evaluates *Anabaena*'s utility for space applications, encompassing its unique physiological capabilities—including diazotrophic growth, oxygenic photosynthesis, and multicellular differentiation—alongside recent advances in genetic engineering tools and atmospheric adaptation studies. We examine the mechanistic basis of heterocyst function, metabolic efficiency under Martian-analog conditions, and integration potential within cascading bioreactor systems. Despite significant progress, challenges remain in optimizing productivity under reduced atmospheric pressure, addressing radiation tolerance, and scaling systems for mission-relevant contexts. We conclude with a prospective analysis of how emerging synthetic biology tools and systems-level metabolic engineering could position *Anabaena* as a foundational organism for off-world biotechnology within the next decade.

Introduction

The ambition to establish a sustained human presence on Mars by the 2030s necessitates transformative approaches to life support logistics[1]. Traditional Earth-dependent supply chains are economically and technically prohibitive for long-duration missions, driving interest in biological systems capable of exploiting local resources[2]. Among photosynthetic microorganisms, cyanobacteria offer unparalleled advantages: oxygenic photosynthesis, minimal nutritional requirements, and demonstrated resilience under extreme conditions[3]. Within this taxonomic group, the genus *Anabaena*—particularly strains PCC 7120 and PCC 7938—has attracted intensive investigation for space applications.

Anabaena's defining feature is its capacity for cellular differentiation, forming specialized nitrogen-fixing cells called heterocysts at semi-regular intervals along filamentous chains[4]. This spatial compartmentalization of incompatible metabolic processes—oxygenic photosynthesis in vegetative cells and oxygen-sensitive nitrogen fixation in heterocysts—represents a sophisticated evolutionary solution to a fundamental biochemical conflict[5]. For space applications, this architecture offers multiple strategic advantages: autonomous nitrogen cycling without external fertilizer inputs, adaptability to low-pressure atmospheres rich in CO_2 and N_2, and potential as a platform for heterologous production of biofuels and biopolymers in the microoxic heterocyst environment[6][7].

Recent experimental work has demonstrated that *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7938 can thrive under Mars Design Atmosphere-1 (MDA-1) conditions—a 96% N_2, 4% CO_2 mixture at 100 hPa total pressure—while utilizing Mars regolith simulant (MGS-1) as a mineral nutrient source[8][9]. These findings, coupled with advances in CRISPR-associated transposition (CAST) systems

for genome engineering[10], position *Anabaena* at the intersection of astrobiology, synthetic biology, and bioprocess engineering. However, critical knowledge gaps persist regarding radiation tolerance, long-term genetic stability, and integration with downstream heterotrophic consumers in closed-loop systems.

This review synthesizes current understanding of *Anabaena* as a space biotechnology chassis, critically evaluating its physiological foundations, genetic tractability, performance under simulated extraterrestrial conditions, and integration potential within BLSS architectures. We highlight ongoing controversies—particularly regarding optimal atmospheric compositions and metabolic efficiency trade-offs—and conclude with forward-looking perspectives on next-generation strain engineering and mission deployment scenarios.

Physiological Foundations: Multicellularity, Nitrogen Fixation, and Metabolic Specialization

Heterocyst Differentiation and Pattern Formation

The hallmark of *Anabaena* biology is the differentiation of heterocysts, specialized cells that provide a microoxic niche for the oxygen-sensitive nitrogenase enzyme complex[11]. Under nitrogen-limited conditions, approximately 5–10% of vegetative cells along a filament differentiate into heterocysts, establishing a quasi-regular spatial pattern with ~10–15 vegetative cells between successive heterocysts[12]. This pattern emerges from a reaction-diffusion system involving positive regulators (HetR, HetZ) and diffusible inhibitors (PatS, HetN, PatU3) that propagate along the filament[13][14].

HetR, a master transcriptional regulator, initiates the developmental cascade by activating genes essential for heterocyst maturation, including those encoding the glycolipid and polysaccharide envelope layers that restrict oxygen diffusion[15]. PatS, a pentapeptide (RGSGR), acts as a long-range inhibitor whose concentration gradient suppresses differentiation in cells proximal to developing heterocysts[16]. Recent proteomic studies revealed that HetF, a divisome-associated protease, coordinates cell division with differentiation by cleaving PatU3, relieving its inhibitory effect on HetZ—a regulator necessary for commitment to the heterocyst fate[17]. This proteolytic pathway constitutes a mechanistic link between growth dynamics and developmental patterning, with implications for optimizing heterocyst frequency under resource-limited conditions relevant to space applications.

Nitrogen Fixation and Metabolic Symbiosis

Heterocysts are terminally differentiated cells that cease dividing and undergo extensive metabolic reprogramming. Photosystem II (PSII) is dismantled to eliminate O_2 evolution, while photosystem I (PSI) and cyclic electron transport are retained to generate ATP for nitrogen fixation[18]. The nitrogenase complex reduces atmospheric N_2 to ammonia (NH_3) at an energetic cost of approximately 16 ATP and 8 NADPH per N_2 molecule[19]. Ammonia is subsequently incorporated into glutamine via glutamine synthetase (GS), then transferred to vegetative cells through intercellular septal junctions[20].

In return, vegetative cells supply heterocysts with fixed carbon in the form of sucrose, which serves as the reductant source for nitrogen fixation[21]. Flux balance analysis (FBA) using genome-scale metabolic models indicates that 22–30% of photosynthetically fixed

carbon is redirected to heterocysts to sustain optimal N_2 fixation rates[22]. This bidirectional metabolic exchange represents a primitive form of multicellular cooperation, analogous to organellar symbioses in eukaryotes. For space bioprocessing, this intrinsic nitrogen-fixing capacity eliminates the need for imported nitrogen fertilizers—a critical mass-saving advantage for ISRU systems.

Photosynthetic Efficiency and Oxygen Dynamics

Vegetative cells perform oxygenic photosynthesis with quantum efficiencies comparable to higher plants, converting light energy into chemical energy via the Z-scheme electron transport chain[23]. Under optimal conditions, *Anabaena* achieves photosynthetic O_2 evolution rates of 20–30 μmol O_2 mg chlorophyll^{-1} h^{-1}[24]. However, photosynthetic efficiency is modulated by several factors critical for space applications:

1. **CO_2 concentration**: *Anabaena* possesses carbon-concentrating mechanisms (CCMs) that enhance RuBisCO carboxylation efficiency under CO_2-limiting conditions, enabling growth in atmospheres with >0.5% CO_2[25].
2. **Light intensity and spectral quality**: Excessive light triggers photoprotective mechanisms including carotenoid synthesis and non-photochemical quenching, while insufficient light reduces ATP/NADPH production rates[26].
3. **Oxygen inhibition**: High O_2 partial pressures inhibit nitrogenase activity in heterocysts despite protective envelope layers, necessitating atmospheric control strategies in closed bioreactors[27].

The flavodiiron proteins (Flv1 and Flv3) participate in a Mehler-like reaction, directing excess photosynthetic electrons to O_2 reduction, thereby protecting PSII from photodamage while modulating the redox state of electron transport chains[28]. This photoprotective mechanism may be particularly relevant for managing oxidative stress under variable light regimes during spacecraft transit or on planetary surfaces.

Anabaena as a Mars ISRU Platform: Experimental Validation

Growth Under Simulated Martian Atmospheric Conditions

A pivotal advancement in *Anabaena* space research came from experiments demonstrating vigorous photoautotrophic, diazotrophic growth under MDA-1 conditions (96% N_2, 4% CO_2, 100 hPa total pressure)[8]. Using the custom-designed Atmos photobioreactor, which provides tightly controlled atmospheric regulation across nine cultivation chambers, Verseux and colleagues showed that *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7938 maintained growth rates comparable to Earth-normal conditions (1 bar, 1% CO_2).

Atmospheric Condition	Total Pressure (hPa)	Growth Rate (d^{-1})	Doubling Time (h)
Earth-standard (control)	1000	0.52 ± 0.04	32
MDA-1 (96% N_2, 4% CO_2)	100	0.48 ± 0.05	35
Low N_2 (90% N_2, 4% CO_2)	100	0.41 ± 0.06	41

Table 1: Comparative growth dynamics of *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7938 under various atmospheric compositions[8][29]. Growth rates determined via optical density measurements at 750 nm over 10-day cultivation periods.

Critically, the study revealed that lowering total pressure from 1 bar to 80 hPa, while maintaining constant partial pressures of N_2 and CO_2, did not significantly reduce growth rates[29]. This demonstrates that *Anabaena*'s metabolic machinery responds primarily to partial pressures of metabolizable gases rather than absolute pressure, simplifying bioreactor design requirements and reducing structural mass constraints for flight hardware.

Further analysis established Monod-like equations describing growth rate dependence on N_2 and CO_2 partial pressures, enabling predictive modeling of productivity under variable atmospheric compositions[29]. The half-saturation constant (K_m) for CO_2 was determined to be approximately 15 Pa, indicating robust growth at CO_2 levels readily achievable via Martian atmosphere processing (~95% CO_2 at 600 Pa surface pressure). Similarly, the K_m for N_2 was ~3000 Pa, suggesting that modest N_2 enrichment from processed Martian atmosphere (dominated by CO_2) would suffice for optimal nitrogen fixation rates.

Utilization of Martian Regolith as a Nutrient Source

A second critical milestone involved demonstrating *Anabaena*'s capacity to extract essential mineral nutrients from Mars Global Simulant-1 (MGS-1), an analog of the Rocknest windblown soil at Gale Crater characterized by the Curiosity rover[30]. MGS-1 contains key macronutrients (P, K, Mg, Ca, S) and micronutrients (Fe, Mn, Zn) in mineral forms, but at concentrations and bioavailabilities distinct from standard cyanobacterial media.

Growth experiments with *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7938 in regolith suspensions (up to 200 kg m^{-3}) revealed that phosphorus is the primary limiting nutrient, with growth rates closely following Monod kinetics as a function of bioavailable phosphate[31]. Importantly, direct cell-regolith contact was essential for optimal nutrient acquisition; when regolith was sequestered behind dialysis membranes (15 kDa cutoff), growth rates decreased dramatically, suggesting active bioweathering via organic acid secretion or enzymatic release of macromolecular chelators[31].

Growth Condition	Specific Growth Rate (d^{-1})	Final Biomass (g L^{-1})
BG-11 medium (control)	0.54 ± 0.03	2.8
200 kg m^{-3} MGS-1	0.43 ± 0.05	2.1
MGS-1 supernatant only	0.31 ± 0.04	1.3
MGS-1 in dialysis membrane	0.29 ± 0.06	1.2

Table 2: Impact of Mars regolith simulant presentation on *Anabaena* growth[31]. Direct contact with regolith particles significantly enhances nutrient bioavailability compared to dissolved nutrients alone.

Synchrotron X-ray fluorescence (XRF) imaging of *Anabaena* filaments revealed heterogeneous elemental distributions, with Ca/K/P-rich clusters localized in vegetative cells but absent in heterocysts, indicating specialized nutrient storage and compartmentalization[32]. Ca^{2+} accumulation in the polysaccharide-rich heterocyst envelope suggests a role in structural reinforcement and ion homeostasis under variable osmotic conditions.

Perchlorate salts (ClO_4^-), ubiquitous in Martian soils at concentrations of 0.5–1.0 wt%, posed a potential toxicity concern[33]. However, exposure of *Anabaena* to perchlorate concentrations up to 10 mM resulted in only modest growth inhibition (<20% reduction), likely due to active efflux or metabolic detoxification pathways[31]. This perchlorate tolerance is advantageous for ISRU scenarios where regolith processing may not fully remove oxidizing salts.

Integration with Heterotrophic Consumers

A functional BLSS requires cascading bioreactors where primary producers (cyanobacteria) supply fixed carbon and nitrogen to downstream heterotrophs (bacteria, fungi, plants) that generate food, recycle waste, and diversify biochemical outputs[34]. *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7938 lysates successfully supported growth of *Escherichia coli* (as a model heterotroph) and *Lemna* sp. (duckweed, as a model higher plant), demonstrating compatibility with secondary consumers[35].

However, strain-specific variability in feedstock quality was pronounced: *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7938 supported 2-fold higher *Lemna* biomass production compared to other *Anabaena* strains tested, highlighting the importance of strain selection for multi-trophic integration[35]. The biochemical basis for this variation remains unclear but may involve differences in extracellular polysaccharide composition, protein quality, or secondary metabolite profiles.

Genetic Tractability and Synthetic Biology Tools

Historical Constraints and Recent Breakthroughs

For decades, genetic engineering of filamentous cyanobacteria lagged behind unicellular model strains like *Synechococcus elongatus* PCC 7942 and *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803. *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7120, the most extensively studied heterocyst-forming strain, is polyploid (average 8.2 chromosome copies per cell), complicating gene segregation and necessitating prolonged selection to achieve homozygosity[36]. Traditional methods relied on homologous recombination via double-crossover events, which are inefficient and time-consuming (often requiring >4 weeks for complete segregation).

The recent adaptation of CRISPR-associated transposition (CAST) systems to *Anabaena* represents a paradigm shift[10]. Unlike conventional CRISPR/Cas9, which creates double-strand breaks requiring host repair machinery, CAST directly inserts cargo DNA at targeted loci via a Cas12k-guided transposase complex. Klompe and colleagues demonstrated RNA-guided transposition in *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7120 using the ShCAST system from *Scytonema hofmannii*, achieving precise insertions (±5 bp target-site duplications) at six distinct genomic loci without off-target effects[10].

Key features of CAST for *Anabaena* engineering include:

1. **Integration efficiency**: Transconjugants exhibited single-copy insertions at intended sites with >80% fidelity following conjugative transfer and antibiotic selection[10].
2. **Cargo flexibility**: Payloads up to 5 kb (including fluorescent protein reporters and heterologous gene clusters) were successfully integrated, exceeding typical plasmid cargo limits[10].
3. **Polyploidy compatibility**: Although segregation dynamics were not fully characterized, CAST-mediated insertions appeared in all chromosome copies within selected clones, suggesting rapid segregation or functional dominance[10].
4. **Modular Golden Gate assembly**: Standardized cloning vectors enable rapid construction of guide RNA expression cassettes and transposon modules, accelerating design-build-test cycles[10].

Inducible Expression Systems and Regulatory Control

Precise spatiotemporal control of heterologous gene expression is essential for metabolic engineering applications. Several inducible promoter systems have been evaluated in *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7120, including IPTG-inducible lac-derived promoters, nickel-inducible nrs promoters, and theophylline-responsive riboswitches[37]. A systematic comparison revealed that:

- **Strong constitutive promoters** (e.g., PpsbA) yielded high basal expression but limited inducibility (fold-change <2×)[37].
- **Medium-strength inducible promoters** (e.g., PnrsB) achieved 5–8× induction ratios with acceptable basal leakage[37].
- **Riboswitch-based systems** provided tighter transcriptional control but exhibited context-dependent performance, likely due to mRNA secondary structure effects[37].

For space applications, where chemical inducers may be costly or supply-limited, light-inducible promoters or native stress-responsive elements (e.g., nitrogen starvation-

inducible promoters) offer attractive alternatives. The petE promoter, upregulated under copper-deficient conditions, has been exploited for heterologous expression, though copper availability in regolith-based media complicates its deployment[38].

Heterocyst-Specific Expression for Anaerobic Bioproduction

The microoxic environment within heterocysts presents unique opportunities for producing chemicals via oxygen-sensitive enzymes. Atsumi and colleagues pioneered this approach by expressing the *Clostridium acetobutylicum* 1-butanol biosynthetic pathway (comprising the highly oxygen-sensitive Bcd/EtfAB complex) under control of the heterocyst-specific nifH promoter[39]. The resulting *Anabaena* strain produced 1-butanol at rates 5-fold higher per heterocyst compared to unicellular cyanobacteria engineered with the same pathway, demonstrating the protective advantage of heterocyst compartmentalization[39].

This proof-of-concept has been extended to hydrogen production via heterologous [FeFe]-hydrogenase expression from *Clostridium acetobutylicum*[40]. Hydrogenases, which catalyze reversible H_2 evolution, are among the most oxygen-sensitive metalloenzymes known, rendering them incompatible with oxygenic photosynthesis in conventional hosts. By targeting hydrogenase expression to heterocysts, sustained photosynthetic H_2 production was achieved, albeit at modest rates requiring further optimization[40].

For Mars applications, heterocyst-based bioproduction platforms could generate:

- **Biofuels** (H_2, butanol, ethanol) for energy storage and rocket propellant synthesis
- **Bioplastics** (polyhydroxyalkanoates) for 3D-printable structural materials
- **Pharmaceuticals** (terpenoids, polyketides) requiring anaerobic biosynthetic steps

Radiation Tolerance and Environmental Stress Responses

UV Radiation Exposure and Photoprotection Mechanisms

Mars receives significantly higher UV radiation than Earth due to its thin CO_2 atmosphere (6–11 hPa surface pressure) and negligible ozone layer. UV-B (280–320 nm) and UV-C (100–280 nm) fluxes are particularly damaging to nucleic acids and photosynthetic apparatus[41]. Cyanobacteria have evolved multiple UV protection and repair mechanisms, though strain-specific tolerance varies widely[42].

Anabaena species synthesize several UV-absorbing compounds:

1. **Scytonemin**: A lipid-soluble yellow-brown pigment deposited in extracellular polysaccharide sheaths, providing broadband UV-A and UV-B absorption[43].
2. **Mycosporine-like amino acids (MAAs)**: Water-soluble compounds with absorption maxima in the UV-A/UV-B range, functioning as intracellular sunscreens[43].
3. **Carotenoids**: Lipid-phase antioxidants that quench singlet oxygen and triplet chlorophyll, mitigating photooxidative damage[44].

Despite these defenses, *Anabaena* exhibits moderate UV sensitivity compared to extremophilic cyanobacteria like *Chroococcidiopsis*, which survived 1.5 years of direct space exposure on the International Space Station[45]. UV-B exposure (1 W m^{-2} for 6 h) reduced *Anabaena* sp. viability by 40–60% and induced filament fragmentation and premature heterocyst differentiation[46].

DNA repair mechanisms, including photolyase-mediated photoreactivation and nucleotide excision repair (NER), partially restore UV-damaged genomes[47]. However, chronic UV exposure may accumulate mutations, particularly in the polyploid genome context where deleterious alleles can persist. For Mars surface deployment, physical UV shielding (regolith overburden, transparent polymer domes with UV filters) will be essential. Alternatively, subterranean bioreactor placement in lava tubes or subsurface cavities would eliminate UV exposure while maintaining access to geothermal heat.

Ionizing Radiation and Oxidative Stress

Galactic cosmic rays (GCRs) and solar particle events (SPEs) constitute ionizing radiation hazards on Mars, with surface doses estimated at 0.2–0.3 mGy per day (50–100× higher than Earth)[48]. Ionizing radiation generates hydroxyl radicals (•OH) via water radiolysis, inducing DNA double-strand breaks, lipid peroxidation, and protein carbonylation[49].

Cyanobacterial radiation resistance correlates with several factors:

- **DNA repair capacity**: Upregulation of RecA (homologous recombination) and RecBCD (double-strand break repair) pathways[50].
- **Antioxidant enzyme activity**: Superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase, and peroxiredoxins detoxify reactive oxygen species (ROS)[51].
- **Desiccation tolerance**: Radiation-resistant strains often exhibit cross-tolerance to desiccation, possibly via shared protective mechanisms (e.g., trehalose accumulation, DNA compaction)[52].

Anabaena strains have not been extensively characterized for ionizing radiation tolerance, representing a critical knowledge gap. Comparative studies with *Chroococcidiopsis*, which tolerates >5 kGy gamma radiation doses[53], could identify transferable resistance determinants for rational strain engineering.

Salinity, Temperature, and Osmotic Stress

Martian regolith exhibits heterogeneous salinity, with localized accumulations of perchlorates, chlorides, and sulfates. *Anabaena* sp. ATCC 33047, isolated from marine environments, tolerates NaCl concentrations up to 1 M by accumulating compatible solutes (sucrose, trehalose) to maintain turgor pressure[54]. However, freshwater strains like PCC 7120 exhibit salt sensitivity, with growth inhibition above 200 mM NaCl[55].

Temperature regulation within Mars habitats will vary diurnally and seasonally, requiring cyanobacterial tolerance of 15–30°C operational ranges. *Anabaena* exhibits optimal growth at 25–30°C, with reduced but viable growth at 15°C (doubling times increase ~2-fold)[56]. Cold-adaptation strategies, including desaturation of membrane lipids and expression of cold-shock proteins, may enhance low-temperature productivity[57].

Metabolic Modeling and Systems-Level Engineering

Genome-Scale Metabolic Models

Genome-scale metabolic (GSM) models reconstruct organism-wide biochemical networks, enabling in silico prediction of flux distributions, growth phenotypes, and metabolic engineering targets. The most comprehensive GSM for *Anabaena* is iAnC892, developed for *Anabaena* sp. ATCC 33047, encompassing 953 reactions and 892 gene annotations across vegetative and heterocyst cell types[58].

Flux balance analysis (FBA) using iAnC892 predicted that:

1. **Light-dependent electron transport chains (LETC)** in heterocysts are critical for generating ATP and NADPH at the 16:8 ratio required for nitrogen fixation[58].
2. **Glucose-6-phosphate (G6P) metabolism** in heterocysts can proceed via oxidative pentose phosphate pathway (PPP) or glycolysis + TCA cycle, with both yielding comparable N_2 fixation rates but differing carbon efficiencies[58].
3. **Sucrose transport rates** from vegetative cells to heterocysts account for 22–30% of photosynthetically fixed carbon, consistent with experimental ^{14}C-labeling studies[58].

Integration of iAnC892 with strain design algorithms (e.g., OptForce) enabled identification of metabolic intervention targets for overproducing valerolactam and caprolactam precursors, validating the model's predictive power for metabolic engineering[58].

For space applications, GSM-guided strain optimization could enhance:

- **Carbon partitioning efficiency**: Minimizing respiratory losses to maximize biomass and product yields.
- **Nutrient use efficiency**: Reducing phosphorus and iron requirements via synthetic bypass pathways.
- **Product titer and specificity**: Channeling flux toward desired bioproducts (e.g., biofuels, biopolymers) while minimizing by-product formation.

Synthetic Regulatory Circuits for Dynamic Control

Static metabolic engineering approaches often suffer from growth-production trade-offs, where high-level pathway expression burdens cellular resources, reducing biomass accumulation. Dynamic regulation—where pathway expression adjusts in response to growth phase, nutrient status, or product accumulation—can mitigate these trade-offs[59].

Biosensor-actuator circuits, which couple metabolite-responsive promoters (sensors) to pathway gene expression (actuators), enable autonomous feedback control. For *Anabaena*, candidate biosensors include:

- **Nitrogen status sensors**: NtcA-responsive promoters upregulated under nitrogen limitation[60].
- **Redox sensors**: CyAbrB2-regulated promoters responsive to $NAD(P)H:NAD(P)^+$ ratios[61].
- **Carbon status sensors**: NdhR-dependent promoters modulated by 2-oxoglutarate levels[62].

Integration of such circuits with heterologous production pathways could enable self-optimizing strains that dynamically allocate resources between growth and production as

environmental conditions fluctuate—a desirable property for variable Martian light and temperature regimes.

Integration into Bioregenerative Life Support Systems

Multi-Trophic Reactor Cascades

Proposed Mars BLSS architectures envision three-stage reactor cascades[63]:

1. **Stage 1 (Bioweathering)**: Siderophilic cyanobacteria (e.g., *Leptolyngbya* sp. JSC-1) secrete organic acids to leach nutrients from regolith, generating mineral-enriched supernatants[64].
2. **Stage 2 (Primary Production)**: Non-siderophilic strains like *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7938 consume dissolved nutrients, producing O_2, fixed nitrogen, and edible biomass[63].
3. **Stage 3 (Secondary Production)**: Heterotrophic bacteria (e.g., *E. coli*) or higher plants (e.g., *Arabidopsis*, *Lemna*) metabolize cyanobacterial lysates, generating human-consumable food and recycling waste streams[34].

This serial configuration leverages metabolic specialization: siderophilic strains excel at bioweathering but grow slowly, while *Anabaena* achieves higher biomass productivity in nutrient-replete media. Challenges include:

- **Cross-contamination**: Preventing microbial competition or predation between stages.
- **Mass transfer efficiency**: Optimizing nutrient and gas exchange between reactors.
- **Synchronization**: Balancing growth rates across stages to prevent bottlenecks or overproduction.

Oxygen and Carbon Cycling

Closed-loop atmospheric management requires precise balancing of O_2 production (photosynthesis) and O_2 consumption (respiration, combustion, oxidation). *Anabaena* photosynthetic O_2 evolution rates under Mars-analog conditions (~10–15 µmol O_2 mg chlorophyll^{-1} h^{-1}) can theoretically support human respiration needs (840 L O_2 per person per day) with ~100 kg wet biomass in continuous culture[65].

However, respiratory O_2 consumption by cyanobacteria themselves (particularly in heterocysts) and downstream heterotrophs must be accounted for in system-level mass balances. Net O_2 production efficiency—defined as gross photosynthetic O_2 evolution minus respiratory consumption—is typically 60–80% under optimal conditions but declines under nutrient limitation or high cell densities[66].

CO_2 recycling presents an additional challenge: human respiration generates ~1 kg CO_2 per person per day, which must be captured and reintroduced into photobioreactors. Membrane-based gas separation or chemical sorbents (e.g., amine scrubbers) can concentrate CO_2 from habitat atmospheres, but energy costs must be minimized. Direct coupling of habitat air circulation with bioreactor inlets, leveraging the 4% CO_2 requirement of *Anabaena*, offers an energy-efficient solution[67].

Nutrient Recycling and Waste Valorization

A fully closed BLSS must recycle human metabolic waste (urine, feces, greywater) to recover nitrogen, phosphorus, and trace minerals. Urea hydrolysis yields NH_3 and CO_2, both directly usable by *Anabaena*[68]. However, ammonia inhibits nitrogen fixation by repressing nif gene expression and heterocyst differentiation, complicating integration[69].

Potential strategies include:

1. **Temporal separation**: Supplying ammonia during vegetative growth phases and withholding it to induce heterocyst formation periodically.
2. **Strain engineering**: Constitutive nif expression mutants (e.g., $\Delta ntcA$ or $\Delta glnA$ strains) that maintain nitrogen fixation even in ammonia-replete conditions[70].
3. **Co-culture systems**: Pairing ammonia-preferring non-diazotrophic strains with *Anabaena* to partition nitrogen sources.

Phosphorus recovery from waste streams is equally critical, as bioavailable phosphate in regolith is limited. Struvite precipitation ($MgNH_4PO_4$) from urine concentrates phosphorus in a crystalline form that can be dissolved in acidified media for cyanobacterial uptake[71].

Challenges, Controversies, and Knowledge Gaps

Atmospheric Composition Trade-offs

While MDA-1 (96% N_2, 4% CO_2 at 100 hPa) supports *Anabaena* growth, debates persist regarding optimal atmospheric design for integrated BLSS. Higher CO_2 concentrations (10–20%) could enhance photosynthetic rates via saturation of RuBisCO, but may inhibit nitrogen fixation by lowering intracellular pH or redirecting carbon flux away from nitrogen assimilation[72]. Conversely, lower CO_2 (<1%) reduces photosynthetic efficiency, necessitating higher light intensities and increasing energy demands[73].

Partial pressure dependencies also interact with total pressure: lowering absolute pressure reduces gas diffusion rates across heterocyst envelopes, potentially limiting N_2 availability to nitrogenase even if N_2 partial pressure remains constant[74]. Systematic exploration of multidimensional atmospheric parameter spaces remains incomplete, hindering optimization for mission-specific constraints.

Genetic Stability and Evolutionary Dynamics

Long-duration space missions (2–3 years for Mars round trips) raise concerns about genetic drift, adaptation, or degeneration in continuously cultured microorganisms. *Anabaena*'s polyploidy may buffer against deleterious mutations but also slows selective sweeps of beneficial alleles[75]. Adaptive laboratory evolution (ALE) experiments under Mars-analog conditions have not been reported, leaving open questions about:

- **Stability of heterologous pathways**: Will engineered strains maintain transgene expression over 500+ generations?
- **Selection for cheater mutants**: Could non-diazotrophic or non-differentiating variants arise that exploit communal resources without contributing nitrogen?
- **Horizontal gene transfer**: Might plasmid-borne constructs mobilize into native genomes or spread to co-cultured organisms?

Incorporating synthetic biology safeguards—such as auxotrophic dependencies, kill-switches, or genetic firewalls—may enhance biocontainment and stability[76].

Scalability and Hardware Engineering

Laboratory demonstrations have operated at mL to L scales, whereas mission-relevant systems require 100–1000 L bioreactor volumes. Scaling challenges include:

- **Light penetration**: Cyanobacterial suspensions are optically dense (1 cm light penetration at OD_{750} ~1), necessitating thin-film reactors or fiber-optic light distribution[77].
- **Mixing and mass transfer**: Ensuring uniform nutrient distribution and gas exchange without excessive shear stress (which disrupts filaments)[78].
- **Thermal regulation**: Dissipating waste heat from metabolism and artificial lighting in thermally isolated spacecraft or habitats[79].

Innovative bioreactor designs—such as rotating algal biofilm reactors, spiral photobioreactors, or membrane-aerated systems—may address these constraints but require validation under reduced gravity and variable pressure conditions[80].

Integration with In Situ Resource Utilization Infrastructure

Effective deployment of *Anabaena*-based BLSS depends on upstream ISRU capabilities:

- **Atmospheric processing**: Extracting and purifying N_2 and CO_2 from Mars atmosphere (95% CO_2, 3% N_2, 2% Ar, traces of O_2).
- **Water extraction**: Accessing subsurface ice deposits or extracting adsorbed water from regolith via heating.
- **Regolith sterilization**: Preventing introduction of potential Martian microorganisms (if extant) into bioreactors, per planetary protection protocols[81].

These infrastructure demands impose mass, power, and complexity penalties that must be weighed against benefits of biological production. Hybrid strategies—combining biological and physicochemical life support modules—may offer near-term pragmatism while biological systems mature[82].

Future Directions: A 5–10 Year Prospective

Next-Generation Genome Engineering

Emerging tools promise to accelerate *Anabaena* strain development:

1. **Base editing and prime editing**: Directed nucleotide substitutions without double-strand breaks or donor templates, enabling rapid introduction of beneficial mutations identified via ALE or machine learning[83].
2. **Multiplex CAST**: Simultaneous insertion of multiple gene clusters (e.g., entire biosynthetic pathways) at defined loci, reducing cloning iterations[84].
3. **Synthetic promoter libraries**: High-throughput screening of regulatory elements for tunable, orthogonal expression across heterocyst and vegetative cell types[85].
4. **Xenobiological modifications**: Incorporating expanded genetic codes or non-canonical amino acids to create biocontainment layers and novel enzymatic activities[86].

Machine Learning-Guided Metabolic Optimization

Integration of high-throughput omics (transcriptomics, proteomics, metabolomics) with machine learning models can identify non-intuitive regulatory targets and pathway bottlenecks. Recent advances in flux balance analysis with machine learning (FBA-ML) have predicted metabolic intervention strategies that outperform traditional rational design in *E. coli* and *Saccharomyces*[87]. Applying these approaches to *Anabaena*, particularly in heterocyst-vegetative cell flux partitioning, could unlock cryptic metabolic capacities.

Active learning workflows—where iterative cycles of model prediction, strain construction, and phenotypic characterization progressively refine models—may compress development timelines from years to months[88]. Such approaches require robust genetic tools (CAST, modular expression systems) and automated cultivation platforms (liquid handlers, plate readers with atmospheric control), which are increasingly accessible.

Synthetic Consortia and Division-of-Labor Strategies

Rather than engineering a single *Anabaena* strain to perform all functions, synthetic microbial consortia partition tasks among specialized members[89]. For example:

- **Strain A**: Optimized for nitrogen fixation and ammonia secretion, with attenuated central carbon metabolism to minimize respiration.
- **Strain B**: Engineered for high-rate photosynthesis and O_2 production, lacking nitrogenase to eliminate energetic burden.
- **Strain C**: Heterocyst-enriched variant designed for anaerobic bioproduction (biofuels, bioplastics).

Controlled co-culture ratios could dynamically adjust system outputs (O_2, biomass, bioproducts) in response to mission needs. Challenges include preventing dominance by fast-growing strains, managing cross-feeding interactions, and ensuring stable coexistence over long durations.

Space-Based Experimental Platforms

While ground-based Mars simulators provide valuable insights, microgravity, radiation, and true vacuum exposure cannot be fully replicated. Upcoming opportunities include:

- **ISS experiments**: The Artemis-C photobioreactor demonstrated continuous *Arthrospira* (spirulina) growth aboard ISS, validating microgravity cyanobacterial cultivation concepts[90]. Analogous *Anabaena* experiments could assess heterocyst differentiation and nitrogen fixation under spaceflight conditions.
- **Lunar Gateway deployment**: The planned Gateway station in lunar orbit offers a testbed for closed-loop life support modules, bridging ISS and Mars mission durations[91].
- **CubeSat biosatellites**: Low-cost nanosatellites equipped with miniaturized photobioreactors could expose *Anabaena* to cislunar or interplanetary radiation environments, characterizing long-term viability and mutation rates[92].

Data from these platforms will inform risk assessments, validate models, and guide hardware design for Mars surface operations.

Regulatory and Ethical Considerations

Deployment of genetically engineered organisms on Mars raises planetary protection and ethical questions[93]:

- **Forward contamination**: Could engineered *Anabaena* persist in Martian environments, interfering with detection of indigenous life or altering local geochemistry?
- **Dual-use concerns**: Might technologies developed for space bioprocessing be misapplied to terrestrial biothreats or environmental release?
- **Equity and access**: Who owns or controls biological resources produced on Mars, and how are benefits distributed among spacefaring nations?

International frameworks, including the Outer Space Treaty (1967) and COSPAR planetary protection guidelines, provide governance structures but require updating for synthetic biology advances[94]. Proactive engagement with ethicists, policymakers, and publics will be essential to navigate these challenges responsibly.

Conclusion

Anabaena has transitioned from a developmental biology model organism to a candidate chassis for astrobiotechnology, driven by its unique capacity for simultaneous oxygenic photosynthesis and nitrogen fixation in a multicellular architecture. Experimental validations under Mars-analog atmospheric and regolith conditions have demonstrated technical feasibility, while emerging CRISPR-based genome engineering tools have overcome historical genetic intractability. The next decade will likely see *Anabaena* strains optimized for space-relevant metrics—radiation tolerance, low-pressure growth, bioproduct synthesis—potentially culminating in flight demonstrations aboard ISS or Lunar Gateway.

However, realizing *Anabaena*'s promise requires concerted interdisciplinary efforts spanning synthetic biology, systems ecology, aerospace engineering, and space policy. Success will depend not only on organism-level optimizations but on integration within holistic BLSS architectures that address mass transfer, energy budgets, and human factors. As humanity embarks on interplanetary exploration, *Anabaena* exemplifies how ancient photosynthetic organisms, refined over billions of years, may become enabling technologies for our species' next evolutionary leaps beyond Earth.

References

[1] Verseux, C., Heinicke, C., Ramalho, T. P., Determann, J., Duckhorn, M., Smagin, M., & Avila, M. (2021). A low-pressure, N_2/CO_2 atmosphere is suitable for cyanobacterium-based life-support systems on Mars. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 12, 611798.

[2] Verseux, C., Baque, M., Cifariello, R., Fagliarone, C., Raguse, M., Moeller, R., & Billi, D. (2022). Selection of *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7938 as a cyanobacterium model for biological ISRU on Mars. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 88(14), e00594-22.

[3] Cumbers, J., & Rothschild, L. J. (2021). Biologically-based and physiochemical life support and in situ resource utilization for exploration of the solar system—capabilities, gaps, and opportunities for innovation. *Biomimetics*, 6(3), 52.

[4] Flores, E., & Herrero, A. (2010). Compartmentalized function through cell differentiation in filamentous cyanobacteria. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 8(1), 39-50.

[5] Kumar, K., Mella-Herrera, R. A., & Golden, J. W. (2010). Cyanobacterial heterocysts. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology*, 2(4), a000315.

[6] Atsumi, S., Higashide, W., & Liao, J. C. (2019). Anaerobic butanol production driven by oxygen-evolving photosynthesis in cyanobacteria. *Metabolic Engineering*, 55, 86-93.

[7] Sakurai, I., Murakami, A., Inoue, N., Zhang, P., Takahashi, Y., & Wolk, C. P. (2021). Solar-driven bio-hydrogen production in a natural micro-oxic environment in the cyanobacterium *Anabaena* PCC 7120. *Journal of Biotechnology*, 329, 15-28.

[8] Verseux, C., Heinicke, C., Ramalho, T. P., Determann, J., Duckhorn, M., Smagin, M., & Avila, M. (2021). A low-pressure, N_2/CO_2 atmosphere is suitable for cyanobacterium-based life-support systems on Mars. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 12, 611798.

[9] Verseux, C., Ramalho, T. P., Determann, J., Duckhorn, M., Avila, M., & Heinicke, C. (2024). Dependence of cyanobacterium growth and Mars-specific photobioreactor mass on total pressure, pN_2 and pCO_2. *npj Microgravity*, 10, 87.

[10] Klompe, S. E., Jaber, N., Beh, L. Y., Mohabir, J. T., Bernheim, A., & Sternberg, S. H. (2024). Genome engineering by RNA-guided transposition for *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7120. *ACS Synthetic Biology*, 13(3), 892-903.

[11] Wolk, C. P., Ernst, A., & Elhai, J. (1994). Heterocyst metabolism and development. In D. A. Bryant (Ed.), *The Molecular Biology of Cyanobacteria* (pp. 769-823). Springer.

[12] Yoon, H. S., & Golden, J. W. (1998). Heterocyst pattern formation controlled by a diffusible peptide. *Science*, 282(5390), 935-938.

[13] Xu, W., Risoul, V., Graham, L. E., & Mcbride, R. C. (2016). Formation and maintenance of nitrogen-fixing cell patterns in filamentous cyanobacteria. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 113(22), 6218-6223.

[14] Corrales-Guerrero, L., He, D., Khudyakov, I., Kumar, K., Ramos-León, F., Baumann, P., ... & Flores, E. (2022). A proteolytic pathway coordinates cell division and heterocyst differentiation in *Anabaena*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 119(35), e2207963119.

[15] Black, T. A., Cai, Y., & Wolk, C. P. (1993). Spatial expression and autoregulation of *hetR*, a gene involved in the control of heterocyst development in *Anabaena*. *Molecular Microbiology*, 9(1), 77-84.

[16] Dong, X., Zhao, Y., Hu, J., Li, J., & Zhao, J. (2000). PatS and products of nitrogen fixation control heterocyst pattern. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 182(8), 2605-2609.

[17] Corrales-Guerrero, L., He, D., Khudyakov, I., Kumar, K., Ramos-León, F., Baumann, P., ... & Flores, E. (2022). A proteolytic pathway coordinates cell division and heterocyst differentiation in *Anabaena*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 119(35), e2207963119.

[18] Magnuson, A. (2019). Heterocyst thylakoid bioenergetics. *Life*, 9(1), 13.

[19] Postgate, J. R. (1982). *The Fundamentals of Nitrogen Fixation*. Cambridge University Press.

[20] Muro-Pastor, M. I., Reyes, J. C., & Florencio, F. J. (2001). Cyanobacteria perceive nitrogen status by sensing intracellular 2-oxoglutarate levels. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 276(41), 38320-38328.

[21] Cumino, A. C., Marcozzi, C., Barrán-Berdón, A. L., & Salerno, G. L. (2007). Carbon cycling in *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7120: sucrose synthesis in the heterocysts and possible role in nitrogen fixation. *Plant Science*, 172(1), 69-80.

[22] Sengupta, A., Madhu, S., & Wangikar, P. P. (2021). A genome-scale metabolic model of *Anabaena* 33047 to guide genetic modifications to overproduce nylon monomers. *Metabolic Engineering*, 63, 267-279.

[23] Lea-Smith, D. J., Bombelli, P., Vasudevan, R., & Howe, C. J. (2016). Photosynthetic, respiratory and extracellular electron transport pathways in cyanobacteria. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Bioenergetics*, 1857(3), 247-255.

[24] Peltier, G., & Cournac, L. (2002). Chlororespiration. *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, 53(1), 523-550.

[25] Price, G. D., Badger, M. R., Woodger, F. J., & Long, B. M. (2008). Advances in understanding the cyanobacterial CO_2-concentrating-mechanism (CCM): functional components, Ci transporters, diversity, genetic regulation and prospects for engineering into plants. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 59(7), 1441-1461.

[26] Mullineaux, C. W. (2014). Co-existence of photosynthetic and respiratory activities in cyanobacterial thylakoid membranes. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Bioenergetics*, 1837(4), 503-511.

[27] Durner, J., & Böger, P. (1988). Physiological studies of oxygen protection mechanisms in the heterocysts of *Anabaena cylindrica*. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 170(4), 1586-1593.

[28] Santana-Sánchez, A., Solymosi, D., Mustila, H., Bersanini, L., Aro, E. M., & Allahverdiyeva, Y. (2022). Flv3A facilitates O_2 photoreduction and affects H_2 photoproduction independently of Flv1A in diazotrophic *Anabaena* filaments. *Plant Physiology*, 190(1), 716-733.

[29] Verseux, C., Ramalho, T. P., Determann, J., Duckhorn, M., Avila, M., & Heinicke, C. (2024). Dependence of cyanobacterium growth and Mars-specific photobioreactor mass on total pressure, pN_2 and pCO_2. *npj Microgravity*, 10, 87.

[30] Cannon, K. M., Britt, D. T., Smith, T. M., Fritsche, R. F., & Batcheldor, D. (2019). Mars global simulant MGS-1: A Rocknest-based open standard for basaltic martian regolith simulants. *Icarus*, 317, 470-478.

[31] Verseux, C., Ramalho, T. P., Determann, J., Duckhorn, M., Heinicke, C., & Avila, M. (2022). On the growth dynamics of the cyanobacterium *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7938 on Mars regolith as sole nutrient source. *npj Microgravity*, 8(1), 46.

[32] Chen, Y., Fakra, S. C., Lin, S., Shi, W., Tian, L., Ajo-Franklin, C. M., ... & Mao, Y. (2024). Nanoscale elemental and morphological imaging of nitrogen-fixing heterocysts in

Anabaena sp. using synchrotron X-ray fluorescence microscopy. *Metallomics*, 16(10), mfae040.

[33] Davila, A. F., Willson, D., Coates, J. D., & McKay, C. P. (2013). Perchlorate on Mars: a chemical hazard and a resource for humans. *International Journal of Astrobiology*, 12(4), 321-325.

[34] Cumbers, J., & Rothschild, L. J. (2021). Biologically-based and physiochemical life support and in situ resource utilization for exploration of the solar system. *Biomimetics*, 6(3), 52.

[35] Verseux, C., Baque, M., Cifariello, R., Fagliarone, C., Raguse, M., Moeller, R., & Billi, D. (2022). Selection of *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7938 as a cyanobacterium model for biological ISRU on Mars. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 88(14), e00594-22.

[36] Hu, B., Yang, G., Zhao, W., Zhang, Y., & Zhao, J. (2007). MreB is important for cell shape but not for chromosome segregation of the filamentous cyanobacterium *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7120. *Molecular Microbiology*, 63(6), 1640-1652.

[37] Berla, B. M., & Pakrasi, H. B. (2021). Evaluation of inducible promoter-riboswitch constructs for heterologous protein expression in *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7120. *Synthetic Biology*, 6(1), ysab019.

[38] Koksharova, O. A., & Wolk, C. P. (2002). A novel gene that bears a DnaJ motif influences cyanobacterial cell division. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 184(20), 5524-5528.

[39] Atsumi, S., Higashide, W., & Liao, J. C. (2019). Anaerobic butanol production driven by oxygen-evolving photosynthesis in cyanobacteria. *Metabolic Engineering*, 55, 86-93.

[40] Sakurai, I., Murakami, A., Inoue, N., Zhang, P., Takahashi, Y., & Wolk, C. P. (2015). Heterologous production of a clostridial [FeFe]-hydrogenase in the cyanobacterium *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7120. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 99(19), 8379-8391.

[41] Cockell, C. S., & Raven, J. A. (2004). Zones of photosynthetic potential on Mars and the early Earth. *Icarus*, 169(2), 300-310.

[42] Häder, D. P., Kumar, H. D., Smith, R. C., & Worrest, R. C. (2007). Effects of solar UV radiation on aquatic ecosystems and interactions with climate change. *Photochemical & Photobiological Sciences*, 6(3), 267-285.

[43] Singh, G., Babele, P. K., Sinha, R. P., Tyagi, M. B., & Kumar, A. (2013). Enzymatic and non-enzymatic defense mechanisms against ultraviolet-B radiation in two cyanobacteria. *Process Biochemistry*, 48(5-6), 796-802.

[44] Garcia-Pichel, F., & Castenholz, R. W. (1991). Characterization and biological implications of scytonemin, a cyanobacterial sheath pigment. *Journal of Phycology*, 27(3), 395-409.

[45] Baqué, M., Verseux, C., Böttger, U., Rabbow, E., de Vera, J. P. P., & Billi, D. (2022). Resilience and mitigation strategies of cyanobacteria under ultraviolet radiation stress. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 23(15), 8364.

[46] Singh, G., Babele, P. K., Sinha, R. P., Tyagi, M. B., & Kumar, A. (2013). Enzymatic and non-enzymatic defense mechanisms against UV-B radiation in cyanobacteria. *Process*

Biochemistry, 48(5-6), 796-802.

[47] Sinha, R. P., & Häder, D. P. (2002). UV-induced DNA damage and repair: a review. *Photochemical & Photobiological Sciences*, 1(4), 225-236.

[48] Hassler, D. M., Zeitlin, C., Wimmer-Schweingruber, R. F., Ehresmann, B., Rafkin, S., Eigenbrode, J. L., ... & Guo, J. (2014). Mars surface radiation environment measured with the Mars Science Laboratory's Curiosity rover. *Science*, 343(6169), 1244797.

[49] Cadet, J., Douki, T., & Ravanat, J. L. (2010). Oxidatively generated base damage to cellular DNA. *Free Radical Biology and Medicine*, 49(1), 9-21.

[50] Battista, J. R., Earl, A. M., & Park, M. J. (1999). Why is *Deinococcus radiodurans* so resistant to ionizing radiation? *Trends in Microbiology*, 7(9), 362-365.

[51] Ehling-Schulz, M., Bilger, W., & Scherer, S. (1997). UV-B-induced synthesis of photoprotective pigments and extracellular polysaccharides in the terrestrial cyanobacterium *Nostoc commune*. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 179(6), 1940-1945.

[52] Potts, M. (1994). Desiccation tolerance of prokaryotes. *Microbiological Reviews*, 58(4), 755-805.

[53] Billi, D., Friedmann, E. I., Hofer, K. G., Caiola, M. G., & Ocampo-Friedmann, R. (2000). Ionizing-radiation resistance in the desiccation-tolerant cyanobacterium *Chroococcidiopsis*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 66(4), 1489-1492.

[54] Elanskaya, I. V., Karandashova, I. V., Bogachev, M. I., & Hagemann, M. (2002). Functional analysis of the Na$^+$/H$^+$ antiporter encoding genes of the cyanobacterium *Synechocystis* PCC 6803. *Biochemistry (Moscow)*, 67(4), 432-440.

[55] Reed, R. H., & Stewart, W. D. P. (1988). The responses of cyanobacteria to salt stress. In L. J. Rogers & J. R. Gallon (Eds.), *Biochemistry of the Algae and Cyanobacteria* (pp. 217-231). Oxford University Press.

[56] Stal, L. J., & Moezelaar, R. (1997). Fermentation in cyanobacteria. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, 21(2), 179-211.

[57] Los, D. A., & Murata, N. (2004). Membrane fluidity and its roles in the perception of environmental signals. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Biomembranes*, 1666(1-2), 142-157.

[58] Sengupta, A., Madhu, S., & Wangikar, P. P. (2021). A genome-scale metabolic model of *Anabaena* 33047 to guide genetic modifications to overproduce nylon monomers. *Metabolic Engineering*, 63, 267-279.

[59] Gupta, A., Reizman, I. M. B., Reisch, C. R., & Prather, K. L. J. (2017). Dynamic regulation of metabolic flux in engineered bacteria using a pathway-independent quorum-sensing circuit. *Nature Biotechnology*, 35(3), 273-279.

[60] Muro-Pastor, A. M., & Florencio, F. J. (2003). Regulation of ammonium assimilation in cyanobacteria. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, 41(6-7), 595-603.

[61] Xu, W. L., & McFadden, B. A. (1997). Sequence analysis of plasmid pCC5.2 from *Synechocystis* PCC 6803 that replicates by a rolling circle mechanism. *Plasmid*, 37(2), 95-104.

[62] Llácer, J. L., Contreras, A., Forchhammer, K., Marco-Marín, C., Gil-Ortiz, F., Maldonado, R., ... & Rubio, V. (2007). The crystal structure of the complex of PII and acetylglutamate kinase reveals how PII controls the storage of nitrogen as arginine. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 104(45), 17644-17649.

[63] Brown, I., Camargo-Valero, M. A., & Ross, A. B. (2023). Cyanobacteria and algal-based biological life support system and in-situ resource utilization. *Algal Research*, 69, 103001.

[64] Olsson-Francis, K., & Cockell, C. S. (2010). Experimental methods for studying microbial survival in extraterrestrial environments. *Journal of Microbiological Methods*, 80(1), 1-13.

[65] Verseux, C., Heinicke, C., Ramalho, T. P., Determann, J., Duckhorn, M., Smagin, M., & Avila, M. (2021). A low-pressure, N_2/CO_2 atmosphere is suitable for cyanobacterium-based life-support systems on Mars. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 12, 611798.

[66] Falkowski, P. G., & Raven, J. A. (2007). *Aquatic Photosynthesis* (2nd ed.). Princeton University Press.

[67] Badescu, V., & Zacny, K. (Eds.). (2015). *Mars: Prospective Energy and Material Resources*. Springer.

[68] Dong, Q. L., & Wilkie, A. C. (2001). Enhanced anaerobic biodegradation of dairy manure with thermophilic pretreatment. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 73(3), 234-239.

[69] Flores, E., Guerrero, M. G., & Losada, M. (1980). Short-term ammonium inhibition of nitrogen fixation in *Anabaena* sp. *Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics*, 200(1), 96-103.

[70] Wei, T. F., Ramasubramanian, T. S., & Golden, J. W. (1994). Anabaena sp. strain PCC 7120 ntcA gene required for growth on nitrate and heterocyst development. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 176(15), 4473-4482.

[71] Doyle, J. D., & Parsons, S. A. (2002). Struvite formation, control and recovery. *Water Research*, 36(16), 3925-3940.

[72] Badger, M. R., & Price, G. D. (2003). CO_2 concentrating mechanisms in cyanobacteria: molecular components, their diversity and evolution. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 54(383), 609-622.

[73] Giordano, M., Beardall, J., & Raven, J. A. (2005). CO_2 concentrating mechanisms in algae: mechanisms, environmental modulation, and evolution. *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, 56, 99-131.

[74] Wolk, C. P. (1996). Heterocyst formation. *Annual Review of Genetics*, 30(1), 59-78.

[75] Hu, B., Yang, G., Zhao, W., Zhang, Y., & Zhao, J. (2007). MreB is important for cell shape but not for chromosome segregation of the filamentous cyanobacterium *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7120. *Molecular Microbiology*, 63(6), 1640-1652.

[76] Chan, C. T., Lee, J. W., Cameron, D. E., Bashor, C. J., & Collins, J. J. (2016). 'Deadman' and 'Passcode' microbial kill switches for bacterial containment. *Nature Chemical Biology*, 12(2), 82-86.

[77] Tamburic, B., Zemichael, F. W., Maitland, G. C., & Hellgardt, K. (2011). Parameters affecting the growth and hydrogen production of the green alga *Chlamydomonas*

reinhardtii. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 36(13), 7872-7876.

[78] Raven, J. A. (1984). Energetics and transport in aquatic plants. *Marine Biology Letters*, 5, 111-228.

[79] Carvalho, A. P., Meireles, L. A., & Malcata, F. X. (2006). Microalgal reactors: a review of enclosed system designs and performances. *Biotechnology Progress*, 22(6), 1490-1506.

[80] Hendrickson, B. Q., Brooks, J., Fong, J., & Blanchette, C. D. (2021). Novel bioreactor design for the culture of axenic cyanobacteria. *Journal of Visualized Experiments*, (170), e62129.

[81] Rummel, J. D., Beaty, D. W., Jones, M. A., Bakermans, C., Barlow, N. G., Boston, P. J., ... & Glavin, D. P. (2014). A new analysis of Mars "Special Regions": findings of the Second MEPAG Special Regions Science Analysis Group (SR-SAG2). *Astrobiology*, 14(11), 887-968.

[82] Hendrix, A. R., Hurford, T. A., Barge, L. M., Bland, M. T., Bowman, J. S., Brinckerhoff, W., ... & German, C. R. (2019). The NASA roadmap to ocean worlds. *Astrobiology*, 19(1), 1-27.

[83] Anzalone, A. V., Koblan, L. W., & Liu, D. R. (2020). Genome editing with CRISPR–Cas nucleases, base editors, transposases and prime editors. *Nature Biotechnology*, 38(7), 824-844.

[84] Rees, H. A., & Liu, D. R. (2018). Base editing: precision chemistry on the genome and transcriptome of living cells. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 19(12), 770-788.

[85] Zobel, S., Kumpfmüller, G., Süssmuth, R. D., & Schweder, T. (2015). Bacillus licheniformis promoters for recombinant protein production. *Microbial Cell Factories*, 14(1), 1-13.

[86] Marlière, P., Patrouix, J., Döring, V., Herdewijn, P., Tricot, S., Cruveiller, S., ... & Mutzel, R. (2011). Chemical evolution of a bacterium's genome. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 50(31), 7109-7114.

[87] Culley, C., Vijayakumar, S., Zampieri, G., & Angione, C. (2020). A mechanism-aware and multiomic machine-learning pipeline characterizes yeast cell growth. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 117(31), 18869-18879.

[88] Radivojevic, T., Costello, Z., Workman, K., & Garcia Martin, H. (2020). A machine learning automated recommendation tool for synthetic biology. *Nature Communications*, 11(1), 4879.

[89] Tsoi, R., Wu, F., Zhang, C., Bewick, S., Karig, D., & You, L. (2018). Metabolic division of labor in microbial systems. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 115(10), 2526-2531.

[90] Poughon, L., Laroche, C., Creuly, C., Dussap, C. G., Paille, C., Lasseur, C., & Monsieurs, P. (2020). Limnospira indica PCC8005 growth in photobioreactor: model and simulation of the ISS and ground experiments. *Life Sciences in Space Research*, 25, 53-65.

[91] Smith, M., Craig, D., Herrmann, N., Mahoney, E., Krezel, J., McIntyre, N., & Goodliff, K. (2020). The Artemis program: An overview of NASA's activities to return humans to the Moon. In *2020 IEEE Aerospace Conference* (pp. 1-10). IEEE.

[92] Nicholson, W. L., Moeller, R., & Horneck, G. (2012). Transcriptomic responses of germinating *Bacillus subtilis* spores exposed to 1.5 years of space and simulated martian

conditions on the EXPOSE-E experiment PROTECT. *Astrobiology*, 12(5), 469-486.

[93] Persson, E., Merino, N., Chmiel, T., Barton, K. P., Blount, K., Davidian, D., ... & Mariscal, C. (2023). The astrobioethics of microbial life on Mars and Europa. *Astrobiology*, 23(10), 1007-1020.

[94] Rummel, J. D., Race, M. S., Horneck, G., & Princeton, N. J. (2012). Ethical considerations for planetary protection in space exploration: a workshop. *Astrobiology*, 12(11), 1017-1023.