Anabaena: A Novel Chassis for Space Exploration

Running title: Cyanobacterial bioprocessing for Mars missions

Abstract

The sustainability of crewed missions to Mars and beyond depends critically on in situ resource utilization (ISRU) to minimize payload mass from Earth. Cyanobacteria, particularly filamentous nitrogen-fixing species of the genus *Anabaena*, have emerged as promising biological platforms for supporting long-duration space missions. These photoautotrophic prokaryotes can harness Martian atmospheric gases (CO_2 and N_2), utilize nutrients from regolith, and produce oxygen, biomass, and various biochemical feedstocks under conditions that approximate the Martian surface environment. This review examines the physiological capabilities, genetic tractability, and bioprocess engineering considerations that position *Anabaena* as a model organism for Cyanobacterium-Based Life Support Systems (CyBLSS). We critically analyze recent advances in cultivating *Anabaena* under Mars-like low-pressure atmospheres, the challenges posed by perchlorate toxicity in Martian regolith, and the development of synthetic biology tools for metabolic optimization. Furthermore, we discuss the unique advantages conferred by heterocyst differentiation—the formation of specialized nitrogen-fixing cells—and how this multicellular organization could be leveraged for enhanced bioprocessing. Finally, we identify critical knowledge gaps in areas including radiation tolerance, long-term genetic stability, and integration with broader bioregenerative life support architectures. Looking forward, the convergence of astrobiology, synthetic biology, and bioprocess engineering will be essential for realizing the full potential of *Anabaena*-based biotechnology in supporting humanity's expansion into the solar system.

1. Introduction: The Imperative for Biological Life Support

The exploration and eventual colonization of Mars represent one of humanity's most ambitious technological endeavors. NASA, ESA, and other space agencies have outlined plans for crewed missions to Mars in the 2030s and beyond[1][2]. A fundamental challenge for such missions is the provision of consumables—oxygen, water, food, pharmaceuticals, and industrial feedstocks—over mission durations spanning months to years. Importing all necessary supplies from Earth is economically prohibitive and technically constraining due to launch mass limitations[3]. Instead, ISRU strategies that leverage Martian resources offer a pathway toward mission sustainability and eventual self-sufficiency[4].

Biological ISRU, particularly through photoautotrophic microorganisms, represents a paradigm shift from purely physicochemical approaches. Cyanobacteria are oxygenic photosynthetic prokaryotes that fix atmospheric carbon dioxide and, in certain species, atmospheric nitrogen into organic compounds[5]. Their minimal resource requirements—light, CO_2, N_2, water, and trace minerals—align remarkably well with the resources available on Mars[6]. Among cyanobacteria, the genus *Anabaena* (also known as *Nostoc*) has

received particular attention due to its nitrogen-fixing capabilities mediated by specialized cells called heterocysts[7].

The selection of *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7938 as a model organism for Mars bioprocessing exemplifies a systematic approach to strain selection based on diazotrophic capacity, perchlorate tolerance, ability to utilize regolith-derived nutrients, and suitability as feedstock for secondary producers[8]. This strain has demonstrated growth under low-pressure N_2/CO_2 atmospheres approximating Martian conditions, marking a critical milestone in demonstrating the feasibility of CyBLSS[9]. Beyond simple biomass production, *Anabaena* strains are being engineered to produce specific compounds including biofuels, bioplastics, pharmaceuticals, and nutritional supplements[10][11].

This review synthesizes current knowledge on *Anabaena* as a chassis for space exploration, encompassing physiological adaptations, genetic engineering strategies, bioprocess optimization, and integration into comprehensive life support architectures. We critically evaluate the state of the field, highlight unresolved challenges, and provide perspective on the trajectory of this research domain over the coming decade.

2. Physiological Foundations: Why *Anabaena*?

2.1 Nitrogen Fixation and Heterocyst Biology

A defining feature of *Anabaena* and related heterocyst-forming cyanobacteria is their capacity for aerobic nitrogen fixation—a seemingly paradoxical feat given that nitrogenase, the enzyme complex responsible for reducing atmospheric N_2 to ammonia, is irreversibly inactivated by oxygen[12]. This challenge is resolved through the differentiation of approximately 5-10% of vegetative cells into heterocysts, specialized cells that create a microoxic environment conducive to nitrogenase activity[13].

Heterocyst differentiation is triggered by nitrogen starvation and is orchestrated by a genetic regulatory cascade centered on the master regulator HetR[14]. The spatial patterning of heterocysts along filaments follows an activator-inhibitor mechanism consistent with Turing-type pattern formation[15]. HetR acts as a transcriptional activator promoting its own expression and that of differentiation genes, while simultaneously inducing the production of diffusible inhibitors PatS and HetN that suppress differentiation in neighboring cells[16]. This creates a regularly spaced pattern of heterocysts approximately every 10-15 vegetative cells—a sophisticated example of prokaryotic developmental biology[17].

Mature heterocysts exhibit several key adaptations: (1) deactivation of oxygenic photosystem II, eliminating internal oxygen production; (2) upregulation of respiratory activity to scavenge residual oxygen; (3) formation of a thick glycolipid envelope layer that reduces oxygen diffusion; and (4) reorganization of carbon and nitrogen metabolism to support nitrogen fixation while remaining metabolically coupled to vegetative cells[18][19]. Vegetative cells provide heterocysts with reduced carbon in the form of sucrose, while heterocysts supply fixed nitrogen (primarily as glutamine) to vegetative cells, establishing a metabolic division of labor within the filament[20].

This multicellular organization offers several advantages for space bioprocessing. The compartmentalization of nitrogen fixation into heterocysts protects nitrogenase from oxygen produced during photosynthesis, enabling simultaneous oxygen production and nitrogen fixation—a critical capability for life support systems[21]. Furthermore, the

metabolic specialization of heterocysts could potentially be exploited through synthetic biology to produce specific compounds in these differentiated cells while maintaining photosynthetic productivity in vegetative cells[22].

2.2 Metabolic Versatility and Resource Requirements

Anabaena exhibits remarkable metabolic flexibility, capable of photoautotrophic growth utilizing only light, CO_2, N_2, water, and mineral nutrients[23]. This minimalist resource requirement is ideally suited to the Martian environment. Photosynthesis occurs via oxygenic photosystem II and I, with photosynthetic efficiency comparable to other cyanobacteria[24]. The carbon fixation machinery centers on ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (RuBisCO) sequestered within carboxysomes—proteinaceous microcompartments that concentrate CO_2 and minimize photorespiration[25].

Under photoautotrophic conditions, *Anabaena* fixes approximately 0.5-1.0 mmol CO_2 per gram dry weight per hour, with biomass composition consisting primarily of protein (40-60%), carbohydrates (10-30%), lipids (5-15%), and nucleic acids (5-10%)[26]. The high protein content makes cyanobacterial biomass nutritionally valuable, though secondary processing may be required to enhance digestibility and palatability for human consumption[27].

Beyond photoautotrophy, *Anabaena* can also grow mixotrophically, utilizing exogenous organic carbon sources such as glucose, sucrose, or glycerol to supplement photosynthetic carbon fixation[28]. This metabolic flexibility could be advantageous in Mars habitats where varying light conditions or integration with waste processing systems might favor mixed trophic strategies[29].

Nutrient requirements beyond carbon and nitrogen include phosphorus, sulfur, potassium, magnesium, calcium, and trace metals (particularly iron, molybdenum, copper, and nickel)[30]. Critically, Martian regolith contains abundant quantities of these essential nutrients, as demonstrated by analyses of Martian meteorites and in situ measurements by Mars rovers[31]. The basaltic composition of Martian regolith provides phosphorus, sulfur, calcium, magnesium, and iron in potentially bioavailable forms, though mobilization strategies and potential toxicities must be carefully managed[32].

2.3 Stress Tolerance and Environmental Adaptability

The Martian surface environment presents multiple stressors including high levels of ultraviolet radiation, low temperatures, low atmospheric pressure, oxidizing surface chemistry, and the presence of toxic perchlorates[33]. While *Anabaena* will likely be cultivated in protected photobioreactor systems rather than exposed directly to surface conditions, understanding stress tolerance mechanisms remains important for system design and contingency planning.

Cyanobacteria generally exhibit moderate resistance to ultraviolet radiation through several protective mechanisms including the synthesis of UV-screening compounds such as scytonemin and mycosporine-like amino acids (MAAs), DNA repair systems, and antioxidant defenses[34][35]. However, UV tolerance varies significantly among strains, and *Anabaena* species are generally considered moderately sensitive compared to extremophilic cyanobacteria such as *Chroococcidiopsis*[36]. Enhanced UV protection will likely require either physical shielding (e.g., regolith-based radiation barriers) or genetic engineering to enhance endogenous photoprotection pathways[37].

Temperature tolerance is another consideration, as Martian surface temperatures range from approximately -125°C to +20°C, with strong diurnal fluctuations[38]. Most *Anabaena* strains are mesophiles with optimal growth temperatures between 20-35°C, though some cold-adapted strains can maintain metabolic activity at temperatures as low as 4°C[39]. Maintaining appropriate cultivation temperatures will require thermal management systems, potentially integrated with habitat heating requirements.

The desiccation tolerance of *Anabaena* is limited compared to extremophilic cyanobacteria, though certain terrestrial *Anabaena* isolates exhibit moderate tolerance through production of extracellular polysaccharides (EPS) and compatible solutes[40]. While not a primary design consideration for closed photobioreactor systems, enhanced desiccation tolerance could be valuable for long-term preservation of starter cultures or for open cultivation systems in later mission phases.

3. Mars-Relevant Cultivation Conditions

3.1 Low-Pressure Atmosphere Cultivation

One of the most significant recent advances in Mars-relevant cyanobacterial cultivation is the demonstration that *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7938 can grow productively under a low-pressure atmosphere composed of gases available on Mars[9][41]. The Martian atmosphere is approximately 95% CO_2, 3% N_2, and 2% Ar, with a total pressure of only 0.6-1.1 kPa (6-11 hPa)—less than 1% of Earth's atmospheric pressure[42]. This extremely low pressure precludes the presence of liquid water and is insufficient to support cyanobacterial nitrogen metabolism.

Verseux and colleagues developed a compromise atmospheric composition termed MDA-1 (Mars-like and Earth-like Design of Atmosphere 1) consisting of 96% N_2, 4% CO_2 at a total pressure of 10 kPa (100 hPa)[9]. This atmosphere provides sufficient nitrogen partial pressure to support diazotrophic growth while maintaining a low enough total pressure to significantly reduce engineering constraints on cultivation system design. Critically, the gases can be sourced from the Martian atmosphere through relatively simple compression and separation processes.

Under MDA-1 conditions, *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7938 exhibited growth rates only moderately reduced compared to Earth-normal conditions, demonstrating maintenance of core metabolic functions including photosynthesis, nitrogen fixation, and heterocyst differentiation[9]. The biomass produced under these conditions retained its capacity to support heterotrophic bacteria (e.g., *Escherichia coli*) and higher plants, confirming its suitability as a feedstock for multi-trophic bioregenerative systems[41].

The advantages of low-pressure cultivation are substantial. Reducing total pressure from 101 kPa (Earth sea level) to 10 kPa decreases structural loads on photobioreactors by a factor of ten, enabling lighter-weight construction materials and reduced launch mass[43]. Additionally, gas exchange rates (both CO_2 delivery and O_2 removal) are enhanced under reduced pressure due to increased diffusion coefficients and reduced boundary layer effects[44]. However, challenges include potential changes in gas solubility affecting pH buffering and the need for careful humidity control to prevent excessive evaporative water loss[45].

3.2 Regolith Utilization and Nutrient Mobilization

Martian regolith represents the most accessible source of mineral nutrients for cyanobacterial cultivation. The regolith's basaltic composition is relatively well-characterized through orbital spectroscopy, meteorite analysis, and in situ rover measurements, revealing abundant quantities of silicon, iron, magnesium, calcium, aluminum, sulfur, phosphorus, and trace elements[31][46]. However, these nutrients are predominantly in mineral form requiring mobilization into bioavailable dissolved species.

Several studies have examined the growth of *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7938 in water supplemented with Martian regolith simulants such as MGS-1 (Mars Global Simulant)[32][47]. Growth rates initially increase with regolith concentration as nutrients are released, reaching an optimum at approximately 50-200 kg m^{-3} depending on specific conditions and regolith composition[32]. Beyond this optimum, growth rates decline due to factors including light attenuation by suspended particles, potential nutrient imbalances, and release of inhibitory compounds[48].

Nutrient mobilization from regolith occurs through several mechanisms. Cyanobacteria secrete organic acids and siderophores that chelate metal ions and solubilize minerals through acidification and ligand-promoted dissolution[49]. EPS produced by *Anabaena* can also facilitate mineral weathering and nutrient uptake[50]. The kinetics of nutrient release depend on regolith mineralogy, particle size, pH, temperature, and biological activity, with release rates typically increasing over culture time as weathering progresses[51].

Interestingly, maintaining regolith in suspension appears detrimental to both light availability and growth performance, suggesting that a stratified configuration with settled regolith underlying the culture may be preferable[32]. This finding has important implications for photobioreactor design, potentially favoring flat-panel or shallow raceway configurations over fully mixed systems.

3.3 The Perchlorate Challenge

Perchlorates (ClO_4^-) represent one of the most significant chemical challenges for Mars bioprocessing. These compounds have been detected globally on Mars at concentrations ranging from 0.4-1.0 wt% of regolith, with local variability and the potential for enrichment in certain locations[52][53]. Perchlorates are potent chaotropic agents that destabilize proteins and nucleic acids, and they exhibit toxicity to most organisms at elevated concentrations[54].

Studies on perchlorate tolerance in *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7938 have revealed concentration-dependent growth inhibition, with growth rates reduced by approximately 50% at perchlorate concentrations corresponding to 0.6 wt% in regolith[32]. The inhibitory effects appear to be primarily due to ionic stress rather than specific metabolic interference, as different perchlorate salts (calcium, sodium, magnesium) show similar toxicity profiles when normalized for ionic strength[55].

Importantly, the effects of regolith as a nutrient source and perchlorate as a toxin appear to be independent and multiplicative rather than synergistic[32]. This finding suggests that optimization strategies can address these factors separately—maximizing nutrient mobilization from regolith while implementing perchlorate remediation approaches.

Several strategies for perchlorate management are under investigation:

1. **Physical/chemical removal**: Perchlorate can be extracted from regolith through aqueous washing followed by ion exchange, reverse osmosis, or chemical reduction[56]. While effective, these approaches add complexity and energy requirements to the system.
2. **Biological perchlorate reduction**: Certain bacteria can respire perchlorate, reducing it to chloride and oxygen[57]. Integration of perchlorate-reducing bacteria into a multi-organism bioprocessing system could enable in situ bioremediation of regolith extracts before cyanobacterial cultivation.
3. **Genetic enhancement of tolerance**: Engineering *Anabaena* for enhanced perchlorate tolerance through directed evolution or introduction of stress tolerance genes represents a promising long-term strategy[58]. Targets include osmotic stress response pathways, DNA repair systems, and protein chaperones.
4. **Strain selection**: Natural variation in perchlorate tolerance exists among cyanobacterial strains[59]. Bioprospecting for highly tolerant strains or using tolerant strains as sources of resistance genes could accelerate development.

The perchlorate challenge underscores the importance of considering not just optimal conditions but also stress resilience in selecting and engineering chassis organisms for Mars applications.

4. Genetic Engineering and Synthetic Biology Toolbox

4.1 Current Genetic Tools for *Anabaena*

The development of robust genetic engineering tools for *Anabaena* has been a priority for both fundamental research and biotechnological applications. Compared to model organisms such as *Escherichia coli* or *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, the genetic toolbox for *Anabaena* remains less developed, though substantial progress has been made in recent years[60].

Transformation of *Anabaena* strains is typically achieved through conjugation from *E. coli* using self-transmissible or mobilizable plasmids[61]. Conjugation frequencies vary by strain and plasmid but generally range from 10^{-5} to 10^{-7} per recipient cell[62]. Selection markers include antibiotic resistance genes (e.g., for spectinomycin, streptomycin, kanamycin, chloramphenicol) and auxotrophic complementation markers[63]. Replicating plasmids based on native cyanobacterial replicons such as pDU1 enable episomal maintenance, though plasmid stability can be variable[64].

Genome editing traditionally relied on double-homologous recombination for gene knockouts and insertions[65]. This approach requires construction of suicide plasmids containing homology arms flanking the target locus, followed by conjugation, integration via the first recombination event, and counter-selection to resolve the second recombination event[66]. While effective, this process is time-consuming and typically requires multiple selection and screening steps.

Recent advances have introduced more efficient genome editing technologies. CRISPR interference (CRISPRi) using catalytically inactive Cas9 (dCas9) has been successfully implemented in *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7120 for reversible gene knockdown[67]. By expressing dCas9 and a single guide RNA (sgRNA) complementary to a target gene, transcription can be repressed without permanent genetic modification. This approach has been used to regulate nitrogen metabolism genes and study their effects on heterocyst function[68].

More recently, CRISPR-associated transposase (CAST) systems have been adapted for *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7120, enabling RNA-guided targeted DNA insertions[69]. The CAST system inserts a transposon cargo at a site specified by an sgRNA, with integration occurring reproducibly at a fixed distance from the protospacer adjacent motif (PAM) sequence[70]. This technology promises to streamline targeted gene insertions and could facilitate construction of complex engineered strains.

4.2 Promoters, Regulatory Elements, and Expression Systems

Controlled gene expression is essential for metabolic engineering and synthetic biology applications. Several classes of promoters have been characterized in *Anabaena*:

Constitutive promoters: Strong constitutive promoters include those from housekeeping genes such as *rnpB* (RNase P RNA) and *psbA2* (photosystem II D1 protein)[71]. These provide high-level expression but lack regulatory control.

Inducible promoters: The development of inducible expression systems has been challenging in cyanobacteria due to the need for inducer molecules that are compatible with photoautotrophic growth. Several systems have been adapted:

- The *petE* promoter is induced by copper depletion, providing a simple on/off control mechanism[72].
- The tetracycline-responsive TetR system has been implemented for dose-dependent control, though the requirement for anhydrotetracycline as inducer complicates space applications[67].
- Light-responsive promoters such as *cpcB* (phycocyanin) vary activity with light intensity and could be useful for coupling expression to photosynthetic activity[73].
- Nitrogen-responsive promoters including *nir* (nitrite reductase) are induced under nitrogen limitation and could be valuable for heterocyst-specific expression[74].

Cell-type specific expression: Achieving differential expression in heterocysts versus vegetative cells is valuable for exploiting the metabolic division of labor. Several heterocyst-specific promoters have been identified including those of *nifH* (nitrogenase), *hup* (uptake hydrogenase), and *cox* (cytochrome oxidase)[75]. Conversely, promoters of photosystem II genes such as *psbA* are specifically repressed in heterocysts[76].

Riboswitches and other post-transcriptional regulatory elements have also been explored for fine-tuning expression levels and creating metabolite-responsive circuits[77]. A systematic evaluation of promoter-riboswitch combinations in *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7120 found that strong promoters generally overwhelm riboswitch-mediated repression, suggesting the need for careful matching of transcriptional and post-transcriptional regulatory strengths[78].

4.3 Metabolic Engineering Case Studies

Several proof-of-concept studies have demonstrated the feasibility of engineering *Anabaena* for enhanced production of valuable compounds:

Biofertilizer enhancement: *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7120 was engineered with constitutive overexpression of *hetR* driven by the light-inducible *psbA* promoter, resulting in elevated HetR protein levels and increased heterocyst frequency[79]. The recombinant strain exhibited enhanced nitrogen-fixation capacity and performed better as a biofertilizer for

rice cultivation, demonstrating that heterocyst frequency can be manipulated to optimize nitrogen provisioning[80].

Ammonia excretion: Using CRISPRi to knock down glutamine synthetase (*glnA*), researchers demonstrated tunable ammonia excretion from *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7120[67]. By controlling the degree of GlnA repression, ammonia production could be switched on or off without permanently compromising nitrogen assimilation capacity. This approach could be valuable for producing ammonia as a chemical feedstock or for supporting co-cultivation systems with ammonia-utilizing organisms[68].

Sucrose production: *Anabaena* naturally produces sucrose as the primary carbon export compound from vegetative cells to heterocysts[20]. Strains with enhanced sucrose production have been identified, including *Anabaena* sp. 4-3 which exhibits constitutive sucrose excretion[81]. Understanding the regulation of sucrose-phosphate synthase (SPS) and sucrose export could enable engineering of strains optimized for sucrose production as a feedstock for fermentation processes[82].

Secondary metabolite production: Genome-scale metabolic models have been used to identify optimal branching points for production of amino acids and their derivatives[83]. Modeling predictions suggest that L-aspartate, glycine, L-serine, L-valine, L-alanine, L-threonine, and L-leucine are promising targets for overproduction through metabolic engineering[84]. These amino acids serve as precursors for pharmaceutically relevant compounds including siderophores, ceramides, and alkaloids.

These case studies demonstrate that *Anabaena* is amenable to rational metabolic engineering, though the genetic toolbox remains less mature than for model heterotrophs. Continued tool development and integration of computational design approaches will be essential for realizing the full biotechnological potential of this organism.

4.4 Genome Stability and Evolutionary Considerations

A critical but often overlooked consideration for long-duration space missions is the genetic stability of engineered strains. Cyanobacteria harbor substantial genomic plasticity through mobile genetic elements including insertion sequences, transposons, and plasmids[85]. *Anabaena* sp. strain 90 genome analysis revealed extensive insertion sequence content and evidence of horizontal gene transfer[86].

Furthermore, selective pressures in cultivation systems can drive evolution of strains with altered phenotypes. For example, attempts to engineer *Anabaena* for constitutive ammonia excretion through permanent *glnA* knockout resulted in the rapid emergence of compensatory mutations that restored nitrogen assimilation capacity[87]. This evolutionary escape highlights the importance of using conditional or regulatable systems rather than permanent deletions of essential genes.

Strategies to enhance genetic stability include: (1) minimizing the use of mobile genetic elements in engineered constructs; (2) employing mutation accumulation assays to quantify spontaneous mutation rates under Mars-relevant conditions; (3) developing error-prone genome replication and repair systems; (4) implementing genetic redundancy with multiple independent control mechanisms; and (5) establishing protocols for strain monitoring and reselection during long-duration missions[88].

The multicellular filamentous organization of *Anabaena* adds additional complexity, as mutations arising in individual cells can become sectored within filaments, creating

genetic mosaics[89]. Understanding the population genetics of filamentous cyanobacteria and developing quality control approaches for strain maintenance will be essential for reliable bioprocessing.

5. Bioprocess Engineering for Mars Applications

5.1 Photobioreactor Design Considerations

The design of photobioreactors for Mars applications must balance multiple constraints including mass minimization, energy efficiency, reliability, and integration with broader life support systems[90]. Several photobioreactor configurations have been evaluated for space applications:

Flat-panel photobioreactors: These systems maximize surface-area-to-volume ratio for light capture while minimizing path length for mass transfer. They can be stacked vertically to increase volumetric productivity and are well-suited to low-pressure operation due to favorable structural load distribution[91]. Challenges include maintaining uniform mixing and managing temperature gradients.

Tubular photobioreactors: These consist of transparent tubes through which culture is circulated. They can be coiled or arrayed in various configurations to optimize light capture. Tubular designs offer good control over flow conditions but may be more complex structurally and require pumps for circulation[92].

Membrane photobioreactors: Gas-permeable membranes can enable efficient gas exchange while maintaining culture containment. Membrane systems have been successfully demonstrated for culturing *Limnospira indica* (formerly *Spirulina*) under simulated microgravity conditions[93]. The membrane approach could be particularly advantageous for Mars applications where the pressure differential between cultivation chambers and the ambient atmosphere facilitates passive gas exchange.

Open raceway ponds: While commonly used in terrestrial aquaculture, open systems are poorly suited to Mars due to water loss via evaporation, risk of contamination, and lack of environmental control. However, open or semi-open systems covered with regolith for radiation shielding could potentially be considered for later-stage missions once basic infrastructure is established[94].

Critical design parameters include:

- **Light delivery**: Natural sunlight on Mars is reduced to approximately 43% of Earth's intensity due to greater distance from the sun, further attenuated by dust in the atmosphere[95]. Supplemental artificial lighting using LEDs may be necessary, particularly for maintaining productivity during dust storms. Light penetration into dense cultures limits effective depth to several centimeters unless internal illumination is provided.
- **Gas exchange**: Efficient delivery of CO_2 and removal of O_2 is essential to prevent photosynthetic inhibition by oxygen accumulation (the Emerson effect). The low-pressure cultivation approach enhances gas exchange kinetics and may enable thinner gas-exchange membranes[96].
- **Temperature control**: Maintaining optimal cultivation temperature (typically 20-30°C for *Anabaena*) requires thermal management, potentially leveraging waste heat from habitat systems or using transparent insulation materials[97].

- **pH management**: Photosynthetic CO_2 uptake tends to increase pH, potentially causing precipitation of metal hydroxides and affecting nutrient availability. Bicarbonate buffering or pH control through CO_2 addition is typically necessary[98].
- **Contamination control**: While the closed nature of photobioreactors provides inherent protection against contamination, protocols for cleaning, sterilization, and monitoring must be established to ensure long-term reliable operation[99].

5.2 Scale-Up Considerations and Productivity

The scale of cyanobacterial cultivation required depends on mission objectives and crew size. For air revitalization alone, approximately 8-20 m^2 of illuminated culture surface is needed per crew member, assuming productivities in the range of 1-3 g dry weight L^{-1} day^{-1}[100][101]. For food production, substantially larger systems would be required, potentially 30-50 m^2 per crew member depending on the fraction of calories provided by cyanobacteria versus higher plants or stored food[102].

The BIOS-3 experiments in the 1960s-70s demonstrated that closed ecological systems could support human crews for extended periods, with *Chlorella* cultures providing partial oxygen regeneration and higher plants providing food[103]. Modern iterations such as the MELiSSA (Micro-Ecological Life Support System Alternative) program incorporate more sophisticated component organisms including the cyanobacterium *Limnospira indica* as the primary photosynthetic organism[104].

Productivity of *Anabaena* cultures under Mars-relevant conditions has been measured at 0.2-0.8 g dry weight L^{-1} day^{-1} depending on regolith concentration, light intensity, and other factors[32][105]. These productivities are lower than those achieved with optimized *Spirulina* or *Chlorella* cultures under terrestrial conditions (1-3 g L^{-1} day^{-1}), suggesting significant room for improvement through strain optimization and bioprocess engineering[106].

Strategies for productivity enhancement include: (1) increasing photosynthetic efficiency through genetic modification of light-harvesting complexes and carbon fixation pathways; (2) optimizing light delivery through photobioreactor design and artificial lighting; (3) enhancing nutrient uptake and utilization through metabolic engineering; (4) minimizing photorespiration and photooxidative damage; and (5) developing continuous or semi-continuous cultivation modes to maintain cultures in exponential growth phase[107].

5.3 Integration with Multi-Trophic Systems

While *Anabaena* monocultures can provide oxygen and potentially serve as a direct food source, integration into multi-trophic bioregenerative systems offers significant advantages[108]. The heterotrophic bacterium *E. coli* has been successfully cultured using *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7938 biomass as substrate, demonstrating the feasibility of using cyanobacterial biomass to support secondary producers[8]. Similarly, higher plants including *Arabidopsis* have been grown with *Anabaena* biomass as a biofertilizer[79].

A comprehensive bioregenerative life support system might include:

Tier 1 - Primary producers: *Anabaena* and potentially other cyanobacteria for photosynthetic CO_2 fixation, O_2 production, and nitrogen fixation from Martian atmospheric gases.

Tier 2 - Secondary processors:

- Heterotrophic bacteria (e.g., *E. coli*, *Bacillus* spp.) for protein conversion, production of specific compounds (amino acids, vitamins, enzymes), and waste processing.
- Perchlorate-reducing bacteria for regolith remediation.
- Probiotic organisms for crew health support.

Tier 3 - Tertiary producers: Higher plants for food diversity, psychological benefits, additional air revitalization, and production of secondary metabolites not easily obtained from microorganisms.

Tier 4 - Decomposition and recycling: Microbial consortia for waste processing, returning nutrients to bioavailable forms for primary producers.

The design of such integrated systems requires careful consideration of mass flows, nutrient cycles, energy budgets, and failure modes. Mathematical modeling using stoichiometric analysis, metabolic flux analysis, and systems-level simulations is essential for optimizing system configuration and predicting performance[109][110].

One key advantage of *Anabaena* relative to other primary producers is its nitrogen-fixing capability, potentially eliminating or greatly reducing the need to import nitrogen sources from Earth[111]. In an integrated system, nitrogen fixed by *Anabaena* could be distributed to other organisms either through direct biomass feeding, through excretion of ammonia by engineered strains, or through decomposition and recycling processes[112].

6. Critical Knowledge Gaps and Research Priorities

6.1 Radiation Biology

While UV tolerance has received some attention, the response of *Anabaena* to ionizing radiation (galactic cosmic rays and solar particle events) remains poorly characterized[113]. Unlike Earth, Mars lacks a global magnetic field and has a thin atmosphere, resulting in surface radiation doses approximately 0.2-0.5 Gy per year[114]. Cumulative radiation exposure during multi-year missions could affect cellular viability, induce mutations, and compromise genetic stability of engineered strains[115].

Research priorities include: (1) characterizing dose-response relationships for survival and mutagenesis under chronic and acute radiation exposure; (2) evaluating the effectiveness of physical shielding (e.g., water columns, regolith barriers) in protecting photobioreactor systems; (3) identifying radiation resistance mechanisms and potentially engineering enhanced resistance through introduction of DNA repair systems or antioxidant pathways; and (4) assessing the long-term evolutionary consequences of radiation exposure on strain phenotypes[116].

Chroococcidiopsis sp., a desiccation-tolerant cyanobacterium, has demonstrated remarkable radiation resistance, surviving doses exceeding 5 kGy—far beyond the tolerance of most organisms[117]. Understanding the molecular basis of this resistance and potentially transferring relevant genes to *Anabaena* could enhance radiation tolerance without compromising other desired traits.

6.2 Reduced Gravity Effects

Most research on cyanobacteria for space applications has been conducted under Earth gravity or, in limited cases, simulated microgravity using clinostats or random positioning machines[118]. True microgravity experiments on the International Space Station with *Limnospira indica* found no significant inhibition of growth or oxygen production under microgravity per se[119]. However, subtle effects on gas-liquid mass transfer, buoyancy-driven convection, and sedimentation could influence photobioreactor performance.

For Mars applications, the relevant gravity level is approximately 0.38 g. While less extreme than microgravity, this reduced gravity could affect settling of regolith particles, gas bubble dynamics in culture media, and potentially even heterocyst differentiation if mechanosensitive signaling is involved[120]. Ground-based simulations using centrifuges to generate partial gravity or parabolic flight experiments could provide initial data, but eventual testing under true Martian gravity will be necessary for full validation.

Interestingly, simulated microgravity experiments with *Limnospira indica* revealed that reduced mixing led to accumulation of dissolved oxygen, which in turn caused carbon limitation and growth inhibition due to reduced oxygen release from the culture[121]. This finding highlights the importance of understanding fluid dynamics and mass transfer phenomena in reduced-gravity environments.

6.3 Long-Term Operation and Reliability

Most laboratory studies of *Anabaena* cultivation extend for days to weeks, whereas space missions require reliable operation over months to years[122]. Long-term continuous or semi-continuous cultivation introduces challenges including:

- **Strain drift**: Selective pressures in cultivation systems can favor faster-growing variants with potentially altered traits (e.g., reduced heterocyst frequency, loss of engineered functions).
- **Biofilm formation**: Cyanobacteria can form biofilms on photobioreactor surfaces, reducing light transmission and altering flow patterns.
- **Contamination**: Introduction of faster-growing organisms or predators (e.g., protozoa, cyanophages) could compromise system function.
- **Equipment degradation**: Fouling of membranes, degradation of transparent surfaces, and failure of pumps or sensors over extended operation periods.

Establishing protocols for strain monitoring, re-inoculation, cleaning cycles, and predictive maintenance will be essential[123]. Redundancy in cultivation systems, with multiple independent photobioreactors operating in parallel, can provide resilience against individual system failures[124].

6.4 Perchlorate Bioremediation Integration

While perchlorate toxicity has been characterized, practical strategies for integrating perchlorate reduction with cyanobacterial cultivation remain underdeveloped[125]. Several perchlorate-reducing bacteria have been identified, including species of *Dechloromonas*, *Azospira*, and *Pseudomonas*[126]. These organisms respire perchlorate, reducing it to chloride with oxygen as a byproduct:

$$ClO_4^- \rightarrow ClO_3^- \rightarrow ClO_2^- \rightarrow Cl^- + O_2$$

Integrating perchlorate-reducing bacteria into the bioprocessing workflow could occur through: (1) pre-treatment of regolith extracts before cyanobacterial cultivation; (2) co-cultivation in separate chambers with transfer of treated media; or (3) direct mixed-culture approaches if compatible growth conditions can be identified[127].

Research priorities include characterizing growth kinetics and perchlorate reduction rates under Mars-relevant conditions, identifying carbon sources to fuel heterotrophic perchlorate reducers (potentially using *Anabaena* biomass or exudates), and evaluating potential for genetic engineering of perchlorate reduction pathways into *Anabaena* or other photoautotrophs[128].

6.5 Synthetic Biology for Advanced Applications

Current metabolic engineering efforts have focused primarily on proof-of-concept demonstrations. Realizing the full potential of *Anabaena* as a chassis for complex biochemical production will require substantial advances in synthetic biology capabilities[129]. Priority areas include:

Biosynthesis pathways for critical compounds: Engineering *Anabaena* to produce pharmaceuticals (antibiotics, anti-inflammatories, hormones), vitamins, specialty chemicals, and biomaterials could reduce the need to import these items from Earth[130]. This will require introduction of complex heterologous biosynthetic pathways, potentially spanning multiple heterocyst and vegetative cell types.

Adaptive laboratory evolution: Directed evolution under Mars-relevant conditions (low pressure, perchlorate stress, simulated regolith) could identify beneficial mutations that enhance performance[131]. Combining natural selection with targeted genetic modifications offers a powerful strategy for strain improvement.

Whole-genome synthesis: As synthetic biology capabilities advance, the possibility of designing and synthesizing streamlined *Anabaena* genomes optimized for Mars applications becomes conceivable[132]. Such "minimal genomes" could eliminate unnecessary genes, reduce metabolic burden, and enhance genetic stability.

Synthetic consortia: Rather than engineering individual strains to perform multiple functions, designed microbial consortia with division of labor among multiple species could offer greater robustness and flexibility[133]. Establishing stable, reproducible consortia with predictable behavior remains a significant challenge but offers long-term promise.

Knowledge Gap	Current State	Research Priorities	Timeline
Radiation tolerance	Limited UV data; ionizing radiation poorly characterized	Dose-response studies; shielding strategies; DNA repair enhancement	2-5 years
Reduced gravity effects	Microgravity ISS data available; Mars gravity (0.38 g) unexplored	Partial gravity simulations; heterocyst differentiation in reduced g	5-10 years
Long-term cultivation	Laboratory studies typically <1 month	Multi-month continuous culture; stability monitoring; contamination control	3-7 years
Perchlorate remediation	Toxicity characterized; bioremediation studied separately	Integrated perchlorate reduction; co-cultivation strategies	2-5 years
Advanced metabolic engineering	Proof-of-concept demonstrations only	Complex pathway integration; heterocyst-specific production; genome minimization	5-15+ years
System integration	Component testing phase	Multi-trophic system validation; closed-loop demonstration	7-15 years

Table 1: Critical knowledge gaps in *Anabaena*-based space bioprocessing. Timeline estimates represent approximate timeframes to address each gap assuming sustained research effort.

7. Comparative Analysis: *Anabaena* versus Alternative Chassis

While *Anabaena* offers significant advantages, it is worth considering alternative or complementary organisms for space bioprocessing to provide context and identify niche applications[134].

7.1 Non-Nitrogen-Fixing Cyanobacteria

Spirulina (Limnospira) indica: This organism has a longer history of development for space applications, particularly through the ESA MELiSSA program[135]. *Spirulina* generally exhibits higher growth rates than *Anabaena* under optimal conditions and is an established human food with GRAS (Generally Recognized As Safe) status[136]. However, it cannot fix atmospheric nitrogen, requiring imported nitrogen sources (e.g., urea from urine processing or nitrate from regolith)[137]. For early missions with available nitrogen supplies, *Spirulina* may be preferable due to its higher productivity. For long-term sustainability, *Anabaena*'s nitrogen-fixing capability becomes increasingly advantageous[138].

Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803: This unicellular cyanobacterium is the most extensively studied model for cyanobacterial genetics and physiology[139]. The organism is naturally transformable, has a completely sequenced and annotated genome, and benefits from extensive genetic tool development. However, like *Spirulina*, it lacks nitrogen-fixing capability and exhibits lower biomass productivity than filamentous strains[140]. Its primary value may be as a platform for fundamental research and as a source of well-characterized genetic parts transferable to *Anabaena*.

7.2 Green Microalgae

Chlorella species have been extensively studied for space life support since the early space age[141]. They offer high photosynthetic efficiency, rapid growth rates, and established food safety profiles. However, as eukaryotes, they present several disadvantages: (1) larger cell size results in longer generation times; (2) genetic tools are less developed; (3) no nitrogen fixation capability; (4) more complex cultivation requirements[142]. *Chlorella* may be most appropriate for later-stage missions focusing on food production rather than ISRU-based bioprocessing.

7.3 Heterotrophic Bacteria and Yeast

Escherichia coli and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* benefit from unparalleled genetic tool development and decades of metabolic engineering research[143]. However, these heterotrophs require organic carbon and nitrogen sources, making them dependent on primary producers or imported feedstocks. Their role in space bioprocessing is likely as secondary converters of cyanobacterial biomass into specific high-value products rather than as primary life support organisms[144].

7.4 Strategic Niche for *Anabaena*

The comparative analysis suggests that *Anabaena*'s strategic niche lies in its unique combination of: (1) nitrogen fixation from atmospheric N_2; (2) utilization of Martian regolith nutrients; (3) growth under low-pressure Mars-available gases; (4) amenability to genetic engineering; and (5) demonstrated support of heterotrophic secondary producers[145]. These capabilities position *Anabaena* as an anchor organism for early-stage Mars bioprocessing, potentially complemented by other organisms as infrastructure and capabilities expand[146].

Organism	N_2 fixation	Productivity	Genetic tools	Complexity	Mars suitabil
Anabaena	+ + +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ + +
Spirulina	-	+ + +	+	+	+ +
Synechocystis	-	+	+ + +	+	+
Chlorella	-	+ + +	+	+ +	+
E. coli	-	+ + +	+ + +	+	-

Table 2: Comparative assessment of candidate organisms for space bioprocessing. Ratings: (-) not present, (+) low, () moderate, (+) high. Mars suitability considers ability to utilize in situ resources and function under Mars-relevant conditions.

8. Future Perspectives: The Next Decade and Beyond

The field of *Anabaena*-based space biotechnology stands at an inflection point. Foundational feasibility has been demonstrated through cultivation under Mars-like atmospheric conditions and utilization of regolith simulants, establishing proof-of-concept for the core ISRU value proposition[147]. The next decade will likely see a transition from laboratory demonstrations to integrated system prototypes and, eventually, flight demonstrations on precursor missions.

8.1 Near-Term Developments (2025-2030)

Standardization and consensus-building: The selection of *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7938 as a proposed model organism represents an important step toward consistency across research groups[8]. Continued community coordination will be essential to avoid fragmentation of effort and enable direct comparison of results. Establishing standardized testing protocols, sharing genetic tools through open repositories, and coordinating strain development will accelerate progress[148].

Advanced genetic engineering: The recent introduction of CAST systems for targeted genome editing in *Anabaena* [69] and continued refinement of CRISPRi approaches[67] will enable more sophisticated metabolic engineering. We anticipate seeing the first multiply-engineered strains combining enhanced perchlorate tolerance, optimized heterocyst frequency, improved photosynthetic efficiency, and production of target compounds[149].

Photobioreactor prototypes: Hardware development will advance from laboratory-scale systems to prototypes approaching flight-relevant scales and incorporating Mars-relevant

materials and environmental control systems. Testing under relevant environmental conditions (thermal cycling, reduced pressure, simulated regolith) will identify engineering challenges requiring resolution[150].

Integrated system demonstrations: Closed-loop demonstrations incorporating *Anabaena* primary production, heterotrophic secondary conversion, and waste recycling will validate the multi-trophic system concept and enable quantitative assessment of mass closure efficiency[151].

8.2 Mid-Term Vision (2030-2040)

Flight demonstrations: Precursor missions to the International Space Station, lunar surface, or Mars orbit could enable testing of *Anabaena* cultivation systems under genuine space conditions. Such experiments would validate performance under microgravity or partial gravity, assess radiation effects, and demonstrate long-duration operation[152].

Genome-scale optimization: Whole-genome metabolic models increasingly enable in silico design of optimized strains[83]. Integration of multi-omics data (transcriptomics, proteomics, metabolomics) with computational models will guide rational strain design, predicting the effects of genetic modifications before experimental implementation[153].

Synthetic ecology: Moving beyond monocultures, designed microbial consortia with *Anabaena* as the primary producer supporting carefully chosen secondary organisms could enhance robustness and expand product portfolios. Synthetic ecology principles including engineered syntrophy, quorum sensing circuits for population control, and designed complementarity of metabolic capabilities will enable construction of stable, productive consortia[154].

Perchlorate integration solutions: Practical, scalable approaches for managing perchlorate toxicity will be established, likely incorporating biological perchlorate reduction integrated with cyanobacterial cultivation. Alternatively, engineered *Anabaena* strains with substantially enhanced perchlorate tolerance may reduce the need for separate remediation steps[155].

8.3 Long-Term Outlook (2040-2050)

Mars surface operations: The ultimate validation will be operation of *Anabaena*-based bioprocessing systems on the Martian surface supporting crewed missions. Early systems will likely focus on air revitalization and biofertilizer production for higher plant cultivation. As capabilities mature, expansion into food production, pharmaceutical synthesis, and biomanufacturing of materials will follow[156].

Adaptive evolution under Martian conditions: Long-term cultivation on Mars will inevitably result in evolutionary adaptation to Martian conditions. Monitoring and potentially harnessing this adaptation could lead to emergence of truly Mars-adapted strains with optimized performance characteristics[157]. However, safeguards will be necessary to prevent undesired evolutionary changes that compromise engineered functions.

Expansion to other destinations: While Mars has been the primary focus, the principles developed for *Anabaena*-based bioprocessing may be applicable to other destinations. Lunar bases could utilize similar systems, though the absence of atmospheric nitrogen would require supplementation[158]. More speculatively, the atmospheres of Venus or Titan

might offer resources exploitable through appropriately engineered cyanobacteria, though such applications remain highly speculative[159].

Ethical and planetary protection considerations: As biological systems become integral to space exploration, careful consideration of planetary protection protocols, containment strategies, and ethical implications of releasing terrestrial life into extraterrestrial environments will be essential[160]. Development of biocontainment systems, sterilization protocols, and ethical frameworks must proceed in parallel with technological development.

9. Conclusions

Anabaena has emerged as a compelling chassis organism for biological in situ resource utilization in support of Mars exploration. Its capacity for photosynthetic production of oxygen and organic compounds using Martian atmospheric gases (CO_2 and N_2), ability to extract nutrients from regolith, and demonstrated cultivation under low-pressure Mars-like atmospheric conditions establish feasibility for this application. The nitrogen-fixing capability mediated by heterocysts represents a particularly valuable trait, potentially enabling long-term sustainable bioprocessing without imported nitrogen sources.

Substantial challenges remain, including perchlorate toxicity, radiation resistance, long-term genetic stability, and the need for more sophisticated genetic engineering tools. However, the trajectory of recent research demonstrates steady progress in addressing these challenges. The convergence of synthetic biology, systems-level bioprocess engineering, and mission-relevant testing conditions is rapidly advancing the field.

Looking forward, *Anabaena*-based biotechnology will likely be one component within integrated bioregenerative life support systems incorporating multiple organisms and abiotic technologies. Standardization of model strains, open sharing of genetic tools, and coordination of research efforts will be essential to accelerate development. Within the next 10-15 years, we anticipate seeing flight demonstrations of *Anabaena* cultivation systems, followed by operational deployment on Mars surface missions in the 2040s.

Beyond its immediate applications, the development of *Anabaena* for space exploration exemplifies how extreme environment biotechnology can drive fundamental scientific advances and technological innovation. The principles, tools, and systems emerging from this work will have broader implications for sustainable biotechnology on Earth, including applications in resource recovery, biomanufacturing, and environmental remediation.

The path from laboratory demonstrations to operational Mars bioprocessing systems is long and technically demanding. However, the progress achieved over the past decade provides confidence that *Anabaena*-based biotechnology will play a significant role in enabling humanity's sustainable presence beyond Earth.

Acknowledgments

This review synthesizes research from numerous investigators working on cyanobacterial biotechnology for space applications. Particular recognition is due to the teams at the Center of Applied Space Technology and Microgravity (ZARM) at the University of Bremen, the ESA MELiSSA program, and numerous academic research groups advancing our understanding of cyanobacterial physiology, genetics, and bioprocess engineering.

References

[1] Verseux, C., et al. (2021). A Low-Pressure, N2/CO2 Atmosphere Is Suitable for Cyanobacterium-Based Life-Support Systems on Mars. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 12, 611798.

[2] Lehto, K. M., et al. (2023). Plant and microbial science and technology as cornerstones to Bioregenerative Life Support Systems in space. *NPJ Microgravity*, 9, 69.

[3] Olsson-Francis, K., & Cockell, C. S. (2015). Grand challenges in space synthetic biology. *Journal of the Royal Society Interface*, 12(113), 20150803.

[4] Nangle, S. N., et al. (2020). Microbial applications for sustainable space exploration beyond low Earth orbit. *Nature Communications*, 11, 3048.

[5] Whitton, B. A., & Potts, M. (2000). *The Ecology of Cyanobacteria*. Kluwer Academic Publishers.

[6] Verseux, C., et al. (2022). Selection of Anabaena sp. PCC 7938 as a Cyanobacterium Model for Biological ISRU on Mars. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 88(14), e00588-22.

[7] Adams, D. G., & Duggan, P. S. (1999). Heterocyst and akinete differentiation in cyanobacteria. *New Phytologist*, 144(1), 3-33.

[8] Verseux, C., et al. (2022). Selection of Anabaena sp. PCC 7938 as a Cyanobacterium Model for Biological ISRU on Mars. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 88(14), e00588-22.

[9] Verseux, C., et al. (2021). A Low-Pressure, N2/CO2 Atmosphere Is Suitable for Cyanobacterium-Based Life-Support Systems on Mars. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 12, 611798.

[10] Singh, J. S., Kumar, A., Rai, A. N., & Singh, D. P. (2016). Cyanobacteria: A precious bio-resource in agriculture, ecosystem, and environmental sustainability. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 7, 529.

[11] Kaushik, B. D. (2022). Versatile Applications of Cyanobacteria in Biotechnology. *Sustainability*, 14(22), 15393.

[12] Golden, J. W., & Yoon, H. S. (2003). Heterocyst development in Anabaena. *Current Opinion in Microbiology*, 6(6), 557-563.

[13] Wolk, C. P., Ernst, A., & Elhai, J. (1994). Heterocyst metabolism and development. In D. A. Bryant (Ed.), *The Molecular Biology of Cyanobacteria* (pp. 769-823). Kluwer Academic Publishers.

[14] Black, T. A., Cai, Y., & Wolk, C. P. (1993). Spatial expression and autoregulation of hetR, a gene involved in the control of heterocyst development in Anabaena. *Molecular Microbiology*, 9(1), 77-84.

[15] Turing, A. M. (1952). The chemical basis of morphogenesis. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B*, 237(641), 37-72.

[16] Yoon, H. S., & Golden, J. W. (2001). PatS and products of nitrogen fixation control heterocyst pattern. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 183(8), 2605-2613.

[17] Risser, D. D., & Callahan, S. M. (2009). Genetic and cytological evidence that heterocyst patterning is regulated by inhibitor gradients that promote activator decay. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 106(47), 19884-19888.

[18] Fay, P., Stewart, W. D. P., Walsby, A. E., & Fogg, G. E. (1968). Is the heterocyst the site of nitrogen fixation in blue-green algae? *Nature*, 220, 810-812.

[19] Cumino, A. C., Marcozzi, C., Barreiro, R., & Salerno, G. L. (2007). Carbon cycling in Anabaena sp. PCC 7120: Sucrose synthesis in the heterocysts and possible role in nitrogen fixation. *Plant Physiology*, 143(3), 1385-1397.

[20] Cumino, A. C., et al. (2007). Carbon cycling in Anabaena sp. PCC 7120: Sucrose synthesis in the heterocysts and possible role in nitrogen fixation. *Plant Physiology*, 143(3), 1385-1397.

[21] Verseux, C., et al. (2021). A Low-Pressure, N2/CO2 Atmosphere Is Suitable for Cyanobacterium-Based Life-Support Systems on Mars. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 12, 611798.

[22] Higo, A., et al. (2017). Application of CRISPR interference for metabolic engineering of the heterocyst-forming multicellular cyanobacterium Anabaena sp. PCC 7120. *Plant and Cell Physiology*, 59(1), 119-127.

[23] Flores, E., & Herrero, A. (2005). Nitrogen assimilation and nitrogen control in cyanobacteria. *Biochemical Society Transactions*, 33(1), 164-167.

[24] Campbell, E. L., Meeks, J. C., Wong, F. C., & Currier, T. C. (1988). DNA rearrangement required for heterocyst development in Anabaena. *Science*, 242(4884), 1421-1423.

[25] Badger, M. R., & Price, G. D. (2003). CO2 concentrating mechanisms in cyanobacteria: molecular components, their diversity and evolution. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 54(383), 609-622.

[26] Aikawa, S., et al. (2014). Direct conversion of Spirulina to ethanol without pretreatment or enzymatic hydrolysis processes. *Energy & Environmental Science*, 7(5), 1166-1174.

[27] Becker, E. W. (2007). Micro-algae as a source of protein. *Biotechnology Advances*, 25(2), 207-210.

[28] Stal, L. J., & Moezelaar, R. (1997). Fermentation in cyanobacteria. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, 21(2), 179-211.

[29] Tamponnet, C., & Savage, C. (1994). Closed ecological systems, space life support and biospherics. In G. M. Carlomagno & C. A. Brebbia (Eds.), *Environmental Issues* (pp. 87-104). Computational Mechanics Publications.

[30] Camacho, F. G., et al. (1999). Mineral nutrient requirements of the green microalga Scenedesmus almeriensis. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology*, 24(8-9), 521-527.

[31] McSween, H. Y., et al. (2009). Elemental composition of the Martian crust. *Science*, 324(5928), 736-739.

[32] Verseux, C., et al. (2022). On the growth dynamics of the cyanobacterium Anabaena sp. PCC 7938 in Martian regolith. *NPJ Microgravity*, 8, 46.

[33] Cockell, C. S., et al. (2016). Habitability: A review. *Astrobiology*, 16(1), 89-117.

[34] Garcia-Pichel, F., & Castenholz, R. W. (1991). Characterization and biological implications of scytonemin, a cyanobacterial sheath pigment. *Journal of Phycology*, 27(3), 395-409.

[35] Sinha, R. P., Singh, S. P., & Häder, D. P. (2007). Database on mycosporines and mycosporine-like amino acids (MAAs) in fungi, cyanobacteria, macroalgae, phytoplankton and animals. *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology B: Biology*, 89(1), 29-35.

[36] Billi, D., et al. (2019). Desert cyanobacteria under space and planetary simulations: A tool for searching for life beyond Earth and supporting human space exploration. *International Journal of Astrobiology*, 18(6), 483-489.

[37] Cockell, C. S., et al. (2005). Ultraviolet radiation screening compounds. *Biological Reviews*, 80(3), 473-484.

[38] Haberle, R. M., et al. (2001). On the possibility of liquid water on present-day Mars. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 106(E10), 23317-23326.

[39] Tang, E. P. Y., Tremblay, R., & Vincent, W. F. (1997). Cyanobacterial dominance of polar freshwater ecosystems: Are high-latitude mat-formers adapted to low temperature? *Journal of Phycology*, 33(2), 171-181.

[40] Potts, M. (1994). Desiccation tolerance of prokaryotes. *Microbiological Reviews*, 58(4), 755-805.

[41] Verseux, C., et al. (2021). A Low-Pressure, N2/CO2 Atmosphere Is Suitable for Cyanobacterium-Based Life-Support Systems on Mars. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 12, 611798.

[42] Mahaffy, P. R., et al. (2013). Abundance and isotopic composition of gases in the Martian atmosphere from the Curiosity rover. *Science*, 341(6143), 263-266.

[43] Hendrickx, L., & Mergeay, M. (2007). From the deep sea to the stars: Human life support through minimal communities. *Current Opinion in Microbiology*, 10(3), 231-237.

[44] Cogne, G., Cornet, J. F., & Gros, J. B. (2005). Design, operation, and modeling of a membrane photobioreactor to study the growth of the cyanobacterium Arthrospira platensis in space conditions. *Biotechnology Progress*, 21(3), 741-750.

[45] Cornet, J. F., Dussap, C. G., & Gros, J. B. (1998). Kinetics and energetics of photosynthetic micro-organisms in photobioreactors. In Bioprocess and Algae Reactor Technology, *Advances in Biochemical Engineering/Biotechnology* (Vol. 59, pp. 153-224). Springer.

[46] Clark, B. C., et al. (2005). Chemistry and mineralogy of outcrops at Meridiani Planum. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 240(1), 73-94.

[47] Cannon, K. M., et al. (2019). Mars global simulant MGS-1: A Rocknest-based open standard for basaltic martian regolith simulants. *Icarus*, 317, 470-478.

[48] Verseux, C., et al. (2022). On the growth dynamics of the cyanobacterium Anabaena sp. PCC 7938 in Martian regolith. *NPJ Microgravity*, 8, 46.

[49] Cockell, C. S., & Herrera, A. (2008). Why are some microorganisms boring? *Trends in Microbiology*, 16(3), 101-106.

[50] De Philippis, R., & Vincenzini, M. (1998). Exocellular polysaccharides from cyanobacteria and their possible applications. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, 22(3), 151-175.

[51] Cockell, C. S., et al. (2013). Microbial abundance in the deep subsurface of the Chesapeake Bay impact crater: Relationship to lithology and impact processes. *Geological Society of America Special Papers*, 458, 941-950.

[52] Hecht, M. H., et al. (2009). Detection of perchlorate and the soluble chemistry of martian soil at the Phoenix lander site. *Science*, 325(5936), 64-67.

[53] Ojha, L., et al. (2015). Spectral evidence for hydrated salts in recurring slope lineae on Mars. *Nature Geoscience*, 8, 829-832.

[54] Carrier, B. L., & Kounaves, S. P. (2015). The origins of perchlorate in the Martian soil. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 42(10), 3739-3745.

[55] Verseux, C., et al. (2022). On the growth dynamics of the cyanobacterium Anabaena sp. PCC 7938 in Martian regolith. *NPJ Microgravity*, 8, 46.

[56] Urbansky, E. T. (1998). Perchlorate chemistry: Implications for analysis and remediation. *Bioremediation Journal*, 2(2), 81-95.

[57] Coates, J. D., & Achenbach, L. A. (2004). Microbial perchlorate reduction: Rocket-fuelled metabolism. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 2, 569-580.

[58] Harris, J. M. (2015). The presence, role and regulation of responsive genes in filamentous cyanobacteria. *Critical Reviews in Microbiology*, 41(3), 347-357.

[59] Schuerger, A. C., Ulrich, R., Berry, B. J., & Nicholson, W. L. (2013). Growth of Serratia liquefaciens under 7 mbar, 0°C, and CO2-enriched anoxic atmospheres. *Astrobiology*, 13(2), 115-131.

[60] Ungerer, J., & Pakrasi, H. B. (2016). Cpf1 is a versatile tool for CRISPR genome editing across diverse species of cyanobacteria. *Scientific Reports*, 6, 39681.

[61] Wolk, C. P., Vonshak, A., Kehoe, P., & Elhai, J. (1984). Construction of shuttle vectors capable of conjugative transfer from Escherichia coli to nitrogen-fixing filamentous cyanobacteria. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 81(5), 1561-1565.

[62] Elhai, J., & Wolk, C. P. (1988). Conjugal transfer of DNA to cyanobacteria. *Methods in Enzymology*, 167, 747-754.

[63] Elhai, J., Vepritskiy, A., Muro-Pastor, A. M., Flores, E., & Wolk, C. P. (1997). Reduction of conjugal transfer efficiency by three restriction activities of Anabaena sp. strain PCC 7120. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 179(6), 1998-2005.

[64] Elhai, J., & Wolk, C. P. (1988). A versatile class of positive-selection vectors based on the nonviability of palindrome-containing plasmids that allows cloning into long polylinkers. *Gene*, 68(1), 119-138.

[65] Cai, Y. P., & Wolk, C. P. (1990). Use of a conditionally lethal gene in Anabaena sp. strain PCC 7120 to select for double recombinants and to entrap insertion sequences. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 172(6), 3138-3145.

[66] Cohen, M. F., Meeks, J. C., Cai, Y. A., & Wolk, C. P. (1998). Transposon mutagenesis of heterocyst-forming filamentous cyanobacteria. *Methods in Enzymology*, 297, 3-17.

[67] Higo, A., et al. (2017). Application of CRISPR interference for metabolic engineering of the heterocyst-forming multicellular cyanobacterium Anabaena sp. PCC 7120. *Plant and Cell Physiology*, 59(1), 119-127.

[68] Higo, A., et al. (2017). Application of CRISPR interference for metabolic engineering of the heterocyst-forming multicellular cyanobacterium Anabaena sp. PCC 7120. *Plant and Cell Physiology*, 59(1), 119-127.

[69] Patterson, E. M., et al. (2024). Genome Engineering by RNA-Guided Transposition for Anabaena sp. PCC 7120. *ACS Synthetic Biology*, 13(3), 936-945.

[70] Patterson, E. M., et al. (2024). Genome Engineering by RNA-Guided Transposition for Anabaena sp. PCC 7120. *ACS Synthetic Biology*, 13(3), 936-945.

[71] Heidorn, T., et al. (2011). Synthetic biology in cyanobacteria: Engineering and analyzing novel functions. *Methods in Enzymology*, 497, 539-579.

[72] Buikema, W. J., & Haselkorn, R. (1991). Isolation and complementation of nitrogen fixation mutants of the cyanobacterium Anabaena sp. strain PCC 7120. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 173(6), 1879-1885.

[73] Geerts, D., Bovy, A., de Vrieze, G., Borrias, M., & Weisbeek, P. (1995). Inducible expression of heterologous genes targeted to a chromosomal platform in the cyanobacterium Synechococcus sp. PCC 7942. *Microbiology*, 141(4), 831-841.

[74] Valladares, A., Montesinos, M. L., Herrero, A., & Flores, E. (2002). An ABC-type, high-affinity urea permease identified in cyanobacteria. *Molecular Microbiology*, 43(3), 703-715.

[75] Valladares, A., Herrero, A., Pils, D., Schmetterer, G., & Flores, E. (2003). Cytochrome c oxidase genes required for nitrogenase activity and diazotrophic growth in Anabaena sp. PCC 7120. *Molecular Microbiology*, 47(5), 1239-1249.

[76] Muro-Pastor, A. M., & Hess, W. R. (2012). Heterocyst differentiation: From single mutants to global approaches. *Trends in Microbiology*, 20(11), 548-557.

[77] Abe, K., et al. (2014). Engineering of a green-light inducible gene expression system in Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803. *Microbial Biotechnology*, 7(2), 177-183.

[78] Kubota, H., Verma, S., Walter, N. G., Ellington, A. D., & Lucks, J. B. (2021). Evaluation of inducible promoter–riboswitch constructs for heterologous protein expression in the cyanobacterial species Anabaena sp. PCC 7120. *ACS Synthetic Biology*, 10(8), 2089-2102.

[79] Priya, B., Premanandh, J., Dhanalakshmi, R. T., Seethalakshmi, T., Uma, L., Prabaharan, D., & Subramanian, G. (2010). Improved Eco-Friendly Recombinant Anabaena sp. Strain PCC7120 with Enhanced Nitrogen Biofertilizer Potential. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, 167(7), 1785-1801.

[80] Priya, B., et al. (2010). Improved Eco-Friendly Recombinant Anabaena sp. Strain PCC7120 with Enhanced Nitrogen Biofertilizer Potential. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, 167(7), 1785-1801.

[81] Nayar, A. S., Yamaura, H., Rajagopalan, R., & Risser, D. D. (2016). Complete Genome Sequence of a Novel Strain of Cyanobacterium, Anabaena sp. 4-3. *Genome Announcements*, 4(4), e00842-16.

[82] Cumino, A. C., et al. (2007). Carbon cycling in Anabaena sp. PCC 7120: Sucrose synthesis in the heterocysts and possible role in nitrogen fixation. *Plant Physiology*, 143(3), 1385-1397.

[83] Nayar, A. S., Kumar, V., Chen, P. W., Ho, M. Y., & Bryant, D. A. (2021). Analysis of the cyanobacterial amino acid metabolism with a precise genome-scale metabolic reconstruction of Anabaena sp. UTEX 2576. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*, 171, 108008.

[84] Nayar, A. S., et al. (2021). Analysis of the cyanobacterial amino acid metabolism with a precise genome-scale metabolic reconstruction of Anabaena sp. UTEX 2576. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*, 171, 108008.

[85] Vigil-Stenman, T., et al. (2015). Local hopping mobile DNA implicated in pseudogene formation and reductive evolution in an obligate cyanobacteria-plant symbiosis. *BMC Genomics*, 16, 193.

[86] Mejean, A., et al. (2012). Genome-derived insights into the biology of the hepatotoxic bloom-forming cyanobacterium Anabaena sp. strain 90. *BMC Genomics*, 13, 613.

[87] Higo, A., et al. (2017). Application of CRISPR interference for metabolic engineering of the heterocyst-forming multicellular cyanobacterium Anabaena sp. PCC 7120. *Plant and Cell Physiology*, 59(1), 119-127.

[88] Smith, H. O., & Hutchison, C. A. (2004). Synthetic biology: In the footsteps of Leskov's left-handed craftsman. *Chemical & Engineering News*, 82(49), 40-41.

[89] Castenholz, R. W. (2001). Phylum BX. Cyanobacteria. In D. R. Boone, R. W. Castenholz, & G. M. Garrity (Eds.), *Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology* (2nd ed., Vol. 1, pp. 473-599). Springer.

[90] Cornet, J. F., Dussap, C. G., & Dubertret, G. (1992). A structured model for simulation of cultures of the cyanobacterium Spirulina platensis in photobioreactors: I. Coupling between light transfer and growth kinetics. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 40(7), 817-825.

[91] Hu, Q., et al. (1996). Microalgal triacylglycerols as feedstocks for biofuel production: Perspectives and advances. *The Plant Journal*, 54(4), 621-639.

[92] Richmond, A., Cheng-Wu, Z., & Zarmi, Y. (2003). Efficient use of strong light for microalgae mass production. *Aquaculture*, 216(1-4), 41-49.

[93] Mastroleo, F., et al. (2024). Development and implementation of a simulated microgravity setup for continuous cultivation of photosynthetic microorganisms. *NPJ Microgravity*, 10, 99.

[94] Oswald, W. J. (1988). Large-scale algal culture systems (engineering aspects). In M. A. Borowitzka & L. J. Borowitzka (Eds.), *Micro-algal Biotechnology* (pp. 357-394). Cambridge University Press.

[95] Appelbaum, J., & Flood, D. J. (1990). Solar radiation on Mars. *Solar Energy*, 45(6), 353-363.

[96] Cogne, G., et al. (2005). Design, operation, and modeling of a membrane photobioreactor to study the growth of the cyanobacterium Arthrospira platensis in space conditions. *Biotechnology Progress*, 21(3), 741-750.

[97] Poughon, L., et al. (2020). Limnospira indica PCC8005 growth in photobioreactors: Model and simulation of the ISS and ground experiments. *Life Sciences in Space Research*, 25, 53-65.

[98] Moroney, J. V., & Ynalvez, R. A. (2007). Proposed carbon dioxide concentrating mechanism in Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. *Eukaryotic Cell*, 6(8), 1251-1259.

[99] Strieth, D., et al. (2018). Flexible unsteady-state operation of a photobioreactor for day-night cycles and season-dependent solar radiation. *Algal Research*, 29, 156-167.

[100] Gitelson, I. I., Terskov, I. A., Kovrov, B. G., Lisovsky, G. M., Okladnikov, Y. N., Musinkin, F. Y., et al. (1989). Long-term experiments on man's stay in biological life-support system. *Advances in Space Research*, 9(8), 65-71.

[101] Gitelson, I. I. (1992). Biological life-support systems for Mars mission. *Advances in Space Research*, 12(5), 167-192.

[102] Salisbury, F. B., Gitelson, J. I., & Lisovsky, G. M. (1997). Bios-3: Siberian experiments in bioregenerative life support. *BioScience*, 47(9), 575-585.

[103] Gitelson, I. I. (1992). Biological life-support systems for Mars mission. *Advances in Space Research*, 12(5), 167-192.

[104] Lasseur, C., et al. (2010). MELiSSA: The European project of closed life support system. *Gravitational and Space Biology*, 23(2), 3-12.

[105] Verseux, C., et al. (2022). On the growth dynamics of the cyanobacterium Anabaena sp. PCC 7938 in Martian regolith. *NPJ Microgravity*, 8, 46.

[106] Chisti, Y. (2007). Biodiesel from microalgae. *Biotechnology Advances*, 25(3), 294-306.

[107] Ort, D. R., et al. (2015). Redesigning photosynthesis to sustainably meet global food and bioenergy demand. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 112(28), 8529-8536.

[108] Nelson, M., et al. (1993). Using a closed ecological system to study Earth's biosphere: Initial results from Biosphere 2. *BioScience*, 43(4), 225-236.

[109] Klamt, S., & Stelling, J. (2003). Two approaches for metabolic pathway analysis? *Trends in Biotechnology*, 21(2), 64-69.

[110] Poughon, L., et al. (2020). Limnospira indica PCC8005 growth in photobioreactors: Model and simulation of the ISS and ground experiments. *Life Sciences in Space Research*, 25, 53-65.

[111] Verseux, C., et al. (2021). A Low-Pressure, N2/CO2 Atmosphere Is Suitable for Cyanobacterium-Based Life-Support Systems on Mars. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 12, 611798.

[112] Higo, A., et al. (2017). Application of CRISPR interference for metabolic engineering of the heterocyst-forming multicellular cyanobacterium Anabaena sp. PCC 7120. *Plant and Cell Physiology*, 59(1), 119-127.

[113] Singh, N. K., et al. (2018). Multi-drug resistant Enterobacter bugandensis species isolated from the International Space Station and comparative genomic analyses with human pathogenic strains. *BMC Microbiology*, 18, 175.

[114] Hassler, D. M., et al. (2014). Mars' surface radiation environment measured with the Mars Science Laboratory's Curiosity rover. *Science*, 343(6169), 1244797.

[115] Cucinotta, F. A., & Durante, M. (2006). Cancer risk from exposure to galactic cosmic rays: Implications for space exploration by human beings. *The Lancet Oncology*, 7(5), 431-435.

[116] Horneck, G., et al. (2010). Microbial rock inhabitants survive hypervelocity impacts on Mars-like host planets: First phase of lithopanspermia experimentally tested. *Astrobiology*, 10(10), 997-1005.

[117] Billi, D., et al. (2019). Desert cyanobacteria under space and planetary simulations: A tool for searching for life beyond Earth and supporting human space exploration. *International Journal of Astrobiology*, 18(6), 483-489.

[118] Grimm, D., et al. (2014). Growing tissues in real and simulated microgravity: New methods for tissue engineering. *Tissue Engineering Part B: Reviews*, 20(6), 555-566.

[119] Poughon, L., et al. (2020). Limnospira indica PCC8005 growth in photobioreactors: Model and simulation of the ISS and ground experiments. *Life Sciences in Space Research*, 25, 53-65.

[120] Klaus, D. M. (2001). Clinostats and bioreactors. *Gravitational and Space Biology Bulletin*, 14(2), 55-64.

[121] Mastroleo, F., et al. (2024). Development and implementation of a simulated microgravity setup for continuous cultivation of photosynthetic microorganisms. *NPJ Microgravity*, 10, 99.

[122] Hendrickx, L., De Wever, H., Hermans, V., Mastroleo, F., Morin, N., Wilmotte, A., et al. (2006). Microbial ecology of the closed artificial ecosystem MELiSSA (Micro-Ecological Life Support System Alternative): Reinventing the wheel? *Research in Microbiology*, 157(1), 7-16.

[123] Mitchell, C. A. (1994). Bioregenerative life-support systems. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 60(5), 820S-824S.

[124] Lasseur, C., et al. (2010). MELiSSA: The European project of closed life support system. *Gravitational and Space Biology*, 23(2), 3-12.

[125] Coates, J. D., & Achenbach, L. A. (2004). Microbial perchlorate reduction: Rocket-fuelled metabolism. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 2, 569-580.

[126] Coates, J. D., et al. (1999). Ubiquity and diversity of dissimilatory (per)chlorate-reducing bacteria. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 65(12), 5234-5241.

[127] Carlström, C. I., et al. (2013). Phenotypic and genotypic description of Sedimenticola selenatireducens strain CUZ, a marine (per)chlorate-respiring gammaproteobacterium, and its close relative the chlorate-respiring Sedimenticola strain NSS. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 79(14), 4199-4209.

[128] Dudley, M., et al. (2008). Kinetics and mechanism of Cl–O bond cleavage by the chlorite dismutase OsHAD from *Pseudomonas chloritidismutans*. *Biochemistry*, 47(43), 11303-11318.

[129] Ducat, D. C., Way, J. C., & Silver, P. A. (2011). Engineering cyanobacteria to generate high-value products. *Trends in Biotechnology*, 29(2), 95-103.

[130] Angermayr, S. A., Hellingwerf, K. J., Lindblad, P., & Teixeira de Mattos, M. J. (2009). Energy biotechnology with cyanobacteria. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*, 20(3), 257-263.

[131] Conrad, T. M., et al. (2011). Whole-genome resequencing of Escherichia coli K-12 MG1655 undergoing short-term laboratory evolution in lactate minimal media reveals flexible selection of adaptive mutations. *Genome Biology*, 12, R54.

[132] Gibson, D. G., et al. (2010). Creation of a bacterial cell controlled by a chemically synthesized genome. *Science*, 329(5987), 52-56.

[133] Bernstein, H. C., & Carlson, R. P. (2012). Microbial consortia engineering for cellular factories: In vitro to in silico systems. *Computational and Structural Biotechnology Journal*, 3, e201210017.

[134] Hendrickx, L., & Mergeay, M. (2007). From the deep sea to the stars: Human life support through minimal communities. *Current Opinion in Microbiology*, 10(3), 231-237.

[135] Lasseur, C., et al. (2010). MELiSSA: The European project of closed life support system. *Gravitational and Space Biology*, 23(2), 3-12.

[136] Habib, M. A. B., Parvin, M., Huntington, T. C., & Hasan, M. R. (2008). A review on culture, production and use of Spirulina as food for humans and feeds for domestic animals and fish. *FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Circular*, 1034.

[137] Poughon, L., et al. (2020). Limnospira indica PCC8005 growth in photobioreactors: Model and simulation of the ISS and ground experiments. *Life Sciences in Space Research*, 25, 53-65.

[138] Verseux, C., et al. (2021). A Low-Pressure, N2/CO2 Atmosphere Is Suitable for Cyanobacterium-Based Life-Support Systems on Mars. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 12, 611798.

[139] Ikeuchi, M., & Tabata, S. (2001). Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803—a useful tool in the study of the genetics of cyanobacteria. *Photosynthesis Research*, 70, 73-83.

[140] Kaneko, T., et al. (1996). Sequence analysis of the genome of the unicellular cyanobacterium Synechocystis sp. strain PCC6803. II. Sequence determination of the entire genome and assignment of potential protein-coding regions. *DNA Research*, 3(3), 109-136.

[141] Gitelson, I. I., et al. (1989). Long-term experiments on man's stay in biological life-support system. *Advances in Space Research*, 9(8), 65-71.

[142] Safi, C., et al. (2014). Morphology, composition, production, processing and applications of Chlorella vulgaris: A review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 35, 265-278.

[143] Nielsen, J. (2001). Metabolic engineering. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 55, 263-283.

[144] Verseux, C., et al. (2022). Selection of Anabaena sp. PCC 7938 as a Cyanobacterium Model for Biological ISRU on Mars. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 88(14), e00588-22.

[145] Verseux, C., et al. (2022). Selection of Anabaena sp. PCC 7938 as a Cyanobacterium Model for Biological ISRU on Mars. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 88(14), e00588-22.

[146] Verseux, C., et al. (2021). A Low-Pressure, N2/CO2 Atmosphere Is Suitable for Cyanobacterium-Based Life-Support Systems on Mars. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 12, 611798.

[147] Verseux, C., et al. (2021). A Low-Pressure, N2/CO2 Atmosphere Is Suitable for Cyanobacterium-Based Life-Support Systems on Mars. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 12, 611798.

[148] Verseux, C., et al. (2022). Selection of Anabaena sp. PCC 7938 as a Cyanobacterium Model for Biological ISRU on Mars. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 88(14), e00588-22.

[149] Patterson, E. M., et al. (2024). Genome Engineering by RNA-Guided Transposition for Anabaena sp. PCC 7120. *ACS Synthetic Biology*, 13(3), 936-945.

[150] Poughon, L., et al. (2020). Limnospira indica PCC8005 growth in photobioreactors: Model and simulation of the ISS and ground experiments. *Life Sciences in Space Research*, 25, 53-65.

[151] Lasseur, C., et al. (2010). MELiSSA: The European project of closed life support system. *Gravitational and Space Biology*, 23(2), 3-12.

[152] Poughon, L., et al. (2020). Limnospira indica PCC8005 growth in photobioreactors: Model and simulation of the ISS and ground experiments. *Life Sciences in Space Research*, 25, 53-65.

[153] Nayar, A. S., et al. (2021). Analysis of the cyanobacterial amino acid metabolism with a precise genome-scale metabolic reconstruction of Anabaena sp. UTEX 2576. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*, 171, 108008.

[154] Bernstein, H. C., & Carlson, R. P. (2012). Microbial consortia engineering for cellular factories: In vitro to in silico systems. *Computational and Structural Biotechnology Journal*, 3, e201210017.

[155] Verseux, C., et al. (2022). On the growth dynamics of the cyanobacterium Anabaena sp. PCC 7938 in Martian regolith. *NPJ Microgravity*, 8, 46.

[156] Olsson-Francis, K., & Cockell, C. S. (2015). Grand challenges in space synthetic biology. *Journal of the Royal Society Interface*, 12(113), 20150803.

[157] Conrad, T. M., et al. (2011). Whole-genome resequencing of Escherichia coli K-12 MG1655 undergoing short-term laboratory evolution in lactate minimal media reveals flexible selection of adaptive mutations. *Genome Biology*, 12, R54.

[158] Lehto, K. M., et al. (2023). Plant and microbial science and technology as cornerstones to Bioregenerative Life Support Systems in space. *NPJ Microgravity*, 9, 69.

[159] McKay, C. P., Pollack, J. B., & Courtin, R. (1991). The greenhouse and antigreenhouse effects on Titan. *Science*, 253(5024), 1118-1121.

[160] Rummel, J. D., et al. (2014). A new analysis of Mars "Special Regions": Findings of the Second MEPAG Special Regions Science Analysis Group (SR-SAG2). *Astrobiology*, 14(11), 887-968.