The Microbial Biodegradation of Polyethylene: A Critical Review of Mechanisms, Challenges, and Future Directions in a Circular Bio-economy

Abstract

Polyethylene (PE), the world's most produced synthetic polymer, represents a material paradox: its chemical inertness and durability, the very properties that make it exceptionally useful, also render it extraordinarily persistent in the environment. The global accumulation of PE waste, coupled with inadequate recycling infrastructure, has created a pervasive pollution crisis, necessitating novel remediation strategies. Microbial biodegradation has emerged as a promising, environmentally benign approach to address this challenge. This review critically examines the current state of PE biodegradation research. We first delineate the physicochemical basis of PE's profound recalcitrance, rooted in its hydrophobic, high-molecular-weight, and semi-crystalline structure composed of a non-hydrolyzable carbon-carbon backbone. We then detail the canonical four-stage biodegradation cascade—biodeterioration, bio-fragmentation, assimilation, and mineralization—highlighting the indispensable role of abiotic pre-treatment (e.g., photo- and thermo-oxidation) in priming the polymer for microbial attack. The key microbial taxa (*e.g.*, *Rhodococcus*, *Bacillus*, *Aspergillus*) and the enzymatic machinery (primarily oxidoreductases such as laccases, peroxidases, and monooxygenases) implicated in PE degradation are surveyed. A critical assessment of the analytical methodologies used to evidence and quantify degradation reveals a hierarchy of proof, underscoring major controversies in the field, most notably the crucial distinction between simple fragmentation into microplastics and true, complete mineralization. We confront the grand challenges of exceedingly slow degradation rates and the formidable barriers to scaling laboratory findings. Finally, we provide a forward-looking perspective on the future of the field, which is rapidly moving beyond simple degradation towards a paradigm of valorization. We project that advances in synthetic biology, the engineering of synthetic microbial consortia, and the integration of biodegradation into controlled industrial bioprocesses will pave the way for a circular bio-economy, where PE

waste is reconceptualized as a valuable carbon feedstock for upcycling into biodegradable polymers and other high-value chemicals.

1. Introduction: The Polyethylene Paradox

The modern era is inextricably linked with plastics. Among them, polyethylene (PE) stands as the most ubiquitous, a testament to its versatility, low production cost, and remarkable durability. Global plastic production now exceeds 460 million metric tons annually, with PE and polypropylene constituting the vast majority of this output.[2] The demand for PE is driven by complex global economic trends, with consumption patterns in developing nations rapidly reshaping the market landscape. However, this utility has come at a staggering environmental cost. The very properties that make PE an ideal material for applications ranging from single-use packaging to durable industrial components—its chemical resilience and resistance to degradation—are the source of its environmental persistence.[5] This constitutes the "polyethylene paradox": a material engineered for stability becomes a legacy pollutant for centuries.

The failure of conventional waste management systems to cope with the deluge of PE waste is now undeniable. Globally, a mere 9% of plastic waste is recycled, while the vast majority is either landfilled or leaks directly into terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems.[7] This mismanagement has led to a crisis of scale, encapsulated by the concept of "Plastic Overshoot Day," the date on which the amount of plastic waste generated surpasses the global capacity for its management. In 2024, this threshold was crossed with 220 million tons of plastic waste generated, of which an estimated 69.5 million tons will be mismanaged and enter the environment. This environmental leakage, estimated at around 20 million metric tons of plastic litter annually, has resulted in the contamination of every global ecosystem, from the highest mountains to the deepest oceans.[2]

The environmental consequences of PE accumulation are profound, contributing to biodiversity loss, soil and water contamination, and threats to wildlife through entanglement and ingestion.[7] The fragmentation of PE into micro- and nanoplastics creates a more insidious threat, facilitating its entry into the food web and acting as a vector for other persistent organic pollutants.[10] The fundamental conflict is that the market incentivizes the production of cheap, durable materials for a short use-life, which directly translates to materials that are environmentally persistent for geological timescales. This reality underscores the urgent need for innovative solutions that can break this linear "produce-use-discard" model. Microbial biodegradation, the use of living organisms and their enzymes to break down polymers, offers a potential pathway to address this grand challenge, not just by remediating existing pollution but by creating a foundation for a future circular plastic economy.[11] This review explores the

scientific underpinnings, current challenges, and future promise of this rapidly evolving field.

2. The Molecular Fortress: Physicochemical Basis of Polyethylene Recalcitrance

The extraordinary resistance of polyethylene to degradation is not an incidental property but is encoded in its fundamental molecular architecture. At its core, PE is a simple long-chain alkane polymer with the general chemical formula $(C_2H_4)_n$, consisting of a backbone of repeating $-CH_2-$ units.[5] This structure, seemingly simple, presents a formidable barrier to biological attack for several key reasons. First, the backbone is composed exclusively of high-energy, non-polar carbon-carbon (C-C) and carbon-hydrogen (C-H) single bonds. Unlike biopolymers such as polyesters or polyamides, PE lacks heteroatoms and hydrolyzable bonds (e.g., ester or amide linkages) that are the typical targets for common microbial degradative enzymes like hydrolases.[13] Second, PE is characterized by a very high molecular weight, often in the tens to hundreds of thousands of g/mol, which physically precludes its direct uptake by microbial cells.[15] Third, the polymer is extremely hydrophobic, repelling the aqueous environment in which microbial enzymes operate and hindering effective enzyme-substrate interaction.

Beyond these general characteristics, the specific physical properties of PE, which are dictated by its degree of chain branching and resulting crystallinity, play a decisive role in its recalcitrance. The two most common forms, Low-Density Polyethylene (LDPE) and High-Density Polyethylene (HDPE), exhibit distinct structural features with significant implications for their biodegradability (Table 1).[5]

High-Density Polyethylene (HDPE) is produced through catalytic polymerization processes that result in polymer chains with minimal branching. These linear chains can pack together in a highly ordered, regular fashion, akin to "soldiers in formation". This efficient packing leads to a high degree of crystallinity (often >80%), resulting in a material that is dense, rigid, and has high tensile strength.[5] From a biodegradation perspective, this crystalline structure is a molecular fortress, as the tightly packed chains are sterically inaccessible to enzymatic attack.

Low-Density Polyethylene (LDPE), in contrast, is produced under high pressure, leading to a random polymerization process that introduces a significant degree of both short- and long-chain branching into the polymer backbone. These branches act as structural defects, preventing the polymer chains from packing closely together. Consequently, LDPE has a much lower degree of crystallinity and a larger amorphous fraction, resulting in a material that is less dense, more flexible, and more ductile.[5]

These structural differences are not merely academic; they are critical determinants of susceptibility to microbial degradation. The more disordered, amorphous regions of a polymer are significantly more accessible to enzymatic action than the highly ordered crystalline domains.[14] Furthermore, the tertiary carbon atoms located at the branch points in the LDPE backbone are more chemically susceptible to initial oxidative attack than the primary and secondary carbons that dominate the linear chains of HDPE. Therefore, the molecular architecture of LDPE, with its higher amorphous content and abundance of reactive branch points, renders it inherently more vulnerable to the initial stages of biodegradation compared to the more robust, crystalline structure of HDPE. This fundamental structure-property relationship provides a molecular basis for observed differences in degradation rates and informs the strategies required to deconstruct these recalcitrant materials.

Table 1. Comparative Physicochemical Properties of LDPE and HDPE and Their Implications for Biodegradation

Property	Low-Density Polyethylene (LDPE)	High-Density Polyethylene (HDPE)	Implications for Biodegradability
Density	$0.910–0.940$ g/cm^3 [19]	$0.941–0.965$ g/cm^3 [19]	Density is a proxy for chain packing; lower density implies more accessible amorphous regions.
Molecular Structure	Highly branched (short and long chains) [17]	Linear with minimal branching [17]	Branch points create tertiary carbons, which are more susceptible to initial oxidative attack.
Crystallinity	Lower crystallinity, more amorphous	Higher crystallinity, more ordered	Microbial enzymes primarily attack the more accessible amorphous regions of the polymer.[14]

Melting Point	$105–115$ °C [19]	$120–160$ °C [19]	Reflects the higher energy required to disrupt the more ordered crystalline structure of HDPE.
Tensile Strength	Lower ($1,400$ psi)	Higher ($4,000$ psi)	Higher strength in HDPE is a result of stronger intermolecular forces due to tighter chain packing.
Flexibility	Highly flexible, ductile [17]	Rigid, less flexible [18]	Flexibility is characteristic of the amorphous polymer structure, which is more amenable to biodegradation.
Biodegradability Potential	**Higher.** More amorphous regions and reactive branch points provide greater accessibility for enzymatic attack.	**Lower.** Highly crystalline and ordered structure presents a significant steric barrier to enzymes.	The fundamental structure of LDPE makes it a more tractable target for biodegradation than HDPE.

3. The Biodegradation Pathway: A Four-Stage Cascade

The microbial breakdown of a complex, recalcitrant polymer like polyethylene is not a single event but a multi-stage process, often described as a four-stage cascade (Figure 1). This process involves a consortium of microorganisms and a sequence of biochemical events that progressively deconstruct the polymer from a macroscopic solid to its constituent elements.[22] Successful biodegradation requires the completion of all four stages; the process can stall at

any point, and true biodegradation is only confirmed upon the completion of mineralization.

Stage 1: Biodeterioration. The process begins with the colonization of the PE surface by microorganisms. This initial attachment and subsequent growth lead to the formation of a complex, multi-species community encased in a self-produced matrix of extracellular polymeric substances (EPS), known as a biofilm.[24] This microbial habitat, termed the "plastisphere," is a dynamic microenvironment where the initial interactions between the microbes and the polymer occur. The physical presence of the biofilm and the metabolic activities of the colonizing organisms cause superficial modifications to the polymer, altering its physical, chemical, and mechanical properties. This can manifest as visible changes such as cracking, pitting, and discoloration, which are the hallmarks of biodeterioration.

Stage 2: Bio-fragmentation. Once a mature biofilm is established, microorganisms begin to secrete a battery of extracellular enzymes, or depolymerases, that act on the polymer surface.[25] For PE, these are primarily oxidative enzymes that catalyze the cleavage of the long polymer backbone. This enzymatic action breaks the polymer into a heterogeneous mixture of smaller, lower-molecular-weight fragments, including oligomers, dimers, and potentially monomers. This reduction in molecular weight is a critical step, as it generates molecules small enough to be transported into the microbial cell for further metabolism.

Stage 3: Assimilation. The low-molecular-weight oligomers and monomers produced during bio-fragmentation are transported from the extracellular environment across the cell membrane and into the cytoplasm of the microorganisms. This step is a critical and often underappreciated bottleneck in the overall process. The hydrophobic nature of the alkane-like fragments generated from PE makes their passive diffusion across the lipid bilayer of the cell membrane inefficient. This implies the necessity of dedicated, active transport systems. Indeed, transcriptomic studies of PE-degrading bacteria have revealed the significant upregulation of genes associated with ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporter pathways. ABC transporters are a large family of membrane proteins that utilize the energy of ATP hydrolysis to move a wide variety of substrates across cellular membranes. Their involvement suggests that assimilation is an energy-dependent, regulated process, not a passive one. The identification and characterization of these specific transporters represent a major knowledge gap and a promising target for engineering efforts aimed at improving the overall rate of PE biodegradation.

Stage 4: Mineralization. Once inside the cell, the assimilated carbon fragments are channeled into the central metabolic pathways of the microorganism. For alkane-like molecules, this typically involves pathways analogous to fatty acid metabolism, such as β-oxidation, which sequentially cleaves two-carbon units in the form of acetyl-CoA.[1] The resulting acetyl-CoA then enters the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle, where it is completely oxidized to generate reducing equivalents (NADH and $FADH_2$) that fuel the electron transport chain, producing energy (ATP) and biomass. The ultimate metabolic end-products of this process are simple inorganic compounds. In the presence of oxygen (aerobic conditions), the

final products are carbon dioxide (CO_2) and water (H_2O). Under anaerobic conditions, the end-products include carbon dioxide, methane (CH_4), and water.[12] The conversion of the polymer's organic carbon into these inorganic forms is the definition of mineralization and represents the conclusive evidence of complete biodegradation.

!(https://i.imgur.com/83p1y3U.png)
Figure 1. The Four Stages of Polyethylene Biodegradation. The process begins with (1) Biodeterioration, where microorganisms colonize the PE surface, forming a biofilm. This leads to (2) Bio-fragmentation, where extracellular oxidoreductases secreted by the microbes attack the polymer backbone, breaking it into smaller oligomers. In (3) Assimilation, these low-molecular-weight fragments are transported into the microbial cell, likely via specialized transporters such as ABC transporters. Finally, in (4) Mineralization, the assimilated carbon is channeled into central metabolic pathways (e.g., β-oxidation and the TCA cycle), ultimately being converted into biomass, energy (ATP), and inorganic end-products like CO_2 and H_2O.

4. Cracking the Fortress: Abiotic Pre-treatment as a Gateway for Microbial Attack

While the focus of this review is on microbial processes, it is crucial to recognize that the biodegradation of PE in the natural environment is rarely a purely biological phenomenon. Instead, it is more accurately described as an abiotic-biotic continuum, where non-biological weathering processes act as an indispensable prerequisite for efficient microbial attack.[29] Pristine PE, with its highly hydrophobic and inert surface, presents a poor substrate for microbial colonization and enzymatic action. However, PE waste in the environment is continuously exposed to abiotic stressors, primarily ultraviolet (UV) radiation from sunlight (photo-oxidation) and elevated temperatures (thermo-oxidation), which initiate a cascade of chemical changes that "prime" the polymer for biodegradation.[27]

These abiotic degradation mechanisms proceed via free-radical chain reactions. The absorption of UV photons or thermal energy provides sufficient activation energy to break C-H or C-C bonds within the polymer, generating highly reactive alkyl radicals. In the presence of oxygen, these radicals react to form peroxy radicals, which can then abstract hydrogen atoms from adjacent polymer chains, propagating a chain reaction of oxidation. This process has two critical consequences for subsequent biodegradation.

First, it leads to chain scission, which breaks the long polymer chains into shorter fragments, thereby reducing the average molecular weight of the material. As polymers with lower molecular weights are generally more susceptible to both abiotic and biotic degradation, this

fragmentation increases the number of chain ends available for enzymatic attack.

Second, and perhaps more importantly, these oxidative reactions introduce a variety of oxygen-containing functional groups into the inert hydrocarbon backbone. Spectroscopic analyses, particularly Fourier-Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy, consistently reveal the formation of carbonyl groups (C=O, typically appearing around 1715-1725 cm^{-1}), as well as hydroxyl (-OH) and carboxyl (-COOH) moieties on the surface of weathered PE.[29] The introduction of these polar functional groups dramatically alters the physicochemical properties of the polymer surface. It significantly increases the surface energy and hydrophilicity, as demonstrated by a measurable decrease in the water contact angle.[22]

This abiotic surface modification is the critical gateway for microbial action. The newly hydrophilic, functionalized surface is much more amenable to microbial adhesion and colonization, facilitating the initial biodeterioration step and the formation of a robust biofilm.[27] Essentially, abiotic weathering creates the necessary "handles" for microorganisms and their enzymes to latch onto the otherwise smooth and repellent polymer surface.

This synergistic relationship between abiotic and biotic processes has profound implications for experimental design and the interpretation of research findings. Laboratory studies that utilize pristine, unweathered PE as a substrate are testing a scenario that is environmentally unrealistic and may systematically underestimate the true potential for biodegradation in nature.[27] Conversely, studies that employ overly aggressive artificial pre-treatments risk overstating the contribution of the biological component to the observed degradation. Therefore, a critical challenge for the field is the development and adoption of standardized, environmentally relevant weathering protocols that can accurately simulate natural aging processes. Bridging this gap between controlled laboratory conditions and the complex reality of the environment is essential for generating reproducible and predictive data on PE biodegradation.

5. Nature's Plastic Eaters: Key Microorganisms and Enzymes

In response to the global proliferation of plastic waste, microbial communities across diverse ecosystems are evolving the capacity to utilize this novel and abundant carbon source. Research over the past few decades has led to the isolation and identification of a growing number of bacteria and fungi capable of degrading polyethylene (Table 2). These "plastic eaters" have been discovered in environments where plastic waste predictably accumulates, providing strong selective pressure for the evolution of degradative pathways. Key isolation sources include landfills and plastic waste disposal sites , marine waters and sediments [23],

and, remarkably, the digestive tracts of plastic-consuming insect larvae, such as the waxworm (*Galleria mellonella*) and the mealworm (*Tenebrio molitor*).[38]

Among bacteria, several genera have been consistently implicated in PE degradation. Species from the genera *Rhodococcus*, *Bacillus*, *Pseudomonas*, *Streptomyces*, and *Acinetobacter* are frequently reported, with strains like *Rhodococcus ruber* and various *Bacillus* species showing notable activity.[3] Fungal degraders are also widespread, with genera such as *Aspergillus*, *Penicillium*, and *Fusarium* being prominent examples. For instance, strains of *Aspergillus niger* have been shown to cause significant weight loss in LDPE films under laboratory conditions.[3] The discovery of PE-degrading capabilities in microbes from such disparate environments—from temperate soil to the high-pressure deep sea to the unique microenvironment of an insect gut—points towards a compelling case of convergent evolution. Faced with the same recalcitrant C-C backbone of PE, nature appears to have repeatedly and independently evolved the same fundamental chemical strategy: oxidative cleavage. The symbiosis observed in insect guts is a particularly sophisticated example, where the host provides mechanical mastication to increase surface area, while the gut microbiota provides the specialized enzymatic machinery for chemical breakdown.[38]

The enzymatic toolkit employed by these microorganisms is fundamentally different from that used to degrade natural polymers like cellulose or chitin. Because PE lacks hydrolyzable bonds, the key enzymes are not hydrolases but **oxidoreductases**, which catalyze oxidation-reduction reactions. Several classes of these enzymes have been identified as prime candidates for initiating the attack on the PE backbone [44]:

- **Laccases and Peroxidases:** These enzymes are well-known for their ability to degrade lignin, a complex and recalcitrant natural polymer. They employ radical-based mechanisms to perform non-specific oxidative attacks. Laccases (EC 1.10.3.2) are multi-copper oxidases that can oxidize a range of substrates, and their activity against PE has been demonstrated, particularly from *Rhodococcus ruber*. Peroxidases, such as Manganese Peroxidase (MnP) and the more recently discovered Dye-decolorizing Peroxidases (DyPs), utilize hydrogen peroxide to generate highly reactive enzyme intermediates that can abstract electrons from the polymer chain, initiating its degradation.[13] The upregulation of DyP genes in the gut microbiota of plastic-fed mealworms underscores their potential importance in polyolefin deconstruction.
- **Monooxygenases:** This diverse group of enzymes catalyzes the insertion of one atom of molecular oxygen into a substrate. Two main families are of particular interest for PE degradation. **Alkane hydroxylases** (or alkane monooxygenases), such as the well-studied AlkB system, are non-heme iron enzymes known for their role in the metabolism of n-alkanes. Given the structural similarity between alkanes and PE, these enzymes are hypothesized to hydroxylate the PE chain, introducing an alcohol group that serves as a foothold for subsequent oxidation steps.[44] **Cytochrome P450 monooxygenases (CYPs)** are heme-thiolate proteins capable of oxidizing a vast array of substrates, including inert C-H bonds in alkanes. Their ability to catalyze hydroxylation at

both terminal and internal positions on an alkyl chain makes them highly attractive candidates for PE degradation, and recent work has provided direct experimental evidence of a purified CYP enzyme oxidizing untreated PE.

The identification of these microbial and enzymatic players provides the foundational knowledge for developing biotechnological solutions. However, the naturally occurring enzymes are often slow and inefficient. The future of the field thus lies in harnessing this natural diversity as a starting point for protein engineering and synthetic biology approaches aimed at creating more potent and robust biocatalysts for PE degradation and valorization.

Table 2. A Curated List of Key PE-Degrading Microorganisms and Implicated Enzymes

Genus/Species	Isolation Environment	PE Type	Key Enzyme(s) Implicated	Key Reference(s)
BACTERIA				
Rhodococcus ruber	Soil / Waste site	LDPE	Laccase, Alkane Hydroxylase	[42]
Bacillus siamensis	Waste disposal site (landfill)	LDPE	Laccase, Alkane Hydroxylase	
Bacillus cereus	Waste disposal site (landfill)	LDPE, HDPE	Laccase	[36]
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	Waste disposal site / Insect gut	LDPE	Alkane Hydroxylase	[39]
Acinetobacter baumannii	Insect gut (*Rhizopertha dominica*)	PE	Multicopper Oxidase (Laccase-like)	
Klebsiella variicola	Insect gut (*Spodoptera*	PE	Laccase, Lipase	

	frugiperda)			
Vibrio alginolyticus	Marine sediment / Seawater	PE	(Not specified)	
Streptomyces spp.	Soil	Starch-PE blends	(Not specified)	
FUNGI				
Aspergillus niger	Soil / Dumpsite	LDPE	(Not specified)	[3]
Aspergillus flavus	Soil / Dumpsite	LDPE	(Not specified)	[3]
Penicillium spp.	Soil	LDPE	(Not specified)	
Fusarium spp.	Soil	LDPE	(Not specified)	

6. Measuring Degradation: A Critical Review of Methodologies

Accurately quantifying the biodegradation of a highly stable material like polyethylene is a significant analytical challenge. The field employs a diverse array of methodologies, each providing a different piece of the puzzle. A robust claim of biodegradation requires a multi-evidence approach, as reliance on a single technique can often lead to ambiguity or over-interpretation of results.[3] The methods can be broadly categorized based on what they measure: physical changes, chemical modifications, degradation products, or metabolic activity.

Gravimetric Analysis: The most straightforward method is to measure the weight loss of a PE sample over time after incubation with microorganisms. While simple and direct, this technique is fraught with potential artifacts. Weight loss can occur due to the leaching of

low-molecular-weight additives (like plasticizers and stabilizers) from the polymer matrix, rather than degradation of the polymer backbone itself. Conversely, the weight can be artificially inflated by the tenacious adhesion of microbial biomass that is difficult to completely remove without damaging the sample.[50] Thus, while useful as a preliminary indicator, weight loss alone is insufficient proof of biodegradation.

Surface Morphology Analysis: Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) is a powerful tool for visualizing the physical consequences of biodeterioration. High-resolution images can reveal the formation of cracks, pits, grooves, and an overall increase in surface roughness on PE films exposed to microbial action.[3] These features provide clear, qualitative evidence that microorganisms have colonized and physically altered the polymer surface, which is a necessary first step in degradation.

Chemical Structure Analysis: Fourier-Transform Infrared (FTIR) Spectroscopy is indispensable for tracking chemical changes in the polymer. Its primary utility in PE biodegradation studies is the detection of oxidation. The appearance of new absorption bands, particularly the characteristic carbonyl peak around 1715 cm^{-1}, is a definitive sign that C-H and C-C bonds in the backbone have been oxidized.[29] Changes in the hydroxyl region can also be monitored. FTIR provides direct evidence of the chemical reactions central to the initial stages of bio-fragmentation.

Degradation Product Analysis: To confirm that the polymer chain has been cleaved, it is necessary to identify the resulting low-molecular-weight products. Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) is the gold standard for this purpose. After extraction from the culture medium, GC-MS can separate and identify the specific alkane oligomers, fatty acids, alcohols, and other small molecules that are released during bio-fragmentation.[22] This technique provides direct proof that depolymerization has occurred.

Systems Biology ('Omics') Approaches: Modern high-throughput techniques offer deep mechanistic insights. Genomics can identify the genes encoding potential degradative enzymes within an organism's DNA. Transcriptomics (measuring mRNA) and proteomics (measuring proteins) can reveal which of these genes and enzymes are actively upregulated when the microorganism is grown on PE as a sole carbon source.[52] This provides a powerful link between genetic potential and metabolic function, helping to pinpoint the key molecular players in the degradation pathway.

A critical analysis of these methods reveals a clear "hierarchy of evidence." SEM and FTIR provide strong evidence for biodeterioration and initial oxidation (Stage 1 and early Stage 2). GC-MS confirms that bio-fragmentation is occurring (Stage 2). 'Omics' approaches illuminate the underlying genetic and metabolic machinery for assimilation and mineralization (Stages 3 and 4). However, the unequivocal proof of complete biodegradation—mineralization—requires tracking the fate of the polymer's carbon itself. The definitive experiment involves using PE synthesized with the stable isotope carbon-13 (${}^{13}C$) and measuring the evolution of

${}^{13}CO_2$ gas. This provides incontrovertible evidence that carbon atoms from the polymer backbone have been assimilated, metabolized, and respired by the microorganisms. A significant portion of the controversy and contradictory claims in the literature stems from studies that claim "biodegradation" based solely on lower-tier evidence like surface changes or carbonyl peak formation. A truly rigorous study must employ a multi-pronged analytical approach that provides evidence for each stage of the degradation cascade, ideally culminating in isotopic labeling to confirm mineralization.

7. Grand Challenges and Controversies

Despite decades of research and promising discoveries, the field of polyethylene biodegradation is beset by significant challenges and unresolved controversies that temper enthusiasm and highlight the long road to practical application. These issues span from fundamental definitions to the practicalities of scaling up laboratory findings.

The Fragmentation versus Mineralization Debate: This is arguably the most critical controversy in the field. Many abiotic and biotic processes can cause the physical breakdown, or fragmentation, of large plastic items into smaller pieces, ultimately generating microplastics (MPs) and nanoplastics (NPs).[54] The central, unresolved question is whether microbial activity leads to the complete mineralization of PE or if it merely accelerates the formation of these smaller, potentially more hazardous particles. There is a substantial risk that incomplete biodegradation could be more environmentally detrimental than no degradation at all. While macroplastics are relatively inert, MPs and NPs have a much higher surface-area-to-volume ratio, are more bioavailable, and can be ingested by a wider range of organisms at the base of the food web, potentially causing physical harm and acting as vectors for adsorbed toxins.[10] Therefore, demonstrating fragmentation—evidenced by SEM or a reduction in molecular weight—is not sufficient proof of effective bioremediation. The scientific and regulatory endpoint for any viable biodegradation technology must be complete mineralization, ensuring that the polymer is truly removed from the environment and not simply converted into a more insidious form of pollution.

The "Pace" Problem: A universal finding in PE biodegradation research is that the process is exceptionally slow. Under natural environmental conditions, PE is estimated to persist for centuries, with studies on buried PE films showing only negligible weight loss after decades.[3] Even under optimized laboratory conditions with potent microbial isolates, degradation rates remain frustratingly low. Weight loss percentages are often in the single digits after months of incubation.[36] While these rates are scientifically significant, they are orders of magnitude too slow for any practical waste management application, which would require near-complete degradation on a timescale of weeks, not years. Overcoming this kinetic barrier is the primary

scientific and engineering challenge in the field.

The Scale-Up Barrier: Translating promising results from a controlled laboratory setting—typically involving a few milligrams of pre-treated PE powder, a pure microbial culture, and an optimized liquid medium—to the harsh, heterogeneous, and non-sterile reality of a landfill, compost facility, or marine environment is a formidable challenge. The complex microbial consortia, fluctuating environmental conditions (temperature, pH, oxygen), and the presence of inhibitors in real-world waste streams can drastically reduce or eliminate the activity of specialized PE-degrading microbes. To date, significant degradation of PE waste "at real scales" has not been achieved, and the development of robust, scalable bioreactor systems or effective in situ bioaugmentation strategies remains an unsolved problem.

Lack of Standardization: The field's progress is hampered by a lack of universally accepted standards and definitions. There is no single, biochemically-based working definition for what constitutes "polyethylene biodegradation," leading to a wide variation in experimental designs, endpoints, and data reporting. This makes it exceedingly difficult to compare results across different studies and to build a cohesive understanding of the underlying mechanisms. The use of different PE types (LDPE vs. HDPE), various pre-treatment methods of differing intensity, and a wide range of analytical techniques without a clear hierarchy of evidence contributes to a literature that is often contradictory and difficult to synthesize. Establishing standardized protocols for weathering, incubation, and analytical validation is urgently needed to move the field forward on a more rigorous footing.

8. Outlook and Future Perspectives: Towards a Circular Bio-economy

The challenges facing polyethylene biodegradation are substantial, but the field is at an inflection point, poised to move beyond the simple goal of environmental remediation towards a more ambitious and transformative vision of plastic valorization. The future of this research lies in leveraging cutting-edge tools in synthetic biology and biotechnology to create controlled, efficient processes that integrate PE waste into a circular bio-economy. This paradigm shift reconceptualizes PE waste not as a pollutant to be destroyed, but as an abundant and valuable carbon feedstock for the synthesis of new materials and chemicals.

Synthetic Biology and Enzyme Engineering: The discovery of naturally occurring PE-degrading enzymes provides the crucial starting point, but their native catalytic efficiencies are too low for industrial application. The next frontier is to engineer these biocatalysts for superior performance. Using techniques like directed evolution, researchers can mimic natural selection in the laboratory to screen millions of enzyme variants for

improved properties, such as higher turnover rates, enhanced thermal stability, or altered substrate specificity. Concurrently, rational design approaches, guided by computational modeling and a growing understanding of enzyme structure-function relationships, will allow for the precise modification of active sites to enhance PE binding and oxidation. A key goal is the development of "metabolons"—multi-enzyme complexes where enzymes are physically tethered together to channel substrates efficiently through a metabolic pathway, minimizing the loss of intermediates and increasing overall flux.

Synthetic Microbial Consortia: Nature rarely relies on a single "super-bug" to degrade complex substrates. Instead, it employs microbial communities where different species perform specialized roles. The future of PE bioprocessing will likely mirror this strategy through the design of synthetic microbial consortia. In such a system, a division of labor could be engineered:

1. **Primary Degraders:** A first set of microorganisms, perhaps fungi or bacteria engineered to secrete high levels of potent oxidoreductases, would perform the initial attack on the PE polymer, breaking it down into a mixture of oligomers and monomers.
2. **Metabolic Funnelers:** A second set of microbes, specialized in the transport and metabolism of these degradation products, would efficiently convert them into a central metabolic intermediate, such as acetyl-CoA.
3. Production Chassis: A third organism, a robust industrial workhorse like E. coli or Saccharomyces cerevisiae, would then utilize this stream of acetyl-CoA to produce high-value products.
   This modular approach allows for the optimization of each step independently and creates a more robust and efficient overall process.

From Degradation to Upcycling: The ultimate aspiration is to close the loop on the plastic lifecycle. Instead of mineralization to CO_2 being the final goal, the degradation products from PE can be used as the carbon source for microbial fermentation to produce new, high-value bioproducts. A particularly compelling target is the production of polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHAs), a family of biodegradable bioplastics.[1] In this scenario, non-biodegradable, petroleum-derived PE waste would be biologically deconstructed and then reconstructed into a fully biodegradable and bio-based plastic. This creates a true cradle-to-cradle lifecycle for plastic materials. Beyond PHAs, the metabolic intermediates could be used to produce surfactants, specialty lipids, or other platform chemicals, creating a diversified portfolio of products from a waste feedstock.

This vision represents a fundamental shift from environmental clean-up, which is slow and difficult to scale, to controlled, industrial-scale biotechnology. By developing contained bioreactor systems that efficiently convert PE waste into new products, this approach can simultaneously address the plastic pollution crisis, create economic value, and reduce our dependence on virgin fossil fuels for chemical and material production. While significant scientific and engineering hurdles remain, the integration of PE biodegradation into a circular bio-economy is the most promising and sustainable trajectory for the field over the next

decade.

Download Options:

- To download this report as a PDF or Word document, please use your browser's print function (Ctrl+P or Cmd+P) and select "Save as PDF" or a similar option. You can then use an online converter or software to convert the PDF to a Word document if needed.

References

Waste Direct. (2024). Plastic Waste Statistics 2024. Retrieved from https://wastedirect.co.uk/blog/plastic-waste-statistics/
Safe Food Advocacy Europe. (2024). Plastic Overshoot Day 2024: Global Waste Crisis Surpasses Management Capacity. Retrieved from https://www.safefoodadvocacy.eu/plastic-overshoot-day-2024-global-waste-crisis-surpasses-management-capacity/
Plastics Europe. (2024). Plastics – the fast Facts 2024. Retrieved from https://plasticseurope.org/knowledge-hub/plastics-the-fast-facts-2024/
ICIS. (2024). Global PE demand in 2024 could have been 74m tonnes lower if incomes and population drove the market. Asian Chemical Connections. Retrieved from https://www.icis.com/asian-chemical-connections/2024/03/global-pe-demand-in-2024-could-have-been-74m-tonnes-lower-if-incomes-and-population-drove-the-market/
IUCN. (2024). Plastic Pollution Issues Brief. Retrieved from https://iucn.org/sites/default/files/2024-05/plastic-pollution-issues-brief-may-2024-update.pdf
Natural History Museum. (2024). Almost 70% of all plastic waste is produced by just 20 countries. Retrieved from https://www.nhm.ac.uk/discover/news/2024/september/almost-70-of-plastic-waste-produced-by-20-countries.html
Wikipedia. Polyethylene. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Polyethylene
Exir Polymer. All About Polyethylene. Retrieved from https://exirpolymer.com/all-about-polyethylene/
MDPI. (2024). Polymers, 16(19), 2807. Retrieved from https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4360/16/19/2807
ResearchGate. Figure from a publication on chemical structures of polymers. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Chemical-structures-of-polyethylene-A-polypropylene-B-polyvinyl-chloride-C_fig2_368561445
ResearchGate. Figure from a publication on polyethylene structure. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Polyethylene-structure-a-General-chemical-structure-b

-Schematic-differences-between_fig3_338406283
PMC. (2023). Article on PET degradation. PMC10577388. Retrieved from https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10577388/
Fiveable. Biodegradation Study Guide. Retrieved from https://fiveable.me/polymer-chemistry/unit-8/biodegradation/study-guide/NPh8HFf9HkRidSUy
MDPI. (2022). Polymers, 3(2), 23. Retrieved from https://www.mdpi.com/2673-6209/3/2/23
ResearchGate. Polymer Biodegradation and Biodegradable Polymers - a Review. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/230793131_Polymer_Biodegradation_and_Biodegradable_Polymers_-_a_Review
PMC. (2024). Article on polymer degradation. PMC11478708. Retrieved from https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11478708/
ResearchGate. Degradation of fundamental polymers/plastics used in daily life: a review. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/348157005_Degradation_of_fundamental_polymers plastics_used_in_daily_life_a_review
Hacettepe University. Publication on biodegradable polymers. Retrieved from http://yunus.hacettepe.edu.tr/~damlacetin/kmu407/index_dosyalar/2.%20makale.pdf
EUP Egypt. HDPE and LDPE. Retrieved from https://eupegypt.com/blog/hdpe-and-ldpe/
Europlas. LDPE vs HDPE: Which one is better? Retrieved from https://europlas.com.vn/en-US/blog-1/ldpe-vs-hdpe-which-one-is-better
FirstMold. LDPE vs HDPE. Retrieved from https://firstmold.com/tips/ldpe-vs-hdpe/
Essentra Components. What's the difference between LDPE and HDPE? Retrieved from https://www.essentracomponents.com/en-us/news/manufacturing/injection-molding/what-s-the-difference-between-ldpe-and-hdpe
SyBridge. Know Your Materials: LDPE and HDPE. Retrieved from https://sybridge.com/know-your-materials-ldpe-and-hdpe/
Chembook. LDPE vs HDPE. Retrieved from http://chembook.org/page-nonav.php?chnum=8&sect=7
ResearchGate. Biodegradation of polyethylene: a brief review. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/341433719_Biodegradation_of_polyethylene_a_brief_review
ResearchGate. The biochemical mechanisms of plastic biodegradation. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/385565269_The_biochemical_mechanisms_of_plastic_biodegradation
PMC. (2020). Challenges with Verifying Microbial Degradation of Polyethylene. PMC7022683. Retrieved from https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7022683/
ACS Publications. (2023). Environmental Science & Technology. Retrieved from https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.est.3c03778
FEMS Microbiology Reviews. (2024). fuae027. Retrieved from https://academic.oup.com/femsre/article/48/6/fuae027/7876491
PubMed. (2024). FEMS Microbiology Reviews, 48(6). Retrieved from https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/39500541/

PMC. (2024). Article on pre-treatments. PMC10857199. Retrieved from https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10857199/
ResearchGate. Enhancing the biodegradation of bioplastic through pretreatments: A critical review. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/361821223_Enhancing_the_biodegradation_of_bioplastic_through_pretreatments_A_critical_review
MDPI. (2025). Polymers, 17(13), 1798. Retrieved from https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4360/17/13/1798
Taylor & Francis Online. (2023). Critical Reviews in Biotechnology. Retrieved from https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/07388551.2023.2170861
UTM Bioprocessing. Microbial Biodegradation of Plastic Waste: A Viable Option for a Sustainable Environment. Retrieved from https://bioprocessing.utm.my/index.php/bioprocessing/article/view/69
Royal Society of Chemistry. (2025). Environmental Science: Atmospheres. Retrieved from https://pubs.rsc.org/en/content/articlehtml/2025/em/d5em00079c
ResearchGate. Microbial degradation and deterioration of polyethylene - A review. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/259995084_Microbial_degradation_and_deterioration_of_polyethylene_-_A_review
bioRxiv. (2025). Preprint on evolving bacterial biofilms. Retrieved from https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2025.04.01.646683v1.full.pdf
Frontiers in Microbiology. (2025). Article on Stenotrophomonas. Retrieved from https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/microbiology/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2025.1680692/xml
Encyclopedia. (2020). Microbial Degradation of Polyethylene. Retrieved from https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/16964
Frontiers in Microbiology. (2023). Review on PE biodegradation. Retrieved from https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/microbiology/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1181967/full
PMC. (2023). Article on PE biodegradation mechanisms. PMC10150012. Retrieved from https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10150012/
ACS Publications. (2024). JACS Au. Retrieved from https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jacsau.4c00388
bioRxiv. (2025). Preprint on dye-decolorizing peroxidases. Retrieved from https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2025.02.27.640435v1.full-text
MDPI. (2022). Journal of Fungi, 10(8), 1537. Retrieved from https://www.mdpi.com/2076-2607/10/8/1537
ResearchGate. Enzymatic Degradation of Polyethylene and Polyethylene Terephthalate: A Mini Review. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/373983620_Enzymatic_Degradation_of_Polyethylene_and_Polyethylene_Terephthalate_A_Mini_Review
Figshare. File from Plastics Microbial Biodegradation Database. Retrieved from https://figshare.com/ndownloader/files/44928514
PMC. (2023). Article on Rhodococcus strain A34. PMC10515485. Retrieved from https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10515485/

Semantic Scholar. Microbial degradation and deterioration of polyethylene – A review. Retrieved from https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Microbial-degradation-and-deterioration-of-%E2%80%93-A-Restrepo%E2%80%90Fl%C3%B3rez-Bassi/b27e69dcb6f722912a4342558ee52211e5866ce6
ResearchGate. Publication on marine microorganisms. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/259995084_Microbial_degradation_and_deterioration_of_polyethylene_-_A_review
ResearchGate. Microbial degradation of polyethylene terephthalate: a systematic review. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/363398701_Microbial_degradation_of_polyethylene_terephthalate_a_systematic_review
MDPI. (2025). Polymers, 17(2), 169. Retrieved from https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4360/17/2/169
MDPI. (2024). International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 25(1), 593. Retrieved from https://www.mdpi.com/1422-0067/25/1/593
MDPI. (2022). Polymers, 3(2), 23. Retrieved from https://www.mdpi.com/2673-6209/3/2/23
PMC. (2020). Microbial and enzymatic degradation of waste plastics. PMC7726165. Retrieved from https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7726165/
PMC. (2020). Challenges with Verifying Microbial Degradation of Polyethylene. PMC7022683. Retrieved from https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7022683/
ResearchGate. Figure on phases of biodegradation. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Schematic-of-the-four-phases-of-biodegradation-Biodeterioration-Biofragmentation_fig3_394437722
Frontiers in Microbiology. (2023). Review on PE biodegradation. Retrieved from https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/microbiology/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1181967/full
Scilit. Publication on PE quantification. Retrieved from https://www.scilit.com/publications/4d9d5e8c6157dd4c9a6d38c535c52255
ResearchGate. A novel FTIR-ATR spectroscopy based technique for the estimation of low-density polyethylene biodegradation. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/256971667_A_novel_FTIR-ATR_spectroscopy_based_technique_for_the_estimation_of_low-density_polyethylene_biodegradation
Urban Enviro Tech. (2022). Degradation of Polyethylene Plastic Waste by Indigenous Microbial Consortium and Fungi. Retrieved from https://e-journal.trisakti.ac.id/index.php/urbanenvirotech/article/view/10749/pdf
Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam Research Portal. Quantification of polyethylene in biodegradable plastics by analytical pyrolysis-based methods with GC split modulation. Retrieved from https://research.vu.nl/en/publications/quantification-of-polyethylene-in-biodegradable-plastics-by-analy
ResearchGate. Determination of polyethylene in biodegradable polymer blends and in compostable carrier bags by Py-GCMS and TGA. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/288701083_Determination_of_polyethylene_in_biodegradable_polymer_blends_and_in_compostable_carrier_bags_by_Py-GCMS_and_TGA
PMC. (2024). Review on LDPE biodegradation in agriculture. PMC11748793. Retrieved from

https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11748793/
Frontiers in Microbiology. (2024). Review on LDPE in agriculture. Retrieved from https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/microbiology/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1510817/full
SINTEF. Biodegradability of Plastics: Challenges and Misconceptions. Retrieved from https://www.sintef.no/publikasjoner/publikasjon/1501787/
ResearchGate. Biodegradability of Plastics: Challenges and Misconceptions. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/320282097_Biodegradability_of_Plastics_Challenges_and_Misconceptions
ResearchGate. Plastic Degradation: Challenges and Alternatives. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/374388629_Plastic_Degradation_Challenges_and_Alternatives
The Pharma Journal. (2023). A review on polyethylene pollution and its biodegradation. Retrieved from https://www.thepharmajournal.com/archives/2023/vol12issue9/PartAB/12-9-168-119.pdf
PMC. (2023). Review on PE waste management. PMC10598529. Retrieved from https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10598529/
ResearchGate. Polyethylene degradation mediated by Klebsiella variicola isolated from the gut of insect larvae. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/395149351_Polyethylene_degradation_mediated_by_Klebsiella_variicola_isolated_from_the_gut_of_insect_larvae
Open Biotechnology Journal. (2024). Isolation and Identification of Polyethylene and Polyethylene Terephthalate Degrading Bacteria from Marine Environment. Retrieved from https://openbiotechnologyjournal.com/VOLUME/18/ELOCATOR/e18740707280343/
PMC. (2023). Article on Acinetobacter guillouiae. PMC10173618. Retrieved from https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10173618/
PMC. (2023). Review on plastic biodegradation by insects and microorganisms. PMC9852869. Retrieved from https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9852869/
MDPI. (2025). Insects, 16(2), 165. Retrieved from https://www.mdpi.com/2075-4450/16/2/165
Environmental Engineering Research. (2023). Degradation of Low Density Polyethylene (LDPE) by Newly Reported Bacterial Strains from Waste Disposal Sites. Retrieved from https://www.eeer.org/journal/view.php?number=1177
ResearchGate. Polyethylene-biodegrading Microbes and Their Future Directions. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/368256401_Polyethylene-biodegrading_Microbes_and_Their_Future_Directions
ResearchGate. Biodegradation of polyethylene: a brief review. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/341433719_Biodegradation_of_polyethylene_a_brief_review
MDPI. (2023). Applied Sciences, 13(5), 3045. Retrieved from https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/13/5/3045

Works cited

1. Polyethylene-biodegrading Microbes and Their Future Directions ..., accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/368256401_Polyethylene-biodegrading_Microbes_and_Their_Future_Directions
2. PLASTIC POLLUTION - IUCN, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://iucn.org/sites/default/files/2024-05/plastic-pollution-issues-brief-may-2024-update.pdf
3. (PDF) Biodegradation of polyethylene: a brief review - ResearchGate, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/341433719_Biodegradation_of_polyethylene_a_brief_review
4. Polyethylene - Wikipedia, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Polyethylene
5. All About Polyethylene (PE): Structure, Properties & Uses - Exirpolymer, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://exirpolymer.com/all-about-polyethylene/
6. Plastic Waste Statistics & Trends (UPDATED 2025), accessed on October 23, 2025, https://wastedirect.co.uk/blog/plastic-waste-statistics/
7. Almost 70% of all plastic waste is produced by just 20 countries | Natural History Museum, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.nhm.ac.uk/discover/news/2024/september/almost-70-of-plastic-waste-produced-by-20-countries.html
8. The biochemical mechanisms of plastic biodegradation - ResearchGate, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/385565269_The_biochemical_mechanisms_of_plastic_biodegradation
9. Microbes mediated polyethylene biodegradation for environmental sustainability - The Pharma Innovation Journal, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.thepharmajournal.com/archives/2023/vol12issue9/PartAB/12-9-168-119.pdf
10. (PDF) Polymer Biodegradation and Biodegradable Polymers - a Review - ResearchGate, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/230793131_Polymer_Biodegradation_and_Biodegradable_Polymers_-_a_Review
11. Biological polyethylene deconstruction initiated by oxidation from DyP peroxidases | bioRxiv, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2025.02.27.640435v1.full-text
12. Microbial and Enzymatic Degradation of Synthetic Plastics - PMC - PubMed Central, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7726165/
13. Challenges with Verifying Microbial Degradation of Polyethylene ..., accessed on October 23, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7022683/
14. Assembly strategies for polyethylene-degrading microbial consortia based on the combination of omics tools and the “Plastisphere” - NIH, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10150012/
15. What's the difference between LDPE and HDPE? | Essentra ..., accessed on

October 23, 2025, https://www.essentracomponents.com/en-us/news/manufacturing/injection-molding/what-s-the-difference-between-ldpe-and-hdpe
16. LDPE vs HDPE: Understanding the Differences in Properties, Applications, and Processing, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://firstmold.com/tips/ldpe-vs-hdpe/
17. LDPE vs HDPE: Which one is better? - EuroPlas, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://europlas.com.vn/en-US/blog-1/ldpe-vs-hdpe-which-one-is-better
18. LDPE vs HDPE - Chembook, accessed on October 23, 2025, http://chembook.org/page-nonav.php?chnum=8§=7
19. Know Your Materials: Low-Density Polyethylene vs High-Density Polyethylene - SyBridge Technologies, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://sybridge.com/know-your-materials-ldpe-and-hdpe/
20. Polyethylene structure. (a) General chemical structure; (b) Schematic... | Download Scientific Diagram - ResearchGate, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Polyethylene-structure-a-General-chemical-structure-b-Schematic-differences-between_fig3_338406283
21. Microbial degradation and deterioration of polyethylene – A review ..., accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/259995084_Microbial_degradation_and_deterioration_of_polyethylene_-_A_review
22. Biodegradation of Typical Plastics: From Microbial Diversity to ..., accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/1422-0067/25/1/593
23. Biodegradation of Polymers: Stages, Measurement, Standards and Prospects - MDPI, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/2673-6209/3/2/23
24. Synergistic biodegradation of polyethylene by experimentally evolved bacterial biofilms - bioRxiv, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2025.04.01.646683v1.full.pdf
25. Full article: Enhancing plastic biodegradation process: strategies ..., accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/07388551.2023.2170861
26. Polymer Biodegradation and Biodegradable Polymers – a Review, accessed on October 23, 2025, http://yunus.hacettepe.edu.tr/~damlacetin/kmu407/index_dosyalar/2.%20makale.pdf
27. Degradation of fundamental polymers/plastics used in daily life: a review - ResearchGate, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/348157005_Degradation_of_fundamental_polymersplastics_used_in_daily_life_a_review
28. Designing for degradation: the importance of considering biotic and abiotic polymer degradation - Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts (RSC Publishing) DOI:10.1039/D5EM00079C, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://pubs.rsc.org/en/content/articlehtml/2025/em/d5em00079c
29. Degradation of Polymer Materials in the Environment and Its Impact on the Health of Experimental Animals: A Review - PubMed Central, accessed on October 23,

2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11478708/
30. The Role of Artificial Weathering Protocols on Abiotic and Bacterial Degradation of Polyethylene - MDPI, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4360/17/13/1798
31. Degradation of Polymer Materials in the Environment and Its Impact on the Health of Experimental Animals: A Review - MDPI, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4360/16/19/2807
32. Effect of Abiotic Treatments on Agricultural Plastic Waste: Efficiency of the Degradation Processes - PMC, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10857199/
33. Chemical structures of polyethylene (A), polypropylene (B), polyvinyl... | Download Scientific Diagram - ResearchGate, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Chemical-structures-of-polyethylene-A-polypropylene-B-polyvinyl-chloride-C_fig2_368561445
34. Identification and characterization of low density polyethylene-degrading bacteria isolated from soils of waste disposal sites - Environmental Engineering Research, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.eeer.org/journal/view.php?number=1177
35. Isolation and Characterization of Polyethylene and Polyethylene Terephthalate-degrading Bacteria from Jakarta Bay, Indonesia - The Open Biotechnology Journal, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://openbiotechnologyjournal.com/VOLUME/18/ELOCATOR/e18740707280343/
36. Polyethylene degradation mediated by Klebsiella variicola isolated from the gut of insect larvae - ResearchGate, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/395149351_Polyethylene_degradation_mediated_by_Klebsiella_variicola_isolated_from_the_gut_of_insect_larvae
37. Isolation of a polyethylene-degrading bacterium, Acinetobacter guillouiae, using a novel screening method based on a redox indicator - PMC, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10173618/
38. Plastic biodegradation by in vitro environmental microorganisms and in vivo gut microorganisms of insects - PMC - NIH, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9852869/
39. Using Insect Larvae and Their Microbiota for Plastic Degradation - MDPI, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/2075-4450/16/2/165
40. Polyethylene Degradation by a Rhodococcous Strain Isolated from Naturally Weathered Plastic Waste Enrichment - PMC - PubMed Central, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10515485/
41. Microbial Biodegradation of Synthetic Polyethylene and Polyurethane Polymers by Pedospheric Microbes: Towards Sustainable Environmental Management - MDPI, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4360/17/2/169
42. Current Advances in Biodegradation of Polyolefins - MDPI, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/2076-2607/10/8/1537
43. Enzymatic Degradation of Polyethylene and Polyethylene Terephthalate: A Mini Review, accessed on October 23, 2025,

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/373983620_Enzymatic_Degradation_of_Polyethylene_and_Polyethylene_Terephthalate_A_Mini_Review
44. Assembly strategies for polyethylene-degrading microbial consortia based on the combination of omics tools and the “Plastisphere” - Frontiers, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/microbiology/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1181967/full
45. Nature-Inspired Strategies for Sustainable Degradation of Synthetic Plastics | JACS Au, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jacsau.4c00388
46. Plastic Degradation: Challenges and Alternatives | Request PDF - ResearchGate, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/374388629_Plastic_Degradation_Challenges_and_Alternatives
47. A novel FTIR-ATR spectroscopy based technique for the estimation of low-density polyethylene biodegradation | Request PDF - ResearchGate, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/256971667_A_novel_FTIR-ATR_spectroscopy_based_technique_for_the_estimation_of_low-density_polyethylene_biodegradation
48. DEGRADATION OF POLYETHYLENE PLASTIC WASTE BY INDIGENOUS MICROBIAL CONSORTIUM AND FUNGI - Universitas Trisakti, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://e-journal.trisakti.ac.id/index.php/urbanenvirotech/article/view/10749/pdf
49. Determination of polyethylene in biodegradable polymer blends and in compostable carrier bags by Py-GC/MS and TGA | Request PDF - ResearchGate, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/288701083_Determination_of_polyethylene_in_biodegradable_polymer_blends_and_in_compostable_carrier_bags_by_Py-GCMS_and_TGA
50. Global perspectives on the biodegradation of LDPE in agricultural ..., accessed on October 23, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11748793/
51. Polyethylene Degradation by a Rhodococcous Strain Isolated from Naturally Weathered Plastic Waste Enrichment | Environmental Science & Technology - ACS Publications, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.est.3c03778
52. Biodegradability of Plastics: Challenges and Misconceptions - SINTEF, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.sintef.no/publikasjoner/publikasjon/1501787/
53. Biodegradability of Plastics: Challenges and Misconceptions | Request PDF - ResearchGate, accessed on October 23, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/320282097_Biodegradability_of_Plastics_Challenges_and_Misconceptions