

The Microbial Challenge of a Plastic World: A Critical Review of Polyethylene Biodegradation

1. Introduction: The Polyethylene Paradox

The Anthropocene is increasingly defined by its most durable and ubiquitous geological marker: plastic waste . Global plastic production has reached staggering levels, totaling 413.8 million metric tons (Mt) in 2023, with polyethylene (PE) alone—encompassing low-density (LDPE), linear low-density (LLDPE), and high-density (HDPE) variants—constituting over a quarter of this output . Annually, more than 460 Mt of plastic are produced, generating an estimated 220 Mt of waste . The scale of this waste crisis is starkly illustrated by the concept of "Plastic Overshoot Day," which in 2024 fell on September 5th, marking the point at which the volume of plastic waste generated surpasses the world's capacity for its management . Consequently, an estimated 69.5 million tons of plastic waste are mismanaged each year, leaking into terrestrial, freshwater, and marine ecosystems where they become a primary driver of biodiversity loss, ecosystem degradation, and climate change .

This environmental crisis is rooted in a fundamental paradox: the very properties that make polyethylene an exemplary material for countless applications—its chemical inertness, durability, low cost, and resistance to degradation—are the same properties that ensure its persistence as a pollutant . With an environmental half-life estimated to range from decades to centuries, PE waste accumulates relentlessly, fragmenting into microplastics and nanoplastics that now pervade every ecosystem on the planet, from Arctic snow to human tissues . The inadequacy of current waste management strategies is undeniable; with only 9% of plastics recycled annually, it is clear that recycling alone cannot resolve a crisis of this magnitude . This systemic failure necessitates a multi-pronged approach that includes production reduction, robust international policy such as the proposed UN Plastics Treaty, and the development of innovative end-of-life technologies . Microbial biodegradation represents one of the most promising frontiers in this search for sustainable solutions.

The introduction of vast quantities of PE into the biosphere has inadvertently launched a global evolutionary experiment, creating a novel ecological niche known as the "plastisphere"

. This term describes the complex microbial communities that colonize the surface of plastic debris, forming biofilms that serve as hotspots of microbial activity and adaptation. The plastisphere is not a passive assemblage but a dynamic ecosystem where microorganisms are under immense selective pressure to evolve metabolic capabilities to exploit this abundant, albeit recalcitrant, carbon source. It is within this unique habitat that the evolutionary battle between microbial ingenuity and anthropogenic pollution is being waged.

This review aims to provide a critical and comprehensive evaluation of the current state of research into the microbial biodegradation of polyethylene. Moving beyond a simple catalogue of findings, this paper will dissect the fundamental mechanisms of PE's recalcitrance, scrutinize the evidence for its biological breakdown, illuminate the field's most pressing methodological controversies and knowledge gaps, and project a forward-looking perspective on the synergistic strategies and biotechnological innovations poised to shape the next decade of research.

2. The Physicochemical Fortress: Why Polyethylene Resists Degradation

The extraordinary persistence of polyethylene in the environment is not accidental but a direct consequence of its fundamental molecular architecture. Understanding the physicochemical barriers that constitute this "fortress" is essential to devising strategies to overcome them. PE's recalcitrance is a multi-layered defense stemming from its high molecular weight, hydrophobicity, crystallinity, and the inherent stability of its carbon-carbon backbone.

Inherent Recalcitrance of the PE Backbone

Polyethylene is a high molecular weight polyolefin, with weight-average molecular weights (M_w) often exceeding 100,000 g/mol. These long polymer chains are far too large to be transported across microbial cell membranes, which typically have a size exclusion limit of around 500 Da. This physical constraint dictates that any biodegradation must be initiated by extracellular enzymes capable of cleaving the polymer externally.

This enzymatic challenge is compounded by PE's profound hydrophobicity. The polymer's non-polar surface, composed entirely of methylene groups, repels aqueous solutions and the water-soluble enzymes secreted by microorganisms. Microbial colonization and enzymatic access, the critical first steps in biodegradation, are thus severely hindered. Many successful

PE-degrading microbes overcome this barrier by producing biosurfactants that reduce surface tension or by forming robust biofilms that create a specialized microenvironment at the polymer-cell interface.

Furthermore, PE is a semi-crystalline polymer, consisting of highly ordered, tightly packed crystalline lamellae interspersed with disordered, flexible amorphous regions. Microbial enzymes can typically only access and attack the polymer chains within the more flexible amorphous domains . The crystalline regions act as impenetrable barriers, effectively shielding the bulk of the polymer from enzymatic degradation. This structural heterogeneity means that even when degradation occurs, it is often limited to the amorphous fraction, potentially increasing the relative crystallinity of the remaining material and making it even more recalcitrant to further attack . This distinction is critical when comparing different types of PE; HDPE, with its linear chains and high crystallinity (often >90%), is generally more resistant than the highly branched and less crystalline LDPE (crystallinity often 50–60%). However, this is not a simple correlation. Factors such as molecular weight distribution, the presence of different additives (e.g., stabilizers, antioxidants), and the physical form of the material (e.g., film versus powder) are often poorly characterized and reported in biodegradation studies, creating significant confounding variables that make direct comparisons between polymer types and across different studies fraught with uncertainty. The lack of standardized, well-characterized polymer substrates remains a major impediment to progress in the field.

Finally, the most formidable defense is PE's chemical structure. The polymer backbone is composed exclusively of stable, non-hydrolyzable carbon-carbon (\$C-C\$) and carbon-hydrogen (\$C-H\$) single bonds . This is in stark contrast to biodegradable polyesters like polyethylene terephthalate (PET) or polylactic acid (PLA), which contain ester linkages that are susceptible to enzymatic hydrolysis . The degradation of PE cannot proceed via a hydrolytic pathway; it must be initiated by an oxidative attack that is energetically demanding and requires powerful enzymatic machinery.

The Crucial Role of Abiotic Priming

Given its inherent recalcitrance, the purely biological degradation of pristine, unweathered PE under ambient environmental conditions is an exceedingly slow process, if it occurs at all . A substantial body of evidence indicates that abiotic weathering processes are a critical prerequisite, serving to "prime" the polymer for subsequent microbial attack .

The most important of these priming mechanisms are photo- and thermo-oxidation, driven by environmental exposure to ultraviolet (UV) radiation and heat . This abiotic weathering initiates a free-radical chain reaction, detailed in mechanisms such as the Norrish type I and II

reactions, which introduces oxygen-containing functional groups—primarily carbonyl (C=O), hydroxyl (-OH), and carboxyl (-COOH) groups—into the polymer backbone . This oxidation serves two vital functions: first, it creates polar sites on the hydrophobic surface, increasing its hydrophilicity and promoting microbial attachment; second, it introduces points of weakness in the polymer backbone, leading to initial chain scission and a reduction in molecular weight .

The necessity of this abiotic priming is reflected in laboratory protocols, where pre-treatment of PE with UV, heat, or harsh chemicals is a common, and often essential, step to achieve measurable biodegradation within experimental timeframes . This synergy between abiotic and biotic processes is fundamental to PE degradation in the environment. However, this dependency creates a significant disconnect between laboratory findings and real-world scenarios. A vast proportion of plastic waste accumulates in environments devoid of sunlight and oxygen, such as deep-sea sediments and anaerobic landfills . In these aphotic and anoxic zones, the critical first step of oxidative priming is severely inhibited or entirely absent. Consequently, microbial strains and enzymes that demonstrate efficacy on pre-oxidized PE in the laboratory may be largely ineffective in these major environmental sinks, representing a critical gap in the applicability of current research to global plastic reservoirs.

3. The Microbial Biodegradation Cascade

The complete biological conversion of a complex polymer like polyethylene into its fundamental constituents is a multi-stage process, often referred to as the biodegradation cascade. This process can be systematically divided into four canonical stages: biodeterioration, biofragmentation, bioassimilation, and mineralization. While distinct, these stages are interconnected, and bottlenecks at any point can halt the entire process.

Stage 1: Biodeterioration

This initial stage encompasses the surface-level modification of the polymer and the establishment of a biologically active interface. It begins with the colonization of the hydrophobic PE surface by microorganisms . Pioneer species, such as diatoms and cyanobacteria in aquatic environments, may first colonize the surface, altering its properties and paving the way for secondary colonization by heterotrophic bacteria and fungi . These organisms proliferate and secrete extracellular polymeric substances (EPS), leading to the formation of a mature, multi-species biofilm . This biofilm is not merely a collection of cells; it is a structured community that creates a unique microenvironment at the polymer surface. Within the biofilm matrix, water is retained, nutrients are concentrated, and secreted enzymes can accumulate to high local concentrations, facilitating a concerted attack on the polymer . Some bacteria further enhance this interaction by producing biosurfactants, amphiphilic molecules that reduce the interfacial tension between the aqueous environment and the

hydrophobic plastic, effectively making the surface more accessible to microbial cells and their enzymes.

Stage 2: Biofragmentation

This is the stage where the enzymatic cleavage of the polymer backbone occurs. Having attached to the (often abiotically primed) polymer surface, microorganisms secrete a suite of extracellular enzymes, primarily oxidoreductases, which are capable of attacking the inert \$C-C\$ and \$C-H\$ bonds. This enzymatic assault is thought to occur preferentially in the more accessible amorphous regions of the semi-crystalline polymer. The oxidative cleavage of the long polymer chains generates a heterogeneous mixture of smaller, lower-molecular-weight fragments, including oligomers, dimers, and monomers. For polyethylene, these fragments typically consist of long-chain alkanes, alkenes, alcohols, ketones, and carboxylic acids. This fragmentation is the first step that chemically alters the polymer's core structure, reducing its molecular weight and structural integrity.

Stage 3: Bioassimilation

Following fragmentation, the resulting oligomers and monomers must be transported into the microbial cell to be used for metabolism. This stage represents a critical bottleneck in the overall process. Only molecules small enough to pass through the cell membrane—generally with a molecular weight below 500 Da—can be assimilated. The long-chain, often still hydrophobic, fragments produced during the biofragmentation of PE may not meet this requirement. This can lead to a scenario where the polymer is fragmented on the surface, but the resulting products are not efficiently taken up by the cells. This leads to an accumulation of intermediate degradation products in the environment without their subsequent biological processing, a crucial distinction that separates mere fragmentation from true biodegradation.

Stage 4: Mineralization

This final stage represents the complete metabolic conversion of the assimilated carbon. Once inside the cell, the short-chain fragments are channeled into central metabolic pathways. For example, fatty acid-like fragments can be processed via the β -oxidation pathway, while other small organic molecules enter the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle. Through these catabolic processes, the carbon that once constituted the polyethylene polymer is ultimately converted into simple inorganic molecules, primarily carbon dioxide (\$CO₂) and water (\$H₂O), and incorporated into new microbial biomass. The complete conversion of polymer carbon into these end products is the definitive hallmark of true biodegradation. It is important to recognize that mineralization is not solely the production of \$CO₂. A significant fraction of the assimilated carbon is used for anabolic processes to build cellular components. Therefore, measuring only \$CO₂ evolution via respirometry underestimates the total amount of polymer carbon that has been biologically processed. A complete carbon balance, tracking the polymer's carbon into both the gaseous (\$CO₂) and solid (biomass) fractions, is necessary for an accurate assessment of biodegradation efficiency. This level of rigor can only be definitively achieved through the use of isotope-labeled substrates, such as ^{13}C -polyethylene, which allows for the precise tracking of the polymer's carbon atoms into both evolved $^{13}\text{CO}_2$ and the resulting microbial biomass.

4. The Microbial Toolkit: Organisms and Enzymes

The search for biological solutions to polyethylene pollution has led researchers to explore a wide array of environments, from industrial compost and landfills to the deep sea and insect guts. This bioprospecting has revealed a diverse, though perhaps limited, cast of microbial actors and the enzymatic tools they employ to tackle this recalcitrant polymer.

Key Microbial Taxa

A broad diversity of bacteria and fungi have been reported to exhibit some level of PE degradation capability. These organisms are typically isolated from environments with a long history of plastic contamination, suggesting an ongoing process of microbial adaptation .

Bacteria: Numerous Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria have been identified. Among the most frequently cited genera are *Rhodococcus*, *Bacillus*, *Pseudomonas*, *Acinetobacter*, and *Streptomyces*. Species such as *Rhodococcus ruber* have shown a notable ability to form biofilms on PE surfaces and achieve measurable weight loss . Similarly, various *Bacillus* and *Pseudomonas* species, known for their metabolic versatility and production of biosurfactants, are consistently implicated in PE biodeterioration . However, the repeated isolation of these same few genera raises questions about potential cultivation bias in standard laboratory methods. These organisms are known to be relatively fast-growing and easy to culture, which may lead to an overestimation of their importance compared to slower-growing or currently unculturable species that may be more active *in situ*. This highlights the critical need to complement culture-dependent studies with culture-independent 'omics' approaches to uncover the full taxonomic diversity of PE-degrading potential .

Fungi: Fungi are often considered particularly well-suited for degrading recalcitrant polymers like PE. Their filamentous hyphal growth allows them to physically penetrate the polymer matrix, while their secretion of powerful, non-specific extracellular enzymes, originally evolved to break down complex natural polymers like lignin and cellulose, provides them with a potent biochemical arsenal . Genera such as *Aspergillus*, *Penicillium*, and *Fusarium* are frequently reported to degrade PE films, causing significant surface erosion and chemical changes.

A summary of key microorganisms reported to degrade polyethylene is presented in **Table 1**.

The Power of the Collective: Microbial Consortia

While the search for a single "super-bug" capable of efficiently mineralizing PE continues, a growing body of evidence suggests that the future of microbial degradation lies in "super-communities" or microbial consortia. In natural environments, microorganisms exist in complex, interacting communities, and it is the collective metabolic action of these consortia that drives biogeochemical processes. Consortia consistently outperform single-strain cultures in degrading complex substrates due to synergistic interactions, including metabolic division of labor, cross-feeding of intermediates, and the production of a wider and more complementary enzymatic repertoire.

For example, one microbial species in a consortium might specialize in the initial oxidation of the PE surface, a difficult and energy-intensive step. The oligomers and other byproducts it releases can then serve as substrates for other species in the community, which may be more efficient at assimilating and mineralizing these smaller molecules. This partitioning of the metabolic pathway prevents the accumulation of potentially inhibitory intermediates and allows each member to operate more efficiently. A striking example of this synergy was demonstrated in a co-culture of the bacterium *Bacillus velezensis* EBL50 and the fungus *Sarocladium strictum* EBL60. This bacterial-fungal partnership achieved a 26.3% weight loss of LDPE microplastics in 60 days—four times the degradation achieved by the bacterium alone—and dramatically reduced the polymer's calculated half-life from 602 to just 134 days. This has spurred a shift in the field from studying naturally occurring consortia to the rational design and assembly of synthetic microbial consortia (SynComs) with defined compositions and optimized metabolic functions for enhanced and more predictable degradation performance.

The Enzymatic Machinery

The cleavage of PE's inert carbon-carbon backbone requires a fundamentally different enzymatic approach than the hydrolysis of polyesters. The key players are not hydrolases but powerful oxidoreductases that can generate highly reactive species to initiate oxidation. While no enzyme has yet been discovered that evolved specifically to degrade PE (i.e., a "PE-ase"), several classes of enzymes with broad substrate specificities have been implicated. This reliance on a repurposed, generalist toolkit likely explains the inherent inefficiency of the process compared to the specific enzymatic degradation of PET.

Key Enzyme Classes:

- **Laccases (EC 1.10.3.2):** These are multi-copper oxidases, found in many fungi and some

bacteria, that catalyze the one-electron oxidation of a wide range of phenolic and non-phenolic substrates. While they cannot directly oxidize the PE backbone, they can, in the presence of small molecules called mediators, generate highly reactive radicals that can initiate an oxidative attack on the polymer chain . The activity of laccases, such as the one from *Rhodococcus ruber*, is often enhanced by the presence of copper ions.

- **Peroxidases (e.g., Manganese Peroxidase, Lignin Peroxidase):** These heme-containing enzymes are best known for their role in lignin degradation by white-rot fungi. They use hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) to generate powerful, non-specific oxidizing agents (e.g., high-valent manganese ions or cation radicals) that can attack the recalcitrant C-C and C-H bonds in the PE backbone .
- **Alkane Hydroxylases/Monooxygenases (e.g., AlkB family):** These enzymes are central to the microbial metabolism of hydrocarbons (alkanes). They catalyze the initial, and often rate-limiting, step of inserting an oxygen atom into a C-H bond to form an alcohol . Given the structural similarity between PE and long-chain alkanes, it is widely hypothesized that alkane hydroxylases play a crucial role in the initial oxidation of the PE chain, introducing a hydroxyl group that makes the polymer more susceptible to subsequent enzymatic attacks.

A summary of the principal enzyme classes implicated in PE biodegradation is provided in **Table 2**.

Table 1: Selected Polyethylene-Degrading Microorganisms and Consortia

Microorganism/Consortium	Type	Isolation Source	PE Type Tested	Key Finding/Degradation Metric	Reference(s)
<i>Rhodococcus ruber</i> C208	Bacteria (Gram+)	Soil	LDPE film	Formed biofilm and utilized LDPE as sole carbon source; 0.9% weight loss per week.	
<i>Bacillus</i> spp. (<i>B. cereus</i> , <i>B.</i>	Bacteria (Gram+)	Landfill, plastic dumpsites	LDPE, HDPE	Significant surface corrosion	

<i>mycoides</i> , etc.)				and structural changes observed via SEM and FTIR.	
<i>Pseudomonas</i> spp. (<i>P. aeruginosa</i> , <i>P. fluorescens</i>)	Bacteria (Gram-)	Landfill, marine sediment	LDPE, HDPE	Capable of biofilm formation and degradation; some strains produce biosurfactants.	
<i>Acinetobacter baumannii</i>	Bacteria (Gram-)	Insect gut (<i>Rhizopertha dominica</i>)	PE film	Degraded PE film; a key multi-copper oxidase (abMco) was identified.	
<i>Aspergillus</i> spp. (<i>A. niger</i> , <i>A. flavus</i>)	Fungus	Marine water, dumpsites	LDPE	Utilized LDPE as sole carbon source; <i>A. niger</i> achieved 55% LDPE conversion in 30 days.	
<i>Penicillium</i> spp. (<i>P. simplicissimum</i> , <i>P.</i>	Fungus	Soil, plastic waste	LDPE, HDPE	Showed significant degradation potential,	

<i>citrinum)</i>				causing cracks and pits on the polymer surface.	
<i>Bacillus velezensis</i> EBL50 + <i>Sarocladium strictum</i> EBL60	Consortium (Bacteria + Fungus)	Not specified	LDPE microplastics	Synergistic action led to 26.3% weight loss in 60 days, 4x higher than bacteria alone.	
<i>Stutzerimonas stutzeri</i> -led consortium	Consortium (Bacteria)	Evolved in lab from soil inoculum	PE	<i>S. stutzeri</i> expressed degrading enzymes while other members produced EPS for biofilm structure.	

Table 2: Principal Enzymes Implicated in PE Biodegradation

Enzyme Class	EC Number	Proposed Catalytic Action	Known Microbial Source(s)	Key Cofactors/ Mediators	Reference(s)
Laccase	1.10.3.2	One-electron oxidation of various substrates, generating radicals	<i>Rhodococcus ruber</i> , <i>Streptomycetes</i> spp., various fungi	Copper ions, molecular oxygen, mediators (e.g., HBT)	

		that can attack PE, often via mediators.			
Manganese Peroxidase (MnP)	1.11.1.13	Oxidation of Mn^{2+} to highly reactive Mn^{3+} , which then oxidizes the polymer backbone.	Ligninolytic fungi (e.g., <i>Phanerochaete chrysosporium</i>)	H_2O_2 , Mn^{2+}	
Lignin Peroxidase (LiP)	1.11.1.14	Direct oxidation of non-phenolic aromatic structures via radical cation intermediates.	Ligninolytic fungi	H_2O_2	
Alkane Hydroxylase (e.g., AlkB)	1.14.15.3	Terminal or subterminal hydroxylation of alkane chains, introducing a hydroxyl group.	<i>Pseudomonas</i> spp., <i>Acinetobacter</i> spp., <i>Rhodococcus</i> spp.	Oxygen, Rubredoxin/reductase	
Cytochrome P450 Monooxygenase	1.14.14.1	Broad-specificity hydroxylation of various	<i>Pseudomonas</i> spp., various bacteria	Oxygen, NADPH, reductase partners	

		substrates, including long-chain alkanes.			
Multicopper Oxidase (MCO)	1.10.3.x	Similar to laccases; catalyze oxidation of substrates coupled to the reduction of \$O_2\$ to \$H_2O\$.	<i>Acinetobacter baumannii</i> , <i>Rhodococcus</i> spp.	Copper ions	

5. A Field in Flux: Methodological Controversies and a Call for Rigor

Despite decades of research and numerous publications claiming the microbial degradation of polyethylene, the field remains fraught with methodological inconsistencies, ambiguous results, and a central, unresolved controversy. A critical examination of the analytical techniques employed reveals that much of the evidence presented is preliminary and insufficient to prove true biodegradation, highlighting an urgent need for greater scientific rigor and standardization.

The Analytical Toolbox: A Critical Assessment

A variety of analytical techniques are used to assess the effects of microbial action on PE. While each provides valuable information, their limitations are often overlooked, leading to over-interpretation of results.

- **Visual and Morphological Changes (SEM/AFM):** Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) and Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM) are powerful tools for visualizing the polymer surface at high resolution. They are widely used to document biofilm formation, surface

erosion, cracking, pitting, and increased roughness following microbial incubation . While these images provide compelling visual evidence of biodeterioration (Stage 1), they are fundamentally qualitative. They offer no information about chemical changes to the polymer backbone, chain scission, or mineralization, and thus cannot, on their own, serve as proof of biodegradation .

- **Chemical Changes (FTIR Spectroscopy):** Fourier-Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy is the workhorse technique for detecting chemical modifications. It is highly sensitive to the formation of new functional groups, particularly the appearance of carbonyl (C=O , typically in the 1710-1740 cm^{-1} region) and hydroxyl (-OH , broad peak around 3300 cm^{-1}) groups, which are hallmarks of oxidation . However, FTIR cannot distinguish between biotic and abiotic oxidation. Since abiotic pre-treatment is often used, or occurs naturally during weathering, the presence of these groups is not definitive proof of enzymatic action . It confirms chemical change but not its biological origin or its extent.
- **Molecular Weight Changes (GPC):** Gel Permeation Chromatography (GPC), also known as Size Exclusion Chromatography (SEC), is the primary method for characterizing the molecular weight distribution of a polymer . A demonstrable reduction in the number-average (M_n) or weight-average (M_w) molecular weight is strong evidence for biofragmentation (Stage 2) . However, interpreting GPC data is not always straightforward. Several studies have reported a counterintuitive *increase* in the average molecular weight after microbial treatment. This suggests that the microorganisms may be selectively consuming only the lowest molecular weight fractions, additives, or oligomers present in the original material, leaving behind a polymer with a higher average molecular weight that is even more recalcitrant.
- **Weight Loss and Mineralization (Respirometry):** Gravimetric analysis (weight loss) and respirometry (measuring CO_2 evolution) are used to quantify the extent of degradation . Weight loss is a simple but potentially misleading metric. It can be caused by the leaching of low-molecular-weight additives, plasticizers, or surface oligomers, rather than the degradation of the polymer backbone itself . Respirometry, which measures the conversion of polymer carbon to CO_2 , is a much stronger indicator of mineralization. However, as previously noted, it fails to account for the carbon that is assimilated into microbial biomass, thereby underestimating the total extent of biodegradation.

The Central Controversy: Fragmentation vs. True Mineralization

The critical limitations of these standard techniques converge on the central controversy of the field: the distinction between mere fragmentation and true mineralization. A significant portion of the published literature claims "biodegradation" based on evidence—such as surface changes seen in SEM or the appearance of carbonyl peaks in FTIR—that only

supports biodeterioration or, at best, partial biofragmentation. This has led to sharp criticism from within the scientific community, arguing that such claims are unsubstantiated without definitive proof that the polymer's carbon has been fully metabolized by microorganisms.

This is not a semantic debate; it has profound environmental implications. If a microbial process primarily results in fragmentation without subsequent mineralization, it does not solve the plastic pollution problem. Instead, it may exacerbate it by accelerating the formation of microplastics and nanoplastics . These smaller particles have a higher surface area-to-volume ratio, potentially increasing the leaching of toxic additives and enhancing their bioavailability for ingestion by organisms throughout the food web. A process that acts as a "microplastic generator" cannot be considered a viable bioremediation strategy.

The Gold Standard and a Plea for Standardization

To resolve this ambiguity, the field must embrace a more rigorous standard of proof. The only unambiguous method to confirm and quantify true mineralization is the use of isotope labeling . By synthesizing PE with a stable isotope like Carbon-13 (^{13}C) and tracking the fate of this label, researchers can definitively demonstrate the conversion of polymer backbone carbon into $^{13}\text{CO}_2$ and its incorporation into ^{13}C -enriched microbial biomass. The near-total absence of such studies in the PE biodegradation literature is a glaring knowledge gap and a primary reason for the field's ongoing controversies.

Compounding this issue is a pervasive lack of methodological standardization. Studies vary dramatically in their choice of PE substrate (e.g., virgin powder, post-consumer film, presence of unknown additives), pre-treatment methods, microbial inocula, culture conditions, and analytical endpoints. This heterogeneity makes it nearly impossible to compare results across different laboratories, hindering the establishment of reliable benchmarks and slowing collective progress. The adoption of standardized protocols, analogous to the ASTM and ISO standards already in place for testing biodegradability in soil or compost, is essential for the field to mature and produce robust, reproducible, and policy-relevant data . This lack of rigor creates a systemic issue where it is often faster and easier to publish preliminary or inconclusive findings than to conduct the long-term, technically demanding, and expensive experiments required for definitive proof. A cultural shift, driven by journal editors, funding agencies, and community leaders, is needed to prioritize rigor and reproducibility over sheer novelty.

Table 3: Comparison of Analytical Techniques for Measuring PE Biodegradation

Technique	What It Measures	Stage of Biodegradation Assessed	Advantages	Critical Limitations & Potential for Misinterpretation
SEM / AFM	Surface morphology, topography, roughness, biofilm formation.	1. Biodeterioration	Visually intuitive; excellent for showing microbial colonization and surface erosion.	Provides no chemical information; cannot distinguish physical abrasion from biological action; insufficient proof of biodegradation.
FTIR Spectroscopy	Changes in chemical functional groups (e.g., formation of \$C=O\$, \$-OH\$).	1. Biodeterioration / 2. Biofragmentation	Highly sensitive to chemical changes; confirms polymer oxidation.	Cannot distinguish between abiotic and biotic oxidation; does not quantify chain scission or mineralization; qualitative or semi-quantitative at best.
GPC / SEC	Molecular weight distribution (\$M_n\$, \$M_w\$, polydispersity).	2. Biofragmentation	Direct, quantitative measure of polymer chain scission.	Can be confounded by cross-linking; an increase in average \$M_w\$ may indicate

				preferential degradation of low-MW fractions/additives, not degradation of the main polymer.
Weight Loss	Reduction in the total mass of the polymer sample.	2. Biofragmentation / 3. Bioassimilation	Simple, inexpensive, and quantitative.	Highly susceptible to error from the loss of additives, plasticizers, or unbound oligomers, leading to an overestimation of true polymer degradation.
Respirometry (\$CO_2\$ Evolution)	Rate and cumulative amount of \$CO_2\$ produced from substrate metabolism.	4. Mineralization	Direct measure of mineralization; standardized in methods like ASTM D5988.	Does not account for carbon assimilated into microbial biomass, thus underestimating total biodegradation; can be affected by metabolism of other carbon sources in the medium.
Isotope Labeling	Fate of polymer	3. Bioassimilation	Unambiguous, definitive proof	Technically complex;

(\$^{13}\text{C\\$-PE})	carbon into \$^{13}\text{CO}_2\$ and \$^{13}\text{C\\$-enriched biomass.}	& 4. Mineralization	of biodegradation; allows for complete carbon mass balance.	synthesis of \$^{13}\text{C\\$-labelled PE is expensive and not commercially available; requires specialized equipment (e.g., IRMS).}
-------------------------	---	---------------------	---	---

6. Outlook and Future Perspectives (The Next 5–10 Years)

The microbial biodegradation of polyethylene is at a critical juncture. While the field is defined by significant challenges and a history of methodological ambiguity, the convergence of powerful new technologies in systems biology, synthetic biology, and bioprocess engineering provides a clear and credible path forward. The next 5–10 years are poised to transition the field from demonstrating mere feasibility to achieving efficiency, scalability, and economic viability. This progress will be driven by three interconnected themes: the development of integrated degradation systems, the application of powerful discovery and design engines, and a paradigm shift from simple degradation to value-added upcycling.

Integrated Degradation Systems: From Lab to Bioreactor

The future of practical PE biodegradation will not rely on a single solution but on integrated systems that synergistically combine different approaches. This involves moving beyond flask-scale experiments to the design of robust, scalable processes.

- **Synergistic Pre-treatment and Biodegradation:** Future research will focus on designing contained processes that couple an optimized, energy-efficient abiotic pre-treatment step with subsequent microbial degradation. For instance, a bioreactor could incorporate a controlled UV-C irradiation phase to initiate surface oxidation and chain scission, followed immediately by inoculation with a highly adapted microbial consortium. This two-stage approach leverages the strengths of both abiotic and biotic

processes while maintaining control over the entire degradation cascade.

- **Advanced Bioreactor Design:** Significant innovation is required in bioreactor engineering to overcome the challenges of solid-state fermentation . The next generation of plastic-degrading bioreactors will need to optimize key parameters for solid-liquid-gas interfaces, including maximizing polymer surface area, ensuring efficient oxygen transfer for oxidative enzymes, maintaining optimal temperature and moisture, and facilitating intimate contact between the biofilm and the plastic surface. Designs such as slurry phase reactors, fluidized bed reactors, or novel solid-state fermentation systems will be essential to move PE biodegradation from the lab bench to pilot-scale applications.

Discovery and Design Engines: The Synthetic Biology Revolution

The pace of discovery and improvement of biological degradation systems is set to accelerate dramatically, driven by advances in synthetic and systems biology. This represents a fundamental shift from passively finding what nature has slowly evolved to actively engineering the biological tools required for the job.

- **Multi-Omics for Discovery:** The limitations of culture-based bioprospecting will be overcome by applying culture-independent multi-omics approaches to plastiphere communities from diverse and extreme environments . Metagenomics will uncover the full genetic repertoire of these communities, identifying novel genes and entire metabolic pathways for degradation. Metatranscriptomics and metaproteomics will provide a functional snapshot, revealing which genes and enzymes are actively expressed in the presence of plastic, thus linking genetic potential to real-world activity.
- **Enzyme Engineering:** With a growing library of candidate enzymes, protein engineering will become a central focus. Techniques like rational design (using computational modeling to predict beneficial mutations) and directed evolution (mimicking natural selection in the lab to screen millions of variants) will be used to enhance the properties of enzymes like laccases and alkane hydroxylases . The goals will be to increase their catalytic efficiency (turnover rate), improve their thermostability for industrial processes, and potentially narrow their substrate specificity for more targeted action.
- **Synthetic Biology and Metabolic Engineering:** The ultimate goal is to assemble optimized degradation pathways in robust microbial chassis. Synthetic biology will enable the construction of novel metabolic circuits, combining the most effective enzymes from different organisms into a single, engineered strain (e.g., *Escherichia coli* or *Pseudomonas putida*) . An even more powerful approach lies in the design of synthetic microbial consortia (SynComs). This involves engineering multiple strains, each optimized for a specific step in the degradation pathway—for example, one strain for surface oxidation, a second for oligomer breakdown, and a third for byproduct detoxification—and designing metabolic handoffs (cross-feeding) to ensure stable

coexistence and maximal efficiency. This represents a new frontier of "ecological engineering," where principles of community ecology are applied to design robust, functional microbial teams.

The Paradigm Shift: From Degradation to Bio-Upcycling

Perhaps the most transformative shift in the next decade will be the re-framing of the ultimate goal from simple disposal to value creation. The concept of bio-upcycling aims to convert plastic waste from an environmental liability into a valuable feedstock for a circular bio-economy .

Instead of aiming for complete mineralization to low-value \$CO_2\$, engineered microorganisms can be programmed to halt the metabolic pathway at intermediate stages, converting the monomers and oligomers derived from PE degradation into high-value products. These could include biodegradable polymers like polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHAs), biofuels, surfactants, or other platform chemicals. This approach fundamentally alters the economic equation of bioremediation. A process that simply disposes of waste incurs a cost, making it difficult to compete with cheap options like landfilling. In contrast, a process that converts low-value plastic waste into high-value bioproducts creates a profit motive, which could powerfully drive private investment and accelerate the scaling of these technologies far more effectively than regulation alone.

Concluding Remarks

The microbial biodegradation of polyethylene, long considered an intractable problem, is entering a new and promising era. The path forward is clear, but it demands a departure from the practices of the past. It requires an unwavering commitment to methodological rigor, anchored by gold-standard techniques like isotope labeling, to build a foundation of reliable and reproducible data. It necessitates an interdisciplinary approach, where microbiologists, polymer chemists, and bioengineers collaborate to design integrated degradation systems. Most importantly, it will be propelled by the transformative power of synthetic biology, which provides the tools not only to understand and optimize nature's existing solutions but to design entirely new ones. By shifting the paradigm from waste degradation to resource upcycling, we can create the economic incentives necessary to translate laboratory breakthroughs into global-scale solutions. Overcoming the polyethylene fortress remains a formidable challenge, but the convergence of these scientific and technological frontiers offers a tangible prospect of closing the loop on the plastic life cycle and building a truly

circular economy.

References

- Safe Food Advocacy Europe. (2024). Plastic Overshoot Day 2024: Global Waste Crisis Surpasses Management Capacity.
<https://www.safefoodadvocacy.eu/plastic-overshoot-day-2024-global-waste-crisis-surpasses-management-capacity/>
- Plastics Europe. (2024). Plastics – the fast Facts 2024.(https://plasticseurope.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/11/PE_TheFacts_24_digital-1pager.pdf)
- Plastics Europe. (2024). Plastics – the fast Facts 2024.
<https://plasticseurope.org/knowledge-hub/plastics-the-fast-facts-2024/>
- ICIS. (2024). Global PE demand in 2024 could have been 74m tonnes lower if incomes and population drove the market. Asian Chemical Connections.
<https://www.icis.com/asian-chemical-connections/2024/03/global-pe-demand-in-2024-could-have-been-74m-tonnes-lower-if-incomes-and-population-drove-the-market/>
- IUCN. (2024). Plastic pollution. Issues Brief.
<https://iucn.org/sites/default/files/2024-05/plastic-pollution-issues-brief-may-2024-update.pdf>
- Natural History Museum. (2024). Almost 70% of all plastic waste is produced by just 20 countries.
<https://www.nhm.ac.uk/discover/news/2024/september/almost-70-of-plastic-waste-produced-by-20-countries.html>
- Ghatge, S., Yang, Y., Ahn, J. H., & Hur, H. G. (2020). Biodegradation of polyethylene: a brief review. Applied Biological Chemistry.
<https://in.xzbiosludge.com/resources/biodegradation-of-polyethylene-a-brief-review-applied-biological-chemistry.html>
- Wang, J., et al. (2023). A Review of the Degradation of Polyethylene. Applied Sciences.
<https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/13/5/3045>
- Ghatge, S., et al. (2020). Biodegradation of polyethylene: a brief review. ResearchGate.(https://www.researchgate.net/publication/341433719_Biodegradation_of_polyethylene_a_brief_review)
- Mohanan, N., et al. (2020). Microbial and Enzymatic Degradation of Synthetic Plastics. Frontiers in Microbiology.
<https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/microbiology/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2020.580709/full>
- Tan, F., et al. (2022). Biotechnological Recycling of Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Plastic Waste. Polymers. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8945055/>
- ResearchGate. (2023). Figure: Polyethylene structure.(<https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Polyethylene-structure-a-General-chemical-st>

structure-b-Schematic-differences-between_fig3_338406283)

Restrepo-Flórez, J. M., Bassi, A., & Thompson, M. (2014). Microbial degradation and deterioration of polyethylene – A review. International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation.(<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Microbial-degradation-and-deterioration-of-%E2%80%93-A-Restrepo%E2%80%90FI%C3%B3rez-Bassi/b27e69dcb6f722912a4342558ee52211e5866ce6>)

Kyaw, B. M., et al. (2020). Challenges with Verifying Microbial Degradation of Polyethylene. Polymers. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7022683/>

Sangale, M. K., Shahnawaz, M., & Ade, A. B. (2012). A Review on Biodegradation of Polythene: The Microbial Approach. Journal of Bioremediation & Biodegradation.(https://www.researchgate.net/publication/235682993_A_Review_on_Biodegradation_of_polytheneThe_Microbial_Approach)

ResearchGate. (2025). Evaluation of the potential application of lowdensity polyethylene plastic waste treatment by marine microorganisms. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/259995084_Microbial_degradation_and_deterioration_of_polyethylene_-_A_review

Khan, S., et al. (2025). Pedospheric Microbes-Mediated Degradation of Synthetic Polymers. Polymers. <https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4360/17/2/169>

Zhang, K., et al. (2023). Recent advances in using microbial consortia for polyethylene biodegradation. Frontiers in Microbiology. <https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/microbiology/articles/10.3389/fmich.2023.1181967/full>

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. (n.d.). Impacts of Plastic Pollution. <https://www.epa.gov/plastics/impacts-plastic-pollution>

IUCN. (2024). Plastic pollution. <https://iucn.org/resources/issues-brief/plastic-pollution>

ResearchGate. (2022). Environmental toxicity and decomposition of polyethylene. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/362455224_Environmental_toxicity_and_decomposition_of_polyethylene

Dauvergne, P. (2019). The Power of Environmental Norms: Marine Plastic Pollution and the Politics of Microbeads. Review of European, Comparative & International Environmental Law. <https://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/10.1093/reep/rez012>

Amobonye, A., et al. (2021). Plastic Degradation and Its Environmental Implication with Special Reference to Poly(ethylene terephthalate). Polymers. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8340096/>

Amobonye, A., et al. (2021). Environmental Impacts of Plastic Pollution. ACS Omega. <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsomega.1c02760>

Kyaw, B. M., et al. (2020). Challenges with Verifying Microbial Degradation of Polyethylene. Polymers. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7022683/>

Ghatge, S., et al. (2020). Biodegradation of polyethylene: a brief review. Applied Biological Chemistry.(https://www.researchgate.net/publication/341433719_Biodegradation_of_polyethylene_a_brief_review)

Zhang, K., et al. (2023). Unraveling the Mechanisms of Polyethylene Degradation by Rhodococcus sp. A34 Using Time-Series Proteomics. Environmental Science & Technology. <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.est.3c03778>

- Wang, Z., et al. (2023). Biodegradation of plastics and microplastics in the environment by insects and their gut microbes. *Environmental Science and Ecotechnology*.
<https://PMC9852869/>
- Castillo, D. F., et al. (2024). Recent advances in the microbial biodegradation of plastics: a bibliometric analysis. *Frontiers in Microbiology*.
<https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/microbiology/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1510817/full>
- Pinte, A., et al. (2025). Polyethylene biodegradation: A multifaceted approach. *Diva Portal.*(<https://ltu.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:1955313/FULLTEXT01.pdf>)
- Wang, J., et al. (2023). A Review of the Degradation of Polyethylene. *Applied Sciences*.
<https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/13/5/3045>
- Semantic Scholar. (2020). Biodegradation of polyethylene: Current status and future perspectives.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/fd1851af5ffeff0898a2a295122ff16092429352>
- Lee, Y. Y., et al. (2023). Strategies to enhance the biodegradation of plastics. *Critical Reviews in Biotechnology*. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/07388551.2023.2170861>
- Liu, Y., et al. (2022). Advances in the microbial degradation of polyolefins. *Biochemical Society Transactions*. <https://PMC9416408/>
- Ren, L., et al. (2022). Biodegradation and Bioconversion of Plastic Waste. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*. <https://www.mdpi.com/2076-2607/10/1/39>
- Kim, J. H., et al. (2023). Microbial Degradation and Valorization of Plastic Wastes. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*. <https://PMC10607142/>
- Zhang, B., et al. (2023). Bacterial degradation of microplastics in the marine environment. *Frontiers in Marine Science*. <https://PMC9981674/>
- Restrepo-Flórez, J. M., Bassi, A., & Thompson, M. R. (2014). Microbial degradation and deterioration of polyethylene – A review. *International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation*.
<https://dacemirror.sci-hub.se/journal-article/a66cdfb30c7e4c6e94d132a0a8e0a2f7/10.1016@j.ibiod.2013.12.014.pdf>
- Mohanan, N., et al. (2020). Microbial and Enzymatic Degradation of Synthetic Plastics. *Frontiers in Microbiology*. <https://PMC7726165/>
- Pinte, A., et al. (2025). Polyethylene biodegradation: A multifaceted approach. *ResearchGate*.
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/390506954_Polyethylene_biodegradation_A_multifaceted_approach
- Zhang, K., et al. (2023). Recent advances in using microbial consortia for polyethylene biodegradation. *Frontiers in Microbiology*.
<https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/microbiology/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1181967/full>
- ResearchGate. (2025). Microplastic: Interaction with Agroecosystem and Microbial Remediation.
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/259995084_Microbial_degradation_and_deterioration_of_polyethylene_-_A_review
- Biorxiv. (2025). Structural Decay of an Amorphous Poly(ethylene terephthalate) Film by Enzymatic Degradation. <https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2025.03.24.645130v1.full-text>
- Raoufi, H., Taqwa, S., & Fagiryaar, F. (2023). Enzymatic Degradation of Polyethylene and Polyethylene Terephthalate: A Mini Review. *American Journal of Environment and*

Climate. (https://www.researchgate.net/publication/373983620_Enzymatic_Degradation_of_Polyethylene_and_Polyethylene_Terephthalate_A_Mini_Review)

Plastics Engineering. (2025). Enzymatic Polymer Degradation: How Does It Work?.
<https://www.plasticsengineering.org/2025/05/enzymatic-polymer-degradation-how-does-it-work-008609/>

Baumgartner, R., et al. (2024). Correlation of Enzymatic Depolymerization Rates with the Structure of Polyethylene-Like Long-Chain Aliphatic Polyesters. ACS Macro Letters.
<https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsmacrolett.4c00463>

Seton Hall University. (2024). Enzymatic Reduction of Common Microplastics.
<https://scholarship.shu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1012&context=student-reviews>

Danso, D., et al. (2023). The Global Bio-Chem-Cat for Plastics: The Biocatalytic Recycling and Upcycling of Commodity Polymers. Chemical Reviews.
<https://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/acs.chemrev.2c00644>

ResearchGate. (2025). Recent progresses and perspectives of polyethylene biodegradation by bacteria and fungi: A review. (https://www.researchgate.net/publication/387769842_Recent_progresses_and_perspectives_of_polyethylene_biodegradation_by_bacteria_and_fungi_A_review)

International Scholars Journals. (2011). Biodegradation of low density polyethylene (LDPE) by fungi isolated from marine water – a SEM analysis.
<https://www.internationalscholarsjournals.com/articles/biodegradation-of-low-density-polyethylene-ldepe-by-fungi-isolated-from-marine-water-a-sem-analysis.pdf>

ResearchGate. (2023). Polyethylene Degradation by Microbes (Bacteria, Fungus): A Review. (https://www.researchgate.net/publication/371450494_Polyethylene_Degradation_by_Microbes_Bacteria_Fungus_A_Review)

Mrema, N., et al. (2022). Isolation and identification of polyethylene-degrading microorganisms from soils in Morogoro, Tanzania. Frontiers in Microbiology.
<https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/microbiology/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2022.1077588/full>

Khan, S., et al. (2025). Pedospheric Microbes-Mediated Degradation of Synthetic Polymers. Polymers. <https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4360/17/2/169>

Zhang, K., et al. (2023). Recent advances in using microbial consortia for polyethylene biodegradation. Frontiers in Microbiology.
<https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/microbiology/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1181967/full>

Narancic, T., et al. (2024). Microbial Pathways and Enzymes for Degradation of Plastics. Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews.
<https://journals.asm.org/doi/abs/10.1128/mmbr.00087-24>

Mohanan, N., et al. (2020). Microbial and Enzymatic Degradation of Synthetic Plastics. Frontiers in Microbiology. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7726165/>

ResearchGate. (2016). Comparison of the functional characterization between alkane monooxygenases for low-molecular-weight polyethylene biodegradation.
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/305828978_Comparison_of_the_functional_characterization_between_alkane_monooxygenases_for_low-molecular-weight_polyethylene_biodegradation

Liu, Y., et al. (2022). Advances in the microbial degradation of polyolefins. International Journal

of Molecular Sciences. <https://www.mdpi.com/2076-2607/10/8/1537>

Sharma, P., et al. (2024). Recent Advances in Biodegradable Polymers for Sustainable Environmental Applications. Applied Sciences. <https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/14/24/11942>

Royal Society of Chemistry. (2024). Microbial and enzymatic degradation of plastics: a sustainable approach towards environmental sustainability.
<https://pubs.rsc.org/en/content/articlehtml/2024/ra/d4ra00844h>

ResearchGate. (2025). Microbial Consortia: Synergistic Effects on Plastic Degradation and Enzyme Production.(https://www.researchgate.net/publication/392897680_Microbial_Consortia_Synergistic_Effects_on_Plastic_Degradation_and_Enzyme_Production)

Seneviratne, A., et al. (2024). Biodegradation of polyethylene by an artificially constructed bacterial consortium. Journal of Society for Microbial Engineering.
https://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/article/jsme2/39/3/39_ME24031/_html/-char/en

Kumar, A., et al. (2024). Synergistic degradation of polyethylene terephthalate by microbial consortia. Journal of Hazardous Materials.

<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12453932/>

Zhang, K., et al. (2023). Recent advances in using microbial consortia for polyethylene biodegradation. Frontiers in Microbiology. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10150012/>

Pinto, M., et al. (2022). Polyethylene Biofilm-Associated Prokaryotic Communities from the North Adriatic Sea Show the Ability To Utilize Low-Density Polyethylene-Associated Compounds. mSystems. <https://journals.asm.org/doi/10.1128/msystems.01415-21>

Zettler, E. R., et al. (2022). Microbial communities on post-consumer plastic waste in wastewater treatment plants. International Journal of Molecular Sciences.
<https://www.mdpi.com/1422-0067/25/23/12747>

Ghatge, S., et al. (2020). Biodegradation of polyethylene: a brief review. ResearchGate.(https://www.researchgate.net/publication/341433719_Biodegradation_of_polyethylene_a_brief_review)

Kyaw, B. M., et al. (2020). Challenges with Verifying Microbial Degradation of Polyethylene. Polymers. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7022683/>

Zhou, Y., et al. (2022). Isolation and Identification of Polyethylene Degrading Bacteria from Rhizopertha dominica and Degradation Performance of Its Multi-Copper Oxidase. Polymers.
<https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4360/14/23/5127>

Ghatge, S., et al. (2020). Biodegradation of polyethylene: a brief review. Applied Biological Chemistry.(https://www.researchgate.net/publication/341433719_Biodegradation_of_polyethylene_a_brief_review)

ResearchGate. (2020). Analytical review on Biodegradation of Plastics.(https://www.researchgate.net/publication/344498876_Analytical_review_on_Biodegradation_of_Plastics)

U.S. Department of Energy. (2024). Critical review of analytical techniques for the characterization of plastic surfaces during enzymatic degradation.
<https://www.osti.gov/pages/biblio/2438502>

Samuel, M. S., et al. (2020). Biodegradation of low-density polyethylene by Streptomyces werraensis SDJM: an LCMS and GCMS-SPME study. NEPT

Journal.([https://neptjournal.com/upload-images/\(15\)B-3772.pdf](https://neptjournal.com/upload-images/(15)B-3772.pdf))

National Center for Scientific and Technical Information of Ukraine. (2022). New Halophilic Community Degrades Plastics: A Metagenomic Study.(<https://ouci.dntb.gov.ua/en/works/4YoaDRM9/>)

Tribedi, P., & Sil, A. K. (2020). Low-Density Polyethylene Degradation by *Pseudomonas* sp. AKS2 Biofilm. *Frontiers in Microbiology*. <https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/microbiology/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2020.603210/full>

ResearchGate. (2022). Figure: SEM analysis of LDPE biodegradation.(https://www.researchgate.net/figure/SEM-analysis-of-LDPE-biodegradation-at-different-resolutions-500-2-500-a-control_fig4_364082699)

Vijaya, C., & Reddy, M. (2011). Biodegradation of low density polyethylene (LDPE) by fungi isolated from marine water- a SEM analysis. International Scholars Journals. <https://www.internationalscholarsjournals.com/articles/biodegradation-of-low-density-polyethylene-ldepe-by-fungi-isolated-from-marine-water-a-sem-analysis.pdf>

Bioflux. (2024). Biodegradation of low-density polyethylene (LDPE) plastic film by marine bacteria. <https://bioflux.com.ro/docs/2024.2735-2741.pdf>

Kyaw, B. M., et al. (2020). Challenges with Verifying Microbial Degradation of Polyethylene. *Polymers*. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7022683/>

Pirvu, A., et al. (2024). Biodegradation of Low-Density Polyethylene by Marine Yeasts. *Journal of Fungi*. <https://www.mdpi.com/1424-2818/16/12/759>

Water Science and Technology. (2023). Investigating biodegradation of polyethylene and polypropylene microplastics. <https://iwaponline.com/wst/article/88/11/2996/98692/Investigating-biodegradation-of-polyethylene-and>

LUME UFRGS. (2022). Evaluation of the photooxidative degradation of HDPE/LDPE films by FTIR. <https://lume.ufrgs.br/bitstream/handle/10183/256496/001165080.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y>

Capozzi, F., et al. (2023). ATR-FTIR Spectroscopy to Evaluate the Natural Weathering of Environmental Microplastics. *Polymers*. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9961336/>

ResearchGate. (2016). Figure: The FTIR spectra of the degraded polyethylene.(https://www.researchgate.net/figure/The-FTIR-spectra-of-the-degraded-polyethylene-LDPE-strips-and-pellets-by-bacterial_fig3_303872553)

Madison Group. (n.d.). Identifying Failures and Ensuring Quality of Plastics Using FTIR. <https://madisongroup.com/identifying-failures-and-ensuring-quality-of-plastic-materials/>

Jordi Labs. (n.d.). Degradation of Polyethylene by FTIR and High Temperature GPC.(https://jordilabs.com/wp-content/uploads/filebase/Case_Study_Degradation_of_PE_FTIR_HGPC.pdf)

Zannotti, M., et al. (2024). μ -FTIR Reflectance Spectroscopy Coupled with Multivariate Analysis. *Analytical Chemistry*. <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.analchem.4c04281>

Agilent. (n.d.). GPC/SEC Analysis of Engineering Polymers.(<https://www.agilent.com/cs/library/applications/5990-6970EN%20GPC%20SEC%20Polymers%20app%20compend.pdf>)

Agilent. (n.d.). GPC/SEC Analysis of Polyolefins. (<https://www.agilent.com/cs/library/applications/5990-6971EN%20GPC-SEC%20Polyolefins%20app%20compend.pdf>)

Technology Networks. (n.d.). GPC/SEC Analysis of Polymer Degradation. (<https://cdn.technologynetworks.com/tn/Resources/pdf/gpcsec-analysis-of-polymer-degradation.pdf>)

Jordi Labs. (n.d.). Molecular Weight of Biodegradable Polymers by GPC. <https://jordilabs.com/knb/case-studies/molecular-weight-of-biodegradable-polymers-by-gpc/>

Sepscience. (n.d.). GPC Analysis of Polymers: Measuring Molecular Weight and Distribution. <https://www.sepscience.com/gpc-analysis-of-polymers-measuring-molecular-weight-and-distribution-12025>

Al-Salem, S. M., et al. (2023). A review on bio-based polyethylene (bio-PE) and its degradation. *Journal of Environmental Management.* <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10598529/>

MDPI. (2022). Standard Methodologies for Biodegradation of Plastics in Soil. <https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4360/14/7/1359>

Theobald, M., et al. (2025). Harmonizing experimental approaches for studying plastic degradation in aquatic environments. *Frontiers in Environmental Science.* <https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/environmental-science/articles/10.3389/fenvs.2025.1677793/full>

Kyaw, B. M., et al. (2020). Challenges with Verifying Microbial Degradation of Polyethylene. *Polymers.* (<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7022683/#:~:text=These%20limitations%20include%20the%20lack,our%20understanding%20of%20the%20processes>)

ResearchGate. (2024). Biodegradability of polyethylene: Challenges and future perspectives. (https://www.researchgate.net/publication/385077019_Biodegradability_of_polyethylene_Challenges_and_future_perspectives)

Kyaw, B. M., et al. (2020). Challenges with Verifying Microbial Degradation of Polyethylene. *Polymers.* <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7022683/>

Wang, J., et al. (2023). A Review of the Degradation of Polyethylene. *Applied Sciences.* <https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/13/5/3045>

Ghatge, S., et al. (2020). Biodegradation of polyethylene: a brief review. *Applied Biological Chemistry.* (https://www.researchgate.net/publication/341433719_Biodegradation_of_polyethylene_a_brief_review)

Yuan, J., et al. (2020). Microbial degradation of microplastics: a review on current knowledge and future perspectives. *Journal of Hazardous Materials.* https://www.researchgate.net/publication/338844575_Microbial_degradation_and_other_environmental_aspects_of_microplasticsplastics

IACademic. (2024). Biodegradation of microplastics: A review. <https://iac.iacademic.info/hxjz/EN/10.7536/PC240706>

Mohanan, N., et al. (2020). Microbial and Enzymatic Degradation of Synthetic Plastics. *Frontiers in Microbiology.* <https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/microbiology/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2020.580709/full>

- Dimov, D., et al. (2021). Biodegradation of plastics by extremophilic bacteria. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. <https://www.mdpi.com/1422-0067/22/11/5610>
- Li, J., et al. (2024). Microbial Degradation of (Micro)plastics: Current Situation, Influencing Factors, and Future Perspectives. Molecules. <https://www.mdpi.com/2311-5637/10/9/441>
- Singh, B., et al. (2023). Microbial degradation of plastics: mechanism, challenges, and opportunities. International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10386651/>
- Yu, H. (2024). Microbial Degradation of Plastics: Mechanisms, Challenges, and Applications. (https://www.researchgate.net/publication/395837087_Title_Microbial_Degradation_of_Plastics_Mechanisms_Challenges_and_Applications)
- Shankar, S., et al. (2019). Microbial Degradation of Polyethylene: Recent Progress and Challenges. ResearchGate. (https://www.researchgate.net/publication/332935112_Microbial_Degradation_of_Polyethylene_Recent_Progress_and_Challenges)
- Zhang, K., et al. (2023). Recent advances in using microbial consortia for polyethylene biodegradation. Frontiers in Microbiology. <https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/microbiology/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1181967/full>
- Lee, Y. Y., et al. (2023). Strategies to enhance the biodegradation of plastics. Critical Reviews in Biotechnology. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/07388551.2023.2170861>
- Khan, S., et al. (2025). Pedospheric Microbes-Mediated Degradation of Synthetic Polymers. Polymers. <https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4360/17/2/169>
- Shilpa, et al. (2022). Microbial biodegradation of plastics: Challenges, opportunities, and future prospects. Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9295099/>
- ResearchGate. (2023). Polyethylene-biodegrading Microbes and Their Future Directions. (https://www.researchgate.net/publication/368256401_Polyethylene-biodegrading_Microbes_and_Their_Future_Directions)
- ResearchGate. (2021). Knowledge Gaps in Polymer Biodegradation Research. (https://www.researchgate.net/publication/353814455_Knowledge_Gaps_in_Polymer_Biodegradation_Research)
- MDPI. (2025). Polyethylene and Polyethylene-Derived Microplastics: A Review. <https://www.mdpi.com/2304-8158/14/14/2408>
- Haider, T. P., et al. (2021). Bridging the Gaps in Biodegradable Plastics: Misconceptions and Truths. Polymers. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8160376/>
- Schmid, J. (2024). Is the research field on biodegradation of hydrocarbon polymers chasing rainbows? New Biotechnology. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbt.2024.08.503>
- ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering. (2021). Biodegradable Plastics: The Need for a Systems Approach. <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acssuschemeng.1c00801>
- The ISME Journal. (2024). Experimentally evolved bacterial consortia enhance polyethylene degradation through synergistic interactions. <https://academic.oup.com/ismej/advance-article/doi/10.1093/ismejo/wraf223/8277623?searchresult=1>
- Ghatge, S., et al. (2020). Biodegradation of polyethylene: a brief review. Applied Biological

Chemistry.(https://www.researchgate.net/publication/341433719_Biodegradation_of_polyethylene_a_brief_review)

ResearchGate. (2025). Microbial Consortia: Synergistic Effects on Plastic Degradation and Enzyme Production. (https://www.researchgate.net/publication/392897680_Microbial_Consortia_Synergistic_Effects_on_Plastic_Degradation_and_Enzyme_Production)

Biorxiv. (2025). Experimentally evolved bacterial consortia enhance polyethylene degradation through synergistic interactions.
<https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2025.04.01.646683v1.full.pdf>

Kyaw, B. M., et al. (2020). Challenges with Verifying Microbial Degradation of Polyethylene. Polymers. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7022683/>

Zhou, J., et al. (2024). Synergistic bioremediation: Fungal-bacterial partnership degrades LDPE microplastics twice as fast. Journal of Hazardous Materials.
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC1240558/>

ResearchGate. (2013). Evaluation of the rate of abiotic degradation of biodegradable polyethylene in various environments.
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/244358176_Evaluation_of_the_rate_of_abiotic_degradation_of_biodegradable_polyethylene_in_various_environments

MDPI. (2024). Effect of Abiotic Treatments on Agricultural Plastic Waste: Efficiency of the Degradation Processes. <https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4360/16/3/359>

ResearchGate. (2021). Review on Efficacy of Microbial Degradation of Polyethylene Terephthalate and Bio-upcycling. (https://www.researchgate.net/publication/353587828_Review_on_Efficacy_of_Microbial_Degradation_of_Polyethylene_Terephthalate_and_Bio-upcycling_as_a_Part_of_Plastic_Waste_Management/download)

MDPI. (2025). Effects of Artificial Weathering on Oxo-Degradable Polyethylene.
<https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4360/17/13/1798>

UTM Bioprocessing. (2021). Microbial Biodegradation of Plastics: A Review.
<https://bioprocessing.utm.my/index.php/bioprocessing/article/view/69>

PMC. (2024). Bacterial Degradation of Low-Density Polyethylene Preferentially Targets the Amorphous Regions of the Polymer. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11511126/>

Narancic, T., et al. (2024). Microbial Pathways and Enzymes for Degradation of Plastics. Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews.
<https://journals.asm.org/doi/abs/10.1128/mmbr.00087-24>

Dash, S. K., et al. (2020). Metagenomic insights into the plastic degradation: A new hope for plastic waste management. Journal of Environmental Management.
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7521044/>

ResearchGate. (2023). Review of microplastic degradation: Understanding metagenomic approaches. (https://www.researchgate.net/publication/374243342_Review_of_microplastic_degradation_Understanding_metagenomic_approaches_for_microplastic_degrading_organisms)

ResearchGate. (2024). Omic-driven strategies to unveil microbiome potential for biodegradation of plastics: a review.
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/385096111_Omic-driven_strategies_to_unveil_micro

biome_potential_for_biodegradation_of_plastics_a_review

PLOS ONE. (2024). Metagenomic mining of the plastisphere for novel plastic-degrading enzymes. <https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0300503>

PubMed. (2024). Omic-driven strategies to unveil microbiome potential for biodegradation of plastics: a review. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/39432094/>

Mohanam, N., et al. (2020). Microbial and Enzymatic Degradation of Synthetic Plastics. Frontiers in Microbiology. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7726165/>

Protein Engineering, Design and Selection. (2024). Directed evolution of plastic-degrading enzymes: current challenges and future directions. <https://academic.oup.com/peds/article/doi/10.1093/protein/gzae009/7666632>

Raoufi, H., Taqwa, S., & Fagiryaar, F. (2023). Enzymatic Degradation of Polyethylene and Polyethylene Terephthalate: A Mini Review. American Journal of Environment and Climate. (https://www.researchgate.net/publication/373983620_Enzymatic_Degradation_of_Polyethylene_and_Polyethylene_Terephthalate_A_Mini_Review)

Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology. (2024). Discovery and engineering of two polyester hydrolases from *Pseudomonas* sp. JM16B3. <https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/bioengineering-and-biotechnology/articles/10.3389/fbioe.2024.1349010/full>

Danso, D., et al. (2023). The Global Bio-Chem-Cat for Plastics: The Biocatalytic Recycling and Upcycling of Commodity Polymers. Chemical Reviews. <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/acs.chemrev.2c00644>

Frontiers in Microbiology. (2020). Microbial Hydrolases for Polyethylene Terephthalate Degradation. <https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/microbiology/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2020.571265/full>

Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University. (2023). The Future of Plastic Degradation: A Technological and Economic Perspective. <https://commons.erau.edu/beyond/vol8/iss1/10/>

ResearchGate. (2024). Biodegradability of polyethylene: Challenges and future perspectives. (https://www.researchgate.net/publication/385077019_Biodegradability_of_polyethylene_Challenges_and_future_perspectives)

Lee, Y. Y., et al. (2023). Strategies to enhance the biodegradation of plastics. Critical Reviews in Biotechnology. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/07388551.2023.2170861>

PubMed. (2018). Biodegradable plastics: A promising and attractive option. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29332271/>

Wang, J., et al. (2023). A Review of the Degradation of Polyethylene. Applied Sciences. <https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/13/5/3045>

MDPI. (2025). Recent Advances in Chemical and Biological Technologies for Converting and Utilizing Plastic Waste. <https://www.mdpi.com/1420-3049/30/6/1255>

ACS Environmental Au. (2025). Microbial Enzyme-Assisted Microplastic Degradation: A Review. <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsenvIRONAU.5c00033>

PMC. (2023). Biochemical and Biophysical Methods to Facilitate the Development of Plastic-Degrading Enzymes. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10526444/>

ResearchGate. (2023). Future focuses of enzymatic plastic degradation. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/370389309_Future_focuses_of_enzymatic_plastic_degradation

egration

- Preprints.org. (2025). Recent Advances in the Discovery, Characterization, and Engineering of Plastic-Degrading Enzymes. <https://www.preprints.org/manuscript/202504.0667/v1/download>
- Mohanam, N., et al. (2020). Microbial and Enzymatic Degradation of Synthetic Plastics. Frontiers in Microbiology. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7726165/>
- Emerging Investigators. (2023). A Novel Approach to Accelerated Depolymerization of Plastics from Surgical Face Masks. <https://emerginginvestigators.org/articles/23-294/pdf>
- ResearchGate. (2019). Microbial Bioremediation and Different Bioreactors Designs Applied. (https://www.researchgate.net/publication/336779261_Microbial_Bioremediation_and_Different_Bioreactors_Designs_Applied)
- Royal Society of Chemistry. (2024). Microbial and enzymatic degradation of plastics: a sustainable approach towards environmental sustainability. <https://pubs.rsc.org/en/content/articlehtml/2024/ra/d4ra00844h>
- Kim, J. H., et al. (2023). Microbial Degradation and Valorization of Plastic Wastes. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10607142/>
- Sanchez, C. (2021). Microbial remediation of plastic additives. Journal of Hazardous Materials. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8217394/>
- Mohanam, N., et al. (2020). Microbial and Enzymatic Degradation of Synthetic Plastics. Frontiers in Microbiology. <https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/microbiology/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2020.580709/full>
- SCIEPublish. (2024). Synthetic Biology Boosts the Biological Depolymerization and Upcycling of Waste Plastic Resources. <https://www.sciepublish.com/article/pii/440>
- ACS Publications. (2024). Bioinspired Strategies for Plastic Degradation and Upcycling. <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jacsau.4c00388>
- Dash, S. K., et al. (2020). Metagenomic insights into the plastic degradation: A new hope for plastic waste management. Journal of Environmental Management. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7521044/>
- Polytechnique Insights. (2023). How synthetic biology could help manage the plastic waste problem. <https://www.polytechnique-insights.com/en/columns/health-and-biotech/how-synthetic-biology-could-help-manage-the-plastic-waste-problem/>
- MDPI. (2024). Interactions of Synthetic Polymers, Microorganisms, and Humic Substances. <https://www.mdpi.com/2076-2607/12/10/2024>
- ACS Publications. (2025). Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry. <https://pubs.acs.org/journal/jafcau>
- Ghatge, S., et al. (2020). Biodegradation of polyethylene: a brief review. Applied Biological Chemistry. (https://www.researchgate.net/publication/341433719_Biodegradation_of_polyethylene_a_brief_review)
- Mrema, N., et al. (2022). Isolation and identification of polyethylene-degrading microorganisms from soils in Morogoro, Tanzania. Frontiers in Microbiology. <https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/microbiology/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2022.1077588/full>
- PMC. (2024). Marine-Derived Enzymes for Poly(ethylene terephthalate) (PET) Depolymerization. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11509169/>

- Raoufi, H., Taqwa, S., & Fagiryaar, F. (2023). Enzymatic Degradation of Polyethylene and Polyethylene Terephthalate: A Mini Review. American Journal of Environment and Climate. (https://www.researchgate.net/publication/373983620_Enzymatic_Degradation_of_Polyethylene_and_Polyethylene_Terephthalate_A_Mini_Review)
- Zhou, Y., et al. (2022). Isolation and Identification of Polyethylene Degrading Bacteria from Rhizopertha dominica and Degradation Performance of Its Multi-Copper Oxidase. Polymers. <https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4360/14/23/5127>
- ACS Publications. (2024). Putative Polyethylene-Degrading Enzymes Identified from a Marine Bacterial Community. <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsomega.4c04843>
- ResearchGate. (2023). Figure: A schematic illustration of potential polyethylene degradation pathway. https://www.researchgate.net/figure/A-schematic-illustration-of-potential-polyethylene-degradation-pathway-summarizing-the_fig6_374737149
- Wang, J., et al. (2023). A Review of the Degradation of Polyethylene. Applied Sciences. <https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/13/5/3045>
- Pinte, A., et al. (2025). Polyethylene biodegradation: A multifaceted approach. Diva Portal. (<https://ltu.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:1955313/FULLTEXT01.pdf>)
- Royal Society of Chemistry. (2015). Pathways for degradation of plastic polymers in the environment. <https://pubs.rsc.org/en/content/articlehtml/2015/em/c5em00207a>
- Zhang, K., et al. (2023). Unraveling the Mechanisms of Polyethylene Degradation by Rhodococcus sp. A34 Using Time-Series Proteomics. Environmental Science & Technology. <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.est.3c03778>
- ACS Publications. (2016). Extracellular Targeting of Cell Surface Proteins with Bifunctional Molecules. <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jacs.5c15047>
- MDPI. (2024). Biodegradation Behavior of PLA in Diverse Environmental Settings. <https://www.mdpi.com/2673-9623/5/1/1>
- MDPI. (2024). Degradation of Conventional and Biodegradable Plastics in Real Environments. <https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/16/21/9395>
- Lee, Y. Y., et al. (2023). Strategies to enhance the biodegradation of plastics. Critical Reviews in Biotechnology. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/07388551.2023.2170861>
- Zrimec, J., et al. (2021). Plastic-Degrading Enzymes Are Widespread in the Global Microbiome. mBio. <https://journals.asm.org/doi/10.1128/mbio.02155-21>
- Singh, B., et al. (2023). Microbial degradation of plastics: mechanism, challenges, and opportunities. International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10386651/>
- Orbit DTU. (2023). Degradation of biodegradable plastics in the open environment and waste management systems. (https://orbit.dtu.dk/files/347508696/1-s2.0-S0959652623041586-main_1_.pdf)
- Frontiers. (2023). Recent advances in using microbial consortia for polyethylene biodegradation. <https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/microbiology/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1181967/full>
- IUCN. (2024). Plastic pollution. <https://www.iucn.org/sites/default/files/2024-05/plastic-pollution-issues-brief-may-2024-upd>

ate.pdf

XZ-Bio. (n.d.). Biodegradation of polyethylene: a brief review.

<https://in.xzbiosludge.com/resources/biodegradation-of-polyethylene-a-brief-review-applied-biological-chemistry.html>

Kyaw, B. M., et al. (2020). Challenges with Verifying Microbial Degradation of Polyethylene.

Polymers. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7022683/>

Frontiers. (2023). Recent advances in using microbial consortia for polyethylene biodegradation.

<https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/microbiology/articles/10.3389/fmich.2023.1181967/full>

Seton Hall University. (2024). Enzymatic Reduction of Common Microplastics.

<https://scholarship.shu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1012&context=student-reviews>

Liu, Y., et al. (2022). Advances in the microbial degradation of polyolefins. Biochemical Society Transactions. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9416408/>

Mohanan, N., et al. (2020). Microbial and Enzymatic Degradation of Synthetic Plastics.

Frontiers in Microbiology. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7726165/>

Plastics Engineering. (2025). Enzymatic Polymer Degradation: How Does It Work?.

<https://www.plasticsengineering.org/2025/05/enzymatic-polymer-degradation-how-does-it-work-008609/>

Seton Hall University. (2024). Enzymatic Reduction of Common Microplastics.

<https://scholarship.shu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1012&context=student-reviews>

ResearchGate. (2025). Microbial Consortia: Synergistic Effects on Plastic Degradation and Enzyme Production.(https://www.researchgate.net/publication/392897680_Microbial_Consortia_Synergistic_Effects_on_Plastic_Degradation_and_Enzyme_Production)

Liu, Y., et al. (2022). Advances in the microbial degradation of polyolefins. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. <https://www.mdpi.com/2076-2607/10/8/1537>

ResearchGate. (2023). Polyethylene Degradation by Microbes (Bacteria, Fungus): A Review.(https://www.researchgate.net/publication/371450494_Polyethylene_Degradation_by_Microbes_Bacteria_Fungus_A_Review)

Seneviratne, A., et al. (2024). Biodegradation of polyethylene by an artificially constructed bacterial consortium. Journal of Society for Microbial Engineering.

https://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/article/jsme2/39/3/39_ME24031/_html/-char/en

Ghatge, S., et al. (2020). Biodegradation of polyethylene: a brief review.

ResearchGate.(https://www.researchgate.net/publication/341433719_Biodegradation_of_polyethylene_a_brief_review)

Zhou, Y., et al. (2022). Isolation and Identification of Polyethylene Degrading Bacteria from Rhizopertha dominica and Degradation Performance of Its Multi-Copper Oxidase. Polymers. <https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4360/14/23/5127>

Pinto, M., et al. (2022). Polyethylene Biofilm-Associated Prokaryotic Communities from the North Adriatic Sea Show the Ability To Utilize Low-Density Polyethylene-Associated Compounds. mSystems. <https://journals.asm.org/doi/10.1128/msystems.01415-21>

ResearchGate. (2025). Microbial Consortia: Synergistic Effects on Plastic Degradation and Enzyme Production.(https://www.researchgate.net/publication/392897680_Microbial_Consortia_Synergistic_Effects_on_Plastic_Degradation_and_Enzyme_Production)