

HOW TO DESIGN SURVEYS AND EXPERIMENTS FOR TOP TIER PUBLISHING

Literature list

(Note: We require that you read the literature that is written in **bold**)

- **Antonakis, J. (2017). On doing better science: From thrill of discovery to policy implications. Leadership Quarterly, 28(1), 5–21.** <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2017.01.006>
- Bernerth, J. B., & Aguinis, H. (2016). A critical review and best-practice recommendations for control variable usage. *Personnel Psychology*, 69(1), 229–283. <http://doi.org/10.1111/peps.12103>
- **Busse, C., Kach, A. P., & Wagner, S. M. (2016). Boundary conditions: What they are, how to explore them, why we need them, and when to consider them. Organizational Research Methods.** <http://doi.org/10.1177/1094428116641191>
- Dawson, J. F. (2014). Moderation in management research: What, why, when, and how. *Journal of Business and Psychology*, 29(1), 1–19. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s10869-013-9308-7>
- Jacoby, J., & Sassenberg, K. (2011). Interactions do not only tell us when, but can also tell us how: Testing process hypotheses by interaction. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 41(2), 180–190. <http://doi.org/10.1002/ejsp.762>
- **Lonati, S., Quiroga, B. F., Zehnder, C., & Antonakis, J. (2018). On doing relevant and rigorous experiments: Review and recommendations. Journal of Operations Management, 64(1), 19–40.** <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2018.10.003>
- Mortensen, C. R., & Cialdini, R. B. (2009). Full-cycle social psychology for theory and application. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*, 4(1), 53–63. <http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-9004.2009.00239.x>
- Parker, T. H., Griffith, S. C., Bronstein, J. L., Fidler, F., Foster, S., Fraser, H., ... Nakagawa, S. (2018). Empowering peer reviewers with a checklist to improve transparency. *Nature Ecology and Evolution*, 2 (June), 929–935. <http://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-018-0545-z>
- **Pillutla, M. M., & Thau, S. (2013). Organizational sciences' obsession with "that's interesting!". Organizational Psychology Review, 3(2), 187–194.** <http://doi.org/10.1177/2041386613479963>
- Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., Lee, J.-Y., & Podsakoff, N. P. (2003). Common method biases in behavioral research: A critical review of the literature and recommended remedies. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 88(5), 879–903. <http://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.88.5.879>
- Schwarz, N. (1999). Self-reports: How the questions shape the answers. *American Psychologist*. <http://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.54.2.93>

- Spencer, S. J., Zanna, M. P., & Fong, G. T. (2005). Establishing a causal chain: Why experiments are often more effective than mediational analyses in examining psychological processes. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 89(6), 845–51. <http://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.89.6.845>
- Thau, S., Pitesa, M., & Pillutla, M. (2014). **Experiments in Organizational Behavior. Laboratory Experiments in the Social Sciences: Second Edition**, 433–447. <http://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-404681-8.00019-4>.
- Van Quaquebeke, N., Salem, M., van Dijke, M., & Wenzel, R. (in press). Conducting organizational survey and experimental research online: From convenient to ambitious in study designs, recruiting, and data quality. *Organizational Psychology Review*, 204138662210975. <https://doi.org/10.1177/20413866221097571>
- Wellman, N., Tröster, C., Grimes, M., Roberson, Q., Rink, F., & Gruber, M. (2023). Publishing multimethod research in AMJ: A review and best-practice recommendations. *Academy of Management Journal*, 66(4), 1007-1015
- Wenzel, R., & Van Quaquebeke, N. (2018). The Double-Edged Sword of Big Data in Organizational and Management Research. *Organizational Research Methods*, 21, 548-591. <http://doi.org/10.1177/1094428117718627>

Last updated November 12, 2024