Congratulations! You passed!

Grade received 91.66% To pass 80% or higher

Go to next item

On-policy Prediction with Approximation				
Tot	al points 12			
1.	Which of the following statements is true about function approximation in reinforcement learning? (Select all that apply)	1/1 point		
	We only use function approximation because we have to for large or continuous state spaces. We would use tabular methods if we could, and learn an independent value per state.			
	It can help the agent achieve good generalization with good discrimination, so that it learns faster and represent the values quite accurately.			
	Correct Correct. Recall the 2D plot of generalization and discrimination. Tabular methods discriminate between different states perfectly but with no generalization. Alternatively, one could treat all states as the same, with each update generalizing to all states but with no discrimination. Ideal function approximation methods achieves both good generalization and good discrimination.			
	✓ It can be more memory efficient.			
	Correct Correct! We cannot enumerate and store all states in a table for large or continuous state spaces. By using function approximation, we can use fewer parameters to represent the value function.			
	It allows faster training by generalizing between states.			
	Correct Correct! Function approximation allows the agent to generalize to unseen but similar states, and can learn the value function more quickly. Furthermore, in continuous state/action spaces the agent may never see the same state twice and we need such generalization to accurately estimate the values.			
2.	We learned how value function estimation can be framed as supervised learning. But not all supervised learning methods are suitable. What are some key differences in reinforcement learning that can make it hard to apply standard supervised learning methods?	1/1 point		
	Data is temporally correlated in reinforcement learning.			
	When using bootstrapping methods like TD, the target labels change.			
	 Correct Correct. Targets depend on our own estimates, and these estimates change as learning progresses. 			
	Data is available as a fixed batch.			
3.	Value Prediction (or Policy Evaluation) with Function Approximation can be viewed as supervised learning mainly because [choose the most appropriate completion of the proceeding statement]	1/1 point		
	We can learn the value function by training with batches of data obtained from the agent's interaction with the world.			
	We use stochastic gradient descent to learn the value function.			
	Each state and its target estimate (used in the Monte Carlo update, TD(0) update, and DP update) can be seen as input-output training examples to estimate a continuous function.			

 $\bigodot \textbf{ Correct} \\ \textbf{They can be seen as an (input, output) training example with } (S_t, G_t) \textbf{ for Monte Carlo update,} \\ (S_t, R_{t+1} + \gamma V_\pi(S_{t+1})) \textbf{ for TD(0) update, and } (s, E_\pi(R_{t+1} + \gamma V_\pi(S_{t+1})|S_t = s)) \textbf{ for DP update. Each } \\ \textbf{ Constant } (S_t, R_{t+1} + \gamma V_\pi(S_{t+1})|S_t = s) \textbf{ for DP update. } \textbf{ Each } \\ \textbf{ Constant } (S_t, R_{t+1} + \gamma V_\pi(S_{t+1})|S_t = s) \textbf{ for DP update. } \textbf{ Each } \\ \textbf{ Constant } (S_t, R_{t+1} + \gamma V_\pi(S_{t+1})|S_t = s) \textbf{ for DP update. } \textbf{ Each } \\ \textbf{ Constant } (S_t, R_{t+1} + \gamma V_\pi(S_{t+1})|S_t = s) \textbf{ for DP update. } \textbf{ Each } \textbf{ Constant } \textbf{ Const$

	Which of the following is true about using Mean Squared Value Error ($ar{VE}=\sum\mu(s)[v_\pi(s)-\hat{v}(s,w)]^2$) as the prediction objective?	1 / 1 point
	u(s) represents the weighted distribution of visited states	
	(Select all that apply)	
I	Gradient Monte Carlo with linear function approximation converges to the global optimum of this objective, if the step size is reduced over time.	
	 Correct Correct. There are stronger theoretical guarantees with linear function approximation than with non-linear function approximation. 	
I	The agent can get zero MSVE when using a tabular representation that can represent the true values.	
	Correct Correct. In fact, in the tabular setting, we did not define an objective because we did not need to. With a table of values, we can represent the true value function exactly. So we do not need an objective to help specify how to trade-off accuracy.	
1	\checkmark This objective makes it explicit how we should trade-off accuracy of the value estimates across states, using the weighting μ .	
	\bigodot Correct Correct. $\mu(s)$ is a weighting of how much we care about the error in state s , and we usually choose $\mu(s)$ to be the fraction of time we spend in state s .	
(The agent can get zero MSVE when using a linear representation that cannot represent the true values of states visited ($\mu(s) \neq 0$)	
5.	Which of the following is true about $\mu(S)$ in Mean Squared Value Error? (Select all that apply)	1/1 point
١	✓ It is a probability distribution.	
	○ Correct Correct.	
ı	✓ It has higher values for states that are visited more often.	
	○ Correct Correct.	
ı	It serves as a weighting to minimize the error more in states that we care about.	
	○ Correct Correct.	
l	$\hfill \square$ If the policy is uniformly random, $\mu(S)$ would have the same value for all states.	
6.	The stochastic gradient descent update for the MSVE would be as follows.	0.5 / 1 point
1	Fill in the blanks (A), (B), (C) and (D) with correct terms. (Select all correct answers)	
,	$\mathbf{w_{t+1}} \doteq \mathbf{w_t} (A) \frac{1}{2} \alpha \nabla [(C) - (D)]^2$	
	$= \mathbf{w_t} (B) \alpha[(C) - (D)] \nabla \hat{v}(S_t, \mathbf{w_t})$	
	$(\alpha > 0)$	
1	$\square +, +, \hat{v}(S_t, \mathbf{w_t}), v_{\pi}(S_t)$	
($-,-,\hat{v}(S_t,\mathbf{w_t}),v_\pi(S_t)$	
($\square -, +, v_{\pi}(S_t), \hat{v}(S_t, \mathbf{w_t})$	

 $\square + . - . v_{\pi}(S_{t}). \hat{v}(S_{t}. \mathbf{w_{t}})$

7. In a Monte Carlo Update with function approximation, we do stochastic gradient descent using the following gradient:

0.5 / 1 point

$$\nabla[G_t - \hat{v}(s, \mathbf{w})]^2 = 2[G_t - \hat{v}(s, \mathbf{w})]\nabla(-\hat{v}(S_t, \mathbf{w}_t))$$
$$= (-1) * 2[G_t - \hat{v}(s, \mathbf{w})]\nabla\hat{v}(S_t, \mathbf{w}_t)$$

But the actual Monte Carlo Update rule is the following:

$$\mathbf{w}_{t+1} = \mathbf{w}_t + \alpha [G_t - \hat{v}(S_t, \mathbf{w}_t)] \nabla \hat{v}(S_t, \mathbf{w}_t), \quad (\alpha > 0)$$

Where did the constant -1 and 2 go when lpha is positive? (Choose all that apply)

- We are performing gradient descent, so we subtract the gradient from the weights, negating -1.
- We assume that the 2 is included in the step-size.

⊘ Correct

Correct. It is equivalent to use α or 2α , because we select α . If we want to use an α of 0.1 for the gradient with a 2 in front, then it is equivalent to use an α of 0.2 without a 2 in front of the gradient.

✓ We are performing gradient ascent, so we subtract the gradient from the weights, negating -1.

X This should not be selected

Incorrect. We are performing gradient descent, so we subtract the gradient from the weights, negating -1.

- \square We assume that the 2 is included in $\nabla \hat{v}(S_t, \mathbf{w}_t)$.
- 8. When using stochastic gradient descent for learning the value function, why do we only make a small update towards minimizing the error instead of fully minimizing the error at each encountered state?

1 / 1 point

- Because small updates guarantee we can slowly reduce approximation error to zero for all states.
- lacktriangle Because we want to minimize approximation error for all states, proportionally to μ .
- $\bigcirc \ \ \text{Because the target value may not be accurate initially for both TD(0) and Monte Carlo method.}$
- ✓ Correc

Correct! With function approximation, the agents have limited capacity and minimizing the approximation error for one state invariably increases the error for other states. We want to make small updates so that the error is reduced across states, proportionally to the weighting μ .

9. The general stochastic gradient descent update rule for state-value prediction is as follows:

1 / 1 point

$$\mathbf{w_{t+1}} \doteq \mathbf{w_t} + \alpha [U_t - \hat{v}(S_t, \mathbf{w_t})] \nabla \hat{v}(S_t, \mathbf{w_t})$$

For what values of U_t would this be a semi-gradient method?

- $\bigcirc G_t$
- $\bigcap R_{t+1} + R_{t+2} + \ldots + R_T$
- $R_{t+1} + \hat{v}(S_{t+1}, w_t)$
- $\bigcup v_{\pi}(S_t)$

⊘ Correct

Correct. This is the typical TD(0) bootstrapping target, which depends on the current weight vector \mathbf{w}_t . It will not produce a true gradient estimate, because its expected value is not equal to true v_{π^+} .

$\mathbf{w_{t+1}} \doteq \mathbf{w_t} + \alpha[U_t - \hat{v}(S_t, \mathbf{w_t})] \nabla \hat{v}(S_t, \mathbf{w_t})$		
(Select all that apply)		
Semi-gradient TD(0) methods typically learn faster than gradient Monte Co	arlo methods.	
 Correct Correct! Similar to the tabular case, Semi-gradient TD(0) methods learn f Carlo methods. 	aster than gradient Monte	
 Stochastic gradient descent updates with Monte Carlo targets always redu Error at each step. 	ice the Mean Squared Value	
When using $U_t=R_{t+1}+\hat{v}(S_{t+1},\mathbf{w_t})$, the weight update is not using the error.	true gradient of the TD	
\bigcirc Correct Correct! When computing the gradient of the TD error, we do not conside weight vector $\mathbf{w_t}$ in the bootstrapped target U_t .	er the effect of changing the	
Using the Monte Carlo return or true value function as target results in an	unbiased update.	
 Correct True. The stochastic update with either target is an unbiased estimate or 	f the gradient of the MSVE.	
Using the Monte Carlo return as target, and under appropriate stochastic the value function will converge to a local optimum of the Mean Squared		
\bigcirc Correct Correct! Monte Carlo return (G_t) is an unbiased estimate of $v_\pi(S_t)$. It copoint, which under mild conditions, will be a local optimum of the MSVE.	nverges to a stationary	
11. Which of the following is true about the TD fixed point?	1/1 point	
(Select all correct answers)		
Semi-gradient TD(0) with linear function approximation converges to the 1	D fixed point.	
 Correct Correct! This is the definition of TD fixed point. 		
The weight vector corresponding to the TD fixed point is a local minimum Error.	of the Mean Squared Value	
The social terror and the second seco		
The weight vector corresponding to the TD fixed point is the global minim Value Error.	um of the Mean Squared	
Value Error.		
Value Error. ✓ At the TD fixed point, the mean squared value error is not larger than \(\frac{1}{1-\gamma} \) squared value error, assuming the same linear function approximation. ✓ Correct	times the minimal mean	
 Value Error. ✓ At the TD fixed point, the mean squared value error is not larger than 1/1-γ squared value error, assuming the same linear function approximation. ✓ Correct Correct! See Equation (9.14) from the textbook. 12. Which of the following is true about Linear Function Approximation, for estimation. 	times the minimal mean ating state-values? (Select all 1/1 point	
 Value Error. ✓ At the TD fixed point, the mean squared value error is not larger than 1/1-γ squared value error, assuming the same linear function approximation. ✓ Correct Correct! See Equation (9.14) from the textbook. 12. Which of the following is true about Linear Function Approximation, for estimation that apply) 	times the minimal mean ating state-values? (Select all 1/1 point	
Value Error. ✓ At the TD fixed point, the mean squared value error is not larger than 1/1-7 squared value error, assuming the same linear function approximation. ✓ Correct Correct! See Equation (9.14) from the textbook. 12. Which of the following is true about Linear Function Approximation, for estim that apply) ✓ State aggregation is one way to generate features for linear function appr	ating state-values? (Select all 1/1 point oximation.	

The size of the feature vector is not necessarily equal to the size of the weight vector.
$m{f Z}$ The gradient of the approximate value function $\hat{v}(s,{f w})$ with respect to ${f w}$ is just the feature vector.
\bigcirc Correct Correct. In linear function approximation, the value function is a linear combination of the weight vector and the feature vector. $\hat{v}(s,\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x}(s)$. By taking the gradient with respect to \mathbf{w} , the gradient is the feature vector $\mathbf{x}(s)$.