#### Pricing Options with Mathematical Models

# 8. Model independent pricing relations: forwards, futures and swaps

Some of the content of these slides is based on material from the book *Introduction to the Economics and Mathematics of Financial Markets* by Jaksa Cvitanic and Fernando Zapatero.

#### Pricing forward contracts

ullet Consider a forward contract on asset S, starting at t, with payoff at T equal to

$$S(T) - F(t)$$

- Here, F(t) is the **forward price**, decided at t and paid at T.
- QUESTION: What is the value of F(t) that makes time t value of the contract equal to zero?
- Suppose \$1.00 invested/borrowed at risk-free rate at time t results in payoff B(t,T) at time T.

CLAIM: There is no arbitrage if and only if

$$F(t) = B(t, T)S(t),$$

that is, if the forward price is equal to the time T value of one share worth invested at the risk-free rate at time t.

- Suppose first F(t) > B(t,T)S(t):
- At t: borrow S(t) to buy one share, and go short in the forward contract;
- At T: deliver the share, receive F(t), which is more than enough to cover the debt of B(t,T)S(t). Arbitrage!
- Suppose now F(t) < B(t,T)S(t):
- At t: sell short one share, invest S(t) risk-free, long the forward contract.
- At T: have more than enough in savings to pay for F(t) for one share and close the short position.

• Suppose S pays deterministic dividends between t and T, with present value D(t). Then,

$$F(t) = B(t, T)(S(t) - D(t)).$$

 Suppose dividends will be paid between t and T, continuously at a constant rate q. Then,

$$F(t) = B(t, T) e^{-q(T-t)} S(t).$$

EXAMPLE: S(t)=100, a dividend of 5.65 is paid in 6 months. The 1-year continuous interest rate is 10%, and the 6-month continuous annualized rate is 7.41%. The price of the 1-year forward contract should be

$$F(t) = e^{0.1} (100 - e^{-0.0741/2} \cdot 5.65) = 104.5$$

Suppose that the price is instead F(t) = 104.

- At t: long the forward, sell one share, buy the 6-month bond in the amount of  $e^{-0.0741/2} \cdot 5.65 = 5.4445$ ; invest the remaining balance, 100-5.4445=94.5555, in the 1-year bond.
- At 6 months from t: receive 5.65 from the 6-month bond and pay the dividend of 5.65.
- At 1 year from t: receive  $e^{0.1}$ .94.5555 = 104.5 from the 1-year bond; pay F(t) = 104 for one share, and deliver the share to cover the short position; keep 104.5-104 = 0.5, as profit. Arbitrage!

EXAMPLE: Forward contract on foreign currency. Let S(t) denote the current price in dollars of one unit of the foreign currency. We denote by  $r_f(r)$  the foreign (domestic) risk-free rate, with continuous compounding. The foreign interest is equivalent to continuously paid dividends, so we guess that

$$F(t) = e^{(r-r_f)(T-t)} S(t).$$

- If, for example,  $F(t) < e^{(r-r_f)(T-t)} S(t)$ :
- At time t: long the forward, borrow  $e^{-r_f(T-t)}$  units of foreign currency and invest its value in dollars  $e^{-r_f(T-t)}$  S(t) at rate r.
- At time T: use part of the amount  $e^{(r-r_f)(T-t)}$  S(t) > F(t) from the domestic risk-free investment to pay F(t) for one unit of foreign currency in the forward contract, and deliver that unit to cover the foreign debt. There is still extra money left. Arbitrage! Similarly if  $e^{(r-r_f)(T-t)}$  S(t) < F(t).

#### Futures

- Main difference relative to forwards: marked to market daily.
- The daily profit/loss is deposited to/taken out of the **margin** account:

Total profit/loss for a contract starting at t, ignoring the margin interest rate, using F(T)=S(T),

$$= [F(t+1)-F(t)] + ... + [F(T)-F(T-1)] = S(T)-F(t)$$

- CLAIM: If the interest rate is deterministic, futures price F(t) is equal to the corresponding forward price.
- REPLICATION: At t=0, go long  $e^{-r(T-1)}$  futures; at t=1, increase to  $e^{-r(T-2)}$  futures, ..., at t=T-1, increase to 1 future contract.

Profit/loss in period (k,k+1) =  $[F(k+1) - F(k)]e^{-r(T-(k+1))}$ , the time T value of which is= [F(k+1) - F(k)]. Thus, time T profit/loss is S(T)-F(0), the same as for a forward contract.

## Swaps pricing

• The payoff of the party receiving the floating rate L and paying the fixed rate R at time  $T_i$  is

$$C_i := \Delta T[L(T_{i-1}, T_i) - R]$$

• LIBOR rate is, by definition,

$$L(T_{i-1}, T_i) := \frac{1 - P(T_{i-1}, T_i)}{\Delta T P(T_{i-1}, T_i)} ,$$

implying

$$C_i = \frac{1}{P(T_{i-1}, T_i)} - (1 + R\Delta T)$$

• The value at time  $t < T_0$  of the constant amount  $(1 + R\Delta T)$  paid at time  $T_i > t$  is  $(1 + R\Delta T)P(t, T_i)$ . (Why?)

## Swaps pricing (continued)

• As for the first term, we claim that the value at time  $t < T_0$  of the payoff  $1/P(T_{i-1}, T_i)$  paid at time  $T_i$  is equal to  $P(t, T_{i-1})$ . Indeed, if we invest  $P(t, T_{i-1})$  at time t in buying a bond with maturity  $T_{i-1}$ , we get 1 dollar at time  $T_{i-1}$ , with which we can buy exactly  $1/P(T_{i-1}, T_i)$  of bonds with maturity  $T_i$ , and hence collect  $1/P(T_{i-1}, T_i)$  at time  $T_i$ . Altogether, the price at time t is

$$C_i(t) = P(t, T_{i-1}) - (1 + R\Delta T)P(t, T_i)$$

Therefore, the price S(t) of the swap at time t is

$$S(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} C_i(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} [P(t, T_{i-1}) - (1 + R\Delta T)P(t, T_i)]$$

$$S(t) = P(t, T_0) - R\Delta T \sum_{i=1}^{n} P(t, T_i) - P(t, T_n)$$

#### Swaps pricing (continued)

$$S(t) = P(t, T_0) - R\Delta T \sum_{i=1}^{n} P(t, T_i) - P(t, T_n) .$$

• The swap rate R is the fixed rate such that the cost of entering the swap at the initial time 0 is equal to zero. We get

$$R = \frac{P(0, T_0) - P(0, T_n)}{\Delta T \sum_{i=1}^{n} P(0, T_i)}$$

#### Example

- Consider a swap investor who receives a fixed rate of 10% in semiannually paid coupons and pays the six-month LIBOR. The swap still has 9 months left to maturity. At the last resetting date, the six-month LIBOR was 6%. The continuous three-month and nine-month rates are 5% and 7%, respectively. Nominal principal is 10,000. In the above notation,  $T_0$  is 3 months in the past,  $T_1$  is 3 months from now, and  $T_2$  is 9 months from now,  $\Delta T = 0.5$ . We want to find the value of the investor's position today.
- The payoff 3 months from now, on one unit of the notional principal, is

$$C_1 = \frac{1}{P(T_0, T_1)} - (1 + R\Delta T)$$

and 9 months from now it is

$$C_2 = \frac{1}{P(T_1, T_2)} - (1 + R\Delta T)$$

#### Example (continued)

• The first payoff's price is obtained by multiplying it by the price of the 3-month bond, and is equal to

$$C_1(t) = \left[\frac{1}{P(T_0, T_1)} - (1 + R\Delta T)\right] e^{-0.05 \cdot 0.25}$$

- The bond price  $P = P(T_0, T_1)$  can be found from  $P(1 + \Delta TL) = 1$ , where L = 0.06 is the LIBOR rate. We get P = 1/1.03, and  $C_1(t) = -0.0198$ .
- The price of  $C_2$  is found to be

$$C_2(t) = P(t, T_1) - (1 + R\Delta T)P(t, T_2) = e^{-0.05 \cdot 0.25} - (1 + 0.1 \cdot 0.5)e^{-0.07 \cdot 0.75} = -0.0087$$

#### Example (continued)

• Altogether, the value of the swap for the short position is

$$C(t) = C_1(t) + C_2(t) = -0.0285$$

• Since our investor is long the swap, his value is

$$10,000 \cdot 0.0285 = 285$$

Pricing Options with Mathematical Models

# 9. Model independent pricing relations: options

Some of the content of these slides is based on material from the book *Introduction to the Economics and Mathematics of Financial Markets* by Jaksa Cvitanic and Fernando Zapatero.

- Notation:
- European call and put prices at time t, c(t), p(t)
- American call and put prices at time t, C(t), P(t)
- RELATION 1:  $c(t) \le C(t) \le S(t)$
- RELATION 2:  $p(t) \le P(t) \le K$
- RELATION 3:  $p(t) \le Ke^{-r(T-t)}$
- RELATION 4:  $c(t) \ge S(t) Ke^{-r(T-t)}$ , if S pays no dividends
- Suppose not:  $c(t) + Ke^{-r(T-t)} < S(t)$ ; sell short one share and have more than enough money to buy one call and invest  $Ke^{-r(T-t)}$  at rate r.
  - At T: If S(T) > K, exercise the option by buying S(T) for K; If  $S(T) \le K$ , buy stock from your invested cash.
- RELATION 5:  $p(t) \ge Ke^{-r(T-t)} S(t)$

- RELATION 6: c(t) = C(t), if S pays no dividends.
- Suppose not: c(t) < C(t)
- 1. At t : Sell C(t) and have more than enough to buy c(t);
- 2. If C exercised at  $\tau$ : have to pay  $S(\tau) K$ , which is possible by selling c, because  $c(t) \ge S(t) Ke^{-r(T-t)} \ge S(t) K$ ;
- 3. If *C* never exercised, there is no obligation to cover. Arbitrage!
- COROLLARY: An American call on an asset that pays no dividends should not be exercised early.
  - Indeed, it is better to sell it than to exercise it:  $C(t) \geq S(t) K$ .
- What if there are dividends?
- What about the American put option?

• RELATION 7, Put-Call Parity:

$$c(t) + Ke^{-r(T-t)} = p(t) + S(t)$$

- 1. Portfolio A: buy c(t) and invest discounted K at risk-free rate;
- 2. Portfolio B: buy put and one share.
- If S(T) > K, both portfolios worth S(T) at time T.
- If  $S(T) \leq K$ , both portfolios worth K at time T.
- RELATION 8:

$$S(t) - K \le C(t) - P(t) \le S(t) - Ke^{-r(T-t)}$$

- The RH side follows from put-call parity and  $P(t) \ge p(t)$ , C(t) = c(t).
- For the LHS, suppose not: S(t) + P(t) > C(t) + K.
- 1. At t: Sell the LHS and have more than enough to buy the RHS;
- 2. If P exercised at  $\tau$ : use the invested cash to pay K for  $S(\tau)$ ;
- 3. If P never exercised, exercise C at maturity.