

ICPSR 37380

**National Asian American Survey  
(NAAS) Post-Election Survey,  
[United States], 2016**

S. Karthick (Subramanian  
Karthick) Ramakrishnan  
*University of California, Riverside*

Jennifer Lee  
*Columbia University*

Taeku Lee  
*University of California, Berkeley*

Janelle Wong  
*University of Maryland*

ICPSR Codebook for Public-Use Data

Inter-university Consortium for  
Political and Social Research  
P.O. Box 1248  
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106  
[www.icpsr.umich.edu](http://www.icpsr.umich.edu)

# Terms of Use

The terms of use for this study can be found at:  
<http://www.icpsr.umich.edu/icpsrweb/ICPSR/studies/37380/terms>

## Information about Copyrighted Content

Some instruments administered for studies archived with ICPSR may contain in whole or substantially in part contents from copyrighted instruments. Reproductions of the instruments are provided as documentation for the analysis of the data associated with this collection. Restrictions on "fair use" apply to all copyrighted content. More information about the reproduction of copyrighted works by educators and librarians is available from the United States Copyright Office.

### NOTICE

#### WARNING CONCERNING COPYRIGHT RESTRICTIONS

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. Under certain conditions specified in the law, libraries and archives are authorized to furnish a photocopy or other reproduction. One of these specified conditions is that the photocopy or reproduction is not to be "used for any purpose other than private study, scholarship, or research." If a user makes a request for, or later uses, a photocopy or reproduction for purposes in excess of "fair use," that user may be liable for copyright infringement.

## ICPSR PROCESSING NOTES FOR #37380

*National Asian American Survey (NAAS) Post-Election Survey, [United States], 2016*  
*DS 1: Public-Use Data*

1. **Confidentiality/Disclosure Risk:** In order to protect respondent anonymity and prevent disclosure risk, ICPSR recoded the following variables in the data:
  - a. Fully masked variables **Q10\_3CA** and **Q10\_3DA**
  - b. Partially masked disclosive responses to variables **OTHQ1\_3A**, **OTH5\_3**, **OTH10\_9**, and **OTH10\_21**
  - c. Masked disclosive responses to **Q10\_3B**
  - d. Bottom coded variable **Q10\_18** and **Q10\_3A**
  - e. Top coded variable **Q10\_3B**
  - f. Collapsed responses to **Q10\_7**
  - g. Masked variables **S9A**, **Q10\_3CB**, **Q10\_3DB**, **RSTATE**, and **STNAME** for underrepresented ethnicities.
2. **Undocumented Codes:** The variables **INTLANGUAGE**, **WAVE2PART**, and **Q10\_18** contain undocumented values which ICPSR has labeled "UNDOCUMENTED CODE." No additional information was provided.
3. **Variable Differences:** Several variables occur in the data but not the documentation; no further information was provided.
4. **Value Label Discrepancies:** Value labels for variables **Q1\_3B**, **Q2\_1A**, **Q4\_2A-F**, **Q5\_1\_A**, **Q5\_301-312**, **Q5\_8\_A-D**, **Q6\_1\_A-D**, **Q11\_1**, **Q11\_2**, and **Q11\_4** in the data are inconsistent with the P.I.-provided questionnaire. However, no additional information was provided.
5. **Additional Information:** For additional information on the National Asian American Survey, please visit the [NAAS](#) website.

# **ICPSR 37380**

## **National Asian American Survey (NAAS) Post-Election Survey, [United States], 2016**

### **Variable Description and Frequencies**

**Note:** Frequencies displayed for the variables are not weighted. They are purely descriptive and may not be representative of the study population. Please review any sampling or weighting information available with the study.

Summary statistics (minimum, maximum, arithmetic mean, median, mode, and standard deviation) may not be available for every variable in the codebook. Conversely, a listing of frequencies in table format may not be present for every variable in the codebook either. However, all variables in the dataset are present and display sufficient information about each variable. These decisions are made intentionally and are at the discretion of the archive producing this codebook.

# Public-Use Data

## RESPID: Unique respondent ID

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

*Location:* 1-10 (width: 10; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* character

## WAVE2PART: Repeat respondent

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	UNDOCUMENTED CODE	5307	82.3 %
1	UNDOCUMENTED CODE	1141	17.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

*Location:* 11-11 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## NWEIGHTNATIVITY: Weighted by race/ethnicity and state, nativity, gender, education (raking method)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.0074997	-	1	0.0 %
0.0080393	-	1	0.0 %
0.0088917	-	1	0.0 %
0.0111146	-	1	0.0 %
0.0265551	-	4	0.1 %
0.0314837	-	3	0.0 %
0.0331938	-	3	0.0 %
0.0387075	-	1	0.0 %
0.0458916	-	1	0.0 %
0.0550401	-	1	0.0 %
0.0749972	-	1	0.0 %
0.1208538	-	2	0.0 %
0.2655506	-	2	0.0 %
0.4371250	-	1	0.0 %
4.2902260	-	1	0.0 %
6.1651388	-	2	0.0 %
6.6752881	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
12.2948324	-	6	0.1 %
12.8128143	-	1	0.0 %
13.2260278	-	1	0.0 %
13.9588472	-	2	0.0 %
14.9411010	-	2	0.0 %
15.0227199	-	1	0.0 %
16.3693489	-	7	0.1 %
16.7936331	-	1	0.0 %
17.1397288	-	1	0.0 %
17.5180854	-	2	0.0 %
19.3606298	-	1	0.0 %
20.4810432	-	2	0.0 %
20.7694355	-	3	0.0 %
21.0043848	-	4	0.1 %
22.1568123	-	1	0.0 %
22.3590554	-	1	0.0 %
22.7311044	-	1	0.0 %
22.7445695	-	1	0.0 %
23.1337717	-	1	0.0 %
24.5586543	-	1	0.0 %
26.3702375	-	1	0.0 %
26.5225814	-	16	0.2 %
26.9659532	-	1	0.0 %
27.1655378	-	1	0.0 %
27.4416159	-	1	0.0 %
28.7239139	-	1	0.0 %
30.0614215	-	1	0.0 %
31.4451626	-	10	0.2 %
32.5784274	-	3	0.0 %
35.7545626	-	1	0.0 %
37.0502767	-	4	0.1 %
37.3456716	-	52	0.8 %
37.6272480	-	18	0.3 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Mean: 10888.8449132

- Minimum: 0.0074997
- Maximum: 1239355.1010489
- Standard Deviation: 40943.1784425

Location: 12-26 (width: 15; decimal: 7)

Variable Type: numeric

## PIDADJFACTOR: Adjustment factor for partyID variation by ethnicity in re-interview cooperation

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.5720713734627	-	139	2.2 %
0.6080108284950	-	49	0.8 %
0.6281537413597	-	174	2.7 %
0.7123028635979	-	49	0.8 %
0.7342292666435	-	224	3.5 %
0.7420976758003	-	45	0.7 %
0.8127737045288	-	116	1.8 %
0.8214491009712	-	140	2.2 %
0.8340026736259	-	172	2.7 %
0.8399999737740	-	26	0.4 %
0.8751468658447	-	156	2.4 %
0.8833202719688	-	59	0.9 %
0.9079239964485	-	153	2.4 %
0.9166666865349	-	67	1.0 %
0.9373920559883	-	247	3.8 %
0.9476794600487	-	277	4.3 %
0.9613368511200	-	150	2.3 %
0.9749001860619	-	87	1.3 %
0.9826412796974	-	652	10.1 %
0.9896509647369	-	232	3.6 %
0.9952431321144	-	282	4.4 %
0.9977234005928	-	175	2.7 %
1.0000000000000	-	640	9.9 %
1.0102143287659	-	281	4.4 %
1.0309913158417	-	268	4.2 %
1.0406905412674	-	42	0.7 %
1.1081030368805	-	302	4.7 %
1.1366150379181	-	227	3.5 %
1.1606320142746	-	210	3.3 %
1.2645249366760	-	71	1.1 %
1.3017833232880	-	74	1.1 %
1.4215809106827	-	103	1.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1.5394824743271	-	111	1.7 %
1.6000000238419	-	27	0.4 %
2.0865051746368	-	155	2.4 %
2.1984000205994	-	103	1.6 %
4.3519353866577	-	163	2.5 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Mean: 1.1088120138767
- Median: 0.9952431321144
- Mode: 0.9826412796974
- Minimum: 0.5720713734627
- Maximum: 4.3519353866577
- Standard Deviation: 0.5937210165024

*Location:* 27-41 (width: 15; decimal: 13)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

### **PIDADJWEIGHT: Adjusted for partyID variation by ethnicity in re-interview cooperation rate for**

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.0074997	-	1	0.0 %
0.0080393	-	1	0.0 %
0.0088917	-	1	0.0 %
0.0111146	-	1	0.0 %
0.0265551	-	4	0.1 %
0.0314837	-	3	0.0 %
0.0331938	-	3	0.0 %
0.0387075	-	1	0.0 %
0.0458916	-	1	0.0 %
0.0550401	-	1	0.0 %
0.0691370	-	1	0.0 %
0.0749972	-	1	0.0 %
0.2655506	-	2	0.0 %
0.5259477	-	1	0.0 %
0.9609756	-	1	0.0 %
4.1931071	-	1	0.0 %
4.2902260	-	1	0.0 %
4.5266252	-	1	0.0 %
8.0256748	-	1	0.0 %
9.0272255	-	3	0.0 %
11.0756617	-	1	0.0 %



Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
12.0160074	-	1	0.0 %
12.0188551	-	4	0.1 %
12.3303766	-	1	0.0 %
12.7708931	-	1	0.0 %
12.8128147	-	1	0.0 %
13.2260275	-	1	0.0 %
13.9588470	-	2	0.0 %
14.9411011	-	2	0.0 %
15.0227203	-	1	0.0 %
15.0856581	-	1	0.0 %
16.2681808	-	1	0.0 %
16.4166737	-	1	0.0 %
16.6898422	-	1	0.0 %
17.1397285	-	1	0.0 %
17.5180855	-	2	0.0 %
20.4810429	-	2	0.0 %
20.7694359	-	3	0.0 %
21.3643894	-	19	0.3 %
21.5254707	-	6	0.1 %
22.0719757	-	1	0.0 %
22.7065735	-	3	0.0 %
22.7445698	-	1	0.0 %
22.8777733	-	1	0.0 %
23.9200344	-	1	0.0 %
26.5225811	-	16	0.2 %
26.9659538	-	1	0.0 %
27.0289593	-	3	0.0 %
27.4416161	-	1	0.0 %
28.0825367	-	1	0.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Mean: 11033.3523370
- Minimum: 0.0074997
- Maximum: 1312948.3750000
- Standard Deviation: 40773.8185031

*Location:* 42-56 (width: 15; decimal: 7)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

**DATE: DATE**

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
10217	-	126	2.0 %
10317	-	125	1.9 %
10417	-	109	1.7 %
10517	-	75	1.2 %
10617	-	58	0.9 %
10717	-	95	1.5 %
10817	-	102	1.6 %
10917	-	88	1.4 %
11017	-	98	1.5 %
11117	-	80	1.2 %
11217	-	84	1.3 %
11317	-	39	0.6 %
11417	-	77	1.2 %
11517	-	54	0.8 %
11617	-	67	1.0 %
11717	-	61	0.9 %
11817	-	65	1.0 %
11917	-	79	1.2 %
12017	-	19	0.3 %
12117	-	62	1.0 %
12217	-	47	0.7 %
12317	-	63	1.0 %
12417	-	70	1.1 %
12517	-	92	1.4 %
12617	-	93	1.4 %
12717	-	55	0.9 %
12817	-	93	1.4 %
12917	-	87	1.3 %
13017	-	75	1.2 %
13117	-	69	1.1 %
20117	-	57	0.9 %
20217	-	66	1.0 %
20317	-	44	0.7 %
20417	-	83	1.3 %
20517	-	39	0.6 %
20617	-	56	0.9 %
20717	-	35	0.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
20817	-	34	0.5 %
20917	-	33	0.5 %
21017	-	27	0.4 %
21117	-	33	0.5 %
21217	-	45	0.7 %
21317	-	22	0.3 %
21417	-	25	0.4 %
21517	-	13	0.2 %
21617	-	24	0.4 %
21717	-	6	0.1 %
21817	-	15	0.2 %
21917	-	5	0.1 %
22017	-	16	0.2 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Mean: 70293.16
- Median: 112716.00
- Mode: 122616.00
- Minimum: 10217.00
- Maximum: 123116.00
- Standard Deviation: 53599.89

*Location:* 57-62 (width: 6; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## LOI: Holds length of interview - in minutes

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
11	-	2	0.0 %
12	-	3	0.0 %
13	-	1	0.0 %
14	-	5	0.1 %
15	-	6	0.1 %
16	-	4	0.1 %
17	-	10	0.2 %
18	-	14	0.2 %
19	-	32	0.5 %
20	-	52	0.8 %
21	-	75	1.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
22	-	108	1.7 %
23	-	174	2.7 %
24	-	165	2.6 %
25	-	244	3.8 %
26	-	261	4.0 %
27	-	297	4.6 %
28	-	336	5.2 %
29	-	309	4.8 %
30	-	313	4.9 %
31	-	302	4.7 %
32	-	342	5.3 %
33	-	296	4.6 %
34	-	309	4.8 %
35	-	274	4.2 %
36	-	242	3.8 %
37	-	213	3.3 %
38	-	215	3.3 %
39	-	187	2.9 %
40	-	178	2.8 %
41	-	154	2.4 %
42	-	130	2.0 %
43	-	119	1.8 %
44	-	118	1.8 %
45	-	86	1.3 %
46	-	79	1.2 %
47	-	80	1.2 %
48	-	95	1.5 %
49	-	73	1.1 %
50	-	48	0.7 %
51	-	47	0.7 %
52	-	44	0.7 %
53	-	36	0.6 %
54	-	34	0.5 %
55	-	29	0.4 %
56	-	31	0.5 %
57	-	21	0.3 %
58	-	25	0.4 %
59	-	19	0.3 %
60	-	17	0.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	10	0.2 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 6,438 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Mean: 35.08
- Median: 33.00
- Mode: 32.00
- Minimum: 11.00
- Maximum: 139.00
- Standard Deviation: 10.62

*Location:* 63-65 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## FULLSURVEY: FULLSURVEY

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not full survey	0	0.0 %
1	Full survey	6448	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00

*Location:* 66-66 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## HALFSPLIT: Half split variable

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Asked of half-1	3234	50.2 %
2	Asked of half-2	3214	49.8 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

*Location:* 67-67 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## MODULE8: MODULE8

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
.	-	6448	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

*Location:* 68-68 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## MODULE11: MODULE11

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	4631	71.8 %
1	-	1716	26.6 %
2	-	72	1.1 %
3	-	21	0.3 %
4	-	1	0.0 %
5	-	3	0.0 %
6	-	3	0.0 %
7	-	1	0.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Mean: 0.31
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.53

*Location:* 69-69 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## AGE2: AGE2

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Below age 35	1384	21.5 %
2	35 or above	5064	78.5 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00

- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 70-71 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 99

## RSTATE: RSTATE

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
AK	-	1	0.0 %
AL	-	41	0.6 %
AR	-	12	0.2 %
AZ	-	118	1.8 %
CA	-	2280	35.4 %
CO	-	58	0.9 %
CT	-	43	0.7 %
DC	-	10	0.2 %
DE	-	4	0.1 %
FL	-	294	4.6 %
GA	-	90	1.4 %
HI	-	346	5.4 %
IA	-	17	0.3 %
ID	-	6	0.1 %
IL	-	240	3.7 %
IN	-	36	0.6 %
KS	-	22	0.3 %
KY	-	9	0.1 %
LA	-	34	0.5 %
MA	-	107	1.7 %
MD	-	83	1.3 %
ME	-	2	0.0 %
MI	-	43	0.7 %
MN	-	191	3.0 %
MO	-	19	0.3 %
MS	-	22	0.3 %
MT	-	9	0.1 %
NC	-	53	0.8 %
ND	-	5	0.1 %
NE	-	14	0.2 %
NH	-	2	0.0 %
NJ	-	166	2.6 %
NM	-	40	0.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
NV	-	63	1.0 %
NY	-	569	8.8 %
OH	-	24	0.4 %
OK	-	25	0.4 %
OR	-	66	1.0 %
PA	-	97	1.5 %
RI	-	17	0.3 %
SC	-	24	0.4 %
SD	-	1	0.0 %
TN	-	20	0.3 %
TX	-	339	5.3 %
UT	-	32	0.5 %
VA	-	185	2.9 %
WA	-	180	2.8 %
WI	-	93	1.4 %
WY	-	3	0.0 %
[MASKED BY ICPSR]	-	293	4.5 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

Location: 72-88 (width: 17; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

## STNAME: STNAME

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
Alabama	-	41	0.6 %
Alaska	-	1	0.0 %
Arizona	-	118	1.8 %
Arkansas	-	12	0.2 %
California	-	2280	35.4 %
Colorado	-	58	0.9 %
Connecticut	-	43	0.7 %
Delaware	-	4	0.1 %
District of Colum	-	10	0.2 %
Florida	-	294	4.6 %
Georgia	-	90	1.4 %
Hawaii	-	346	5.4 %
Idaho	-	6	0.1 %
Illinois	-	240	3.7 %



Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
Indiana	-	36	0.6 %
Iowa	-	17	0.3 %
Kansas	-	22	0.3 %
Kentucky	-	9	0.1 %
Louisiana	-	34	0.5 %
Maine	-	2	0.0 %
Maryland	-	83	1.3 %
Massachusetts	-	107	1.7 %
Michigan	-	43	0.7 %
Minnesota	-	191	3.0 %
Mississippi	-	22	0.3 %
Missouri	-	19	0.3 %
Montana	-	9	0.1 %
Nebraska	-	14	0.2 %
Nevada	-	63	1.0 %
New Hampshire	-	2	0.0 %
New Jersey	-	166	2.6 %
New Mexico	-	40	0.6 %
New York	-	569	8.8 %
North Carolina	-	53	0.8 %
North Dakota	-	5	0.1 %
Ohio	-	24	0.4 %
Oklahoma	-	25	0.4 %
Oregon	-	66	1.0 %
Pennsylvania	-	97	1.5 %
Rhode Island	-	17	0.3 %
South Carolina	-	24	0.4 %
South Dakota	-	1	0.0 %
Tennessee	-	20	0.3 %
Texas	-	339	5.3 %
Utah	-	32	0.5 %
Virginia	-	185	2.9 %
Washington	-	180	2.8 %
Wisconsin	-	93	1.4 %
Wyoming	-	3	0.0 %
[MASKED BY ICPSR]	-	293	4.5 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

Location: 89-105 (width: 17; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

---

### ZS10\_6A: (ZS10\_6A) S10.6A Which racial group do you MOST identify with?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Asian or Asian American	119	1.8 %
2	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	62	1.0 %
3	White	1	0.0 %
4	Black	3	0.0 %
5	African American	4	0.1 %
6	Hispanic or Latino	11	0.2 %
7	Native American or American Indian	0	0.0 %
9	Multi-racial, mixed	36	0.6 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	9	0.1 %
99	Refused	3	0.0 %
.	-	6200	96.2 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 236 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 9.00

Location: 106-107 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

---

### ASIAN50: For testing, select one

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Asian 50 no	2240	34.7 %
1	Asian 50 yes	4208	65.3 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 108-108 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

---

### ETHNICALPHA: ETHNICALPHA

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	NHPI	120	1.9 %
3	White	408	6.3 %
4	Black	401	6.2 %
5	Latino	1126	17.5 %
11	Bangladeshi	320	5.0 %
12	Cambodian	401	6.2 %
13	Chinese	475	7.4 %
14	Filipino	505	7.8 %
15	Hmong	351	5.4 %
16	Indian	504	7.8 %
17	Japanese	517	8.0 %
18	Korean	499	7.7 %
19	Pakistani	320	5.0 %
20	Vietnamese	501	7.8 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 20.00

*Location:* 109-110 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## RETHNICX: RETHNICX

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Bangladeshi	320	5.0 %
2	Cambodian	401	6.2 %
3	Chinese	489	7.6 %
4	Filipino	512	7.9 %
5	Hmong	351	5.4 %
6	Indian	511	7.9 %
7	Japanese	521	8.1 %
8	Korean	500	7.8 %
9	Laotian	0	0.0 %
10	Pakistani	320	5.0 %
11	Vietnamese	502	7.8 %
12	Native Hawaiian	71	1.1 %
13	Samoan	14	0.2 %
14	Bhutanese	0	0.0 %
15	Burmese	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
16	Fijian	9	0.1 %
17	Indonesian	0	0.0 %
18	Malaysian	0	0.0 %
19	Mongolian	0	0.0 %
20	Nepali	0	0.0 %
21	Singaporean	0	0.0 %
22	Sri Lankan	0	0.0 %
23	Taiwanese	0	0.0 %
24	Thai	0	0.0 %
25	Tongan	0	0.0 %
30	ANSWERFROM(OTHS10_1)	0	0.0 %
41	Mexican	771	12.0 %
42	Argentinian	5	0.1 %
43	Bolivian	3	0.0 %
44	Chilean	5	0.1 %
45	Colombian	27	0.4 %
46	Costa Rican	2	0.0 %
47	Cuban	26	0.4 %
48	Dominican	36	0.6 %
49	Ecuadoran	10	0.2 %
50	Salvadoran	53	0.8 %
51	Guatemalan	14	0.2 %
52	Honduran	12	0.2 %
53	Nicaraguan	10	0.2 %
54	Panamanian	0	0.0 %
55	Paraguayan	0	0.0 %
56	Peruvian	11	0.2 %
57	Puerto Rican	51	0.8 %
58	Spanish	71	1.1 %
59	Uruguayan	1	0.0 %
60	Venezuelan	8	0.1 %
61	ANSWERFROM(OTHS10_7) ANSWERFROM(OTHS10_...	10	0.2 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	801	12.4 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 5,647 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 61.00

Location: 111-112 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

## RETHNICS: RETHNICS

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	a Bangladeshi	320	5.0 %
2	a Cambodian	401	6.2 %
3	a Chinese	489	7.6 %
4	a Filipino	512	7.9 %
5	a Hmong	351	5.4 %
6	an Indian	511	7.9 %
7	a Japanese	521	8.1 %
8	a Korean	500	7.8 %
9	a Laotian	0	0.0 %
10	a Pakistani	320	5.0 %
11	a Vietnamese	502	7.8 %
12	a Native Hawaiian	71	1.1 %
13	a Samoan	14	0.2 %
14	a Bhutanese	0	0.0 %
15	a Burmese	0	0.0 %
16	a Fijian	9	0.1 %
17	an Indonesian	0	0.0 %
18	a Malaysian	0	0.0 %
19	a Mongolian	0	0.0 %
20	a Nepali	0	0.0 %
21	a Singaporean	0	0.0 %
22	a Sri Lankan	0	0.0 %
23	a Taiwanese	0	0.0 %
24	a Thai	0	0.0 %
25	a Tongan	0	0.0 %
30	a ANSWERFROM(OTHS10_1)	0	0.0 %
41	a Mexican	771	12.0 %
42	an Argentinian	5	0.1 %
43	a Bolivian	3	0.0 %
44	a Chilean	5	0.1 %
45	a Colombian	27	0.4 %
46	a Costa Rican	2	0.0 %
47	a Cuban	26	0.4 %
48	a Dominican	36	0.6 %
49	an Ecuadoran	10	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
50	a Salvadoran	53	0.8 %
51	a Guatemalan	14	0.2 %
52	a Honduran	12	0.2 %
53	a Nicaraguan	10	0.2 %
54	a Panamanian	0	0.0 %
55	a Paraguayan	0	0.0 %
56	a Peruvian	11	0.2 %
57	a Puerto Rican	51	0.8 %
58	a Spaniard	71	1.1 %
59	a Uruguayan	1	0.0 %
60	a Venezuelan	8	0.1 %
61	ANSWERFROM(OTHS10_7) ANSWERFROM(OTHS10_...	10	0.2 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	801	12.4 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 5,647 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 61.00

Location: 113-114 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

## RETHNIC3: RETHNIC3

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	01	320	5.0 %
2	02	401	6.2 %
3	or WeChat	489	7.6 %
4	04	512	7.9 %
5	05	351	5.4 %
6	06	511	7.9 %
7	07	521	8.1 %
8	or KakaoTalk	500	7.8 %
9	09	0	0.0 %
10	10	320	5.0 %
11	11	502	7.8 %
12	12	71	1.1 %
13	13	14	0.2 %
14	14	0	0.0 %
15	15	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
16	16	9	0.1 %
17	17	0	0.0 %
18	18	0	0.0 %
19	19	0	0.0 %
20	20	0	0.0 %
21	21	0	0.0 %
22	22	0	0.0 %
23	23	0	0.0 %
24	24	0	0.0 %
25	25	0	0.0 %
30	30	0	0.0 %
41	41	771	12.0 %
42	42	5	0.1 %
43	43	3	0.0 %
44	44	5	0.1 %
45	45	27	0.4 %
46	46	2	0.0 %
47	47	26	0.4 %
48	48	36	0.6 %
49	49	10	0.2 %
50	50	53	0.8 %
51	51	14	0.2 %
52	52	12	0.2 %
53	53	10	0.2 %
54	54	0	0.0 %
55	55	0	0.0 %
56	56	11	0.2 %
57	57	51	0.8 %
58	58	71	1.1 %
59	59	1	0.0 %
60	60	8	0.1 %
61	61	10	0.2 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	801	12.4 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 5,647 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 61.00

Location: 115-116 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

## STIME: STIME

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	10	0.2 %
1	-	1032	16.0 %
2	-	2952	45.8 %
3	-	1566	24.3 %
4	-	546	8.5 %
5	-	180	2.8 %
6	-	64	1.0 %
7	-	30	0.5 %
8	-	15	0.2 %
9	-	14	0.2 %
10	-	9	0.1 %
11	-	4	0.1 %
12	-	2	0.0 %
13	-	1	0.0 %
14	-	3	0.0 %
15	-	2	0.0 %
16	-	3	0.0 %
17	-	3	0.0 %
19	-	1	0.0 %
21	-	1	0.0 %
22	-	1	0.0 %
24	-	1	0.0 %
27	-	1	0.0 %
28	-	1	0.0 %
29	-	2	0.0 %
30	-	1	0.0 %
34	-	1	0.0 %
36	-	1	0.0 %
46	-	1	0.0 %
<b>Total</b>		<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Mean: 2.52
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 0.00



- Maximum: 46.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.77

Location: 117-118 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

## SSTIME: SSTIME

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
23	-	1	0.0 %
24	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	3	0.0 %
28	-	2	0.0 %
29	-	3	0.0 %
30	-	3	0.0 %
31	-	1	0.0 %
32	-	3	0.0 %
33	-	3	0.0 %
34	-	3	0.0 %
35	-	3	0.0 %
37	-	4	0.1 %
39	-	1	0.0 %
41	-	4	0.1 %
42	-	6	0.1 %
43	-	2	0.0 %
44	-	4	0.1 %
45	-	3	0.0 %
46	-	3	0.0 %
47	-	3	0.0 %
48	-	3	0.0 %
49	-	3	0.0 %
50	-	9	0.1 %
51	-	4	0.1 %
52	-	6	0.1 %
53	-	9	0.1 %
54	-	9	0.1 %
55	-	11	0.2 %
56	-	10	0.2 %
57	-	15	0.2 %
58	-	12	0.2 %
59	-	15	0.2 %
60	-	10	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
61	-	16	0.2 %
62	-	17	0.3 %
63	-	18	0.3 %
64	-	16	0.2 %
65	-	28	0.4 %
66	-	19	0.3 %
67	-	19	0.3 %
68	-	26	0.4 %
69	-	19	0.3 %
70	-	19	0.3 %
71	-	30	0.5 %
72	-	23	0.4 %
73	-	35	0.5 %
74	-	32	0.5 %
75	-	27	0.4 %
76	-	41	0.6 %
77	-	23	0.4 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Mean: 151.07
- Minimum: 23.00
- Maximum: 2778.00
- Standard Deviation: 104.90

*Location:* 119-122 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## INSRACE: INSRACE

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Asian American	4362	67.6 %
2	Pacific Islander	115	1.8 %
3	White	408	6.3 %
4	Black	203	3.1 %
5	African American	198	3.1 %
6	Latino	1126	17.5 %
7	Native American	0	0.0 %
9	Multiracial American	36	0.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 9.00

Location: 123-123 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

## INSRACES: INSRACES

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Asian Americans	4362	67.6 %
2	Pacific Islanders	115	1.8 %
3	Whites	408	6.3 %
4	4 Blacks or African Americans	203	3.1 %
5	5 Blacks or African Americans	198	3.1 %
6	Latinos	1126	17.5 %
7	Native Americans	0	0.0 %
9	Multiracial Americans	36	0.6 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 9.00

Location: 124-124 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

## HALFALL: Hidden variable HALFALL. If 0, asked of all. If 1, asked of 50% of all respondents

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Asked of all	3234	50.2 %
1	Asked of half	3214	49.8 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 125-125 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

## S3: S3. Are you comfortable continuing this conversation in English?

[Ask only of Asian and Latino/Hispanic samples.]

Are you comfortable continuing this conversation in English?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	4002	62.1 %
2	No	2446	37.9 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

*Location:* 126-126 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## S4: S4. Would you prefer we talk in another language?

[If S3 is 2]

Would you prefer we talk in another language?

[If 12, terminate.]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Mandarin	159	2.5 %
2	Cantonese	248	3.8 %
3	Korean	344	5.3 %
4	Vietnamese	448	6.9 %
5	Hindi	3	0.0 %
6	Tagalog	28	0.4 %
7	Japanese	85	1.3 %
8	Hmong	189	2.9 %
9	Cambodian	276	4.3 %
10	Laotian	0	0.0 %
11	Spanish	568	8.8 %
12	Some other language	0	0.0 %
13	Bangladeshi	12	0.2 %
14	Urdu	86	1.3 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	4002	62.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 2,446 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 14.00

Location: 127-128 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

#### OTHS4: S4. Record "Some other language" language here

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-		6448	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

Location: 129-129 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

#### INTLANGUAGE: Hidden variable INTLANGUAGE

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Mandarin	159	2.5 %
2	Cantonese	248	3.8 %
3	Korean	344	5.3 %
4	Vietnamese	448	6.9 %
5	Hindi	3	0.0 %
6	Tagalog	28	0.4 %
7	Japanese	85	1.3 %
8	Hmong	189	2.9 %
9	Cambodian	276	4.3 %
10	Laotian	0	0.0 %
11	Spanish	568	8.8 %
12	ANSWERFROM(OTHS4)	0	0.0 %
13	UNDOCUMENTED CODE	12	0.2 %
14	UNDOCUMENTED CODE	86	1.3 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	4002	62.1 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 2,446 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 14.00

*Location:* 130-131 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## S4A: May we proceed?

[Interviewer: only read if person says 'I've taken this survey before'. Otherwise, enter first response 'Proceed, question not asked']

We conducted a survey of adults in August through October this year. We have a different set of questions this time around that we believe you will find of interest. May we proceed?

[If 3 or 4, terminate.]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Proceed, question not asked	3277	50.8 %
1	Willing to proceed, took survey before	1141	17.7 %
2	Willing to proceed, did not take survey before	2030	31.5 %
3	Not willing to proceed	0	0.0 %
4	Person took survey before, not willing to proceed	0	0.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 2.00

*Location:* 132-132 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## S5: S5. Just to be sure, are you 18 years or older?

Just to be sure, are you 18 years or older?

[If 2, terminate.]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	6448	100.0 %
2	No	0	0.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 133-133 (width: 1; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric

---

## S5A: S5.A And for statistical purposes only, are you below age 35, or age 35 and above?

And for statistical purposes only, are you below age 35, or age 35 and above?

[If 99, terminate.]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Below age 35	1436	22.3 %
2	35 or above	5012	77.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 134-135 (width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric  
(Range of) Missing Values: 99

---

## YOUTH: Hidden variable YOUTH

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not youth	5012	77.7 %
1	Youth	1436	22.3 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 136-136 (width: 1; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric

---

## S7: S7. What is your gender?

What is your gender?

[If 99, terminate.]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Male	3203	49.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	Female	3245	50.3 %
3	Something else	0	0.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

*Location:* 137-138 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 99

---

## S8: S8. What is the highest degree or level of schooling you have completed?

What is the highest degree or level of schooling you have completed?

[Try very hard not to accept refused; reassure that anonymous.]

[If 88 or 99, terminate.]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	No schooling completed	464	7.2 %
2	Some schooling, no high school degree/GED	695	10.8 %
3	High school degree/GED	1214	18.8 %
4	Some college, but no degree	974	15.1 %
5	College degree or Bachelor's degree	1953	30.3 %
6	Graduate or Professional degree	1148	17.8 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00

*Location:* 139-140 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99

---

## S9: S9. Were you born in the United States or were you born in another country?

Were you born in the United States or were you born in another country?

[Try very hard not to accept refused; reassure that anonymous.]

[If 99, terminate.]



Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	United States	2417	37.5 %
2	Another country	4031	62.5 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

*Location:* 141-142 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 99

---

## CITIZEN: Hidden variable CITIZEN

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not a citizen	572	8.9 %
1	Citizen	5876	91.1 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

*Location:* 143-143 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## FORBORN: Hidden variable FORBORN

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Native	2428	37.7 %
1	Foreign	4020	62.3 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

*Location:* 144-144 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## TEXTQ5: TEXTQ5

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	0	2428	37.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	In U.S.	4020	62.3 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 145-145 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

---

## S9A: S9.A And in which state do you currently live?

[If cell phone, confirm state of residence.]

And in which state do you currently live?

[If 77, 88, or 99, terminate.]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
AL	-	39	0.6 %
AR	-	13	0.2 %
AZ	-	117	1.8 %
CA	-	2283	35.4 %
CO	-	62	1.0 %
CT	-	40	0.6 %
DC	-	4	0.1 %
DE	-	4	0.1 %
FL	-	278	4.3 %
GA	-	99	1.5 %
HI	-	346	5.4 %
IA	-	17	0.3 %
ID	-	4	0.1 %
IL	-	229	3.6 %
IN	-	34	0.5 %
KS	-	19	0.3 %
KY	-	10	0.2 %
LA	-	33	0.5 %
MA	-	113	1.8 %
MD	-	85	1.3 %
ME	-	3	0.0 %
MI	-	45	0.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
MN	-	189	2.9 %
MO	-	19	0.3 %
MS	-	20	0.3 %
MT	-	9	0.1 %
NC	-	52	0.8 %
ND	-	5	0.1 %
NE	-	15	0.2 %
NH	-	2	0.0 %
NJ	-	161	2.5 %
NM	-	39	0.6 %
NV	-	63	1.0 %
NY	-	567	8.8 %
OH	-	29	0.4 %
OK	-	24	0.4 %
OR	-	64	1.0 %
PA	-	90	1.4 %
RI	-	16	0.2 %
SC	-	28	0.4 %
SD	-	1	0.0 %
TN	-	22	0.3 %
TX	-	356	5.5 %
UT	-	31	0.5 %
VA	-	188	2.9 %
WA	-	195	3.0 %
WI	-	90	1.4 %
WY	-	3	0.0 %
[MASKED BY ICPSR]	-	293	4.5 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

*Location:* 146-162 (width: 17; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* character

---

## S10\_1: S10.1 Do you consider any part of your background to be Asian or Asian-American

Do you consider any part of your background to be Asian or Asian-American, such as Chinese, Filipino, Indian, or Pacific Islander like Native Hawaiian or Samoan?

[If they only say "Yes", ask "Which group?". If they identify with more than one group, ask "Which group do you identify with the most?"]  
 [Ask 'Other Asian' if unsure; enter in English. Terminate.]

[If 9, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 24, 30, 88, 99, terminate.]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	None of the above/Not Asian or Pacific Islander	1916	29.7 %
1	Bangladeshi	320	5.0 %
2	Cambodian	401	6.2 %
3	Chinese	492	7.6 %
4	Filipino	513	8.0 %
5	Hmong	351	5.4 %
6	Indian	514	8.0 %
7	Japanese	524	8.1 %
8	Korean	500	7.8 %
9	Laotian	0	0.0 %
10	Pakistani	320	5.0 %
11	Vietnamese	502	7.8 %
12	Native Hawaiian	71	1.1 %
13	Samoan	14	0.2 %
14	Bhutanese	0	0.0 %
15	Burmese	0	0.0 %
16	Fijian	9	0.1 %
17	Indonesian	0	0.0 %
18	Malaysian	0	0.0 %
19	Mongolian	0	0.0 %
20	Nepali	0	0.0 %
21	Singaporean	0	0.0 %
22	Sri Lankan	0	0.0 %
23	Taiwanese	1	0.0 %
24	Thai	0	0.0 %
25	Tongan	0	0.0 %
30	Other Asian	0	0.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 23.00

*Location:* 163-164 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99

---

**OTHS10\_1: S10.1 Record "Other Asian" group here**

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	-	6447	100.0 %
<blank>	-	1	0.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

Location: 165-171 (width: 7; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

## RACE: Hidden variable RACE

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Asian American	4362	67.6 %
2	Pacific Islander	115	1.8 %
3	White	408	6.3 %
4	Black	203	3.1 %
5	African American	198	3.1 %
6	Latino	1126	17.5 %
7	Native American	0	0.0 %
9	Multiracial American	36	0.6 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 9.00

Location: 172-172 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

## NHPI: Hidden variable NHPI

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not NHPI	0	0.0 %
1	NHPI	94	1.5 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6354	98.5 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 94 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 173-173 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

## RETHNIC: Hidden variable RETHNIC

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Bangladeshi	320	5.0 %
2	Cambodian	401	6.2 %
3	Chinese	489	7.6 %
4	Filipino	512	7.9 %
5	Hmong	351	5.4 %
6	Indian	511	7.9 %
7	Japanese	521	8.1 %
8	Korean	500	7.8 %
9	Laotian	0	0.0 %
10	Pakistani	320	5.0 %
11	Vietnamese	502	7.8 %
12	Native Hawaiian	71	1.1 %
13	Samoan	14	0.2 %
14	Bhutanese	0	0.0 %
15	Burmese	0	0.0 %
16	Fijian	9	0.1 %
17	Indonesian	0	0.0 %
18	Malaysian	0	0.0 %
19	Mongolian	0	0.0 %
20	Nepali	0	0.0 %
21	Singaporean	0	0.0 %
22	Sri Lankan	0	0.0 %
23	Taiwanese	0	0.0 %
24	Thai	0	0.0 %
25	Tongan	0	0.0 %
30	ANSWERFROM(OTHS10_1)	0	0.0 %
41	Mexican	771	12.0 %
42	Argentinian	5	0.1 %
43	Bolivian	3	0.0 %
44	Chilean	5	0.1 %
45	Colombian	27	0.4 %
46	Costa Rican	2	0.0 %
47	Cuban	26	0.4 %
48	Dominican	36	0.6 %
49	Ecuadoran	10	0.2 %
50	Salvadoran	53	0.8 %
51	Guatemalan	14	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
52	Honduran	12	0.2 %
53	Nicaraguan	10	0.2 %
54	Panamanian	0	0.0 %
55	Paraguayan	0	0.0 %
56	Peruvian	11	0.2 %
57	Puerto Rican	51	0.8 %
58	Spanish	71	1.1 %
59	Uruguayan	1	0.0 %
60	Venezuelan	8	0.1 %
61	OTHS10_7 OTHS10_8 OTHS10_9	10	0.2 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	801	12.4 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 5,647 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 61.00

Location: 174-175 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

---

## SOUTHASIAN: Hidden variable SOUTHASIAN

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not South Asian	0	0.0 %
1	South Asian	1154	17.9 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	5294	82.1 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1,154 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 176-176 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

---

## S10\_201: (S10\_201) S10.2 What racial or ethnic groups describe you?

[If S10\_1 is 0]

What racial or ethnic groups describe you?

[Do not accept 'Don't know' or 'Refused'. If respondent insists on 'Don't know' or 'Refused', terminate.]

[Do not read 'Multi-racial, mixed'.]

[Choose all that apply. If more than one choice, hidden variables RACE and RACES coded in S10\_3.]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Asian or Asian American	0	0.0 %
2	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0.0 %
3	White	438	6.8 %
4	Black	199	3.1 %
5	African American	189	2.9 %
6	Hispanic or Latino	1090	16.9 %
7	Native American or American Indian	0	0.0 %
9	Multi-racial, mixed	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	4532	70.3 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1,916 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 3.00
- Maximum: 6.00

Location: 177-178 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

---

## S10\_202: (S10\_202) S10.2 What racial or ethnic groups describe you?

[If S10\_1 is 0]

What racial or ethnic groups describe you?

[Do not accept 'Don't know' or 'Refused'. If respondent insists on 'Don't know' or 'Refused', terminate.]

[Do not read 'Multi-racial, mixed'.]

[Choose all that apply. If more than one choice, hidden variables RACE and RACES coded in S10\_3.]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Asian or Asian American	0	0.0 %
2	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0.0 %
3	White	0	0.0 %
4	Black	7	0.1 %
5	African American	14	0.2 %
6	Hispanic or Latino	32	0.5 %
7	Native American or American Indian	26	0.4 %



Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
9	Multi-racial, mixed	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6369	98.8 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 79 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 4.00
- Maximum: 7.00

*Location:* 179-180 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

## S10\_203: (S10\_203) S10.2 What racial or ethnic groups describe you?

[If S10\_1 is 0]

What racial or ethnic groups describe you?

[Do not accept 'Don't know' or 'Refused'. If respondent insists on 'Don't know' or 'Refused', terminate.]

[Do not read 'Multi-racial, mixed'.]

[Choose all that apply. If more than one choice, hidden variables RACE and RACES coded in S10\_3.]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Asian or Asian American	0	0.0 %
2	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0.0 %
3	White	0	0.0 %
4	Black	0	0.0 %
5	African American	0	0.0 %
6	Hispanic or Latino	1	0.0 %
7	Native American or American Indian	3	0.0 %
9	Multi-racial, mixed	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6444	99.9 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 6.00
- Maximum: 7.00

*Location:* 181-182 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

## S10\_204: (S10\_204) S10.2 What racial or ethnic groups describe you?

[If S10\_1 is 0]

What racial or ethnic groups describe you?

[Do not accept 'Don't know' or 'Refused'. If respondent insists on 'Don't know' or 'Refused', terminate.]

[Do not read 'Multi-racial, mixed'.]

[Choose all that apply. If more than one choice, hidden variables RACE and RACES coded in S10\_3.]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Asian or Asian American	0	0.0 %
2	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0.0 %
3	White	0	0.0 %
4	Black	0	0.0 %
5	African American	0	0.0 %
6	Hispanic or Latino	0	0.0 %
7	Native American or American Indian	0	0.0 %
9	Multi-racial, mixed	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6448	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

*Location:* 183-184 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

## S10\_205: (S10\_205) S10.2 What racial or ethnic groups describe you?

[If S10\_1 is 0]

What racial or ethnic groups describe you?

[Do not accept 'Don't know' or 'Refused'. If respondent insists on 'Don't know' or 'Refused', terminate.]

[Do not read 'Multi-racial, mixed'.]

[Choose all that apply. If more than one choice, hidden variables RACE and RACES coded in S10\_3.]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Asian or Asian American	0	0.0 %
2	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0.0 %
3	White	0	0.0 %
4	Black	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5	African American	0	0.0 %
6	Hispanic or Latino	0	0.0 %
7	Native American or American Indian	0	0.0 %
9	Multi-racial, mixed	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6448	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

*Location:* 185-186 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

## S10\_206: (S10\_206) S10.2 What racial or ethnic groups describe you?

[If S10\_1 is 0]

What racial or ethnic groups describe you?

[Do not accept 'Don't know' or 'Refused'. If respondent insists on 'Don't know' or 'Refused', terminate.]

[Do not read 'Multi-racial, mixed'.]

[Choose all that apply. If more than one choice, hidden variables RACE and RACES coded in S10\_3.]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Asian or Asian American	0	0.0 %
2	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0.0 %
3	White	0	0.0 %
4	Black	0	0.0 %
5	African American	0	0.0 %
6	Hispanic or Latino	0	0.0 %
7	Native American or American Indian	0	0.0 %
9	Multi-racial, mixed	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6448	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

*Location:* 187-188 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

## S10\_207: (S10\_207) S10.2 What racial or ethnic groups describe you?

[If S10\_1 is 0]

What racial or ethnic groups describe you?

[Do not accept 'Don't know' or 'Refused'. If respondent insists on 'Don't know' or 'Refused', terminate.]

[Do not read 'Multi-racial, mixed'.]

[Choose all that apply. If more than one choice, hidden variables RACE and RACES coded in S10\_3.]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Asian or Asian American	0	0.0 %
2	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0.0 %
3	White	0	0.0 %
4	Black	0	0.0 %
5	African American	0	0.0 %
6	Hispanic or Latino	0	0.0 %
7	Native American or American Indian	0	0.0 %
9	Multi-racial, mixed	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6448	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

*Location:* 189-190 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

## S10\_208: (S10\_208) S10.2 What racial or ethnic groups describe you?

[If S10\_1 is 0]

What racial or ethnic groups describe you?

[Do not accept 'Don't know' or 'Refused'. If respondent insists on 'Don't know' or 'Refused', terminate.]

[Do not read 'Multi-racial, mixed'.]

[Choose all that apply. If more than one choice, hidden variables RACE and RACES coded in S10\_3.]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Asian or Asian American	0	0.0 %
2	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0.0 %
3	White	0	0.0 %
4	Black	0	0.0 %
5	African American	0	0.0 %
6	Hispanic or Latino	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
7	Native American or American Indian	0	0.0 %
9	Multi-racial, mixed	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6448	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

*Location:* 191-192 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

## RACES: Hidden variable RACES

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Asian Americans	4362	67.6 %
2	Pacific Islanders	115	1.8 %
3	Whites	408	6.3 %
4	4 Blacks or African Americans	203	3.1 %
5	5 Blacks or African Americans	198	3.1 %
6	Latinos	1126	17.5 %
7	Native Americans	0	0.0 %
9	Multiracial Americans	36	0.6 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 9.00

*Location:* 193-193 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## S10\_3: S10.3 Which racial group do you MOST identify with?

[If more than one selection in S10\_201-208, but do not ask if any are 9.]

Which racial group do you MOST identify with?

[Do not read 'Multi-racial'.]

[If 88 or 99, terminate.]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Asian or Asian American	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0.0 %
3	White	14	0.2 %
4	Black	23	0.4 %
5	African American	11	0.2 %
6	Hispanic or Latino	31	0.5 %
7	Native American or American Indian	0	0.0 %
9	Multi-racial, mixed	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6369	98.8 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 79 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 3.00
- Maximum: 6.00

Location: 194-195 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

## S10\_601: (S10\_601) S10.6 In addition to your ANSWERFROM(RETNIC) background, is there any other racial group that describes you?

[If RACE = 'Asian American' or 'Pacific Islander' and S10\_3 not asked.]

In addition to your [RETNIC] background, is there any other racial group that describes you?

[Do not read list.]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No, no other racial or ethnic groups describe me	3146	48.8 %
1	Asian or Asian American	1082	16.8 %
2	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	47	0.7 %
3	White	113	1.8 %
4	Black	6	0.1 %
5	African American	11	0.2 %
6	Latino	34	0.5 %
7	Native American or American Indian	5	0.1 %
9	Something else	69	1.1 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	15	0.2 %
99	Refused	4	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
.	-	1916	29.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,513 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00

*Location:* 196-197 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

### **S10\_602: (S10\_602) S10.6 In addition to your ANSWERFROM(RETENIC) background, is there any other racial group that describes you?**

[If RACE = 'Asian American' or 'Pacific Islander' and S10\_3 not asked.]

In addition to your [RETENIC] background, is there any other racial group that describes you?

[Do not read list.]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No, no other racial or ethnic groups describe me	0	0.0 %
1	Asian or Asian American	0	0.0 %
2	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	12	0.2 %
3	White	9	0.1 %
4	Black	1	0.0 %
5	African American	0	0.0 %
6	Latino	9	0.1 %
7	Native American or American Indian	3	0.0 %
9	Something else	6	0.1 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6408	99.4 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 40 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 9.00

*Location:* 198-199 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

### S10\_603: (S10\_603) S10.6 In addition to your ANSWERFROM(RETENIC) background, is there any other racial group that describes you?

[If RACE = 'Asian American' or 'Pacific Islander' and S10\_3 not asked.]

In addition to your [RETENIC] background, is there any other racial group that describes you?

[Do not read list.]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No, no other racial or ethnic groups describe me	0	0.0 %
1	Asian or Asian American	0	0.0 %
2	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0.0 %
3	White	1	0.0 %
4	Black	0	0.0 %
5	African American	0	0.0 %
6	Latino	1	0.0 %
7	Native American or American Indian	1	0.0 %
9	Something else	1	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6444	99.9 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 3.00
- Maximum: 9.00

Location: 200-201 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

### S10\_604: (S10\_604) S10.6 In addition to your ANSWERFROM(RETENIC) background, is there any other racial group that describes you?

[If RACE = 'Asian American' or 'Pacific Islander' and S10\_3 not asked.]

In addition to your [RETENIC] background, is there any other racial group that describes you?

[Do not read list.]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No, no other racial or ethnic groups describe me	0	0.0 %
1	Asian or Asian American	0	0.0 %



Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0.0 %
3	White	0	0.0 %
4	Black	0	0.0 %
5	African American	0	0.0 %
6	Latino	0	0.0 %
7	Native American or American Indian	0	0.0 %
9	Something else	1	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6447	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 9.00
- Maximum: 9.00

Location: 202-203 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

## S10\_605: (S10\_605) S10.6 In addition to your ANSWERFROM(RETENIC) background, is there any other racial group that describes you?

[If RACE = 'Asian American' or 'Pacific Islander' and S10\_3 not asked.]

In addition to your [RETENIC] background, is there any other racial group that describes you?

[Do not read list.]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No, no other racial or ethnic groups describe me	0	0.0 %
1	Asian or Asian American	0	0.0 %
2	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0.0 %
3	White	0	0.0 %
4	Black	0	0.0 %
5	African American	0	0.0 %
6	Latino	0	0.0 %
7	Native American or American Indian	0	0.0 %
9	Something else	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6448	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

Location: 204-205 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

---

### **S10\_606: (S10\_606) S10.6 In addition to your ANSWERFROM(RETENIC) background, is there any other racial group that describes you?**

[If RACE = 'Asian American' or 'Pacific Islander' and S10\_3 not asked.]

In addition to your [RETENIC] background, is there any other racial group that describes you?

[Do not read list.]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No, no other racial or ethnic groups describe me	0	0.0 %
1	Asian or Asian American	0	0.0 %
2	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0.0 %
3	White	0	0.0 %
4	Black	0	0.0 %
5	African American	0	0.0 %
6	Latino	0	0.0 %
7	Native American or American Indian	0	0.0 %
9	Something else	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	6448	100.0 %
	Total	6,448	100%

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

Location: 206-207 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

---

### **S10\_607: (S10\_607) S10.6 In addition to your ANSWERFROM(RETENIC) background, is there any other racial group that describes you?**

[If RACE = 'Asian American' or 'Pacific Islander' and S10\_3 not asked.]

In addition to your [RETENIC] background, is there any other racial group that describes you?

[Do not read list.]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No, no other racial or ethnic groups describe me	0	0.0 %
1	Asian or Asian American	0	0.0 %
2	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0.0 %
3	White	0	0.0 %
4	Black	0	0.0 %
5	African American	0	0.0 %
6	Latino	0	0.0 %
7	Native American or American Indian	0	0.0 %
9	Something else	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6448	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

Location: 208-209 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

## OTHS10\_6: S10.6 Record "Something else" group here

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	-	6371	98.8 %
AMERICAN INDIAN	-	1	0.0 %
ARAWAKS	-	1	0.0 %
BALUCHI	-	1	0.0 %
Bangladeshi	-	1	0.0 %
CHINESE	-	6	0.1 %
Carribean	-	1	0.0 %
Chinese	-	2	0.0 %
Chinese and Hispanc	-	1	0.0 %
Chinese,Spanish.	-	1	0.0 %
Chinese.	-	1	0.0 %
FRENCH PORTUGESE	-	1	0.0 %
Filipino Creole Negro French	-	1	0.0 %
French	-	1	0.0 %
GERMAN	-	1	0.0 %
HAWAIIAN	-	1	0.0 %
Haitian	-	1	0.0 %
Hmong	-	2	0.0 %
INDIAN	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
IRISH, ENGLISH, GERMAN, PORTUGUESE, PUERTO RICAN	-	1	0.0 %
Indian	-	1	0.0 %
Irish	-	1	0.0 %
Israeli	-	1	0.0 %
Italian	-	1	0.0 %
JAPANESE & CHINESE	-	1	0.0 %
Japanese Italian dutch irish	-	1	0.0 %
Karena	-	1	0.0 %
Lebonon	-	1	0.0 %
POLISH	-	1	0.0 %
PORTUGUESE	-	2	0.0 %
PUNJAB	-	1	0.0 %
Polish	-	1	0.0 %
Portuguese	-	2	0.0 %
Portuguese and Irish	-	1	0.0 %
Portuguese, French	-	1	0.0 %
Poruguese	-	1	0.0 %
Puerto Rican	-	1	0.0 %
SCOTTISH AND IRISH	-	1	0.0 %
Spaniard.	-	1	0.0 %
Spanish	-	1	0.0 %
Turkish	-	1	0.0 %
Vietnamese	-	1	0.0 %
Visaya	-	1	0.0 %
american	-	1	0.0 %
bangladeshi	-	1	0.0 %
chinese	-	1	0.0 %
chinnese	-	1	0.0 %
english	-	1	0.0 %
european	-	1	0.0 %
guamanian	-	1	0.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

*Location:* 210-257 (width: 48; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* character

---

## S10\_6A: S10.6A Which racial group do you MOST identify with?

[If S10\_601-607 is 3, 4, 5, 6, or 7.]

Which racial group do you MOST identify with?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Asian or Asian American	119	1.8 %
2	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	62	1.0 %
3	White	1	0.0 %
4	Black	3	0.0 %
5	African American	4	0.1 %
6	Hispanic or Latino	11	0.2 %
7	Native American or American Indian	0	0.0 %
9	Multi-racial, mixed	36	0.6 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	9	0.1 %
99	Refused	3	0.0 %
.	-	6200	96.2 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 236 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 9.00

*Location:* 258-259 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

## S10\_701: (S10\_701) S10.7 From which country do you trace your Latino heritage?

[If RACE = 'Latino']

Families of Hispanic or Latino origin or background in the United States come from many different countries. From which country do you trace your Latino heritage?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Argentina	5	0.1 %
2	Bolivia	3	0.0 %
3	Chile	5	0.1 %
4	Colombia	28	0.4 %
5	Costa Rica	2	0.0 %
6	Cuba	29	0.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
7	Dominican Republic	36	0.6 %
8	Ecuador	11	0.2 %
9	El Salvador	53	0.8 %
10	Guatemala	14	0.2 %
11	Honduras	14	0.2 %
12	Mexico	744	11.5 %
13	Nicaragua	10	0.2 %
14	Panama	0	0.0 %
15	Paraguay	0	0.0 %
16	Peru	11	0.2 %
17	Puerto Rico	50	0.8 %
18	Spain	64	1.0 %
19	Uruguay	1	0.0 %
20	Venezuela	8	0.1 %
23	U.S.A.	9	0.1 %
30	Other	9	0.1 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	11	0.2 %
99	Refused	9	0.1 %
.	-	5322	82.5 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1,106 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 30.00

*Location:* 260-261 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

## S10\_702: (S10\_702) S10.7 From which country do you trace your Latino heritage?

[If RACE = 'Latino']

Families of Hispanic or Latino origin or background in the United States come from many different countries. From which country do you trace your Latino heritage?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Argentina	0	0.0 %
2	Bolivia	0	0.0 %
3	Chile	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	Colombia	0	0.0 %
5	Costa Rica	0	0.0 %
6	Cuba	0	0.0 %
7	Dominican Republic	1	0.0 %
8	Ecuador	0	0.0 %
9	El Salvador	1	0.0 %
10	Guatemala	0	0.0 %
11	Honduras	0	0.0 %
12	Mexico	5	0.1 %
13	Nicaragua	0	0.0 %
14	Panama	0	0.0 %
15	Paraguay	0	0.0 %
16	Peru	0	0.0 %
17	Puerto Rico	3	0.0 %
18	Spain	19	0.3 %
19	Uruguay	0	0.0 %
20	Venezuela	0	0.0 %
23	U.S.A.	0	0.0 %
30	Other	2	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6417	99.5 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 31 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 7.00
- Maximum: 30.00

*Location:* 262-263 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

## S10\_703: (S10\_703) S10.7 From which country do you trace your Latino heritage?

[If RACE = 'Latino']

Families of Hispanic or Latino origin or background in the United States come from many different countries. From which country do you trace your Latino heritage?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Argentina	0	0.0 %
2	Bolivia	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	Chile	0	0.0 %
4	Colombia	0	0.0 %
5	Costa Rica	0	0.0 %
6	Cuba	0	0.0 %
7	Dominican Republic	0	0.0 %
8	Ecuador	0	0.0 %
9	El Salvador	0	0.0 %
10	Guatemala	0	0.0 %
11	Honduras	0	0.0 %
12	Mexico	0	0.0 %
13	Nicaragua	0	0.0 %
14	Panama	0	0.0 %
15	Paraguay	0	0.0 %
16	Peru	0	0.0 %
17	Puerto Rico	0	0.0 %
18	Spain	0	0.0 %
19	Uruguay	0	0.0 %
20	Venezuela	0	0.0 %
23	U.S.A.	0	0.0 %
30	Other	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6448	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based on 0 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

Location: 264-265 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

## S10\_704: (S10\_704) S10.7 From which country do you trace your Latino heritage?

[If RACE = 'Latino']

Families of Hispanic or Latino origin or background in the United States come from many different countries. From which country do you trace your Latino heritage?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Argentina	0	0.0 %
2	Bolivia	0	0.0 %
3	Chile	0	0.0 %



Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	Colombia	0	0.0 %
5	Costa Rica	0	0.0 %
6	Cuba	0	0.0 %
7	Dominican Republic	0	0.0 %
8	Ecuador	0	0.0 %
9	El Salvador	0	0.0 %
10	Guatemala	0	0.0 %
11	Honduras	0	0.0 %
12	Mexico	0	0.0 %
13	Nicaragua	0	0.0 %
14	Panama	0	0.0 %
15	Paraguay	0	0.0 %
16	Peru	0	0.0 %
17	Puerto Rico	0	0.0 %
18	Spain	0	0.0 %
19	Uruguay	0	0.0 %
20	Venezuela	0	0.0 %
23	U.S.A.	0	0.0 %
30	Other	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6448	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

Location: 266-267 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

---

## S10\_705: (S10\_705) S10.7 From which country do you trace your Latino heritage?

[If RACE = 'Latino']

Families of Hispanic or Latino origin or background in the United States come from many different countries. From which country do you trace your Latino heritage?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Argentina	0	0.0 %
2	Bolivia	0	0.0 %
3	Chile	0	0.0 %
4	Colombia	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5	Costa Rica	0	0.0 %
6	Cuba	0	0.0 %
7	Dominican Republic	0	0.0 %
8	Ecuador	0	0.0 %
9	El Salvador	0	0.0 %
10	Guatemala	0	0.0 %
11	Honduras	0	0.0 %
12	Mexico	0	0.0 %
13	Nicaragua	0	0.0 %
14	Panama	0	0.0 %
15	Paraguay	0	0.0 %
16	Peru	0	0.0 %
17	Puerto Rico	0	0.0 %
18	Spain	0	0.0 %
19	Uruguay	0	0.0 %
20	Venezuela	0	0.0 %
23	U.S.A.	0	0.0 %
30	Other	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6448	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based on 0 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

Location: 268-269 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

---

## S10\_706: (S10\_706) S10.7 From which country do you trace your Latino heritage?

[If RACE = 'Latino']

Families of Hispanic or Latino origin or background in the United States come from many different countries. From which country do you trace your Latino heritage?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Argentina	0	0.0 %
2	Bolivia	0	0.0 %
3	Chile	0	0.0 %
4	Colombia	0	0.0 %
5	Costa Rica	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
6	Cuba	0	0.0 %
7	Dominican Republic	0	0.0 %
8	Ecuador	0	0.0 %
9	El Salvador	0	0.0 %
10	Guatemala	0	0.0 %
11	Honduras	0	0.0 %
12	Mexico	0	0.0 %
13	Nicaragua	0	0.0 %
14	Panama	0	0.0 %
15	Paraguay	0	0.0 %
16	Peru	0	0.0 %
17	Puerto Rico	0	0.0 %
18	Spain	0	0.0 %
19	Uruguay	0	0.0 %
20	Venezuela	0	0.0 %
23	U.S.A.	0	0.0 %
30	Other	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6448	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

*Location:* 270-271 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

## S10\_707: (S10\_707) S10.7 From which country do you trace your Latino heritage?

[If RACE = 'Latino']

Families of Hispanic or Latino origin or background in the United States come from many different countries. From which country do you trace your Latino heritage?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Argentina	0	0.0 %
2	Bolivia	0	0.0 %
3	Chile	0	0.0 %
4	Colombia	0	0.0 %
5	Costa Rica	0	0.0 %
6	Cuba	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
7	Dominican Republic	0	0.0 %
8	Ecuador	0	0.0 %
9	El Salvador	0	0.0 %
10	Guatemala	0	0.0 %
11	Honduras	0	0.0 %
12	Mexico	0	0.0 %
13	Nicaragua	0	0.0 %
14	Panama	0	0.0 %
15	Paraguay	0	0.0 %
16	Peru	0	0.0 %
17	Puerto Rico	0	0.0 %
18	Spain	0	0.0 %
19	Uruguay	0	0.0 %
20	Venezuela	0	0.0 %
23	U.S.A.	0	0.0 %
30	Other	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6448	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

Location: 272-273 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

## S10\_708: (S10\_708) S10.7 From which country do you trace your Latino heritage?

[If RACE = 'Latino']

Families of Hispanic or Latino origin or background in the United States come from many different countries. From which country do you trace your Latino heritage?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Argentina	0	0.0 %
2	Bolivia	0	0.0 %
3	Chile	0	0.0 %
4	Colombia	0	0.0 %
5	Costa Rica	0	0.0 %
6	Cuba	0	0.0 %
7	Dominican Republic	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	Ecuador	0	0.0 %
9	El Salvador	0	0.0 %
10	Guatemala	0	0.0 %
11	Honduras	0	0.0 %
12	Mexico	0	0.0 %
13	Nicaragua	0	0.0 %
14	Panama	0	0.0 %
15	Paraguay	0	0.0 %
16	Peru	0	0.0 %
17	Puerto Rico	0	0.0 %
18	Spain	0	0.0 %
19	Uruguay	0	0.0 %
20	Venezuela	0	0.0 %
23	U.S.A.	0	0.0 %
30	Other	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6448	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

Location: 274-275 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

## S10\_709: (S10\_709) S10.7 From which country do you trace your Latino heritage?

[If RACE = 'Latino']

Families of Hispanic or Latino origin or background in the United States come from many different countries. From which country do you trace your Latino heritage?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Argentina	0	0.0 %
2	Bolivia	0	0.0 %
3	Chile	0	0.0 %
4	Colombia	0	0.0 %
5	Costa Rica	0	0.0 %
6	Cuba	0	0.0 %
7	Dominican Republic	0	0.0 %
8	Ecuador	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
9	El Salvador	0	0.0 %
10	Guatemala	0	0.0 %
11	Honduras	0	0.0 %
12	Mexico	0	0.0 %
13	Nicaragua	0	0.0 %
14	Panama	0	0.0 %
15	Paraguay	0	0.0 %
16	Peru	0	0.0 %
17	Puerto Rico	0	0.0 %
18	Spain	0	0.0 %
19	Uruguay	0	0.0 %
20	Venezuela	0	0.0 %
23	U.S.A.	0	0.0 %
30	Other	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6448	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

Location: 276-277 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

---

## S10\_710: (S10\_710) S10.7 From which country do you trace your Latino heritage?

[If RACE = 'Latino']

Families of Hispanic or Latino origin or background in the United States come from many different countries. From which country do you trace your Latino heritage?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Argentina	0	0.0 %
2	Bolivia	0	0.0 %
3	Chile	0	0.0 %
4	Colombia	0	0.0 %
5	Costa Rica	0	0.0 %
6	Cuba	0	0.0 %
7	Dominican Republic	0	0.0 %
8	Ecuador	0	0.0 %
9	El Salvador	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
10	Guatemala	0	0.0 %
11	Honduras	0	0.0 %
12	Mexico	0	0.0 %
13	Nicaragua	0	0.0 %
14	Panama	0	0.0 %
15	Paraguay	0	0.0 %
16	Peru	0	0.0 %
17	Puerto Rico	0	0.0 %
18	Spain	0	0.0 %
19	Uruguay	0	0.0 %
20	Venezuela	0	0.0 %
23	U.S.A.	0	0.0 %
30	Other	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6448	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

Location: 278-279 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

## OTHS10\_7: S10.7 Record other country here

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	-	6437	99.8 %
Bolivia	-	1	0.0 %
DOMINICAN	-	1	0.0 %
New Mexico	-	1	0.0 %
PORTUGAL	-	1	0.0 %
SANTO DOMINGO	-	1	0.0 %
SPAIN ITALIA	-	1	0.0 %
Spain	-	1	0.0 %
arizona before it was a state	-	1	0.0 %
brasil	-	1	0.0 %
espagna	-	1	0.0 %
michocan	-	1	0.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

Location: 280-308 (width: 29; decimal: 0)

**S10\_8: S10.8 Which country does most of your family come from?**

[If S10\_701-710 have more than one response.]

Which country does most of your family come from?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Argentina	0	0.0 %
2	Bolivia	0	0.0 %
3	Chile	0	0.0 %
4	Colombia	0	0.0 %
5	Costa Rica	0	0.0 %
6	Cuba	3	0.0 %
7	Dominican Republic	1	0.0 %
8	Ecuador	0	0.0 %
9	El Salvador	2	0.0 %
10	Guatemala	1	0.0 %
11	Honduras	0	0.0 %
12	Mexico	10	0.2 %
13	Nicaragua	0	0.0 %
14	Panama	0	0.0 %
15	Paraguay	0	0.0 %
16	Peru	1	0.0 %
17	Puerto Rico	2	0.0 %
18	Spain	6	0.1 %
19	Uruguay	0	0.0 %
20	Venezuela	0	0.0 %
23	U.S.A.	0	0.0 %
30	Other	1	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	4	0.1 %
.	-	6417	99.5 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 27 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 6.00
- Maximum: 30.00

Location: 309-310 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric



(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

## OTHS10\_8: S10.8 Record other country here

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	-	6447	100.0 %
arizona before it was a state in 1892	-	1	0.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

Location: 311-347 (width: 37; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

## S10\_901: (S10\_901) S10.9 Do you trace your Latino heritage to any country other than the U.S.?

[If S10\_701-710 or S10\_8 are 'United States'.]

Do you trace your Latino heritage, however many generations back, to any country other than the U.S.?

[Accept multiple responses. Do not read 'U.S.A.']

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Argentina	0	0.0 %
2	Bolivia	0	0.0 %
3	Chile	0	0.0 %
4	Colombia	0	0.0 %
5	Costa Rica	0	0.0 %
6	Cuba	0	0.0 %
7	Dominican Republic	0	0.0 %
8	Ecuador	0	0.0 %
9	El Salvador	0	0.0 %
10	Guatemala	0	0.0 %
11	Honduras	0	0.0 %
12	Mexico	1	0.0 %
13	Nicaragua	0	0.0 %
14	Panama	0	0.0 %
15	Paraguay	0	0.0 %
16	Peru	0	0.0 %
17	Puerto Rico	0	0.0 %
18	Spain	2	0.0 %
19	Uruguay	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
20	Venezuela	0	0.0 %
23	U.S.A.	4	0.1 %
30	Other	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	2	0.0 %
.	-	6439	99.9 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 7 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 12.00
- Maximum: 23.00

*Location:* 348-349 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

## S10\_902: (S10\_902) S10.9 Do you trace your Latino heritage to any country other than the U.S.?

[If S10\_701-710 or S10\_8 are 'United States'.]

Do you trace your Latino heritage, however many generations back, to any country other than the U.S.?

[Accept multiple responses. Do not read 'U.S.A.']

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Argentina	0	0.0 %
2	Bolivia	0	0.0 %
3	Chile	0	0.0 %
4	Colombia	0	0.0 %
5	Costa Rica	0	0.0 %
6	Cuba	0	0.0 %
7	Dominican Republic	0	0.0 %
8	Ecuador	0	0.0 %
9	El Salvador	0	0.0 %
10	Guatemala	0	0.0 %
11	Honduras	0	0.0 %
12	Mexico	0	0.0 %
13	Nicaragua	0	0.0 %
14	Panama	0	0.0 %
15	Paraguay	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
16	Peru	0	0.0 %
17	Puerto Rico	0	0.0 %
18	Spain	0	0.0 %
19	Uruguay	0	0.0 %
20	Venezuela	0	0.0 %
23	U.S.A.	0	0.0 %
30	Other	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6448	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

Location: 350-351 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

## S10\_903: (S10\_903) S10.9 Do you trace your Latino heritage to any country other than the U.S.?

[If S10\_701-710 or S10\_8 are 'United States'.]

Do you trace your Latino heritage, however many generations back, to any country other than the U.S.?

[Accept multiple responses. Do not read 'U.S.A.']

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Argentina	0	0.0 %
2	Bolivia	0	0.0 %
3	Chile	0	0.0 %
4	Colombia	0	0.0 %
5	Costa Rica	0	0.0 %
6	Cuba	0	0.0 %
7	Dominican Republic	0	0.0 %
8	Ecuador	0	0.0 %
9	El Salvador	0	0.0 %
10	Guatemala	0	0.0 %
11	Honduras	0	0.0 %
12	Mexico	0	0.0 %
13	Nicaragua	0	0.0 %
14	Panama	0	0.0 %
15	Paraguay	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
16	Peru	0	0.0 %
17	Puerto Rico	0	0.0 %
18	Spain	0	0.0 %
19	Uruguay	0	0.0 %
20	Venezuela	0	0.0 %
23	U.S.A.	0	0.0 %
30	Other	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6448	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

Location: 352-353 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

## S10\_904: (S10\_904) S10.9 Do you trace your Latino heritage to any country other than the U.S.?

[If S10\_701-710 or S10\_8 are 'United States'.]

Do you trace your Latino heritage, however many generations back, to any country other than the U.S.?

[Accept multiple responses. Do not read 'U.S.A.']

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Argentina	0	0.0 %
2	Bolivia	0	0.0 %
3	Chile	0	0.0 %
4	Colombia	0	0.0 %
5	Costa Rica	0	0.0 %
6	Cuba	0	0.0 %
7	Dominican Republic	0	0.0 %
8	Ecuador	0	0.0 %
9	El Salvador	0	0.0 %
10	Guatemala	0	0.0 %
11	Honduras	0	0.0 %
12	Mexico	0	0.0 %
13	Nicaragua	0	0.0 %
14	Panama	0	0.0 %
15	Paraguay	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
16	Peru	0	0.0 %
17	Puerto Rico	0	0.0 %
18	Spain	0	0.0 %
19	Uruguay	0	0.0 %
20	Venezuela	0	0.0 %
23	U.S.A.	0	0.0 %
30	Other	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6448	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

Location: 354-355 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

## S10\_905: (S10\_905) S10.9 Do you trace your Latino heritage to any country other than the U.S.?

[If S10\_701-710 or S10\_8 are 'United States'.]

Do you trace your Latino heritage, however many generations back, to any country other than the U.S.?

[Accept multiple responses. Do not read 'U.S.A.']

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Argentina	0	0.0 %
2	Bolivia	0	0.0 %
3	Chile	0	0.0 %
4	Colombia	0	0.0 %
5	Costa Rica	0	0.0 %
6	Cuba	0	0.0 %
7	Dominican Republic	0	0.0 %
8	Ecuador	0	0.0 %
9	El Salvador	0	0.0 %
10	Guatemala	0	0.0 %
11	Honduras	0	0.0 %
12	Mexico	0	0.0 %
13	Nicaragua	0	0.0 %
14	Panama	0	0.0 %
15	Paraguay	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
16	Peru	0	0.0 %
17	Puerto Rico	0	0.0 %
18	Spain	0	0.0 %
19	Uruguay	0	0.0 %
20	Venezuela	0	0.0 %
23	U.S.A.	0	0.0 %
30	Other	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6448	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

Location: 356-357 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

---

## OTHS10\_9: S10.9 Record other country here

[If S10\_701-710 or S10\_8 are 'United States'.]

Do you trace your Latino heritage, however many generations back, to any country other than the U.S.?

[Accept multiple responses. Do not read 'U.S.A.']

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
.	-	6448	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

Location: 358-358 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

---

## TEXTQ1: TEXTQ1

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Mainland	74	1.1 %
2	<none>	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6374	98.9 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 74 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00

*Location:* 359-359 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## Q1\_1: Q1.1 Was your mother born in the United States?

[If NHPI is 1 and S10\_1 is 13, 16, 25 or RETHNIC is 'PUERTORICAN', "mainland United States"]

Now we'd like to know just a bit about your background and family history. Was your mother born in the United States?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1529	23.7 %
2	No	4891	75.9 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	9	0.1 %
99	Refused	19	0.3 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,420 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

*Location:* 360-361 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99

---

## Q1\_2: Q1.2 And was your father born in the ANSWERFROM(TEXTQ1) United States?

[If NHPI is 1 and S10\_1 is 13, 16, 25 or RETHNIC is 'PUERTORICAN', "mainland United States"]

And was your father born in the United States?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1401	21.7 %
2	No	5011	77.7 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	17	0.3 %
99	Refused	19	0.3 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,412 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

*Location:* 362-363 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99

## TEXTQ1\_3: TEXTQ1\_3

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Or territory	94	1.5 %
2	<none>	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6354	98.5 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 94 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00

*Location:* 364-364 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## Q1\_3A: Q1.3A And what country ANSWERFROM(TEXTQ1\_3) were you born in?

[If RACE is 'Asian American' and FORBORN is 1]

And what country were you born in?

[If NHPI is 1]

And what country or territory were you born in?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	United States	6	0.1 %
1	Puerto Rico	0	0.0 %
2	Hawaii	0	0.0 %
3	Bangladesh	250	3.9 %
4	Bhutan	1	0.0 %
5	Burma/Myanmar	6	0.1 %
6	Cambodia/Kampuchea	358	5.6 %
7	China (People's Republic of China)	352	5.5 %
8	India	371	5.8 %
9	Indonesia	1	0.0 %
10	Japan	99	1.5 %



Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
11	Korea	393	6.1 %
12	Laos	232	3.6 %
13	Malaysia	5	0.1 %
14	Maldives	0	0.0 %
15	Nepal	0	0.0 %
16	North Korea	4	0.1 %
17	North Vietnam	23	0.4 %
18	Pakistan	221	3.4 %
19	Philippines	306	4.7 %
20	Samoa	0	0.0 %
21	Singapore	1	0.0 %
22	South Korea	15	0.2 %
23	South Vietnam	101	1.6 %
24	Sri Lanka/Ceylon	1	0.0 %
25	Taiwan	19	0.3 %
26	Thailand/Siam	61	0.9 %
27	Vietnam	343	5.3 %
28	Other	94	1.5 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	1	0.0 %
99	Refused	11	0.2 %
.	-	3173	49.2 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 3,263 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 28.00

*Location:* 365-366 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

## OTHQ1\_3A: Q1.3A Record "Other" country here

[If RACE is 'Asian American' and FORBORN is 1]

And what country were you born in?

[If NHPI is 1]

And what country or territory were you born in?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	-	6354	98.5 %
ASIA	-	1	0.0 %
ASSAM	-	1	0.0 %
Australia	-	1	0.0 %
BRAZIL	-	1	0.0 %
BRITISH GUIANA	-	1	0.0 %
CANADA	-	1	0.0 %
Canada	-	1	0.0 %
DUBAI	-	1	0.0 %
ETHIOPIA	-	1	0.0 %
East African	-	1	0.0 %
Fiji	-	1	0.0 %
Fiji Island	-	2	0.0 %
GERMANY	-	1	0.0 %
GUYANA	-	2	0.0 %
GUYANE	-	1	0.0 %
Gayana	-	1	0.0 %
Guyana	-	2	0.0 %
HONG KONG	-	4	0.1 %
HONG KONG	-	1	0.0 %
HONMG KONG	-	1	0.0 %
Hong Kong	-	15	0.2 %
IRAN	-	1	0.0 %
ITALY	-	1	0.0 %
Italy	-	2	0.0 %
Kuwait	-	1	0.0 %
Northern Ireland	-	1	0.0 %
PERU	-	1	0.0 %
Philipines	-	1	0.0 %
Saudi Arabia	-	3	0.0 %
Saudi Arabian	-	1	0.0 %
Scotland	-	1	0.0 %
TAIWAN	-	3	0.0 %
TANZIA	-	1	0.0 %
TRINIDAD TABAGO	-	1	0.0 %
Taiwan	-	1	0.0 %
Thrinidad	-	1	0.0 %
Trinidad	-	1	0.0 %
Turkey	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
UGANDA	-	1	0.0 %
United Kingdom	-	1	0.0 %
[MASKED BY ICPSR]	-	1	0.0 %
aFRICA	-	1	0.0 %
australia	-	1	0.0 %
bangladesh	-	1	0.0 %
canada	-	1	0.0 %
fIJI	-	1	0.0 %
fIJI iSLANDS	-	1	0.0 %
figi	-	1	0.0 %
fiji island	-	1	0.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

*Location:* 367-383 (width: 17; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* character

## Q1\_3B: Q1.3B And what country were you born in?

[If RACE is 'Latino' and FORBORN is 1]

And what country were you born in?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	United States	2	0.0 %
1	1 Puerto Rico	3	0.0 %
2	Hawaii	0	0.0 %
4	Argentina	4	0.1 %
5	Bolivia	2	0.0 %
6	Chile	5	0.1 %
7	Colombia	23	0.4 %
8	Costa Rica	1	0.0 %
9	Cuba	18	0.3 %
10	Dominican Republic	34	0.5 %
11	Ecuador	9	0.1 %
12	El Salvador	39	0.6 %
13	Guatemala	14	0.2 %
14	Honduras	12	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
15	Mexico	452	7.0 %
16	Nicaragua	9	0.1 %
17	Panama	0	0.0 %
18	Paraguay	0	0.0 %
19	Peru	9	0.1 %
20	20 Puerto Rico	16	0.2 %
21	Spain	8	0.1 %
22	Uruguay	1	0.0 %
23	Venezuela	6	0.1 %
24	U.S.A.	0	0.0 %
25	Other	4	0.1 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
99	Refused	6	0.1 %
.	-	5771	89.5 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 671 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 25.00

*Location:* 384-385 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

## OTHQ1\_3B: Q1.3B Record "Other" country here

[If RACE is 'Latino' and FORBORN is 1]

And what country were you born in?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	-	6444	99.9 %
Caribbean	-	1	0.0 %
PUEBLA	-	1	0.0 %
SANTO DOMIGO	-	1	0.0 %
ohio	-	1	0.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

*Location:* 386-397 (width: 12; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* character

---

## Q1\_4: Q1.4 And are you currently a U.S. citizen, or on a visa, green card, or something else

[If FORBORN is 1]

And are you currently a U.S. citizen, or on a visa, green card, or something else?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	U.S. Citizen	3448	53.5 %
2	Visa	53	0.8 %
3	Green card	421	6.5 %
4	Something else	54	0.8 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	44	0.7 %
.	-	2428	37.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 3,976 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

*Location:* 398-399 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , .

---

## NATURALIZED: Hidden variable NATURALIZED

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not naturalized	572	8.9 %
1	Naturalized	3448	53.5 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	2428	37.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,020 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

*Location:* 400-400 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## Q2\_1A: Q2.1A How interested are you in politics?

Now I'd like to ask about your views on current issues. How interested are you in politics - very interested, interested, somewhat interested, or not at all interested?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all interested	961	14.9 %
2	Somewhat interested	2107	32.7 %
3	Interested	1587	24.6 %
4	Very interested	1722	26.7 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	57	0.9 %
99	Refused	14	0.2 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,377 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

*Location:* 401-402 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99

---

## Q2\_2A: Q2.2A Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable impression of: Donald Trump

[Randomize A-C, then rest; split sample on E1 and E2; F1 and F2]

Now I'd like to ask you about some people and organizations who have been mentioned in the news recently. For each, please tell me whether you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable impression. If you haven't heard of them, or if you don't know enough about them to have an impression, just say so and we will move on.

Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable impression of Donald Trump?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very unfavorable	2660	41.3 %
2	Somewhat unfavorable	1068	16.6 %
3	Somewhat favorable	1270	19.7 %
4	Very favorable	644	10.0 %
5	No opinion	779	12.1 %
6	Never heard	27	0.4 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00

*Location:* 403-403 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## Q2\_2B: Q2.2B Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable impression of: Hillary Clinton

[Randomize A-C, then rest; split sample on E1 and E2; F1 and F2]

Now I'd like to ask you about some people and organizations who have been mentioned in the news recently. For each, please tell me whether you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable impression. If you haven't heard of them, or if you don't know enough about them to have an impression, just say so and we will move on.

Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable impression of Hillary Clinton?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very unfavorable	945	14.7 %
2	Somewhat unfavorable	927	14.4 %
3	Somewhat favorable	2339	36.3 %
4	Very favorable	1741	27.0 %
5	No opinion	475	7.4 %
6	Never heard	21	0.3 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00

Location: 404-404 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

---

## Q2\_2C: Q2.2C Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable impression of: Barack Obama

[Randomize A-C, then rest; split sample on E1 and E2; F1 and F2]

Now I'd like to ask you about some people and organizations who have been mentioned in the news recently. For each, please tell me whether you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable impression. If you haven't heard of them, or if you don't know enough about them to have an impression, just say so and we will move on.

Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable impression of Barack Obama?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very unfavorable	495	7.7 %
2	Somewhat unfavorable	516	8.0 %
3	Somewhat favorable	1866	28.9 %
4	Very favorable	3283	50.9 %
5	No opinion	277	4.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
6	Never heard	11	0.2 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00

*Location:* 405-405 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## **Q2\_2D: Q2.2D Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable impression of: The Black Lives Matter Movement**

[Randomize A-C, then rest; split sample on E1 and E2; F1 and F2]

Now I'd like to ask you about some people and organizations who have been mentioned in the news recently. For each, please tell me whether you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable impression. If you haven't heard of them, or if you don't know enough about them to have an impression, just say so and we will move on.

Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable impression of The Black Lives Matter Movement, which seeks racial equality, and campaigns against violence and systemic racism toward Black people?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very unfavorable	642	10.0 %
2	Somewhat unfavorable	626	9.7 %
3	Somewhat favorable	1693	26.3 %
4	Very favorable	1726	26.8 %
5	No opinion	1004	15.6 %
6	Never heard	757	11.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00

*Location:* 406-406 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## **Q2\_2E1: Q2.2E1 Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable impression of: The Tea Party Movement**

[Randomize A-C, then rest; split sample on E1 and E2; F1 and F2]

Now I'd like to ask you about some people and organizations who have been mentioned in the news recently. For each, please tell me



whether you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable impression. If you haven't heard of them, or if you don't know enough about them to have an impression, just say so and we will move on.

Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable impression of The Tea Party Movement, which is a conservative movement within the Republican Party?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very unfavorable	893	13.8 %
2	Somewhat unfavorable	547	8.5 %
3	Somewhat favorable	374	5.8 %
4	Very favorable	146	2.3 %
5	No opinion	789	12.2 %
6	Never heard	485	7.5 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	3214	49.8 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 3,234 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00

Location: 407-407 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

## Q2\_2E2: Q2.2E2 Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable impression of: The Tea Party Movement

[Randomize A-C, then rest; split sample on E1 and E2; F1 and F2]

Now I'd like to ask you about some people and organizations who have been mentioned in the news recently. For each, please tell me whether you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable impression. If you haven't heard of them, or if you don't know enough about them to have an impression, just say so and we will move on.

Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable impression of The Tea Party Movement, which is a conservative movement within the Republican Party that formed in opposition to the policies of Barack Obama?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very unfavorable	925	14.3 %
2	Somewhat unfavorable	559	8.7 %
3	Somewhat favorable	387	6.0 %
4	Very favorable	167	2.6 %
5	No opinion	733	11.4 %
6	Never heard	443	6.9 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
.	-	3234	50.2 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 3,214 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00

*Location:* 408-408 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## Q2\_2F1: Q2.2F1 Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable impression of: The DREAMER Movement

[Randomize A-C, then rest; split sample on E1 and E2; F1 and F2]

Now I'd like to ask you about some people and organizations who have been mentioned in the news recently. For each, please tell me whether you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable impression. If you haven't heard of them, or if you don't know enough about them to have an impression, just say so and we will move on.

Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable impression of The DREAMER Movement, which is a movement to improve immigrant rights led by young undocumented immigrants?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very unfavorable	232	3.6 %
2	Somewhat unfavorable	253	3.9 %
3	Somewhat favorable	687	10.7 %
4	Very favorable	859	13.3 %
5	No opinion	586	9.1 %
6	Never heard	617	9.6 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	3214	49.8 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 3,234 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00

*Location:* 409-409 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## Q2\_2F2: Q2.2F2 Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable impression of: The DREAMER Movement

[Randomize A-C, then rest; split sample on E1 and E2; F1 and F2]

Now I'd like to ask you about some people and organizations who have been mentioned in the news recently. For each, please tell me whether you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable impression. If you haven't heard of them, or if you don't know enough about them to have an impression, just say so and we will move on.

Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable impression of The DREAMER Movement, which is a movement to improve immigrant rights led by young undocumented immigrants, including young [INSRACE] immigrants?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very unfavorable	241	3.7 %
2	Somewhat unfavorable	205	3.2 %
3	Somewhat favorable	645	10.0 %
4	Very favorable	919	14.3 %
5	No opinion	581	9.0 %
6	Never heard	623	9.7 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	3234	50.2 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 3,214 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00

Location: 410-410 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

---

## Q2\_3: Q2.3 Are you currently registered to vote or not?

[If CITIZEN is 1]

Next, I would like to ask you about your views on politics and the past November elections. Are you currently registered to vote or not?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes, registered	5264	81.6 %
2	Not registered	574	8.9 %
3	Not sure currently registered	27	0.4 %
4	Not eligible to vote/register	5	0.1 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	3	0.0 %
99	Refused	3	0.0 %
.	-	572	8.9 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 5,870 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

*Location:* 411-412 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

## REGISTERED: Hidden variable REGISTERED

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Registered	5264	81.6 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1184	18.4 %
	Total	6,448	100%

Based upon 5,264 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00

*Location:* 413-413 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## NOTREGISTERED: Hidden variable NOTREGISTERED

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not registered	612	9.5 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	5836	90.5 %
	Total	6,448	100%

Based upon 612 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00

*Location:* 414-414 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## NONELIG: Hidden variable NONELIG

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Elig	5792	89.8 %
1	Nonelig	656	10.2 %
	Total	6,448	100%

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

*Location:* 415-415 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## Q2\_4: Q2.4 Thinking back to this November's presidential elections, did you vote?

[If NONELIG is 0]

Thinking back to this November's presidential elections, did you vote?

[Read choices]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	4776	74.1 %
2	No	984	15.3 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	27	0.4 %
99	Refused	5	0.1 %
.	-	656	10.2 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 5,760 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

*Location:* 416-417 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

## VOTER: Hidden variable VOTER

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Voter	4776	74.1 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	1672	25.9 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,776 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00

*Location:* 418-418 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## Q2\_4A: Q2.4A Thinking about the past November election for PRESIDENT, did you vote for Hillary Clinton, Donald Trump, or some other candidate?

[If VOTER is 1]

Thinking about the past November election for President...did you vote for Hillary Clinton, Donald Trump, or some other candidate?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Clinton	2948	45.7 %
2	Trump	922	14.3 %
3	Gary Johnson	56	0.9 %
4	Jill Stein	43	0.7 %
5	Some other candidate	209	3.2 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	71	1.1 %
99	Refused	527	8.2 %
.	-	1672	25.9 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,178 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 419-420 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

## Q2\_5A: Q2.5A Thinking about the past November election for the U.S. House of Representatives in your Congressional district, did you vote for the

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Republican candidate	0	0.0 %
2	Democratic candidate	0	0.0 %
3	Some other party	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6448	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

Location: 421-422 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

---

## Q2\_6: Q2.6 Did anyone from one of the political parties call you up or come around and talk to you about the campaign this year?

[If CITIZEN is 1]

As you know, the political parties try to talk to as many people as they can to get them to vote for their candidate. Did anyone from one of the political parties call you up or come around and talk to you about the campaign this year?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	2066	32.0 %
2	No	3707	57.5 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	84	1.3 %
99	Refused	19	0.3 %
.	-	572	8.9 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 5,773 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

*Location:* 423-424 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

## Q2\_7: Q2.7 Other than someone from the two major parties, did anyone else call you up or come around and talk to you about this election?

[If CITIZEN is 1]

Other than someone from the two major parties, did anyone else call you up or come around and talk to you about this election?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	735	11.4 %
2	No	5013	77.7 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	109	1.7 %
99	Refused	19	0.3 %
.	-	572	8.9 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 5,748 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

*Location:* 425-426 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

## Q2\_801: (Q2\_801) Q2.8 Was that contact by an ethnic organization OR some other organization?

[If Q2\_7 is 1]

Was that contact by an ethnic organization or some other organization?

[Check all that apply]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Ethnic organization	100	1.6 %
2	Some other organization	384	6.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	244	3.8 %
99	Refused	7	0.1 %
.	-	5713	88.6 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 484 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

*Location:* 427-428 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

## Q2\_802: (Q2\_802) Q2.8 Was that contact by an ethnic organization OR some other organization?

[If Q2\_7 is 1]

Was that contact by an ethnic organization or some other organization?

[Check all that apply]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Ethnic organization	0	0.0 %
2	Some other organization	16	0.2 %



Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	2	0.0 %
99	Refused	2	0.0 %
.	-	6428	99.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 16 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 2.00

*Location:* 429-430 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

## Q2\_9: Q2.9 Did you vote yes or no on Proposition 55?

[If STATE is 'CA', VOTER is 1, and HALFALL is 1]

In California, Proposition 55 was called the "Tax Extension to Fund Education and Healthcare. Initiative Constitutional Amendment". It extends by 12 years the temporary personal income tax increases enacted in 2012 on earnings over \$250,000, with revenues allocated to K-12 schools, California Community Colleges, and, in certain years, healthcare. Did you vote yes or no on Proposition 55?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	452	7.0 %
2	No	113	1.8 %
3	I did not vote on this issue	53	0.8 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	141	2.2 %
99	Refused	12	0.2 %
.	-	5677	88.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 618 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

*Location:* 431-432 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

## Q2\_10: Q2.10 Did you vote yes or no on Proposition 64?

[If STATE is 'CA', VOTER is 1, and HALFALL is 1]

In California, Proposition 64 was called the "Marijuana Legalization. Initiative Statute". It legalizes marijuana under state law, for use by adults 21 or older and imposes state taxes on sales and cultivation. It also provides for industry licensing and establishes standards for marijuana products and allows local regulation and taxation. Did you vote yes or no on Proposition 64?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	296	4.6 %
2	No	387	6.0 %
3	I did not vote on this issue	34	0.5 %
<b>Missing Data</b>			
88	Don't know	42	0.7 %
99	Refused	12	0.2 %
.	-	5677	88.0 %
<b>Total</b>		<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 717 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

*Location:* 433-434 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

### Q3\_1\_A: Our society should do whatever is necessary to make sure that everyone has an equal opportunity to succeed.

[Rotate between Q3.1a to Q3.1f]

For the each of the following statements, please indicate if you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly.

Our society should do whatever is necessary to make sure that everyone has an equal opportunity to succeed.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly agree	4339	67.3 %
2	Somewhat agree	1317	20.4 %
3	Neither	274	4.2 %
4	Somewhat disagree	241	3.7 %
5	Strongly disagree	136	2.1 %
<b>Missing Data</b>			
88	Don't know	115	1.8 %
99	Refused	26	0.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,307 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

*Location:* 435-436 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99

---

### Q3\_1\_B: We have gone too far in pushing equal rights in this country.

[Rotate between Q3.1a to Q3.1f]

For the each of the following statements, please indicate if you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly.

We have gone too far in pushing equal rights in this country.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly agree	1259	19.5 %
2	Somewhat agree	1243	19.3 %
3	Neither	561	8.7 %
4	Somewhat disagree	1204	18.7 %
5	Strongly disagree	1773	27.5 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	368	5.7 %
99	Refused	40	0.6 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,040 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

*Location:* 437-438 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99

---

### Q3\_1\_C: One of the big problems in this country is that we don't give everyone an equal chance.

[Rotate between Q3.1a to Q3.1f]

For the each of the following statements, please indicate if you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly.

One of the big problems in this country is that we don't give everyone an equal chance.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly agree	2194	34.0 %
2	Somewhat agree	1549	24.0 %
3	Neither	492	7.6 %
4	Somewhat disagree	1059	16.4 %
5	Strongly disagree	866	13.4 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	247	3.8 %
99	Refused	41	0.6 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,160 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 439-440 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99

### Q3\_1\_D: This country would be better off if we worried less about how equal people are.

[Rotate between Q3.1a to Q3.1f]

For the each of the following statements, please indicate if you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly.

This country would be better off if we worried less about how equal people are.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly agree	1374	21.3 %
2	Somewhat agree	1383	21.4 %
3	Neither	618	9.6 %
4	Somewhat disagree	1073	16.6 %
5	Strongly disagree	1591	24.7 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	344	5.3 %
99	Refused	65	1.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,039 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

*Location:* 441-442 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99

---

### **Q3\_1\_E: It is not really that big a problem if some people have more of a chance in life than others.**

[Rotate between Q3.1a to Q3.1f]

For the each of the following statements, please indicate if you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly.

It is not really that big a problem if some people have more of a chance in life than others.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly agree	1126	17.5 %
2	Somewhat agree	1456	22.6 %
3	Neither	706	10.9 %
4	Somewhat disagree	1092	16.9 %
5	Strongly disagree	1589	24.6 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	406	6.3 %
99	Refused	73	1.1 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 5,969 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

*Location:* 443-444 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99

---

### **Q3\_1\_F: If people were treated more equally in this country we would have many fewer problems.**

[Rotate between Q3.1a to Q3.1f]

For the each of the following statements, please indicate if you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly.

If people were treated more equally in this country we would have many fewer problems.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly agree	3443	53.4 %
2	Somewhat agree	1510	23.4 %
3	Neither	392	6.1 %
4	Somewhat disagree	542	8.4 %
5	Strongly disagree	339	5.3 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	191	3.0 %
99	Refused	31	0.5 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,226 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 445-446 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99

### Q3\_3: Q3.3 What about income equality?

What about income inequality? Do you think the difference in incomes between rich people and poor people in the United States today is larger, smaller, or about the same as it was 20 years ago? Is it much larger or somewhat larger? Is it much smaller or somewhat smaller?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Much larger	2892	44.9 %
2	Somewhat larger	1232	19.1 %
3	About the same	974	15.1 %
4	Somewhat smaller	319	4.9 %
5	Much smaller	136	2.1 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	860	13.3 %
99	Refused	35	0.5 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 5,553 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 447-448 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99

---

### Q3\_4: Q3.4 How about your own financial situation?

How about your own financial situation? So far as you and your family are concerned, how worried are you about your current financial situation? Are you [read]

[Rotate order of response categories from 1-5 and 5-1]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely worried	500	7.8 %
2	Very worried	646	10.0 %
3	Moderately worried	1567	24.3 %
4	A little worried	1657	25.7 %
5	Not at all worried	1967	30.5 %
<b>Missing Data</b>			
88	Don't know	71	1.1 %
99	Refused	39	0.6 %
.	-	1	0.0 %
<b>Total</b>		<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,337 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

*Location:* 449-450 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

### Q3\_5: Q3.5 Now looking ahead, do you think that a year from now [you/you and your family living here] will be financially BETTER OFF/WORSE OFF/JUST ABOUT THE SAME?

Now looking ahead, do you think that a year from now [you/you and your family living here] will be BETTER OFF financially, WORSE OFF, or JUST ABOUT THE SAME as now? Is that MUCH better or SOMEWHAT better? Is that MUCH worse or SOMEWHAT worse?

[Flip order of categories 1 to 4]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Much better	556	8.6 %
2	Somewhat better	1149	17.8 %
3	Just about the same	3102	48.1 %
4	Somewhat worse	627	9.7 %
5	Much worse	360	5.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	626	9.7 %
99	Refused	28	0.4 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 5,794 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

*Location:* 451-452 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99

---

### Q3\_6\_A: The federal government should do more to reduce income differences between the richest and poorest households.

This set of items ask about the role of the U.S. federal government in the economy and your views on policies related to the economy and inequality. For each statement below, please indicate whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, neither agree nor disagree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree:

The federal government should do more to reduce income differences between the richest and the poorest households.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly agree	3133	48.6 %
2	Somewhat agree	1479	22.9 %
3	Neither	512	7.9 %
4	Somewhat disagree	527	8.2 %
5	Strongly disagree	502	7.8 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	260	4.0 %
99	Refused	35	0.5 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,153 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

*Location:* 453-454 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99

---

### Q3\_6\_B: The federal government should do more to regulate banks.



This set of items ask about the role of the U.S. federal government in the economy and your views on policies related to the economy and inequality. For each statement below, please indicate whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, neither agree nor disagree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree:

The federal government should do more to regulate banks.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly agree	2909	45.1 %
2	Somewhat agree	1444	22.4 %
3	Neither	680	10.5 %
4	Somewhat disagree	459	7.1 %
5	Strongly disagree	329	5.1 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	588	9.1 %
99	Refused	39	0.6 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 5,821 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

*Location:* 455-456 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99

### Q3\_6\_C: The federal government should raise the minimum wage to allow every working American a decent standard of living.

This set of items ask about the role of the U.S. federal government in the economy and your views on policies related to the economy and inequality. For each statement below, please indicate whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, neither agree nor disagree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree:

The federal government should raise the minimum wage to allow every working American a decent standard of living.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly agree	3664	56.8 %
2	Somewhat agree	1334	20.7 %
3	Neither	379	5.9 %
4	Somewhat disagree	468	7.3 %
5	Strongly disagree	442	6.9 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	138	2.1 %
99	Refused	23	0.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,287 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 457-458 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99

### Q3\_6\_D: The federal government should increase income taxes on people making over a million dollars a year.

This set of items ask about the role of the U.S. federal government in the economy and your views on policies related to the economy and inequality. For each statement below, please indicate whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, neither agree nor disagree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree:

The federal government should increase income taxes on people making over a million dollars a year.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly agree	3781	58.6 %
2	Somewhat agree	1197	18.6 %
3	Neither	362	5.6 %
4	Somewhat disagree	409	6.3 %
5	Strongly disagree	416	6.5 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	263	4.1 %
99	Refused	20	0.3 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,165 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 459-460 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99

### Q3\_6\_F: The federal government should do more to discourage big American companies from hiring foreign workers to replace workers in the U.S.

This set of items ask about the role of the U.S. federal government in the economy and your views on policies related to the economy and inequality. For each statement below, please indicate whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, neither agree nor disagree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree:

somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree:

The federal government should do more to discourage big American companies from hiring foreign workers to replace workers in the U.S.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly agree	2363	36.6 %
2	Somewhat agree	1341	20.8 %
3	Neither	720	11.2 %
4	Somewhat disagree	867	13.4 %
5	Strongly disagree	869	13.5 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	253	3.9 %
99	Refused	35	0.5 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,160 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

*Location:* 461-462 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99

### Q3\_6\_G: The federal government should enact major new spending that would help undergraduates pay tuition at public colleges without needing loans.

This set of items ask about the role of the U.S. federal government in the economy and your views on policies related to the economy and inequality. For each statement below, please indicate whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, neither agree nor disagree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree:

The federal government should enact major new spending that would help undergraduates pay tuition at public colleges without needing loans.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly agree	3536	54.8 %
2	Somewhat agree	1341	20.8 %
3	Neither	393	6.1 %
4	Somewhat disagree	470	7.3 %
5	Strongly disagree	461	7.1 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	220	3.4 %
99	Refused	27	0.4 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,201 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

*Location:* 463-464 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99

---

#### **Q4\_101: (Q4\_101) Q4.1 People of Asian descent in the U.S. use different terms to describe themselves. In general do you think of yourself as \_\_\_\_?**

[If RACE is 'Asian']

People of Asian descent in the U.S. use different terms to describe themselves. In general do you think of yourself as [read list]

[Randomize order of first four choice categories]

[Check all that apply]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Asian American	712	11.0 %
2	ANSWERFROM(RETHNIC)	649	10.1 %
3	ANSWERFROM(RETHNIC) American	2155	33.4 %
4	Asian	123	1.9 %
5	American	605	9.4 %
6	Other	31	0.5 %
7	None of these	29	0.4 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	46	0.7 %
99	Refused	12	0.2 %
.	-	2086	32.4 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,304 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

*Location:* 465-466 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

#### **Q4\_102: (Q4\_102) Q4.1 People of Asian descent in the U.S. use different terms to describe themselves. In general do you think of yourself as \_\_\_\_?**

[If RACE is 'Asian']

People of Asian descent in the U.S. use different terms to describe themselves. In general do you think of yourself as [read list]

[Randomize order of first four choice categories]

[Check all that apply]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Asian American	0	0.0 %
2	ANSWERFROM(RETHNIC)	0	0.0 %
3	ANSWERFROM(RETHNIC) American	0	0.0 %
4	Asian	0	0.0 %
5	American	0	0.0 %
6	Other	0	0.0 %
7	None of these	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6448	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

Location: 467-468 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

---

#### Q4\_103: (Q4\_103) Q4.1 People of Asian descent in the U.S. use different terms to describe themselves. In general do you think of yourself as \_\_\_\_?

[If RACE is 'Asian']

People of Asian descent in the U.S. use different terms to describe themselves. In general do you think of yourself as [read list]

[Randomize order of first four choice categories]

[Check all that apply]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Asian American	0	0.0 %
2	ANSWERFROM(RETHNIC)	0	0.0 %
3	ANSWERFROM(RETHNIC) American	0	0.0 %
4	Asian	0	0.0 %
5	American	0	0.0 %
6	Other	0	0.0 %
7	None of these	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6448	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

Location: 469-470 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

---

#### Q4\_104: (Q4\_104) Q4.1 People of Asian descent in the U.S. use different terms to describe themselves. In general do you think of yourself as \_\_\_\_?

[If RACE is 'Asian']

People of Asian descent in the U.S. use different terms to describe themselves. In general do you think of yourself as [read list]

[Randomize order of first four choice categories]

[Check all that apply]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Asian American	0	0.0 %
2	ANSWERFROM(RETNNIC)	0	0.0 %
3	ANSWERFROM(RETNNIC) American	0	0.0 %
4	Asian	0	0.0 %
5	American	0	0.0 %
6	Other	0	0.0 %
7	None of these	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	6448	100.0 %
	Total	6,448	100%

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

Location: 471-472 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

---

#### Q4\_105: (Q4\_105) Q4.1 People of Asian descent in the U.S. use different terms to describe themselves. In general do you think of yourself as \_\_\_\_?

[If RACE is 'Asian']

People of Asian descent in the U.S. use different terms to describe themselves. In general do you think of yourself as [read list]

[Randomize order of first four choice categories]

[Check all that apply]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Asian American	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	ANSWERFROM(RETENIC)	0	0.0 %
3	ANSWERFROM(RETENIC) American	0	0.0 %
4	Asian	0	0.0 %
5	American	0	0.0 %
6	Other	0	0.0 %
7	None of these	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6448	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

Location: 473-474 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

---

#### Q4\_106: (Q4\_106) Q4.1 People of Asian descent in the U.S. use different terms to describe themselves. In general do you think of yourself as \_\_\_\_?

[If RACE is 'Asian']

People of Asian descent in the U.S. use different terms to describe themselves. In general do you think of yourself as [read list]

[Randomize order of first four choice categories]

[Check all that apply]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Asian American	0	0.0 %
2	ANSWERFROM(RETENIC)	0	0.0 %
3	ANSWERFROM(RETENIC) American	0	0.0 %
4	Asian	0	0.0 %
5	American	0	0.0 %
6	Other	0	0.0 %
7	None of these	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6448	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

Location: 475-476 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

---

#### Q4\_2A: Q4.2A How important is being ANSWERFROM(INSRACE) to your identity?

For the next few questions, we want to know about what is important to how you think about yourself. Would you say extremely important, very important, somewhat important, or not at all important?

How important is being [INSRACE] to your identity?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all important	1206	18.7 %
2	Somewhat important	1732	26.9 %
3	Very important	2060	31.9 %
4	Extremely important	1238	19.2 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	164	2.5 %
99	Refused	48	0.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,236 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

*Location:* 477-478 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99

---

#### Q4\_2B: Q4.2B How important is being ANSWERFROM(RETENIC) to your identity?

For the next few questions, we want to know about what is important to how you think about yourself. Would you say extremely important, very important, somewhat important, or not at all important?

[If R is ASIAN or LATINO]

How important is being [RETENIC] to your identity?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all important	842	13.1 %
2	Somewhat important	1459	22.6 %
3	Very important	1921	29.8 %
4	Extremely important	1152	17.9 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	83	1.3 %
99	Refused	31	0.5 %
.	-	960	14.9 %



Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 5,374 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

*Location:* 479-480 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

## Q4\_2C: Q4.2C How important is being GENDER to your identity?

For the next few questions, we want to know about what is important to how you think about yourself. Would you say extremely important, very important, somewhat important, or not at all important?

How important is being [GENDER] to your identity?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all important	1162	18.0 %
2	Somewhat important	1266	19.6 %
3	Very important	2250	34.9 %
4	Extremely important	1533	23.8 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	196	3.0 %
99	Refused	41	0.6 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,211 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

*Location:* 481-482 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99

---

## Q4\_2D: Q4.2D How important is being AMERICAN to your identity?

For the next few questions, we want to know about what is important to how you think about yourself. Would you say extremely important, very important, somewhat important, or not at all important?

How important is being [AMERICAN] to your identity?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all important	524	8.1 %
2	Somewhat important	1299	20.1 %
3	Very important	2550	39.5 %
4	Extremely important	1951	30.3 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	104	1.6 %
99	Refused	20	0.3 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,324 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

*Location:* 483-484 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99

---

## Q4\_2E: Q4.2E How important is your religion to your identity?

For the next few questions, we want to know about what is important to how you think about yourself. Would you say extremely important, very important, somewhat important, or not at all important?

How important is your religion to your identity?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all important	1522	23.6 %
2	Somewhat important	1363	21.1 %
3	Very important	1943	30.1 %
4	Extremely important	1497	23.2 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	88	1.4 %
99	Refused	35	0.5 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,325 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

*Location:* 485-486 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99

---

## Q4\_2F: Q4.2F How important is your caste to your identity?

For the next few questions, we want to know about what is important to how you think about yourself. Would you say extremely important, very important, somewhat important, or not at all important?

[If R is SOUTH ASIAN]

How important is your caste to your identity?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all important	541	8.4 %
2	Somewhat important	218	3.4 %
3	Very important	146	2.3 %
4	Extremely important	78	1.2 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	149	2.3 %
99	Refused	22	0.3 %
.	-	5294	82.1 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 983 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 487-488 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

---

#### Q4\_3: Q4.3 Do you think what happens generally to other ANSWERFROM(INSRACES) in this country affects what happens in your life?

[Rotate order of 4.3 and 4.4]

Do you think what happens generally to other [RACES] in this country affects what happens in your life?

[Mark "maybe", "somewhat", "a little", "sort of" as yes]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	3622	56.2 %
2	No	2340	36.3 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	437	6.8 %
99	Refused	49	0.8 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 5,962 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 489-490 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99

---

#### Q4\_3A: Q4.3A Will it affect you a lot, some, or not very much?

[If Q4\_3 is 'Yes']

Will it affect you a lot, some, or not very much?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A lot	1249	19.4 %
2	Some	1880	29.2 %
3	Not very much	421	6.5 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	69	1.1 %
99	Refused	3	0.0 %
.	-	2826	43.8 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 3,550 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 491-492 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

---

#### Q4\_4: Q4.4 Do you think what happens generally to other ANSWERFROM(RETENIC) Americans affects what happens in your life?

[Rotate order of 4.3 and 4.4]

[If R is ASIAN or LATINO only]

Do you think what happens generally to other [RETENIC] Americans affects what happens in your life?

[Mark "maybe", "somewhat", "a little", "sort of" as yes]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	3174	49.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	No	1937	30.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	343	5.3 %
99	Refused	34	0.5 %
.	-	960	14.9 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 5,111 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 493-494 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

---

#### Q4\_4A: Q4.4A Will it affect you a lot, some, or not very much?

[If Q4\_4 is 'Yes']

Will it affect you a lot, some, or not very much?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A lot	1274	19.8 %
2	Some	1554	24.1 %
3	Not very much	289	4.5 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	51	0.8 %
99	Refused	6	0.1 %
.	-	3274	50.8 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 3,117 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 495-496 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

---

#### Q4\_5A: (Q4\_5A) Q4.5 What, if anything do ANSWERFROM(INSRACES) in the United States share with one another?

[Randomize order of Q4.5A-Q4.5D]

What, if anything do [INSRACES] in the United States share with one another? Would you say they share...

A common race

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	3614	56.0 %
2	No	2228	34.6 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	548	8.5 %
99	Refused	58	0.9 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 5,842 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

*Location:* 497-498 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99

---

#### **Q4\_5B: (Q4\_5B) Q4.5 What, if anything do ANSWERFROM(INSRACES) in the United States share with one another?**

[Randomize order of Q4.5A-Q4.5D]

What, if anything do [INSRACES] in the United States share with one another? Would you say they share...

A common culture

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	3937	61.1 %
2	No	2109	32.7 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	372	5.8 %
99	Refused	30	0.5 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,046 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

*Location:* 499-500 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric  
(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99

#### Q4\_5C: (Q4\_5C) Q4.5 What, if anything do ANSWERFROM(INSRACES) in the United States share with one another?

[Randomize order of Q4.5A-Q4.5D]

What, if anything do [INSRACES] in the United States share with one another? Would you say they share...

Common economic interests

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	3665	56.8 %
2	No	2145	33.3 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	601	9.3 %
99	Refused	37	0.6 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 5,810 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 501-502 (width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric  
(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99

#### Q4\_5D: (Q4\_5D) Q4.5 What, if anything do ANSWERFROM(INSRACES) in the United States share with one another?

[Randomize order of Q4.5A-Q4.5D]

What, if anything do [INSRACES] in the United States share with one another? Would you say they share...

Common political interests

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	2609	40.5 %
2	No	3031	47.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	765	11.9 %
99	Refused	43	0.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 5,640 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

*Location:* 503-504 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99

---

### ORDER4\_601: (ORDER4\_601) Order of races

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	African Americans or Blacks	1965	30.5 %
2	Latinos or Hispanics	1820	28.2 %
3	Whites	1973	30.6 %
4	Asian Americans	690	10.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

*Location:* 505-505 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

### ORDER4\_602: (ORDER4\_602) Order of races

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	African Americans or Blacks	2015	31.2 %
2	Latinos or Hispanics	1744	27.0 %
3	Whites	2021	31.3 %
4	Asian Americans	668	10.4 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

*Location:* 506-506 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

### ORDER4\_603: (ORDER4\_603) Order of races

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	African Americans or Blacks	2034	31.5 %
2	Latinos or Hispanics	1710	26.5 %
3	Whites	2014	31.2 %



Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	Asian Americans	690	10.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

*Location:* 507-507 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

#### ORDER4\_604: (ORDER4\_604) Order of races

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	African Americans or Blacks	0	0.0 %
2	Latinos or Hispanics	0	0.0 %
3	Whites	0	0.0 %
4	Asian Americans	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6448	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

*Location:* 508-508 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

#### ORDER4\_6A: (ORDER4\_6A) Order of races

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	African Americans or Blacks	1965	30.5 %
2	Latinos or Hispanics	1820	28.2 %
3	Whites	1973	30.6 %
4	Asian Americans	690	10.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

*Location:* 509-509 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

#### ORDER4\_6B: (ORDER4\_6B) Order of races

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	African Americans or Blacks	2015	31.2 %
2	Latinos or Hispanics	1744	27.0 %
3	Whites	2021	31.3 %
4	Asian Americans	668	10.4 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

*Location:* 510-510 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

### ORDER4\_6C: (ORDER4\_6C) Order of races

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	African Americans or Blacks	2034	31.5 %
2	Latinos or Hispanics	1710	26.5 %
3	Whites	2014	31.2 %
4	Asian Americans	690	10.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

*Location:* 511-511 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

### Q4\_6A: Q4.6A Would you say [RACES] have a lot in common, some, little in common, or nothing at all in common with [FIRST CATEGORY]?

[Insert first category, based on category randomization of "African Americans or Blacks", "Latinos or Hispanics", "Whites", "Asian Americans" - do not insert same racial group as R]

Thinking about government services, political power and representation, would you say [INSRACES] have a lot in common, some, little in common, or nothing at all in common with [ORDER4\_6A]?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A lot in common	1068	16.6 %
2	Some	2375	36.8 %
3	Little	1371	21.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	Nothing at all in common	926	14.4 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	607	9.4 %
99	Refused	101	1.6 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 5,740 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

*Location:* 512-513 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99

---

#### Q4\_6B: Q4.6B Would you say [RACES] have a lot in common, some, little in common, or nothing at all in common with [SECOND CATEGORY]?

[Insert first category, based on category randomization of "African Americans or Blacks", "Latinos or Hispanics", "Whites", "Asian Americans" - do not insert same racial group as R]

How about [ORDER4\_6B]? Would you say [INSRACES] have a lot in common, some, little in common, or nothing at all in common politically with [ORDER4\_6B]?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A lot in common	880	13.6 %
2	Some	2294	35.6 %
3	Little	1502	23.3 %
4	Nothing at all in common	1042	16.2 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	674	10.5 %
99	Refused	56	0.9 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 5,718 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

*Location:* 514-515 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99

## Q4\_6C: Q4.6C Would you say [RACES] have a lot in common, some, little in common, or nothing at all in common with [THIRD CATEGORY]?

[Insert first category, based on category randomization of "African Americans or Blacks", "Latinos or Hispanics", "Whites", "Asian Americans" - do not insert same racial group as R]

How about [ORDER4\_6C]?

[Read if necessary] Would you say [INSRACES] have a lot in common, some, little in common, or nothing at all in common politically with [ORDER4\_6C]?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A lot in common	918	14.2 %
2	Some	2278	35.3 %
3	Little	1477	22.9 %
4	Nothing at all in common	1052	16.3 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	660	10.2 %
99	Refused	63	1.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 5,725 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

*Location:* 516-517 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of Missing Values:* 88 , 99

## ORDER4\_7A: ORDER4\_7A

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Chinese	2091	32.4 %
2	Koreans	2179	33.8 %
3	Japanese	2178	33.8 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

*Location:* 518-518 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## ORDER4\_7B: ORDER4\_7B

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Indians	2120	32.9 %
2	Pakistanis	2112	32.8 %
3	Bangladeshis	2216	34.4 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

*Location:* 519-519 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## ORDER4\_7C: ORDER4\_7C

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Filipinos	2133	33.1 %
2	Vietnamese	2164	33.6 %
3	Cambodians	2151	33.4 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

*Location:* 520-520 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## ORDER4\_7D: ORDER4\_7D

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Hispanics	3197	49.6 %
2	Latinos	3251	50.4 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

*Location:* 521-521 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

#### Q4\_8A: (Q4\_8A) Q4.8 On a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 is lazy and 7 is hard working, how would you rate the following groups?

[Split sample Q4.8 and Q4.9]

[R will be asked 4.8A through 4.8D (random choice of 1 category within each question), given one of the three ethnic groups from which to choose]

[Keep same random selection as in Q4.7A-Q4.7D]

On a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 is lazy and 7 is hard working, how would you rate the following groups?

[ORDER4\_7A]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Lazy	21	0.3 %
2	-	6	0.1 %
3	-	23	0.4 %
4	-	104	1.6 %
5	-	299	4.6 %
6	-	387	6.0 %
7	Hard working	1068	16.6 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	347	5.4 %
99	Refused	88	1.4 %
.	-	4105	63.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1,908 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Mean: 6.19
- Median: 7.00
- Mode: 7.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.15

Location: 522-523 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

---

#### Q4\_8B: (Q4\_8B) Q4.8 On a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 is lazy and 7 is hard working, how would you rate the following groups?

[Split sample Q4.8 and Q4.9]

[R will be asked 4.8A through 4.8D (random choice of 1 category within each question), given one of the three ethnic groups from which to choose]

[Keep same random selection as in Q4.7A-Q4.7D]

On a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 is lazy and 7 is hard working, how would you rate the following groups?

[ORDER4\_7B]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Lazy	28	0.4 %
2	-	19	0.3 %
3	-	59	0.9 %
4	-	139	2.2 %
5	-	295	4.6 %
6	-	247	3.8 %
7	Hard working	499	7.7 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	951	14.7 %
99	Refused	106	1.6 %
.	-	4105	63.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1,286 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Mean: 5.64
- Median: 6.00
- Mode: 7.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.45

*Location:* 524-525 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

## Q4\_8C: (Q4\_8C) Q4.8 On a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 is lazy and 7 is hard working, how would you rate the following groups?

[Split sample Q4.8 and Q4.9]

[R will be asked 4.8A through 4.8D (random choice of 1 category within each question), given one of the three ethnic groups from which to choose]

[Keep same random selection as in Q4.7A-Q4.7D]

On a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 is lazy and 7 is hard working, how would you rate the following groups?

[ORDER4\_7C]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Lazy	21	0.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	-	11	0.2 %
3	-	45	0.7 %
4	-	156	2.4 %
5	-	338	5.2 %
6	-	334	5.2 %
7	Hard working	616	9.6 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	722	11.2 %
99	Refused	100	1.6 %
.	-	4105	63.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1,521 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Mean: 5.79
- Median: 6.00
- Mode: 7.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.31

*Location:* 526-527 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

#### **Q4\_8D: (Q4\_8D) Q4.8 On a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 is lazy and 7 is hard working, how would you rate the following groups?**

[Split sample Q4.8 and Q4.9]

[R will be asked 4.8A through 4.8D (random choice of 1 category within each question), given one of the three ethnic groups from which to choose]

[Keep same random selection as in Q4.7A-Q4.7D]

On a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 is lazy and 7 is hard working, how would you rate the following groups?

[ORDER4\_7D]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Lazy	14	0.2 %
2	-	12	0.2 %
3	-	36	0.6 %
4	-	140	2.2 %
5	-	367	5.7 %
6	-	469	7.3 %



Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
7	Hard working	1045	16.2 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	167	2.6 %
99	Refused	93	1.4 %
.	-	4105	63.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 2,083 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Mean: 6.08
- Median: 7.00
- Mode: 7.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.16

*Location:* 528-529 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

#### **Q4\_8E: (Q4\_8E) Q4.8 On a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 is lazy and 7 is hard working, how would you rate the following groups?**

[Split sample Q4.8 and Q4.9]

[R will be asked 4.8A through 4.8D (random choice of 1 category within each question), given one of the three ethnic groups from which to choose]

[Keep same random selection as in Q4.7A-Q4.7D]

On a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 is lazy and 7 is hard working, how would you rate the following groups?

Whites

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Lazy	52	0.8 %
2	-	39	0.6 %
3	-	125	1.9 %
4	-	277	4.3 %
5	-	601	9.3 %
6	-	443	6.9 %
7	Hard working	532	8.3 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	174	2.7 %
99	Refused	100	1.6 %
.	-	4105	63.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 2,069 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Mean: 5.32
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.45

Location: 530-531 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

### Q4\_8F: (Q4\_8F) Q4.8 On a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 is lazy and 7 is hard working, how would you rate the following groups?

[Split sample Q4.8 and Q4.9]

[R will be asked 4.8A through 4.8D (random choice of 1 category within each question), given one of the three ethnic groups from which to choose]

[Keep same random selection as in Q4.7A-Q4.7D]

On a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 is lazy and 7 is hard working, how would you rate the following groups?

Blacks

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Lazy	82	1.3 %
2	-	113	1.8 %
3	-	195	3.0 %
4	-	343	5.3 %
5	-	499	7.7 %
6	-	313	4.9 %
7	Hard working	469	7.3 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	227	3.5 %
99	Refused	102	1.6 %
.	-	4105	63.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 2,014 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Mean: 4.93
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.67

Location: 532-533 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

---

#### Q4\_9A: (Q4\_9A) Q4.9 On a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 is unintelligent and 7 is intelligent, how would you rate the following groups?

[Split sample Q4.8 and Q4.9]

[Keep same random selection as in Q4.8A-Q4.8D]

On a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 is unintelligent and 7 is intelligent, how would you rate the following groups?

[ORDER4\_7A]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Unintelligent	41	0.6 %
2	-	39	0.6 %
3	-	98	1.5 %
4	-	412	6.4 %
5	-	847	13.1 %
6	-	835	12.9 %
7	Intelligent	1055	16.4 %
<b>Missing Data</b>			
88	Don't know	576	8.9 %
99	Refused	202	3.1 %
.	-	2343	36.3 %
<b>Total</b>		<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 3,327 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Mean: 5.62
- Median: 6.00
- Mode: 7.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.30

Location: 534-535 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

---

#### Q4\_9B: (Q4\_9B) Q4.9 On a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 is unintelligent and 7 is intelligent, how would you rate the following groups?

[Split sample Q4.8 and Q4.9]

[Keep same random selection as in Q4.8A-Q4.8D]

On a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 is unintelligent and 7 is intelligent, how would you rate the following groups?

[ORDER4\_7B]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Unintelligent	58	0.9 %
2	-	97	1.5 %
3	-	228	3.5 %
4	-	500	7.8 %
5	-	676	10.5 %
6	-	567	8.8 %
7	Intelligent	614	9.5 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	1139	17.7 %
99	Refused	226	3.5 %
.	-	2343	36.3 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 2,740 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Mean: 5.12
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.50

*Location:* 536-537 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

#### Q4\_9C: (Q4\_9C) Q4.9 On a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 is unintelligent and 7 is intelligent, how would you rate the following groups?

[Split sample Q4.8 and Q4.9]

[Keep same random selection as in Q4.8A-Q4.8D]

On a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 is unintelligent and 7 is intelligent, how would you rate the following groups?

[ORDER4\_7C]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Unintelligent	32	0.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	-	105	1.6 %
3	-	315	4.9 %
4	-	686	10.6 %
5	-	822	12.7 %
6	-	458	7.1 %
7	Intelligent	495	7.7 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	955	14.8 %
99	Refused	237	3.7 %
.	-	2343	36.3 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 2,913 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Mean: 4.89
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.41

*Location:* 538-539 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

### Q4\_9D: (Q4\_9D) Q4.9 On a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 is unintelligent and 7 is intelligent, how would you rate the following groups?

[Split sample Q4.8 and Q4.9]

[Keep same random selection as in Q4.8A-Q4.8D]

On a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 is unintelligent and 7 is intelligent, how would you rate the following groups?

[ORDER4\_7D]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Unintelligent	46	0.7 %
2	-	133	2.1 %
3	-	440	6.8 %
4	-	899	13.9 %
5	-	838	13.0 %
6	-	360	5.6 %
7	Intelligent	416	6.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	734	11.4 %
99	Refused	239	3.7 %
.	-	2343	36.3 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 3,132 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Mean: 4.63
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.40

*Location:* 540-541 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

#### Q4\_9E: (Q4\_9E) Q4.9 On a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 is unintelligent and 7 is intelligent, how would you rate the following groups?

[Split sample Q4.8 and Q4.9]

On a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 is unintelligent and 7 is intelligent, how would you rate the following groups?

Whites

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Unintelligent	33	0.5 %
2	-	43	0.7 %
3	-	74	1.1 %
4	-	424	6.6 %
5	-	889	13.8 %
6	-	993	15.4 %
7	Intelligent	934	14.5 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	472	7.3 %
99	Refused	243	3.8 %
.	-	2343	36.3 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 3,390 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Mean: 5.60
- Median: 6.00
- Mode: 6.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.23

*Location:* 542-543 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

#### Q4\_9F: (Q4\_9F) Q4.9 On a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 is unintelligent and 7 is intelligent, how would you rate the following groups?

[Split sample Q4.8 and Q4.9]

On a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 is unintelligent and 7 is intelligent, how would you rate the following groups?

Blacks

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Unintelligent	76	1.2 %
2	-	162	2.5 %
3	-	469	7.3 %
4	-	854	13.2 %
5	-	850	13.2 %
6	-	418	6.5 %
7	Intelligent	437	6.8 %
<b>Missing Data</b>			
88	Don't know	593	9.2 %
99	Refused	246	3.8 %
.	-	2343	36.3 %
<b>Total</b>		<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 3,266 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Mean: 4.61
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.47

*Location:* 544-545 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

**Q4\_10A: (Q4\_10A) Q4.10 On a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 is difficult to get along with and 7 is easy to get along with, how would you rate the following groups?**

[Split sample 4.10 and 4.11]

[Keep same random selection as in Q4.8A-Q4.8D]

On a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 is difficult to get along with and 7 is easy to get along with, how would you rate the following groups?

[ORDER4\_7A]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Difficult to get along with	111	1.7 %
2	-	145	2.2 %
3	-	292	4.5 %
4	-	578	9.0 %
5	-	837	13.0 %
6	-	549	8.5 %
7	Easy to get along with	752	11.7 %
<b>Missing Data</b>			
88	Don't know	673	10.4 %
99	Refused	168	2.6 %
.	-	2343	36.3 %
<b>Total</b>		<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 3,264 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Mean: 5.00
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.60

*Location:* 546-547 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

**Q4\_10B: (Q4\_10B) Q4.10 On a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 is difficult to get along with and 7 is easy to get along with, how would you rate the following groups?**

[Split sample 4.10 and 4.11]

[Keep same random selection as in Q4.8A-Q4.8D]

On a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 is difficult to get along with and 7 is easy to get along with, how would you rate the following groups?



[ORDER4\_7B]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Difficult to get along with	115	1.8 %
2	-	135	2.1 %
3	-	322	5.0 %
4	-	563	8.7 %
5	-	637	9.9 %
6	-	403	6.2 %
7	Easy to get along with	532	8.3 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	1223	19.0 %
99	Refused	175	2.7 %
.	-	2343	36.3 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 2,707 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Mean: 4.78
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.64

Location: 548-549 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

---

#### Q4\_10C: (Q4\_10C) Q4.10 On a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 is difficult to get along with and 7 is easy to get along with, how would you rate the following groups?

[Split sample 4.10 and 4.11]

[Keep same random selection as in Q4.8A-Q4.8D]

On a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 is difficult to get along with and 7 is easy to get along with, how would you rate the following groups?

[ORDER4\_7C]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Difficult to get along with	61	0.9 %
2	-	103	1.6 %
3	-	269	4.2 %
4	-	613	9.5 %
5	-	794	12.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
6	-	493	7.6 %
7	Easy to get along with	626	9.7 %
<b>Missing Data</b>			
88	Don't know	969	15.0 %
99	Refused	177	2.7 %
.	-	2343	36.3 %
<b>Total</b>		<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 2,959 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Mean: 5.01
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.49

*Location:* 550-551 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

#### Q4\_10D: (Q4\_10D) Q4.10 On a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 is difficult to get along with and 7 is easy to get along with, how would you rate the following groups?

[Split sample 4.10 and 4.11]

[Keep same random selection as in Q4.8A-Q4.8D]

On a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 is difficult to get along with and 7 is easy to get along with, how would you rate the following groups?

[ORDER4\_7D]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Difficult to get along with	50	0.8 %
2	-	86	1.3 %
3	-	251	3.9 %
4	-	654	10.1 %
5	-	952	14.8 %
6	-	628	9.7 %
7	Easy to get along with	672	10.4 %
<b>Missing Data</b>			
88	Don't know	645	10.0 %
99	Refused	167	2.6 %
.	-	2343	36.3 %
<b>Total</b>		<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 3,293 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Mean: 5.11
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.41

*Location:* 552-553 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

#### Q4\_10E: (Q4\_10E) Q4.10 On a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 is difficult to get along with and 7 is easy to get along with, how would you rate the following groups?

[Split sample 4.10 and 4.11]

On a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 is difficult to get along with and 7 is easy to get along with, how would you rate the following groups?

Whites

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Difficult to get along with	46	0.7 %
2	-	70	1.1 %
3	-	174	2.7 %
4	-	520	8.1 %
5	-	974	15.1 %
6	-	913	14.2 %
7	Easy to get along with	823	12.8 %
<b>Missing Data</b>			
88	Don't know	419	6.5 %
99	Refused	166	2.6 %
.	-	2343	36.3 %
<b>Total</b>		<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 3,520 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Mean: 5.37
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.34

*Location:* 554-555 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

**Q4\_10F: (Q4\_10F) Q4.10 On a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 is difficult to get along with and 7 is easy to get along with, how would you rate the following groups?**

[Split sample 4.10 and 4.11]

On a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 is difficult to get along with and 7 is easy to get along with, how would you rate the following groups?

Blacks

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Difficult to get along with	95	1.5 %
2	-	161	2.5 %
3	-	348	5.4 %
4	-	711	11.0 %
5	-	925	14.3 %
6	-	575	8.9 %
7	Easy to get along with	586	9.1 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	539	8.4 %
99	Refused	165	2.6 %
.	-	2343	36.3 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 3,401 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Mean: 4.85
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.53

*Location:* 556-557 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

**Q4\_11A: (Q4\_11A) Q4.11 On a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 is prone to violence and 7 is peaceful, how would you rate the following groups?**

[Split sample 4.10 and 4.11]

[Keep same random selection as in Q4.8A-Q4.8D]

On a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 is prone to violence and 7 is peaceful, how would you rate the following groups?

[ORDER4\_7A]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Prone to violence	65	1.0 %
2	-	37	0.6 %
3	-	77	1.2 %
4	-	137	2.1 %
5	-	290	4.5 %
6	-	347	5.4 %
7	Peaceful	773	12.0 %
<b>Missing Data</b>			
88	Don't know	506	7.8 %
99	Refused	111	1.7 %
.	-	4105	63.7 %
<b>Total</b>		<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1,726 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Mean: 5.71
- Median: 6.00
- Mode: 7.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.59

*Location:* 558-559 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

#### Q4\_11B: (Q4\_11B) Q4.11 On a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 is prone to violence and 7 is peaceful, how would you rate the following groups?

[Split sample 4.10 and 4.11]

[Keep same random selection as in Q4.8A-Q4.8D]

On a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 is prone to violence and 7 is peaceful, how would you rate the following groups?

[ORDER4\_7B]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Prone to violence	85	1.3 %
2	-	29	0.4 %
3	-	111	1.7 %
4	-	162	2.5 %
5	-	250	3.9 %
6	-	231	3.6 %
7	Peaceful	468	7.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	885	13.7 %
99	Refused	122	1.9 %
.	-	4105	63.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1,336 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Mean: 5.27
- Median: 6.00
- Mode: 7.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.78

*Location:* 560-561 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

#### **Q4\_11C: (Q4\_11C) Q4.11 On a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 is prone to violence and 7 is peaceful, how would you rate the following groups?**

[Split sample 4.10 and 4.11]

[Keep same random selection as in Q4.8A-Q4.8D]

On a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 is prone to violence and 7 is peaceful, how would you rate the following groups?

[ORDER4\_7C]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Prone to violence	56	0.9 %
2	-	42	0.7 %
3	-	97	1.5 %
4	-	191	3.0 %
5	-	333	5.2 %
6	-	276	4.3 %
7	Peaceful	480	7.4 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	755	11.7 %
99	Refused	113	1.8 %
.	-	4105	63.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1,475 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Mean: 5.34
- Median: 6.00
- Mode: 7.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.62

*Location:* 562-563 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

#### **Q4\_11D: (Q4\_11D) Q4.11 On a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 is prone to violence and 7 is peaceful, how would you rate the following groups?**

[Split sample 4.10 and 4.11]

[Keep same random selection as in Q4.8A-Q4.8D]

On a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 is prone to violence and 7 is peaceful, how would you rate the following groups?

[ORDER4\_7D]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Prone to violence	63	1.0 %
2	-	62	1.0 %
3	-	155	2.4 %
4	-	362	5.6 %
5	-	531	8.2 %
6	-	387	6.0 %
7	Peaceful	426	6.6 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	240	3.7 %
99	Refused	117	1.8 %
.	-	4105	63.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1,986 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Mean: 5.06
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.53

*Location:* 564-565 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

**Q4\_11E: (Q4\_11E) Q4.11 On a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 is prone to violence and 7 is peaceful, how would you rate the following groups?**

[Split sample 4.10 and 4.11]

On a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 is prone to violence and 7 is peaceful, how would you rate the following groups?

Whites

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Prone to violence	76	1.2 %
2	-	58	0.9 %
3	-	152	2.4 %
4	-	368	5.7 %
5	-	537	8.3 %
6	-	424	6.6 %
7	Peaceful	405	6.3 %
<b>Missing Data</b>			
88	Don't know	209	3.2 %
99	Refused	114	1.8 %
.	-	4105	63.7 %
<b>Total</b>		<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 2,020 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Mean: 5.04
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.53

*Location:* 566-567 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

**Q4\_11F: (Q4\_11F) Q4.11 On a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 is prone to violence and 7 is peaceful, how would you rate the following groups?**

[Split sample 4.10 and 4.11]

On a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 is prone to violence and 7 is peaceful, how would you rate the following groups?

Blacks



Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Prone to violence	173	2.7 %
2	-	142	2.2 %
3	-	239	3.7 %
4	-	384	6.0 %
5	-	482	7.5 %
6	-	269	4.2 %
7	Peaceful	301	4.7 %
<b>Missing Data</b>			
88	Don't know	230	3.6 %
99	Refused	123	1.9 %
.	-	4105	63.7 %
<b>Total</b>		<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1,990 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Mean: 4.44
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.77

*Location:* 568-569 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

### Q5\_1\_A: You are treated with less courtesy or respect than other people.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	0	0.0 %
2	No	0	0.0 %
<b>Missing Data</b>			
.	-	6448	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>		<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

*Location:* 570-571 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

### Q5\_1\_B: You receive poorer service than other people at restaurants or stores.

In the following questions, we are interested in the way you have been treated in your day to day encounters with strangers in the United States. In an average month, do any of the following things happen to you?

You receive poorer service than other people at restaurants or stores.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1293	20.1 %
2	No	5045	78.2 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	88	1.4 %
99	Refused	22	0.3 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,338 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

*Location:* 572-573 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99

---

## Q5\_1\_C: People act as if you don't speak English.

In the following questions, we are interested in the way you have been treated in your day to day encounters with strangers in the United States. In an average month, do any of the following things happen to you?

People act as if you don't speak English.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1702	26.4 %
2	No	4614	71.6 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	115	1.8 %
99	Refused	17	0.3 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,316 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

*Location:* 574-575 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99

---

## Q5\_1\_D: People act as if they are afraid of you.

In the following questions, we are interested in the way you have been treated in your day to day encounters with strangers in the United States. In an average month, do any of the following things happen to you?

People act as if they are afraid of you.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	684	10.6 %
2	No	5685	88.2 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	71	1.1 %
99	Refused	8	0.1 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,369 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

*Location:* 576-577 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99

---

## Q5\_1\_E: People act as if they think you are dishonest.

In the following questions, we are interested in the way you have been treated in your day to day encounters with strangers in the United States. In an average month, do any of the following things happen to you?

People act as if they think you are dishonest.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	700	10.9 %
2	No	5592	86.7 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	146	2.3 %
99	Refused	10	0.2 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,292 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

*Location:* 578-579 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99

---

### Q5\_1\_F: People act as if they're better than you are.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	0	0.0 %
2	No	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6448	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

*Location:* 580-581 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

### Q5\_1\_G: You are called names or insulted.

In the following questions, we are interested in the way you have been treated in your day to day encounters with strangers in the United States. In an average month, do any of the following things happen to you?

You are called names or insulted.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	962	14.9 %
2	No	5432	84.2 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	44	0.7 %
99	Refused	10	0.2 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,394 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

*Location:* 582-583 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99

---

### Q5\_1\_H: You are threatened or harassed.

In the following questions, we are interested in the way you have been treated in your day to day encounters with strangers in the United States. In an average month, do any of the following things happen to you?

You are threatened or harassed.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	638	9.9 %
2	No	5768	89.5 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	31	0.5 %
99	Refused	11	0.2 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,406 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

*Location:* 584-585 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99

---

## Q5\_1\_I: People mispronounce your name.

In the following questions, we are interested in the way you have been treated in your day to day encounters with strangers in the United States. In an average month, do any of the following things happen to you?

People mispronounce your name.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	3593	55.7 %
2	No	2819	43.7 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	27	0.4 %
99	Refused	9	0.1 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,412 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

*Location:* 586-587 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99

---

## Q5\_1\_J: People assume you are good at math and science.

[Rotate Q5.1J and Q5.1K]

In the following questions, we are interested in the way you have been treated in your day to day encounters with strangers in the

United States. In an average month, do any of the following things happen to you?

People assume you are good at math and science.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	2906	45.1 %
2	No	2905	45.1 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	609	9.4 %
99	Refused	28	0.4 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 5,811 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

*Location:* 588-589 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99

---

## Q5\_1\_K: People assume you are not a creative thinker.

[Rotate Q5.1J and Q5.1K]

In the following questions, we are interested in the way you have been treated in your day to day encounters with strangers in the United States. In an average month, do any of the following things happen to you?

People assume you are not a creative thinker

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1015	15.7 %
2	No	4785	74.2 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	620	9.6 %
99	Refused	28	0.4 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 5,800 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

*Location:* 590-591 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99

---

## Q5\_2\_A: Have you ever been unfairly denied a promotion?

[Randomize Q5.2A-Q5.2G]

Next, we would like to ask about important ways that some people have been treated poorly or unfairly.

Have you ever been unfairly denied a promotion?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1013	15.7 %
2	No	5294	82.1 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	121	1.9 %
99	Refused	20	0.3 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,307 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

*Location:* 592-593 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99

---

## Q5\_2\_B: Have you ever been unfairly fired from a job?

[Randomize Q5.2A-Q5.2G]

Next, we would like to ask about important ways that some people have been treated poorly or unfairly.

Have you ever been unfairly fired from a job?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	756	11.7 %
2	No	5618	87.1 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	60	0.9 %
99	Refused	14	0.2 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,374 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 594-595 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99

---

## Q5\_2\_C: For unfair reasons, do you think you have ever not been hired for a job?

[Randomize Q5.2A-Q5.2G]

Next, we would like to ask about important ways that some people have been treated poorly or unfairly.

For unfair reasons, do you think you have ever not been hired for a job?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1185	18.4 %
2	No	5104	79.2 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	145	2.2 %
99	Refused	14	0.2 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,289 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 596-597 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99

---

## Q5\_2\_D: Have you ever been unfairly stopped, searched, questioned, physically threatened or abused by the police?

[Randomize Q5.2A-Q5.2G]

Next, we would like to ask about important ways that some people have been treated poorly or unfairly.

Have you ever been unfairly stopped, searched, questioned, physically threatened or abused by the police?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	940	14.6 %
2	No	5472	84.9 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	26	0.4 %
99	Refused	10	0.2 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>



Based upon 6,412 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

*Location:* 598-599 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99

---

## Q5\_2\_E: Do you think you have ever been unfairly prevented from moving into a neighborhood?

[Randomize Q5.2A-Q5.2G]

Next, we would like to ask about important ways that some people have been treated poorly or unfairly.

Do you think you have ever been unfairly prevented from moving into a neighborhood because the landlord or a realtor refused to sell or rent you a house or apartment?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	481	7.5 %
2	No	5887	91.3 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	70	1.1 %
99	Refused	10	0.2 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,368 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

*Location:* 600-601 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99

---

## Q5\_2\_F: Have you ever moved into a neighborhood where neighbors made life difficult for you or your family?

[Randomize Q5.2A-Q5.2G]

Next, we would like to ask about important ways that some people have been treated poorly or unfairly.

Have you ever moved into a neighborhood where neighbors made life difficult for you or your family?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	781	12.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	No	5610	87.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	46	0.7 %
99	Refused	11	0.2 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,391 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

*Location:* 602-603 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99

---

## Q5\_2\_G: Have you ever been denied entry into a religious institution?

[Randomize Q5.2A-Q5.2G]

Next, we would like to ask about important ways that some people have been treated poorly or unfairly.

[If SOUTHASIAN is 1]

Have you ever been denied entry into a religious institution?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	44	0.7 %
2	No	1096	17.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	14	0.2 %
.	-	5294	82.1 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1,140 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

*Location:* 604-605 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

## LIST5\_301: Q5.3 inserts: Your race or ethnicity

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Your race or ethnicity	6448	100.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	Your religion	0	0.0 %
3	Your gender	0	0.0 %
4	Your age	0	0.0 %
5	Your sexual orientation	0	0.0 %
8	Your income level or social class	0	0.0 %
9	Your accent	0	0.0 %
10	Your name	0	0.0 %
11	Your caste	0	0.0 %
12	Other	0	0.0 %
88	None of these	0	0.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00

*Location:* 606-607 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

### LIST5\_302: Q5.3 inserts: Your religion

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Your race or ethnicity	0	0.0 %
2	Your religion	6448	100.0 %
3	Your gender	0	0.0 %
4	Your age	0	0.0 %
5	Your sexual orientation	0	0.0 %
8	Your income level or social class	0	0.0 %
9	Your accent	0	0.0 %
10	Your name	0	0.0 %
11	Your caste	0	0.0 %
12	Other	0	0.0 %
88	None of these	0	0.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 2.00

*Location:* 608-609 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

**LIST5\_303: Q5.3 inserts: Your gender**

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Your race or ethnicity	0	0.0 %
2	Your religion	0	0.0 %
3	Your gender	6448	100.0 %
4	Your age	0	0.0 %
5	Your sexual orientation	0	0.0 %
8	Your income level or social class	0	0.0 %
9	Your accent	0	0.0 %
10	Your name	0	0.0 %
11	Your caste	0	0.0 %
12	Other	0	0.0 %
88	None of these	0	0.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 3.00
- Maximum: 3.00

*Location:* 610-611 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

**LIST5\_304: Q5.3 inserts: Your age**

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Your race or ethnicity	0	0.0 %
2	Your religion	0	0.0 %
3	Your gender	0	0.0 %
4	Your age	6448	100.0 %
5	Your sexual orientation	0	0.0 %
8	Your income level or social class	0	0.0 %
9	Your accent	0	0.0 %
10	Your name	0	0.0 %
11	Your caste	0	0.0 %
12	Other	0	0.0 %
88	None of these	0	0.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 4.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 612-613 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

---

### LIST5\_305: Q5.3 inserts: Your sexual orientation

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Your race or ethnicity	0	0.0 %
2	Your religion	0	0.0 %
3	Your gender	0	0.0 %
4	Your age	0	0.0 %
5	Your sexual orientation	6448	100.0 %
8	Your income level or social class	0	0.0 %
9	Your accent	0	0.0 %
10	Your name	0	0.0 %
11	Your caste	0	0.0 %
12	Other	0	0.0 %
88	None of these	0	0.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 5.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 614-615 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

---

### LIST5\_306: Q5.3 inserts: Your income level or social class

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Your race or ethnicity	0	0.0 %
2	Your religion	0	0.0 %
3	Your gender	0	0.0 %
4	Your age	0	0.0 %
5	Your sexual orientation	0	0.0 %
8	Your income level or social class	6448	100.0 %
9	Your accent	0	0.0 %
10	Your name	0	0.0 %
11	Your caste	0	0.0 %
12	Other	0	0.0 %
88	None of these	0	0.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 8.00
- Maximum: 8.00

*Location:* 616-617 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

### LIST5\_307: Q5.3 inserts: Your accent

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Your race or ethnicity	0	0.0 %
2	Your religion	0	0.0 %
3	Your gender	0	0.0 %
4	Your age	0	0.0 %
5	Your sexual orientation	0	0.0 %
8	Your income level or social class	0	0.0 %
9	Your accent	6448	100.0 %
10	Your name	0	0.0 %
11	Your caste	0	0.0 %
12	Other	0	0.0 %
88	None of these	0	0.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 9.00
- Maximum: 9.00

*Location:* 618-619 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

### LIST5\_308: Q5.3 inserts: Your name

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Your race or ethnicity	0	0.0 %
2	Your religion	0	0.0 %
3	Your gender	0	0.0 %
4	Your age	0	0.0 %
5	Your sexual orientation	0	0.0 %
8	Your income level or social class	0	0.0 %
9	Your accent	0	0.0 %
10	Your name	6448	100.0 %
11	Your caste	0	0.0 %
12	Other	0	0.0 %
88	None of these	0	0.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 10.00
- Maximum: 10.00

*Location:* 620-621 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

### LIST5\_309: Q5.3 inserts: Other

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Your race or ethnicity	0	0.0 %
2	Your religion	0	0.0 %
3	Your gender	0	0.0 %
4	Your age	0	0.0 %
5	Your sexual orientation	0	0.0 %
8	Your income level or social class	0	0.0 %
9	Your accent	0	0.0 %
10	Your name	0	0.0 %
11	Your caste	0	0.0 %
12	Other	6448	100.0 %
88	None of these	0	0.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 12.00
- Maximum: 12.00

*Location:* 622-623 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

### LIST5\_310: Q5.3 inserts: None of these

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Your race or ethnicity	0	0.0 %
2	Your religion	0	0.0 %
3	Your gender	0	0.0 %
4	Your age	0	0.0 %
5	Your sexual orientation	0	0.0 %
8	Your income level or social class	0	0.0 %
9	Your accent	0	0.0 %
10	Your name	0	0.0 %
11	Your caste	0	0.0 %
12	Other	0	0.0 %
88	None of these	6448	100.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 88.00
- Maximum: 88.00

*Location:* 624-625 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

### LIST5\_311: Q5.3 inserts: Your caste

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Your race or ethnicity	0	0.0 %
2	Your religion	0	0.0 %
3	Your gender	0	0.0 %
4	Your age	0	0.0 %
5	Your sexual orientation	0	0.0 %
8	Your income level or social class	0	0.0 %
9	Your accent	0	0.0 %
10	Your name	0	0.0 %
11	Your caste	1154	17.9 %
12	Other	0	0.0 %
88	None of these	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	5294	82.1 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1,154 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 11.00
- Maximum: 11.00

*Location:* 626-627 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

### LIST5\_312: (LIST5\_312) Inserts

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Your race or ethnicity	0	0.0 %
2	Your religion	0	0.0 %
3	Your gender	0	0.0 %
4	Your age	0	0.0 %
5	Your sexual orientation	0	0.0 %



Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	Your income level or social class	0	0.0 %
9	Your accent	0	0.0 %
10	Your name	0	0.0 %
11	Your caste	0	0.0 %
12	Other	0	0.0 %
88	None of these	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6448	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

Location: 628-629 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

### LIST5\_313: (LIST5\_313) Inserts

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Your race or ethnicity	0	0.0 %
2	Your religion	0	0.0 %
3	Your gender	0	0.0 %
4	Your age	0	0.0 %
5	Your sexual orientation	0	0.0 %
8	Your income level or social class	0	0.0 %
9	Your accent	0	0.0 %
10	Your name	0	0.0 %
11	Your caste	0	0.0 %
12	Other	0	0.0 %
88	None of these	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6448	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

Location: 630-631 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

### Q5\_301: (Q5\_301) Q5.3 What do you think are the main reasons for why you have been treated unfairly?

[If Q5\_2\_B-Q5\_2\_G is 1]

What do you think are the main reasons for why you have been treated unfairly? Please say yes if any of the following apply:

[Check all that apply]

[Do not read "Your income level or social class", "Your accent", "Your name", or "Other".]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Your race or ethnicity	1365	21.2 %
2	Your religion	92	1.4 %
3	Your gender	120	1.9 %
4	Your age	131	2.0 %
5	Your sexual orientation	10	0.2 %
8	Your income level or social class	47	0.7 %
9	Your accent	59	0.9 %
10	Your name	11	0.2 %
11	Your caste	0	0.0 %
12	Other	133	2.1 %
88	None of these	326	5.1 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	4154	64.4 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 88.00

Location: 632-633 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 99 , .

## Q5\_302: (Q5\_302) Q5.3 What do you think are the main reasons for why you have been treated unfairly?

[If Q5\_2\_B-Q5\_2\_G is 1]

What do you think are the main reasons for why you have been treated unfairly? Please say yes if any of the following apply:

[Check all that apply]

[Do not read "Your income level or social class", "Your accent", "Your name", or "Other".]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Your race or ethnicity	0	0.0 %
2	Your religion	198	3.1 %
3	Your gender	222	3.4 %
4	Your age	196	3.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5	Your sexual orientation	11	0.2 %
8	Your income level or social class	51	0.8 %
9	Your accent	63	1.0 %
10	Your name	36	0.6 %
11	Your caste	2	0.0 %
12	Other	34	0.5 %
88	None of these	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	5635	87.4 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 813 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 12.00

Location: 634-635 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 99 , .

## Q5\_303: (Q5\_303) Q5.3 What do you think are the main reasons for why you have been treated unfairly?

[If Q5\_2\_B-Q5\_2\_G is 1]

What do you think are the main reasons for why you have been treated unfairly? Please say yes if any of the following apply:

[Check all that apply]

[Do not read "Your income level or social class", "Your accent", "Your name", or "Other".]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Your race or ethnicity	0	0.0 %
2	Your religion	0	0.0 %
3	Your gender	76	1.2 %
4	Your age	151	2.3 %
5	Your sexual orientation	23	0.4 %
8	Your income level or social class	60	0.9 %
9	Your accent	46	0.7 %
10	Your name	37	0.6 %
11	Your caste	1	0.0 %
12	Other	24	0.4 %
88	None of these	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
.	-	6030	93.5 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 418 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 3.00
- Maximum: 12.00

*Location:* 636-637 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 99 , .

## Q5\_304: (Q5\_304) Q5.3 What do you think are the main reasons for why you have been treated unfairly?

[If Q5\_2\_B-Q5\_2\_G is 1]

What do you think are the main reasons for why you have been treated unfairly? Please say yes if any of the following apply:

[Check all that apply]

[Do not read "Your income level or social class", "Your accent", "Your name", or "Other".]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Your race or ethnicity	0	0.0 %
2	Your religion	0	0.0 %
3	Your gender	0	0.0 %
4	Your age	55	0.9 %
5	Your sexual orientation	25	0.4 %
8	Your income level or social class	41	0.6 %
9	Your accent	30	0.5 %
10	Your name	42	0.7 %
11	Your caste	0	0.0 %
12	Other	17	0.3 %
88	None of these	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6238	96.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 210 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 4.00
- Maximum: 12.00

*Location:* 638-639 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 99 , .

---

### Q5\_305: (Q5\_305) Q5.3 What do you think are the main reasons for why you have been treated unfairly?

[If Q5\_2\_B-Q5\_2\_G is 1]

What do you think are the main reasons for why you have been treated unfairly? Please say yes if any of the following apply:

[Check all that apply]

[Do not read "Your income level or social class", "Your accent", "Your name", or "Other".]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Your race or ethnicity	0	0.0 %
2	Your religion	0	0.0 %
3	Your gender	0	0.0 %
4	Your age	0	0.0 %
5	Your sexual orientation	26	0.4 %
8	Your income level or social class	16	0.2 %
9	Your accent	13	0.2 %
10	Your name	25	0.4 %
11	Your caste	3	0.0 %
12	Other	8	0.1 %
88	None of these	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6357	98.6 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 91 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 5.00
- Maximum: 12.00

Location: 640-641 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 99 , .

---

### Q5\_306: (Q5\_306) Q5.3 What do you think are the main reasons for why you have been treated unfairly?

[If Q5\_2\_B-Q5\_2\_G is 1]

What do you think are the main reasons for why you have been treated unfairly? Please say yes if any of the following apply:

[Check all that apply]

[Do not read "Your income level or social class", "Your accent", "Your name", or "Other".]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Your race or ethnicity	0	0.0 %
2	Your religion	0	0.0 %
3	Your gender	0	0.0 %
4	Your age	0	0.0 %
5	Your sexual orientation	0	0.0 %
8	Your income level or social class	10	0.2 %
9	Your accent	12	0.2 %
10	Your name	11	0.2 %
11	Your caste	1	0.0 %
12	Other	5	0.1 %
88	None of these	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6409	99.4 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 39 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 8.00
- Maximum: 12.00

Location: 642-643 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 99 , .

## Q5\_307: (Q5\_307) Q5.3 What do you think are the main reasons for why you have been treated unfairly?

[If Q5\_2\_B-Q5\_2\_G is 1]

What do you think are the main reasons for why you have been treated unfairly? Please say yes if any of the following apply:

[Check all that apply]

[Do not read "Your income level or social class", "Your accent", "Your name", or "Other".]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Your race or ethnicity	0	0.0 %
2	Your religion	0	0.0 %
3	Your gender	0	0.0 %
4	Your age	0	0.0 %
5	Your sexual orientation	0	0.0 %
8	Your income level or social class	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
9	Your accent	8	0.1 %
10	Your name	11	0.2 %
11	Your caste	1	0.0 %
12	Other	1	0.0 %
88	None of these	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6427	99.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 21 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 9.00
- Maximum: 12.00

Location: 644-645 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 99 , .

## Q5\_308: (Q5\_308) Q5.3 What do you think are the main reasons for why you have been treated unfairly?

[If Q5\_2\_B-Q5\_2\_G is 1]

What do you think are the main reasons for why you have been treated unfairly? Please say yes if any of the following apply:

[Check all that apply]

[Do not read "Your income level or social class", "Your accent", "Your name", or "Other".]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Your race or ethnicity	0	0.0 %
2	Your religion	0	0.0 %
3	Your gender	0	0.0 %
4	Your age	0	0.0 %
5	Your sexual orientation	0	0.0 %
8	Your income level or social class	0	0.0 %
9	Your accent	0	0.0 %
10	Your name	7	0.1 %
11	Your caste	4	0.1 %
12	Other	1	0.0 %
88	None of these	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6436	99.8 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 12 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 10.00
- Maximum: 12.00

Location: 646-647 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 99 , .

---

### Q5\_309: (Q5\_309) Q5.3 What do you think are the main reasons for why you have been treated unfairly?

[If Q5\_2\_B-Q5\_2\_G is 1]

What do you think are the main reasons for why you have been treated unfairly? Please say yes if any of the following apply:

[Check all that apply]

[Do not read "Your income level or social class", "Your accent", "Your name", or "Other".]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Your race or ethnicity	0	0.0 %
2	Your religion	0	0.0 %
3	Your gender	0	0.0 %
4	Your age	0	0.0 %
5	Your sexual orientation	0	0.0 %
8	Your income level or social class	0	0.0 %
9	Your accent	0	0.0 %
10	Your name	0	0.0 %
11	Your caste	0	0.0 %
12	Other	0	0.0 %
88	None of these	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6448	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

Location: 648-649 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 99 , .

---

### Q5\_310: (Q5\_310) Q5.3 What do you think are the main reasons for why you have been treated unfairly?

[If Q5\_2\_B-Q5\_2\_G is 1]



What do you think are the main reasons for why you have been treated unfairly? Please say yes if any of the following apply:

[Check all that apply]

[Do not read "Your income level or social class", "Your accent", "Your name", or "Other".]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Your race or ethnicity	0	0.0 %
2	Your religion	0	0.0 %
3	Your gender	0	0.0 %
4	Your age	0	0.0 %
5	Your sexual orientation	0	0.0 %
8	Your income level or social class	0	0.0 %
9	Your accent	0	0.0 %
10	Your name	0	0.0 %
11	Your caste	0	0.0 %
12	Other	0	0.0 %
88	None of these	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6448	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

Location: 650-651 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 99 , .

## Q5\_311: (Q5\_311) Q5.3 What do you think are the main reasons for why you have been treated unfairly?

[If Q5\_2\_B-Q5\_2\_G is 1]

What do you think are the main reasons for why you have been treated unfairly? Please say yes if any of the following apply:

[Check all that apply]

[Do not read "Your income level or social class", "Your accent", "Your name", or "Other".]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Your race or ethnicity	0	0.0 %
2	Your religion	0	0.0 %
3	Your gender	0	0.0 %
4	Your age	0	0.0 %
5	Your sexual orientation	0	0.0 %
8	Your income level or social class	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
9	Your accent	0	0.0 %
10	Your name	0	0.0 %
11	Your caste	0	0.0 %
12	Other	0	0.0 %
88	None of these	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6448	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

Location: 652-653 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 99 , .

## Q5\_312: (Q5\_312) Q5.3 What do you think are the main reasons for why you have been treated unfairly?

[If Q5\_2\_B-Q5\_2\_G is 1]

What do you think are the main reasons for why you have been treated unfairly? Please say yes if any of the following apply:

[Check all that apply]

[Do not read "Your income level or social class", "Your accent", "Your name", or "Other".]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Your race or ethnicity	0	0.0 %
2	Your religion	0	0.0 %
3	Your gender	0	0.0 %
4	Your age	0	0.0 %
5	Your sexual orientation	0	0.0 %
8	Your income level or social class	0	0.0 %
9	Your accent	0	0.0 %
10	Your name	0	0.0 %
11	Your caste	0	0.0 %
12	Other	0	0.0 %
88	None of these	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6448	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

Location: 654-655 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 99 , .

## OTH5\_3: Q5.3 Record "Other" response here

[If Q5\_2\_B-Q5\_2\_G is 1 or Q5\_4 is 'Other']

What do you think are the main reasons for why you have been treated unfairly?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	-	6225	96.5 %
ACCENT	-	1	0.0 %
APPEARANCE	-	1	0.0 %
Appearance	-	1	0.0 %
Appearance because i look very young	-	1	0.0 %
BECAUSE I WAS PREGNANT	-	1	0.0 %
BECAUSE OF MY APPEARANCE	-	1	0.0 %
BY THE COPS I GOT TICKET FOR SPEEDING WHICH I THINK WAS UNFAIR	-	1	0.0 %
BY THE POLICE	-	1	0.0 %
Because of my height	-	1	0.0 %
Because of my look because I'm sikh and wear a turban due to lack of knowledge.	-	1	0.0 %
Because the language	-	1	0.0 %
Being bullied by young neighbors.	-	1	0.0 %
Being in wrong place at wrong time	-	1	0.0 %
Better and more qualified than most people in higher positions.	-	1	0.0 %
Beuacracy	-	1	0.0 %
CAREFUL WORKER	-	1	0.0 %
COMPANY POLITICS	-	1	0.0 %
Can't think of it.	-	1	0.0 %
Culture	-	1	0.0 %
DISABILITY	-	1	0.0 %
DISCRIMINACION	-	1	0.0 %
DISPUTE WITH CO-WORKER	-	1	0.0 %
Description	-	1	0.0 %
Disability	-	1	0.0 %
Disabily	-	1	0.0 %
EDUCATION	-	2	0.0 %
Education	-	2	0.0 %
Enforcing school rules	-	1	0.0 %
Experience	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
Favoritism	-	1	0.0 %
Favoritism, people like their own.	-	1	0.0 %
Fear or lack of education	-	1	0.0 %
HER STOMAC IS BIG	-	1	0.0 %
Having a hearing disability and my weight	-	1	0.0 %
His wife wanted my job	-	1	0.0 %
I HAVE A [MASKED BY ICPSR]	-	1	0.0 %
I VOICE MY OPINION	-	1	0.0 %
I WASNT HIRED BECAUSE I WAS DISABLED	-	1	0.0 %
I am frank	-	1	0.0 %
I talk to much.	-	1	0.0 %
IDIOMA	-	1	0.0 %
ILLNESS (CANCER)	-	1	0.0 %
If I don't act according to preconceived notions	-	1	0.0 %
Ignorance	-	1	0.0 %
Inexprience or size	-	1	0.0 %
JEALOUSNESS	-	1	0.0 %
LANGUAGE	-	2	0.0 %
LANGUAGE BARRIER	-	1	0.0 %
LANGUAGE BARRIERS	-	1	0.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

*Location:* 656-766 (width: 111; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* character

## Q5\_4: Q5.4 What would you say is the most important reason why you have been treated unfairly?

[If more than one 'Yes' response in Q5\_301-Q5\_312. Display only items where Q5.4 is 'Yes'.]

What would you say is the most important reason why you have been treated unfairly?

[Read only if respondent asks]

[Do not read "Your income level or social class", "Your accent", "Your name", or "Other".]

[Accept only one answer, first mention]

['Other' specify in OTH5\_3]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Your race or ethnicity	403	6.2 %
2	Your religion	71	1.1 %
3	Your gender	96	1.5 %
4	Your age	90	1.4 %
5	Your sexual orientation	14	0.2 %
8	Your income level or social class	32	0.5 %
9	Your accent	37	0.6 %
10	Your name	21	0.3 %
11	Your caste	2	0.0 %
12	ANSWERFROM(OTH5_3)	47	0.7 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	5635	87.4 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 813 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 12.00

*Location:* 767-768 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

## Q5\_5A: Q5.5A Have you ever been encouraged to Americanize or Whiten your name?

[Group A and B; group C and D. Rotate with pair and across pairs.]

Next, we have some additional questions about how you may have been treated.

[If RACE is 'Asian', 'Latino', 'Black', or 'African American']

Have you ever been encouraged to Americanize or Whiten your name?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	786	12.2 %
2	No	4991	77.4 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	98	1.5 %
99	Refused	13	0.2 %
.	-	560	8.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 5,777 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

*Location:* 769-770 (width: 2; decimal: 0)  
*Variable Type:* numeric  
*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

## Q5\_5B: Q5.5B Have you ever been encouraged to retain a native language?

[Group A and B; group C and D. Rotate with pair and across pairs.]

Next, we have some additional questions about how you may have been treated.

[If RACE is 'Asian' or 'Latino']

Have you ever been encouraged to retain a native language?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	2078	32.2 %
2	No	3270	50.7 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	126	2.0 %
99	Refused	13	0.2 %
.	-	961	14.9 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 5,348 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

*Location:* 771-772 (width: 2; decimal: 0)  
*Variable Type:* numeric  
*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

## Q5\_5C: Q5.5C Have you ever been unfairly discouraged by a teacher or advisor from continuing your education?

[Group A and B; group C and D. Rotate with pair and across pairs.]

Next, we have some additional questions about how you may have been treated.

Have you ever been unfairly discouraged by a teacher or advisor from continuing your education?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	633	9.8 %
2	No	5649	87.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	148	2.3 %
99	Refused	17	0.3 %
.	-	1	0.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,282 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 773-774 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

---

## Q5\_5D: Q5.5D Have you ever been encouraged by a teacher or advisor to continue your education?

[Group A and B; group C and D. Rotate with pair and across pairs.]

Next, we have some additional questions about how you may have been treated.

Have you ever been encouraged by a teacher or advisor to continue your education?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	3964	61.5 %
2	No	2297	35.6 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	164	2.5 %
99	Refused	22	0.3 %
.	-	1	0.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,261 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 775-776 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

---

## Q5\_6: Q5.6 Did your parents or other family members ever tell you that you have to be better than White Americans to get as far as White Americans in life?

[If HALFALL is 1 or R is Asian, Latino, or Black]

Did your parents or other family members ever tell you that you have to be better than White Americans to get as far as White Americans in life?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	742	11.5 %
2	No	2116	32.8 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	51	0.8 %
99	Refused	20	0.3 %
.	-	3519	54.6 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 2,858 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

*Location:* 777-778 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

## LIST5\_701: (LIST5\_701) List

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	The long time it takes for people to get visas.	542	8.4 %
2	The quality of children's schools.	532	8.3 %
3	Getting bullied in school.	548	8.5 %
4	The affordability of college.	585	9.1 %
5	The cost of taking care of elderly.	480	7.4 %
6	The cost of medical care.	473	7.3 %
7	Being able to pay the rent or mortgage.	536	8.3 %
8	College debt or student loans.	542	8.4 %
9	Medical debt.	565	8.8 %
10	Credit card debt.	580	9.0 %
11	The cost of child care.	539	8.4 %
12	Not having enough saved for retirement.	526	8.2 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 12.00

*Location:* 779-780 (width: 2; decimal: 0)



Variable Type: numeric

### LIST5\_702: (LIST5\_702) List

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	The long time it takes for people to get visas.	533	8.3 %
2	The quality of children's schools.	530	8.2 %
3	Getting bullied in school.	552	8.6 %
4	The affordability of college.	533	8.3 %
5	The cost of taking care of elderly.	553	8.6 %
6	The cost of medical care.	537	8.3 %
7	Being able to pay the rent or mortgage.	522	8.1 %
8	College debt or student loans.	516	8.0 %
9	Medical debt.	494	7.7 %
10	Credit card debt.	554	8.6 %
11	The cost of child care.	554	8.6 %
12	Not having enough saved for retirement.	570	8.8 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 12.00

Location: 781-782 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

### LIST5\_703: (LIST5\_703) List

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	The long time it takes for people to get visas.	508	7.9 %
2	The quality of children's schools.	542	8.4 %
3	Getting bullied in school.	543	8.4 %
4	The affordability of college.	532	8.3 %
5	The cost of taking care of elderly.	535	8.3 %
6	The cost of medical care.	556	8.6 %
7	Being able to pay the rent or mortgage.	557	8.6 %
8	College debt or student loans.	574	8.9 %
9	Medical debt.	533	8.3 %
10	Credit card debt.	487	7.6 %
11	The cost of child care.	530	8.2 %
12	Not having enough saved for retirement.	551	8.5 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 12.00

*Location:* 783-784 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

### LIST5\_704: (LIST5\_704) List

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	The long time it takes for people to get visas.	539	8.4 %
2	The quality of children's schools.	515	8.0 %
3	Getting bullied in school.	579	9.0 %
4	The affordability of college.	527	8.2 %
5	The cost of taking care of elderly.	508	7.9 %
6	The cost of medical care.	532	8.3 %
7	Being able to pay the rent or mortgage.	533	8.3 %
8	College debt or student loans.	554	8.6 %
9	Medical debt.	548	8.5 %
10	Credit card debt.	556	8.6 %
11	The cost of child care.	533	8.3 %
12	Not having enough saved for retirement.	524	8.1 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 12.00

*Location:* 785-786 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

### LIST5\_705: (LIST5\_705) List

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	The long time it takes for people to get visas.	535	8.3 %
2	The quality of children's schools.	496	7.7 %
3	Getting bullied in school.	521	8.1 %
4	The affordability of college.	549	8.5 %
5	The cost of taking care of elderly.	535	8.3 %
6	The cost of medical care.	546	8.5 %
7	Being able to pay the rent or mortgage.	508	7.9 %
8	College debt or student loans.	536	8.3 %
9	Medical debt.	586	9.1 %
10	Credit card debt.	553	8.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
11	The cost of child care.	524	8.1 %
12	Not having enough saved for retirement.	559	8.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 12.00

*Location:* 787-788 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## LIST5\_706: (LIST5\_706) List

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	The long time it takes for people to get visas.	519	8.0 %
2	The quality of children's schools.	534	8.3 %
3	Getting bullied in school.	535	8.3 %
4	The affordability of college.	570	8.8 %
5	The cost of taking care of elderly.	568	8.8 %
6	The cost of medical care.	528	8.2 %
7	Being able to pay the rent or mortgage.	530	8.2 %
8	College debt or student loans.	522	8.1 %
9	Medical debt.	552	8.6 %
10	Credit card debt.	520	8.1 %
11	The cost of child care.	543	8.4 %
12	Not having enough saved for retirement.	527	8.2 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 12.00

*Location:* 789-790 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## Q5\_7\_A: Q5.7A The long time it takes for people to get visas.

[Randomly choose 6 of Q5.7A-Q5.7L. Randomize appearance of categories.]

Next, here are some issues other people have mentioned as challenges they face. Please tell me how serious of a problem each is for you and your family: Not at all serious, not very serious, fairly serious, or very serious.

The long time it takes for people to get visas.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all serious	1245	19.3 %
2	Not very serious	385	6.0 %
3	Fairly serious	471	7.3 %
4	Very serious	696	10.8 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	355	5.5 %
99	Refused	24	0.4 %
.	-	3272	50.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 2,797 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

*Location:* 791-792 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

## Q5\_7\_B: Q5.7B The quality of children's schools.

[Randomly choose 6 of Q5.7A-Q5.7L. Randomize appearance of categories.]

Next, here are some issues other people have mentioned as challenges they face. Please tell me how serious of a problem each is for you and your family: Not at all serious, not very serious, fairly serious, or very serious.

The quality of children's schools.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all serious	1107	17.2 %
2	Not very serious	369	5.7 %
3	Fairly serious	452	7.0 %
4	Very serious	1062	16.5 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	141	2.2 %
99	Refused	18	0.3 %
.	-	3299	51.2 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 2,990 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

*Location:* 793-794 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric  
(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

## Q5\_7\_C: Q5.7C Getting bullied in school.

[Randomly choose 6 of Q5.7A-Q5.7L. Randomize appearance of categories.]

Next, here are some issues other people have mentioned as challenges they face. Please tell me how serious of a problem each is for you and your family: Not at all serious, not very serious, fairly serious, or very serious.

Getting bullied in school.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all serious	1365	21.2 %
2	Not very serious	398	6.2 %
3	Fairly serious	407	6.3 %
4	Very serious	922	14.3 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	159	2.5 %
99	Refused	27	0.4 %
.	-	3170	49.2 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 3,092 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 795-796 (width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric  
(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

## Q5\_7\_D: Q5.7D The affordability of college.

[Randomly choose 6 of Q5.7A-Q5.7L. Randomize appearance of categories.]

Next, here are some issues other people have mentioned as challenges they face. Please tell me how serious of a problem each is for you and your family: Not at all serious, not very serious, fairly serious, or very serious.

The affordability of college.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all serious	870	13.5 %
2	Not very serious	339	5.3 %
3	Fairly serious	613	9.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	Very serious	1323	20.5 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	130	2.0 %
99	Refused	21	0.3 %
.	-	3152	48.9 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 3,145 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

*Location:* 797-798 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

## Q5\_7\_E: Q5.7E The cost of taking care of elderly.

[Randomly choose 6 of Q5.7A-Q5.7L. Randomize appearance of categories.]

Next, here are some issues other people have mentioned as challenges they face. Please tell me how serious of a problem each is for you and your family: Not at all serious, not very serious, fairly serious, or very serious.

The cost of taking care of elderly.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all serious	1013	15.7 %
2	Not very serious	362	5.6 %
3	Fairly serious	557	8.6 %
4	Very serious	1069	16.6 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	166	2.6 %
99	Refused	12	0.2 %
.	-	3269	50.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 3,001 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

*Location:* 799-800 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

## Q5\_7\_F: Q5.7F The cost of medical care.

[Randomly choose 6 of Q5.7A-Q5.7L. Randomize appearance of categories.]

Next, here are some issues other people have mentioned as challenges they face. Please tell me how serious of a problem each is for you and your family: Not at all serious, not very serious, fairly serious, or very serious.

The cost of medical care.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all serious	847	13.1 %
2	Not very serious	390	6.0 %
3	Fairly serious	576	8.9 %
4	Very serious	1284	19.9 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	63	1.0 %
99	Refused	12	0.2 %
.	-	3276	50.8 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 3,097 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

*Location:* 801-802 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

## Q5\_7\_G: Q5.7G Being able to pay the rent or mortgage.

[Randomly choose 6 of Q5.7A-Q5.7L. Randomize appearance of categories.]

Next, here are some issues other people have mentioned as challenges they face. Please tell me how serious of a problem each is for you and your family: Not at all serious, not very serious, fairly serious, or very serious.

Being able to pay the rent or mortgage.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all serious	1211	18.8 %
2	Not very serious	533	8.3 %
3	Fairly serious	460	7.1 %
4	Very serious	896	13.9 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
88	Don't know	71	1.1 %
99	Refused	15	0.2 %
.	-	3262	50.6 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 3,100 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

*Location:* 803-804 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

## Q5\_7\_H: Q5.7H College debt or student loans.

[Randomly choose 6 of Q5.7A-Q5.7L. Randomize appearance of categories.]

Next, here are some issues other people have mentioned as challenges they face. Please tell me how serious of a problem each is for you and your family: Not at all serious, not very serious, fairly serious, or very serious.

College debt or student loans.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all serious	1204	18.7 %
2	Not very serious	336	5.2 %
3	Fairly serious	471	7.3 %
4	Very serious	1076	16.7 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	141	2.2 %
99	Refused	16	0.2 %
.	-	3204	49.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 3,087 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

*Location:* 805-806 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

## Q5\_7\_I: Q5.7I Medical debt.



[Randomly choose 6 of Q5.7A-Q5.7L. Randomize appearance of categories.]

Next, here are some issues other people have mentioned as challenges they face. Please tell me how serious of a problem each is for you and your family: Not at all serious, not very serious, fairly serious, or very serious.

Medical debt

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all serious	1344	20.8 %
2	Not very serious	432	6.7 %
3	Fairly serious	444	6.9 %
4	Very serious	952	14.8 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	90	1.4 %
99	Refused	16	0.2 %
.	-	3170	49.2 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 3,172 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

*Location:* 807-808 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

## Q5\_7\_J: Q5.7J Credit card debt.

[Randomly choose 6 of Q5.7A-Q5.7L. Randomize appearance of categories.]

Next, here are some issues other people have mentioned as challenges they face. Please tell me how serious of a problem each is for you and your family: Not at all serious, not very serious, fairly serious, or very serious.

Credit card debt

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all serious	1461	22.7 %
2	Not very serious	471	7.3 %
3	Fairly serious	408	6.3 %
4	Very serious	786	12.2 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	102	1.6 %
99	Refused	22	0.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
.	-	3198	49.6 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 3,126 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

*Location:* 809-810 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

## Q5\_7\_K: Q5.7K The cost of child care.

[Randomly choose 6 of Q5.7A-Q5.7L. Randomize appearance of categories.]

Next, here are some issues other people have mentioned as challenges they face. Please tell me how serious of a problem each is for you and your family: Not at all serious, not very serious, fairly serious, or very serious.

The cost of child care

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all serious	1303	20.2 %
2	Not very serious	342	5.3 %
3	Fairly serious	468	7.3 %
4	Very serious	866	13.4 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	216	3.3 %
99	Refused	28	0.4 %
.	-	3225	50.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 2,979 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

*Location:* 811-812 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

## Q5\_7\_L: Q5.7L Not having enough saved for retirement.

[Randomly choose 6 of Q5.7A-Q5.7L. Randomize appearance of categories.]

Next, here are some issues other people have mentioned as challenges they face. Please tell me how serious of a problem each is for you and your family: Not at all serious, not very serious, fairly serious, or very serious.

Not having enough saved for retirement

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all serious	775	12.0 %
2	Not very serious	462	7.2 %
3	Fairly serious	648	10.0 %
4	Very serious	1264	19.6 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	94	1.5 %
99	Refused	14	0.2 %
.	-	3191	49.5 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 3,149 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

*Location:* 813-814 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

## Q5\_8\_A: Undocumented or illegal immigrants should be allowed to have an opportunity to eventually become U.S. citizens.

[Split sample of Q5.8A and Q5.8B; different intros same question choice.]

[Randomize appearance of statements.]

Q5.8A. Next, we have some questions about immigration policy.

Q5.8B. Next, we have some questions about immigration policy. As you may know, Asians are the fastest growing population of undocumented immigrants, with nearly 1.5 million Asian undocumented immigrants in the United States today.

Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statements.

[PROBE: Would you agree/disagree strongly or somewhat?]

Undocumented or illegal immigrants should be allowed to have an opportunity to eventually become U.S. citizens.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly agree	2730	42.3 %
2	Somewhat agree	1537	23.8 %
3	Neither	500	7.8 %
4	Somewhat disagree	581	9.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5	Strongly disagree	826	12.8 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	228	3.5 %
99	Refused	46	0.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,174 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

*Location:* 815-816 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99

---

### Q5\_8\_B: Congress needs to increase the number of work visas it issues every year.

[Split sample of Q5.8A and Q5.8B; different intros same question choice.]

[Randomize appearance of statements.]

Q5.8A. Next, we have some questions about immigration policy.

Q5.8B. Next, we have some questions about immigration policy. As you may know, Asians are the fastest growing population of undocumented immigrants, with nearly 1.5 million Asian undocumented immigrants in the United States today.

Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statements.

[PROBE: Would you agree/disagree strongly or somewhat?]

Congress needs to increase the number of work visas it issues every year.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly agree	1914	29.7 %
2	Somewhat agree	1488	23.1 %
3	Neither	905	14.0 %
4	Somewhat disagree	780	12.1 %
5	Strongly disagree	800	12.4 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	508	7.9 %
99	Refused	53	0.8 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 5,887 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 817-818 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99

---

### Q5\_8\_C: Congress needs to increase the number of family visas it issues every year.

[Split sample of Q5.8A and Q5.8B; different intros same question choice.]

[Randomize appearance of statements.]

Q5.8A. Next, we have some questions about immigration policy.

Q5.8B. Next, we have some questions about immigration policy. As you may know, Asians are the fastest growing population of undocumented immigrants, with nearly 1.5 million Asian undocumented immigrants in the United States today.

Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statements.

[PROBE: Would you agree/disagree strongly or somewhat?]

Congress needs to increase the number of family visas it issues every year.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly agree	1971	30.6 %
2	Somewhat agree	1466	22.7 %
3	Neither	900	14.0 %
4	Somewhat disagree	738	11.4 %
5	Strongly disagree	780	12.1 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	535	8.3 %
99	Refused	58	0.9 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 5,855 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 819-820 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99

---

### Q5\_8\_D: States should provide driver's licenses to all residents, regardless of their immigration status.

[Split sample of Q5.8A and Q5.8B; different intros same question choice.]

[Randomize appearance of statements.]

Q5.8A. Next, we have some questions about immigration policy.

Q5.8B. Next, we have some questions about immigration policy. As you may know, Asians are the fastest growing population of undocumented immigrants, with nearly 1.5 million Asian undocumented immigrants in the United States today.

Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statements.

[PROBE: Would you agree/disagree strongly or somewhat?]

States should provide driver's licenses to all residents, regardless of their immigration status.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly agree	2303	35.7 %
2	Somewhat agree	1246	19.3 %
3	Neither	508	7.9 %
4	Somewhat disagree	749	11.6 %
5	Strongly disagree	1343	20.8 %
<b>Missing Data</b>			
88	Don't know	259	4.0 %
99	Refused	40	0.6 %
<b>Total</b>		<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,149 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

*Location:* 821-822 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99

---

## Q6\_1\_A: Daily contact with White

[Randomize Q6.1A-Q6.1D]

In your daily life, how much contact do you, personally, have with people who are...White?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A lot of contact	3207	49.7 %
2	Some contact	1588	24.6 %
3	Only a little contact	1096	17.0 %
4	No contact at all	504	7.8 %
<b>Missing Data</b>			
88	Don't know	30	0.5 %
99	Refused	23	0.4 %
<b>Total</b>		<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,395 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

*Location:* 823-824 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99

---

## Q6\_1\_B: Daily contact with Hispanic or Latino

[Randomize Q6.1A-Q6.1D]

In your daily life, how much contact do you, personally, have with people who are...Hispanic or Latino?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A lot of contact	2424	37.6 %
2	Some contact	1731	26.8 %
3	Only a little contact	1404	21.8 %
4	No contact at all	835	12.9 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	35	0.5 %
99	Refused	19	0.3 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,394 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

*Location:* 825-826 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99

---

## Q6\_1\_C: Daily contact with Black or African American

[Randomize Q6.1A-Q6.1D]

In your daily life, how much contact do you, personally, have with people who are...Black or African American?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A lot of contact	1606	24.9 %
2	Some contact	1796	27.9 %
3	Only a little contact	1903	29.5 %
4	No contact at all	1080	16.7 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	44	0.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
99	Refused	19	0.3 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,385 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

*Location:* 827-828 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99

---

## Q6\_1\_D: Daily contact with Asian or Asian-American

[Randomize Q6.1A-Q6.1D]

In your daily life, how much contact do you, personally, have with people who are...Asian or Asian-American?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A lot of contact	2789	43.3 %
2	Some contact	1645	25.5 %
3	Only a little contact	1235	19.2 %
4	No contact at all	724	11.2 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	33	0.5 %
99	Refused	22	0.3 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,393 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

*Location:* 829-830 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99

---

## Q7\_1A: Q7.1A Are you for or against preferential hiring and promotion of Blacks?

[Split sample between Q7.1A, B, C]

Some people say that because of past discrimination, Blacks should be given preference in hiring and promotion. Others say that such preference in hiring and promotion of Blacks is wrong because it discriminates against Whites. What about your opinion--are you for or against preferential hiring and promotion of Blacks?



Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	For	684	10.6 %
2	Against	1117	17.3 %
88	No opinion	323	5.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
99	Refused	49	0.8 %
.	-	4275	66.3 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 2,124 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 88.00

*Location:* 831-832 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 99 , .

---

## Q7\_1B: Q7.1B Are you for or against preferential hiring and promotion of Blacks?

[Split sample between Q7.1A, B, C]

Some people say that because of past discrimination, groups like Blacks and Asian Americans should be given preference in hiring and promotion. Others say that such preference in hiring and promotion of groups like Blacks and Asian Americans is wrong because it discriminates against Whites. What about your opinion--are you for or against preferential hiring and promotion of Blacks?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	For	723	11.2 %
2	Against	1027	15.9 %
88	No opinion	293	4.5 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
99	Refused	45	0.7 %
.	-	4360	67.6 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 2,043 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 88.00

*Location:* 833-834 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 99 , .

---

## Q7\_1C: Q7.1C Are you for or against preferential hiring and promotion of Blacks?

[Split sample between Q7.1A, B, C]

Some people say that because of past discrimination, Blacks should be given preference in hiring and promotion. Others say that such preference in hiring and promotion of Blacks is wrong because it discriminates against groups like Whites and Asian Americans. What about your opinion--are you for or against preferential hiring and promotion of Blacks?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	For	698	10.8 %
2	Against	1133	17.6 %
88	No opinion	317	4.9 %
<b>Missing Data</b>			
99	Refused	39	0.6 %
.	-	4261	66.1 %
<b>Total</b>		<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 2,148 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 88.00

Location: 835-836 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 99 , .

---

## Q7\_2: Q7.2 Are you for or against preferential hiring and promotion of women?

Some people say that because of past discrimination, women should be given preference in hiring and promotion. Others say that such preference in hiring and promotion of women is wrong because it discriminates against men. What about your opinion--are you for or against preferential hiring and promotion of women?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	For	3047	47.3 %
2	Against	2616	40.6 %
88	No opinion	690	10.7 %
<b>Missing Data</b>			
99	Refused	95	1.5 %
<b>Total</b>		<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,353 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 88.00

Location: 837-838 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 99

---

### Q7\_3A: Q7.3A Favor/oppose/neither increase number of Black students

[One-quarter split sample of Q7.3A-Q7.3D]

Next, thinking about colleges and universities. Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose allowing universities to increase the number of Black students studying at their schools by considering race along with other factors when choosing students?

[Probe: Do you strongly favor/oppose?]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly oppose	139	2.2 %
2	Oppose	188	2.9 %
3	Neither favor nor oppose	236	3.7 %
4	Favor	269	4.2 %
5	Strongly favor	262	4.1 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	67	1.0 %
99	Refused	19	0.3 %
.	-	5268	81.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1,094 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

*Location:* 839-840 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

### Q7\_3B: Q7.3B Favor/oppose/neither increase number of Black and some Asian American students

[One-quarter split sample of Q7.3A-Q7.3D]

Next, thinking about colleges and universities. Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose allowing universities to increase the number of Black and some Asian American students studying at their schools by considering race along with other factors when choosing students?

[Probe: Do you strongly favor/oppose?]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly oppose	299	4.6 %
2	Oppose	369	5.7 %
3	Neither favor nor oppose	371	5.8 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	Favor	488	7.6 %
5	Strongly favor	379	5.9 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	111	1.7 %
99	Refused	28	0.4 %
.	-	4403	68.3 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1,906 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

*Location:* 841-842 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

## Q7\_3C: Q7.3C Favor/oppose/neither increase number of Black students

[One-quarter split sample of Q7.3A-Q7.3D]

Next, thinking about colleges and universities. The U.S. Supreme Court has ruled that it is legal for universities to consider race along with other factors in choosing students. Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose allowing universities to increase the number of Black students studying at their schools by considering race along with other factors when choosing students?

[Probe: Do you strongly favor/oppose?]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly oppose	220	3.4 %
2	Oppose	203	3.1 %
3	Neither favor nor oppose	239	3.7 %
4	Favor	216	3.3 %
5	Strongly favor	195	3.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	70	1.1 %
99	Refused	24	0.4 %
.	-	5281	81.9 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1,073 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

*Location:* 843-844 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric  
(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

## Q7\_3D: Q7.3D Favor/oppose/neither increase number of Black and some Asian American students

[One-quarter split sample of Q7.3A-Q7.3D]

Next, thinking about colleges and universities. The U.S. Supreme Court has ruled that it is legal for universities to consider race along with other factors in choosing students. Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose allowing universities to increase the number of Black and some Asian American students studying at their schools by considering race along with other factors when choosing students?

[Probe: Do you strongly favor/oppose?]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly oppose	373	5.8 %
2	Oppose	390	6.0 %
3	Neither favor nor oppose	361	5.6 %
4	Favor	447	6.9 %
5	Strongly favor	345	5.4 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	118	1.8 %
99	Refused	22	0.3 %
.	-	4392	68.1 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1,916 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 845-846 (width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric  
(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

## Q7\_5: Q7.5 Has the Affordable Care Act or Obamacare increased/decreased/no effect on the cost of health care for you and your family?

[One-third split sample of Q7.5-Q7.7]

Thinking about the cost of health care, has the Affordable Care Act or Obamacare increased, decreased, or had no effect on the cost of health care for you and your family?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Decreased	188	2.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	No effect	1149	17.8 %
3	Increased	570	8.8 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	161	2.5 %
99	Refused	22	0.3 %
.	-	4358	67.6 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1,907 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

*Location:* 847-848 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

## Q7\_6: Q7.6 Has the Affordable Care Act or Obamacare increased/decreased/no effect on access to health care for you and your family?

[One-third split sample of Q7.5-Q7.7]

Thinking next about access to health care, has the Affordable Care Act or Obamacare increased, decreased, or had no effect on access to health care for you and your family?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Decreased	171	2.7 %
2	No effect	1325	20.5 %
3	Increased	558	8.7 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	120	1.9 %
99	Refused	11	0.2 %
.	-	4263	66.1 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 2,054 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

*Location:* 849-850 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

## Q7\_7: Q7.7 Has the Affordable Care Act or Obamacare increased/decreased/no effect on the quality of health care for you and your family?

[One-third split sample of Q7.5-Q7.7]

And thinking about quality of health care, has the Affordable Care Act or Obamacare increased, decreased, or had no effect on the quality of health care for you and your family?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Decreased	229	3.6 %
2	No effect	1224	19.0 %
3	Increased	549	8.5 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	158	2.5 %
99	Refused	13	0.2 %
.	-	4275	66.3 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 2,002 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 851-852 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

## Q7\_8: Q7.8 Have you, a close relative or close personal friend ever successfully benefited from a job offer or government contract due to an affirmative action program?

Next, thinking about affirmative action programs: Have you, a close relative or close personal friend ever successfully benefited from a job offer or a government contract due to an affirmative action program?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	767	11.9 %
2	No	4601	71.4 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	1022	15.8 %
99	Refused	57	0.9 %
.	-	1	0.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 5,368 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00

- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 853-854 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

## Q7\_9: Q7.9 Have you, a close relative or close personal friend ever been passed over for a job offer or a government contract due to an affirmative action program?

Have you, a close relative or close personal friend ever been passed over for a job offer or a government contract due to an affirmative action program?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	420	6.5 %
2	No	4875	75.6 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	1096	17.0 %
99	Refused	57	0.9 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 5,295 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 855-856 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99

## Q7\_10: Q7.10 Have you, a close relative or close personal friend ever benefited by being admitted to a selective college or university due to an affirmative action program?

Have you, a close relative or close personal friend ever benefited by being admitted to a selective college or university due to an affirmative action program?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	869	13.5 %
2	No	4516	70.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	1010	15.7 %
99	Refused	53	0.8 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 5,385 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 857-858 (width: 2; decimal: 0)



Variable Type: numeric  
(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99

## Q7\_11: Q7.11 Have you, a close relative or close personal friend ever been passed over for admission to a selective college or university due to an affirmative action program?

Have you, a close relative or close personal friend ever been passed over for admissions to a selective college or university due to an affirmative action program?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	507	7.9 %
2	No	4801	74.5 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	1087	16.9 %
99	Refused	53	0.8 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 5,308 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 859-860 (width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric  
(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99

## Q7\_12A: Very/somewhat/not likely to be Asian or Asian American: Chinese

Now I am going to read you a list of different groups. After I say each one, please tell me if you think the group is very likely to be Asian or Asian American, somewhat likely, or not likely to be Asian or Asian American.

[Randomize order of responses]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very likely	4243	65.8 %
2	Somewhat likely	1138	17.6 %
3	Not likely	379	5.9 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	607	9.4 %
99	Refused	81	1.3 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 5,760 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 861-862 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99

---

## Q7\_12B: Very/somewhat/not likely to be Asian or Asian American: Korean

Now I am going to read you a list of different groups. After I say each one, please tell me if you think the group is very likely to be Asian or Asian American, somewhat likely, or not likely to be Asian or Asian American.

[Randomize order of responses]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very likely	3986	61.8 %
2	Somewhat likely	1225	19.0 %
3	Not likely	468	7.3 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	686	10.6 %
99	Refused	83	1.3 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 5,679 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 863-864 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99

---

## Q7\_12C: Very/somewhat/not likely to be Asian or Asian American: Japanese

Now I am going to read you a list of different groups. After I say each one, please tell me if you think the group is very likely to be Asian or Asian American, somewhat likely, or not likely to be Asian or Asian American.

[Randomize order of responses]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very likely	4080	63.3 %
2	Somewhat likely	1158	18.0 %
3	Not likely	450	7.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	677	10.5 %
99	Refused	83	1.3 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 5,688 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

*Location:* 865-866 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99

---

## Q7\_12D: Very/somewhat/not likely to be Asian or Asian American: Indian

Now I am going to read you a list of different groups. After I say each one, please tell me if you think the group is very likely to be Asian or Asian American, somewhat likely, or not likely to be Asian or Asian American.

[Randomize order of responses]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very likely	2468	38.3 %
2	Somewhat likely	1539	23.9 %
3	Not likely	1491	23.1 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	859	13.3 %
99	Refused	91	1.4 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 5,498 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

*Location:* 867-868 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99

---

## Q7\_12E: Very/somewhat/not likely to be Asian or Asian American: Filipino

Now I am going to read you a list of different groups. After I say each one, please tell me if you think the group is very likely to be Asian or Asian American, somewhat likely, or not likely to be Asian or Asian American.

[Randomize order of responses]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very likely	3448	53.5 %
2	Somewhat likely	1506	23.4 %
3	Not likely	613	9.5 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
88	Don't know	800	12.4 %
99	Refused	81	1.3 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 5,567 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

*Location:* 869-870 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99

---

## Q7\_12F: Very/somewhat/not likely to be Asian or Asian American: Pakistani

Now I am going to read you a list of different groups. After I say each one, please tell me if you think the group is very likely to be Asian or Asian American, somewhat likely, or not likely to be Asian or Asian American.

[Randomize order of responses]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very likely	1736	26.9 %
2	Somewhat likely	1354	21.0 %
3	Not likely	2047	31.7 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	1223	19.0 %
99	Refused	88	1.4 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 5,137 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

*Location:* 871-872 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99

---

## Q7\_12G: Very/somewhat/not likely to be Asian or Asian American: Arabs or Middle Eastern people

Now I am going to read you a list of different groups. After I say each one, please tell me if you think the group is very likely to be Asian or Asian American, somewhat likely, or not likely to be Asian or Asian American.

[Randomize order of responses]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very likely	974	15.1 %
2	Somewhat likely	1309	20.3 %
3	Not likely	2903	45.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	1175	18.2 %
99	Refused	87	1.3 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 5,186 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

*Location:* 873-874 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99

---

## Q8\_1A: Q8.1A Do you favor or oppose legal protections against discrimination against gay, lesbian, and transgender people?

[Split Q8.1A and Q8.1B]

Next, we have some questions about society in general. Do you favor or oppose legal protections against discrimination against gay, lesbian and transgender people?

[Probe: Do you strongly favor/oppose?]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly oppose	348	5.4 %
2	Oppose	301	4.7 %
3	Neither favor nor oppose	455	7.1 %
4	Favor	807	12.5 %
5	Strongly favor	1085	16.8 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	170	2.6 %
99	Refused	68	1.1 %
.	-	3214	49.8 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 2,996 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 875-876 (width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric  
(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

---

## Q8\_1B: Q8.1B Do you favor or oppose legal protections against discrimination against gays and lesbians?

[Split Q8.1A and Q8.1B]

Next, we have some questions about society in general. Do you favor or oppose legal protections against discrimination against gays and lesbians?

[Probe: Do you strongly favor/oppose?]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly oppose	381	5.9 %
2	Oppose	295	4.6 %
3	Neither favor nor oppose	517	8.0 %
4	Favor	724	11.2 %
5	Strongly favor	1057	16.4 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	164	2.5 %
99	Refused	76	1.2 %
.	-	3234	50.2 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 2,974 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 877-878 (width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric  
(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

---

## Q8\_1C: Q8.1C Do you favor or oppose allowing transgender people to use the bathrooms of their identified gender?

Do you favor or oppose allowing transgender people--that is, people who identify themselves as the sex or gender different from the one they were born as--to use the bathrooms of their identified gender?

[Probe: Do you strongly favor/oppose?]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly oppose	1434	22.2 %
2	Oppose	1023	15.9 %
3	Neither favor nor oppose	1074	16.7 %
4	Favor	1092	16.9 %
5	Strongly favor	1159	18.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	522	8.1 %
99	Refused	144	2.2 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 5,782 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

*Location:* 879-880 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99

---

## Q9\_1A: Q9.1A Which comes closest to your views on abortion?

[Split Q9.1A and Q9.1B]

Now we have some questions about issues in society. Remember that there is no right or wrong answers. We just want to know what you think.

Which comes closest to your views on abortion?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Abortion should always be legal.	856	13.3 %
2	Abortion should be legal most of the time.	472	7.3 %
3	Abortion should be legal only in cases of rape, incest, a...	1057	16.4 %
4	Abortion should be illegal in all cases.	622	9.6 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	145	2.2 %
99	Refused	82	1.3 %
.	-	3214	49.8 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 3,007 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

*Location:* 881-882 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric  
(Range of Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

## Q9\_1B: Q9.1B Which comes closest to your views on abortion?

[Split Q9.1A and Q9.1B]

Which comes closest to your views on abortion?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Abortion should not be legal under any circumstances, eve...	501	7.8 %
2	Abortion should only be legal in certain circumstances, s...	1116	17.3 %
3	Abortion should be legal during the first three months of...	462	7.2 %
4	Abortion should be legal under any circumstance.	877	13.6 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	181	2.8 %
99	Refused	77	1.2 %
.	-	3234	50.2 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 2,956 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 883-884 (width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric  
(Range of Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

## Q10\_0A: Q10.0A. Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a [CATEGORIES], an independent, or in terms of some other party?

Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a [read categories], an independent, or in terms of some other party?

[Rotate 'Democrat' and 'Republican']

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Democrat	2810	43.6 %
2	Republican	846	13.1 %
3	Independent	1791	27.8 %
4	In terms of some other party	97	1.5 %
5	Do not think in terms of political parties	519	8.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	246	3.8 %



Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
99	Refused	139	2.2 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,063 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

*Location:* 885-886 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99

## OTH10\_0A: Q10.0A Record "Other party" here

Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a [read categories], an independent, or in terms of some other party?

[Rotate 'Democrat' and 'Republican']

Other party (please specify)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	-	6351	98.5 %
50/50 on both beliefs	-	1	0.0 %
A little bit republican and alittle bit democrat	-	1	0.0 %
A socalist	-	1	0.0 %
A true american	-	1	0.0 %
All parties.	-	1	0.0 %
CONSERVATIVE	-	2	0.0 %
Conservative	-	1	0.0 %
Constitutionalist	-	1	0.0 %
Conversative	-	1	0.0 %
DONT KNOW THATS THE PROBLEM NEITHER PARTY SPEAKS FOR US THEY SPEAK FOR THE POLITICIANS AND SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS	-	1	0.0 %
FOR THE CANDIDATE	-	1	0.0 %
GREEN	-	1	0.0 %
GREEN PARTY	-	3	0.0 %
Green	-	1	0.0 %
Green Party	-	1	0.0 %
INDEPENDENT THINKER	-	1	0.0 %
In The Middle	-	1	0.0 %
It depends on the ideals that they are trying to represent. Their reputation rather than being democrat or republican	-	1	0.0 %
LIBERAL	-	2	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
LIBERTARIAN	-	1	0.0 %
LIBERTARIAN PARTY	-	1	0.0 %
Liberal	-	1	0.0 %
Libertarian	-	6	0.1 %
NO PARTY	-	2	0.0 %
Neither Republican nor Democrat	-	1	0.0 %
No sabe	-	1	0.0 %
Not affiliated	-	1	0.0 %
Nothing	-	1	0.0 %
Other party which is in the US interest	-	1	0.0 %
PROGRESSIVE	-	1	0.0 %
Refused	-	1	0.0 %
UNDECIDED	-	1	0.0 %
Which ever one wins	-	1	0.0 %
both parties	-	1	0.0 %
cONSERVATIVE	-	1	0.0 %
conservative	-	3	0.0 %
conservative but not republican	-	1	0.0 %
conservative party	-	1	0.0 %
gREEN pARTY	-	1	0.0 %
green	-	1	0.0 %
green party	-	1	0.0 %
i dont know	-	1	0.0 %
liberal	-	3	0.0 %
liberal democrat	-	1	0.0 %
libertarian	-	7	0.1 %
libetarian	-	1	0.0 %
libiterian	-	1	0.0 %
lisocial libertarian	-	1	0.0 %
moderate	-	2	0.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

*Location:* 887-1003 (width: 117; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* character

---

## PID4: Hidden variable PID4

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Democrat	3237	50.2 %
2	Republican	1665	25.8 %
3	Independent	1546	24.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

*Location:* 1004-1004 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

### Q10\_0B: Q10.0B. Would you call yourself a strong Republican or a not very strong Republican?

[If Q10\_0A is 2]

Would you call yourself a strong Republican or a not very strong Republican?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strong Republican	404	6.3 %
2	Not very strong Republican	426	6.6 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	15	0.2 %
99	Refused	1	0.0 %
.	-	5602	86.9 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 830 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

*Location:* 1005-1006 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

### Q10\_0C: Q10.0C. Would you call yourself a strong Democrat or a not very strong Democrat?

[If Q10\_0A is 1]

Would you call yourself a strong Democrat or a not very strong Democrat?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strong Democrat	1547	24.0 %
2	Not very strong Democrat	1189	18.4 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	55	0.9 %
99	Refused	19	0.3 %
.	-	3638	56.4 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 2,736 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

*Location:* 1007-1008 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

## Q10\_0D: Q10.0D. Do you think of yourself as closer to the Republican Party or to the Democratic Party?

[Split sample Q10.0D and Q10.0E]

[If Q10\_0A is 3, 4, 5, 88, or 99]

Do you think of yourself as closer to the Republican Party or to the Democratic Party?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Closer to the Republican Party	114	1.8 %
2	Closer to the Democratic party	257	4.0 %
3	Closer to neither party	472	7.3 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	91	1.4 %
99	Refused	38	0.6 %
.	-	5476	84.9 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 843 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

*Location:* 1009-1010 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

## Q10\_0E: Q10.0E. Do you think your views are closer to the Republican Party or to the Democratic Party?

[Split sample Q10.0D and Q10.0E]

[If Q10\_0A is 3, 4, 5, 88, or 99]

Based on where the two parties stand on the issues, do you think your views are closer to the Republican Party or to the Democratic Party?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Closer to the Republican Party	313	4.9 %
2	Closer to the Democratic party	562	8.7 %
3	Closer to neither party	695	10.8 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	169	2.6 %
99	Refused	81	1.3 %
.	-	4628	71.8 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1,570 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

*Location:* 1011-1012 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

## Q10\_101: (Q10\_101) Q10.1 Please indicate if any of the following currently live with you

Finally, we have a few important questions about home and family. Please indicate if any of the following currently live with you:  
[read list]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	No one, live alone	929	14.4 %
2	Spouse or partner	3932	61.0 %
3	Children under 18	339	5.3 %
4	Children 18 or older	444	6.9 %
5	Parents or parents-in-law	414	6.4 %
6	Grandchildren	40	0.6 %
7	Grandparent	19	0.3 %
8	Anyone else	186	2.9 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
99	Refused	145	2.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,303 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

*Location:* 1013-1014 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 99

---

## Q10\_102: (Q10\_102) Q10.1 Please indicate if any of the following currently live with you

Finally, we have a few important questions about home and family. Please indicate if any of the following currently live with you:  
[read list]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	No one, live alone	0	0.0 %
2	Spouse or partner	0	0.0 %
3	Children under 18	1244	19.3 %
4	Children 18 or older	1081	16.8 %
5	Parents or parents-in-law	282	4.4 %
6	Grandchildren	132	2.0 %
7	Grandparent	29	0.4 %
8	Anyone else	60	0.9 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	3620	56.1 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 2,828 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 3.00
- Maximum: 8.00

*Location:* 1015-1016 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 99 , .

---

## Q10\_103: (Q10\_103) Q10.1 Please indicate if any of the following currently live with you

Finally, we have a few important questions about home and family. Please indicate if any of the following currently live with you:  
[read list]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	No one, live alone	0	0.0 %
2	Spouse or partner	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	Children under 18	0	0.0 %
4	Children 18 or older	377	5.8 %
5	Parents or parents-in-law	299	4.6 %
6	Grandchildren	196	3.0 %
7	Grandparent	39	0.6 %
8	Anyone else	40	0.6 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	5497	85.3 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 951 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 4.00
- Maximum: 8.00

*Location:* 1017-1018 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 99 , .

---

## Q10\_104: (Q10\_104) Q10.1 Please indicate if any of the following currently live with you

Finally, we have a few important questions about home and family. Please indicate if any of the following currently live with you:  
[read list]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	No one, live alone	0	0.0 %
2	Spouse or partner	0	0.0 %
3	Children under 18	0	0.0 %
4	Children 18 or older	0	0.0 %
5	Parents or parents-in-law	60	0.9 %
6	Grandchildren	79	1.2 %
7	Grandparent	35	0.5 %
8	Anyone else	14	0.2 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6260	97.1 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 188 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 5.00
- Maximum: 8.00

*Location:* 1019-1020 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 99 , .

---

**Q10\_105: (Q10\_105) Q10.1 Please indicate if any of the following currently live with you**

Finally, we have a few important questions about home and family. Please indicate if any of the following currently live with you:  
[read list]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	No one, live alone	0	0.0 %
2	Spouse or partner	0	0.0 %
3	Children under 18	0	0.0 %
4	Children 18 or older	0	0.0 %
5	Parents or parents-in-law	0	0.0 %
6	Grandchildren	12	0.2 %
7	Grandparent	8	0.1 %
8	Anyone else	6	0.1 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6422	99.6 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 26 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 6.00
- Maximum: 8.00

*Location:* 1021-1022 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 99 , .

---

**Q10\_106: (Q10\_106) Q10.1 Please indicate if any of the following currently live with you**

Finally, we have a few important questions about home and family. Please indicate if any of the following currently live with you:  
[read list]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	No one, live alone	0	0.0 %
2	Spouse or partner	0	0.0 %
3	Children under 18	0	0.0 %
4	Children 18 or older	0	0.0 %
5	Parents or parents-in-law	0	0.0 %
6	Grandchildren	0	0.0 %
7	Grandparent	6	0.1 %
8	Anyone else	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6442	99.9 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>



Based upon 6 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 7.00
- Maximum: 7.00

*Location:* 1023-1024 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 99 , .

---

### Q10\_107: (Q10\_107) Q10.1 Please indicate if any of the following currently live with you

Finally, we have a few important questions about home and family. Please indicate if any of the following currently live with you:  
[read list]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	No one, live alone	0	0.0 %
2	Spouse or partner	0	0.0 %
3	Children under 18	0	0.0 %
4	Children 18 or older	0	0.0 %
5	Parents or parents-in-law	0	0.0 %
6	Grandchildren	0	0.0 %
7	Grandparent	0	0.0 %
8	Anyone else	1	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6447	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 8.00
- Maximum: 8.00

*Location:* 1025-1026 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 99 , .

---

### Q10\_108: (Q10\_108) Q10.1 Please indicate if any of the following currently live with you

Finally, we have a few important questions about home and family. Please indicate if any of the following currently live with you:  
[read list]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	No one, live alone	0	0.0 %
2	Spouse or partner	0	0.0 %
3	Children under 18	0	0.0 %
4	Children 18 or older	0	0.0 %
5	Parents or parents-in-law	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
6	Grandchildren	0	0.0 %
7	Grandparent	0	0.0 %
8	Anyone else	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6448	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

Location: 1027-1028 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 99 , .

## OTH10\_1: Q10.1 Record "Anyone else" here

Finally, we have a few important questions about home and family. Please indicate if any of the following currently live with you: [read list]

Anyone else (specify)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	-	6141	95.2 %
2 brothers	-	1	0.0 %
4 ROOMMATES	-	1	0.0 %
AMISTAD	-	1	0.0 %
ANOTHER ADULT	-	1	0.0 %
AUNT UNCLE	-	1	0.0 %
BEST FRIEND	-	1	0.0 %
BROTHER	-	5	0.1 %
BROTHER AND SISTER IN LAW	-	1	0.0 %
Brother	-	3	0.0 %
Brother and Sister in-Law.	-	1	0.0 %
Brothers	-	1	0.0 %
Brothers and sister	-	1	0.0 %
CARE FACILITY	-	1	0.0 %
CAREGIVER	-	1	0.0 %
COUSINS, UNCLE AND AUNTS	-	1	0.0 %
Cousins, uncles, aunts	-	1	0.0 %
DAUGETER AND HER HUSBAND	-	1	0.0 %
DAUGHTER	-	1	0.0 %
Daughter	-	2	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
Daughter in law	-	1	0.0 %
EX MOTHER IN LAW	-	1	0.0 %
FRIEND	-	3	0.0 %
FRIENDS	-	3	0.0 %
Friend	-	1	0.0 %
Friends	-	2	0.0 %
Friends.	-	1	0.0 %
GRANDPARENTS	-	1	0.0 %
HERMANA	-	1	0.0 %
Hermanas	-	1	0.0 %
I am a caregiver	-	1	0.0 %
In Laws	-	1	0.0 %
MOTHER IN LAW	-	1	0.0 %
MY AUNT	-	1	0.0 %
MY AUNTIE	-	1	0.0 %
MY BROTHER IN LAW	-	1	0.0 %
Mother	-	1	0.0 %
Mother in law	-	1	0.0 %
NEPHEW	-	2	0.0 %
Nephews.	-	1	0.0 %
Niece	-	1	0.0 %
Niece and nephews and their kids - 7 total	-	1	0.0 %
ON CAMPUS	-	1	0.0 %
OTHER ADULT	-	1	0.0 %
OTHER FAMILY MEMBERS	-	1	0.0 %
OTHER RELATIVE	-	1	0.0 %
PROPERTY MANAGER	-	1	0.0 %
Parent, brothers and sisters	-	1	0.0 %
ROOM MATES	-	2	0.0 %
ROOM MATES IN COLLEGE	-	1	0.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

*Location:* 1029-1073 (width: 45; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* character

---

## Q10\_2A: Q10.2A Did you complete all of your education in the United States?

[If FORBORN is 1]

Did you complete all of your education in the United States?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	970	15.0 %
2	No	2983	46.3 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	8	0.1 %
99	Refused	58	0.9 %
.	-	2429	37.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 3,953 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

*Location:* 1074-1075 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

## Q10\_2B: Q10.2B What is the highest level of education you completed outside the United States?

[If Q10\_2A is 2]

What is the highest level of education you completed outside the United States?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	No schooling completed	428	6.6 %
2	Some schooling, no high school degree	627	9.7 %
3	High school degree or certificate	772	12.0 %
5	College degree or Bachelor's degree	831	12.9 %
6	Graduate or Professional degree	292	4.5 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	26	0.4 %
99	Refused	8	0.1 %
.	-	3464	53.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 2,950 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00

*Location:* 1076-1077 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

## Q10\_2C: Q10.2C And as far as you know, is your visa or green card current, or do you think it might have expired?

[If CITIZEN is 0 and NATURALIZED is 0]

And as far as you know, is your visa or green card current, or do you think it might have expired?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Visa or green card is current	442	6.9 %
2	Visa or green card might have expired	19	0.3 %
3	No visa or green card	24	0.4 %
4	Person says they are a U.S. citizen	16	0.2 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	39	0.6 %
99	Refused	32	0.5 %
.	-	5876	91.1 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 501 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

*Location:* 1078-1079 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

## Q10\_3A: Q10.3A What year did you first come to live in the United States?

[If FORBORN is 1]

What year did you first come to live in the United States?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1931	1931 or earlier	1	0.0 %
1932	-	1	0.0 %
1939	-	3	0.0 %
1941	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1943	-	1	0.0 %
1945	-	5	0.1 %
1946	-	2	0.0 %
1947	-	1	0.0 %
1948	-	3	0.0 %
1949	-	3	0.0 %
1950	-	3	0.0 %
1951	-	2	0.0 %
1952	-	6	0.1 %
1953	-	7	0.1 %
1954	-	8	0.1 %
1955	-	13	0.2 %
1956	-	7	0.1 %
1957	-	15	0.2 %
1958	-	8	0.1 %
1959	-	15	0.2 %
1960	-	23	0.4 %
1961	-	13	0.2 %
1962	-	12	0.2 %
1963	-	18	0.3 %
1964	-	22	0.3 %
1965	-	19	0.3 %
1966	-	24	0.4 %
1967	-	21	0.3 %
1968	-	24	0.4 %
1969	-	52	0.8 %
1970	-	55	0.9 %
1971	-	40	0.6 %
1972	-	55	0.9 %
1973	-	48	0.7 %
1974	-	63	1.0 %
1975	-	93	1.4 %
1976	-	70	1.1 %
1977	-	46	0.7 %
1978	-	75	1.2 %
1979	-	99	1.5 %
1980	-	173	2.7 %
1981	-	123	1.9 %
1982	-	101	1.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1983	-	80	1.2 %
1984	-	111	1.7 %
1985	-	132	2.0 %
1986	-	115	1.8 %
1987	-	81	1.3 %
1988	-	88	1.4 %
1989	-	110	1.7 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	2741	42.5 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 3,707 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Mean: 1986.95
- Median: 1987.00
- Mode: 1980.00
- Minimum: 1931.00
- Maximum: 2016.00
- Standard Deviation: 13.18

*Location:* 1080-1083 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## Q10\_3B: Q10.3B How old were you when you first came to live in the United States?

[If taking too long with Q10.3A]

How old were you when you first came to live in the United States?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	13	0.2 %
2	-	20	0.3 %
3	-	15	0.2 %
4	-	13	0.2 %
5	-	19	0.3 %
6	-	15	0.2 %
7	-	10	0.2 %
8	-	15	0.2 %
9	-	16	0.2 %
10	-	12	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
11	-	10	0.2 %
12	-	17	0.3 %
13	-	14	0.2 %
14	-	19	0.3 %
15	-	29	0.4 %
16	-	41	0.6 %
17	-	43	0.7 %
18	-	58	0.9 %
19	-	47	0.7 %
20	-	81	1.3 %
21	-	55	0.9 %
22	-	55	0.9 %
23	-	57	0.9 %
24	-	58	0.9 %
25	-	72	1.1 %
26	-	53	0.8 %
27	-	54	0.8 %
28	-	43	0.7 %
29	-	33	0.5 %
30	-	92	1.4 %
31	-	11	0.2 %
32	-	32	0.5 %
33	-	35	0.5 %
34	-	25	0.4 %
35	-	38	0.6 %
36	-	19	0.3 %
37	-	19	0.3 %
38	-	20	0.3 %
39	-	14	0.2 %
40	-	60	0.9 %
41	-	13	0.2 %
42	-	29	0.4 %
43	-	10	0.2 %
44	-	14	0.2 %
45	-	32	0.5 %
46	-	7	0.1 %
47	-	8	0.1 %
48	-	13	0.2 %
49	-	10	0.2 %



Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
50	-	32	0.5 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
-77	MASKED BY ICPSR	37	0.6 %
.	-	4791	74.3 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,620 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Mean: 27.71
- Median: 26.00
- Mode: 30.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 85.00
- Standard Deviation: 13.69

*Location:* 1084-1086 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* -77 , .

---

## Q10\_3CA: Q10.3C What is the city and state you lived in when you first came to the United States? City

[If FORBORN is 1]

What is the city and state you lived in when you first came to the United States? City

[If they don't remember the city, just ask for state.]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	-	3648	56.6 %
[MASKED BY ICPSR]	-	2800	43.4 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

*Location:* 1087-1103 (width: 17; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* character

---

## Q10\_3CB: Q10.3C What is the city and state you lived in when you first came to the United States? State

[If FORBORN is 1]

What is the city and state you lived in when you first came to the United States? State

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	-	2428	37.7 %
AK	-	3	0.0 %
AL	-	13	0.2 %
AR	-	14	0.2 %
AZ	-	41	0.6 %
CA	-	1376	21.3 %
CO	-	44	0.7 %
CT	-	29	0.4 %
DC	-	8	0.1 %
DE	-	2	0.0 %
FL	-	141	2.2 %
GA	-	46	0.7 %
HI	-	113	1.8 %
IA	-	18	0.3 %
ID	-	4	0.1 %
IL	-	148	2.3 %
IN	-	15	0.2 %
KS	-	16	0.2 %
KY	-	11	0.2 %
LA	-	14	0.2 %
MA	-	100	1.6 %
MD	-	51	0.8 %
ME	-	5	0.1 %
MI	-	39	0.6 %
MN	-	94	1.5 %
MO	-	23	0.4 %
MS	-	6	0.1 %
MT	-	3	0.0 %
NC	-	19	0.3 %
ND	-	8	0.1 %
NE	-	10	0.2 %
NH	-	3	0.0 %
NJ	-	101	1.6 %
NM	-	13	0.2 %
NV	-	16	0.2 %
NY	-	527	8.2 %
OH	-	31	0.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
OK	-	20	0.3 %
OR	-	37	0.6 %
PA	-	62	1.0 %
RI	-	15	0.2 %
SC	-	1	0.0 %
SD	-	1	0.0 %
TN	-	15	0.2 %
TX	-	205	3.2 %
UT	-	22	0.3 %
VA	-	110	1.7 %
VT	-	4	0.1 %
WA	-	137	2.1 %
WI	-	56	0.9 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

*Location:* 1104-1120 (width: 17; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* character

---

### Q10\_3DA: Q10.3D What is the city and state you lived in when you were 16 years old? City

[If FORBORN is 0]

What is the city and state you lived in when you were 16 years old? City

[If they don't remember the city just ask for state]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	-	4269	66.2 %
[MASKED BY ICPSR]	-	2179	33.8 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

*Location:* 1121-1137 (width: 17; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* character

---

### Q10\_3DB: Q10.3D What is the city and state you lived in when you were 16 years old? State

[If FORBORN is 0]

What is the city and state you lived in when you were 16 years old? State

[If they don't remember the city just ask for state]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	-	4020	62.3 %
AK	-	2	0.0 %
AL	-	29	0.4 %
AR	-	9	0.1 %
AZ	-	46	0.7 %
CA	-	782	12.1 %
CO	-	29	0.4 %
CT	-	13	0.2 %
DC	-	4	0.1 %
DE	-	1	0.0 %
FL	-	76	1.2 %
GA	-	41	0.6 %
HI	-	283	4.4 %
IA	-	13	0.2 %
ID	-	4	0.1 %
IL	-	101	1.6 %
IN	-	16	0.2 %
KS	-	10	0.2 %
KY	-	9	0.1 %
LA	-	24	0.4 %
MA	-	21	0.3 %
MD	-	13	0.2 %
ME	-	4	0.1 %
MI	-	38	0.6 %
MN	-	41	0.6 %
MO	-	12	0.2 %
MS	-	25	0.4 %
MT	-	5	0.1 %
NC	-	26	0.4 %
ND	-	5	0.1 %
NE	-	8	0.1 %
NH	-	1	0.0 %
NJ	-	56	0.9 %
NM	-	38	0.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
NV	-	19	0.3 %
NY	-	148	2.3 %
OH	-	33	0.5 %
OK	-	15	0.2 %
OR	-	24	0.4 %
PA	-	41	0.6 %
RI	-	2	0.0 %
SC	-	21	0.3 %
SD	-	1	0.0 %
TN	-	16	0.2 %
TX	-	130	2.0 %
UT	-	14	0.2 %
VA	-	37	0.6 %
VT	-	1	0.0 %
WA	-	41	0.6 %
WI	-	26	0.4 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

*Location:* 1138-1154 (width: 17; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* character

## Q10\_4A: Q10.4A Are you currently married or living as married with someone - or are you widowed/divorced/separated/never married?

Are you currently married or living as married with someone - or are you widowed, divorced, separated, or have you never been married?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Married/living as married	3985	61.8 %
2	Widowed	546	8.5 %
3	Divorced	328	5.1 %
4	Separated	123	1.9 %
5	Never married	1283	19.9 %
6	Other	17	0.3 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	19	0.3 %
99	Refused	147	2.3 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,282 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00

*Location:* 1155-1156 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99

---

## OTH10\_4A: Q10.4A Record "Other" response here

Are you currently married or living as married with someone - or are you widowed, divorced, separated, or have you never been married?

Other

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	-	6431	99.7 %
Alone	-	1	0.0 %
ENGAGED	-	1	0.0 %
Living With Partner	-	1	0.0 %
SOLO VIVO CON MIXS HIJOS	-	1	0.0 %
Single	-	3	0.0 %
boyfriend	-	1	0.0 %
engaged	-	1	0.0 %
fired	-	1	0.0 %
married but not legally	-	1	0.0 %
not married	-	1	0.0 %
single	-	2	0.0 %
singular	-	1	0.0 %
sola	-	1	0.0 %
spouse-like person	-	1	0.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

*Location:* 1157-1180 (width: 24; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* character

---

## Q10\_4B: Q10.4B Are you currently in a serious relationship?

[If Q10\_4A is 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, or 88]

Are you currently in a serious relationship?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	466	7.2 %
2	No	1788	27.7 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	28	0.4 %
99	Refused	34	0.5 %
.	-	4132	64.1 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 2,254 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 1181-1182 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

## Q10\_501: (Q10\_501) Q10.5 What racial or ethnic groups describe your spouse or partner?

[IF Q10\_4A is 1 or Q10\_4B is 1]

What racial or ethnic groups describe your spouse or partner?

[Choose all that apply.]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Asian or Asian American	2699	41.9 %
2	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	56	0.9 %
3	White	647	10.0 %
4	Black	115	1.8 %
5	African American	111	1.7 %
6	Hispanic or Latino	680	10.5 %
7	Native American or American Indian	46	0.7 %
9	Multi-racial, mixed	40	0.6 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	15	0.2 %
99	Refused	42	0.7 %
.	-	1997	31.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,394 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00

- Maximum: 9.00

*Location:* 1183-1184 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

## Q10\_502: (Q10\_502) Q10.5 What racial or ethnic groups describe your spouse or partner?

[IF Q10\_4A is 1 or Q10\_4B is 1]

What racial or ethnic groups describe your spouse or partner?

[Choose all that apply.]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Asian or Asian American	22	0.3 %
2	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	19	0.3 %
3	White	0	0.0 %
4	Black	3	0.0 %
5	African American	11	0.2 %
6	Hispanic or Latino	23	0.4 %
7	Native American or American Indian	13	0.2 %
9	Multi-racial, mixed	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6357	98.6 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 91 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

*Location:* 1185-1186 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

## Q10\_503: (Q10\_503) Q10.5 What racial or ethnic groups describe your spouse or partner?

[IF Q10\_4A is 1 or Q10\_4B is 1]

What racial or ethnic groups describe your spouse or partner?

[Choose all that apply.]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Asian or Asian American	0	0.0 %



Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	6	0.1 %
3	White	0	0.0 %
4	Black	0	0.0 %
5	African American	0	0.0 %
6	Hispanic or Latino	1	0.0 %
7	Native American or American Indian	3	0.0 %
9	Multi-racial, mixed	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6438	99.8 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 10 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 7.00

*Location:* 1187-1188 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

## Q10\_504: (Q10\_504) Q10.5 What racial or ethnic groups describe your spouse or partner?

[IF Q10\_4A is 1 or Q10\_4B is 1]

What racial or ethnic groups describe your spouse or partner?

[Choose all that apply.]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Asian or Asian American	0	0.0 %
2	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0.0 %
3	White	0	0.0 %
4	Black	0	0.0 %
5	African American	0	0.0 %
6	Hispanic or Latino	0	0.0 %
7	Native American or American Indian	0	0.0 %
9	Multi-racial, mixed	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6448	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

*Location:* 1189-1190 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric  
(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

## Q10\_505: (Q10\_505) Q10.5 What racial or ethnic groups describe your spouse or partner?

[IF Q10\_4A is 1 or Q10\_4B is 1]

What racial or ethnic groups describe your spouse or partner?

[Choose all that apply.]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Asian or Asian American	0	0.0 %
2	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0.0 %
3	White	0	0.0 %
4	Black	0	0.0 %
5	African American	0	0.0 %
6	Hispanic or Latino	0	0.0 %
7	Native American or American Indian	0	0.0 %
9	Multi-racial, mixed	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6448	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

Location: 1191-1192 (width: 2; decimal: 0)  
Variable Type: numeric  
(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

## Q10\_506: (Q10\_506) Q10.5 What racial or ethnic groups describe your spouse or partner?

[IF Q10\_4A is 1 or Q10\_4B is 1]

What racial or ethnic groups describe your spouse or partner?

[Choose all that apply.]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Asian or Asian American	0	0.0 %
2	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0.0 %
3	White	0	0.0 %
4	Black	0	0.0 %
5	African American	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
6	Hispanic or Latino	0	0.0 %
7	Native American or American Indian	0	0.0 %
9	Multi-racial, mixed	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6448	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

*Location:* 1193-1194 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

### Q10\_507: (Q10\_507) Q10.5 What racial or ethnic groups describe your spouse or partner?

[IF Q10\_4A is 1 or Q10\_4B is 1]

What racial or ethnic groups describe your spouse or partner?

[Choose all that apply.]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Asian or Asian American	0	0.0 %
2	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0.0 %
3	White	0	0.0 %
4	Black	0	0.0 %
5	African American	0	0.0 %
6	Hispanic or Latino	0	0.0 %
7	Native American or American Indian	0	0.0 %
9	Multi-racial, mixed	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6448	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

*Location:* 1195-1196 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

### Q10\_508: (Q10\_508) Q10.5 What racial or ethnic groups describe your spouse or partner?

[IF Q10\_4A is 1 or Q10\_4B is 1]

What racial or ethnic groups describe your spouse or partner?

[Choose all that apply.]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Asian or Asian American	0	0.0 %
2	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0.0 %
3	White	0	0.0 %
4	Black	0	0.0 %
5	African American	0	0.0 %
6	Hispanic or Latino	0	0.0 %
7	Native American or American Indian	0	0.0 %
9	Multi-racial, mixed	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6448	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

*Location:* 1197-1198 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

## Q10\_6: Q10.6 How would you rate your overall health in the past year?

How would you rate your overall health in the past year - excellent, very good, good, fair, or poor?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Excellent	1188	18.4 %
2	Very Good	1595	24.7 %
3	Good	1901	29.5 %
4	Fair	1091	16.9 %
5	Poor	506	7.8 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	14	0.2 %
99	Refused	153	2.4 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,281 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

*Location:* 1199-1200 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99

## Q10\_7: Q10.7 Do you have a current, valid driver's license from the state of California or from any other state in the U.S.?

[If CITIZEN is 0 and NATURALIZED is 0]

Do you have a current, valid driver's license from the state of California or from any other state in the United States?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	343	5.3 %
3	No current driver's license	197	3.1 %
	Missing Data		
88	Don't know	9	0.1 %
99	Refused	23	0.4 %
.	-	5876	91.1 %
	Total	6,448	100%

Based upon 540 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 1201-1202 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

## Q10\_901: (Q10\_901) Q10.9 What is your current employment status?

Next, we have some questions about work. What is your current employment status? Please let us know which of the following best describes your status in the past month.

[Read choices]

[Accept multiple answers]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Working full-time	2295	35.6 %
2	Working part-time	565	8.8 %
3	Self-employed	426	6.6 %
4	Unemployed and still looking for work	175	2.7 %
5	Unemployed and stopped looking for work	130	2.0 %
6	Student	259	4.0 %
7	Homemaker	386	6.0 %
8	Retired	1778	27.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
9	Permanently disabled	223	3.5 %
10	Some other employment status	30	0.5 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	12	0.2 %
99	Refused	169	2.6 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,267 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 10.00

*Location:* 1203-1204 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99

---

## Q10\_902: (Q10\_902) Q10.9 What is your current employment status?

Next, we have some questions about work. What is your current employment status? Please let us know which of the following best describes your status in the past month.

[Read choices]

[Accept multiple answers]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Working full-time	0	0.0 %
2	Working part-time	22	0.3 %
3	Self-employed	51	0.8 %
4	Unemployed and still looking for work	0	0.0 %
5	Unemployed and stopped looking for work	0	0.0 %
6	Student	99	1.5 %
7	Homemaker	19	0.3 %
8	Retired	115	1.8 %
9	Permanently disabled	2	0.0 %
10	Some other employment status	4	0.1 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6136	95.2 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 312 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 10.00

Location: 1205-1206 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

---

## Q10\_903: (Q10\_903) Q10.9 What is your current employment status?

Next, we have some questions about work. What is your current employment status? Please let us know which of the following best describes your status in the past month.

[Read choices]

[Accept multiple answers]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Working full-time	0	0.0 %
2	Working part-time	0	0.0 %
3	Self-employed	1	0.0 %
4	Unemployed and still looking for work	0	0.0 %
5	Unemployed and stopped looking for work	0	0.0 %
6	Student	2	0.0 %
7	Homemaker	4	0.1 %
8	Retired	3	0.0 %
9	Permanently disabled	0	0.0 %
10	Some other employment status	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6438	99.8 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 10 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 3.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 1207-1208 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

---

## Q10\_904: (Q10\_904) Q10.9 What is your current employment status?

Next, we have some questions about work. What is your current employment status? Please let us know which of the following best describes your status in the past month.

[Read choices]

[Accept multiple answers]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Working full-time	0	0.0 %
2	Working part-time	0	0.0 %
3	Self-employed	0	0.0 %
4	Unemployed and still looking for work	0	0.0 %
5	Unemployed and stopped looking for work	0	0.0 %
6	Student	0	0.0 %
7	Homemaker	0	0.0 %
8	Retired	0	0.0 %
9	Permanently disabled	0	0.0 %
10	Some other employment status	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6448	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

Location: 1209-1210 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

---

## Q10\_905: (Q10\_905) Q10.9 What is your current employment status?

Next, we have some questions about work. What is your current employment status? Please let us know which of the following best describes your status in the past month.

[Read choices]

[Accept multiple answers]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Working full-time	0	0.0 %
2	Working part-time	0	0.0 %
3	Self-employed	0	0.0 %
4	Unemployed and still looking for work	0	0.0 %
5	Unemployed and stopped looking for work	0	0.0 %
6	Student	0	0.0 %
7	Homemaker	0	0.0 %
8	Retired	0	0.0 %
9	Permanently disabled	0	0.0 %
10	Some other employment status	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6448	100.0 %



Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

Location: 1211-1212 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

---

## Q10\_906: (Q10\_906) Q10.9 What is your current employment status?

Next, we have some questions about work. What is your current employment status? Please let us know which of the following best describes your status in the past month.

[Read choices]

[Accept multiple answers]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Working full-time	0	0.0 %
2	Working part-time	0	0.0 %
3	Self-employed	0	0.0 %
4	Unemployed and still looking for work	0	0.0 %
5	Unemployed and stopped looking for work	0	0.0 %
6	Student	0	0.0 %
7	Homemaker	0	0.0 %
8	Retired	0	0.0 %
9	Permanently disabled	0	0.0 %
10	Some other employment status	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6448	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

Location: 1213-1214 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

---

## Q10\_907: (Q10\_907) Q10.9 What is your current employment status?

Next, we have some questions about work. What is your current employment status? Please let us know which of the following best describes your status in the past month.

[Read choices]

[Accept multiple answers]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Working full-time	0	0.0 %
2	Working part-time	0	0.0 %
3	Self-employed	0	0.0 %
4	Unemployed and still looking for work	0	0.0 %
5	Unemployed and stopped looking for work	0	0.0 %
6	Student	0	0.0 %
7	Homemaker	0	0.0 %
8	Retired	0	0.0 %
9	Permanently disabled	0	0.0 %
10	Some other employment status	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6448	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

Location: 1215-1216 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

## OTH10\_9: Q10.9 Record "Other" employment status here

Next, we have some questions about work. What is your current employment status? Please let us know which of the following best describes your status in the past month.

[Read choices]

[Accept multiple answers]

Other

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	-	6414	99.5 %
Army active duty	-	1	0.0 %
CONTRACTOR	-	1	0.0 %
DISABLED	-	1	0.0 %
HANDICAPPED WAITING FOR OK TO WORK	-	1	0.0 %
Homemaker	-	1	0.0 %
I temporarily stopped working because I had to take good care of my husband last [MASKED BY ICPSR] after being hospitalized last [MASKED BY ICPSR].	-	1	0.0 %
Per Diem.	-	1	0.0 %
Responder is under SSI status	-	1	0.0 %
STARTING WORK NEXT MONTH	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
Seasonal work	-	1	0.0 %
TEMPORAL DISABILITY	-	1	0.0 %
TRYING TO APPLY FOR SERVICE	-	1	0.0 %
Temp disability	-	1	0.0 %
Temporarily disabled	-	1	0.0 %
Volunteer	-	1	0.0 %
Working part time and a student	-	1	0.0 %
athlete	-	1	0.0 %
care provider	-	1	0.0 %
disability	-	1	0.0 %
disabled	-	1	0.0 %
discapacitada. with chemo treatment	-	1	0.0 %
independent contractor taking a break from surgery	-	1	0.0 %
not working because he is cancer patient whom I have to take care of him	-	1	0.0 %
on pregnancy leave	-	1	0.0 %
on workers comp	-	1	0.0 %
own business	-	1	0.0 %
realstate investor	-	1	0.0 %
semi disabled	-	1	0.0 %
semi retired	-	1	0.0 %
stay at home person	-	1	0.0 %
still deciding	-	1	0.0 %
temporalmente desabilitada.	-	1	0.0 %
unemployed	-	1	0.0 %
volunteering	-	1	0.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

Location: 1217-1363 (width: 147; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

## EMPLOYED: Hidden variable EMPLOYED

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not employed	3142	48.7 %
1	Employed	3306	51.3 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00

- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 1364-1364 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

## Q10\_10: Q10.10 Are you doing any work for pay at the present time?

[IF Q10\_901-907 is 10, 88, or 99]

Are you doing any work for pay at the present time?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	21	0.3 %
2	No	33	0.5 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	6	0.1 %
99	Refused	155	2.4 %
.	-	6233	96.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 54 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 1365-1366 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

## Q10\_15: Q10.15 Which of the following best describes the total income earned by everyone in your household last year?

For statistical purposes only, which of the following best describes the total income earned by everyone in your household last year?

[Try hard to not accept 'Don't Know', 'Refused'; reassure that anonymous]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Up to \$20,000	1229	19.1 %
2	\$20,000 to \$50,000	1410	21.9 %
3	\$50,000 to \$75,000	869	13.5 %
4	\$75,000 to \$100,000	607	9.4 %
5	\$100,000 to \$125,000	417	6.5 %
6	\$125,000 to \$250,000	523	8.1 %
7	\$250,000 and over	264	4.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	415	6.4 %
99	Refused	713	11.1 %
.	-	1	0.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 5,319 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

*Location:* 1367-1368 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

### Q10\_16: Q10.16 Could you please at least tell us if your total household income was below \$50k/\$50k to \$100k/more than \$100k?

[If Q10\_15 is 88 or 99]

We understand this is a private matter for many individuals. We are only interested in this for research purposes. Could you please at least tell us if your total household income was below \$50,000 last year, between \$50,000 and \$100,000, or more than \$100,000?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Less than \$50,000	136	2.1 %
2	\$50,000 to \$100,000	106	1.6 %
3	More than \$100,000	60	0.9 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	247	3.8 %
99	Refused	579	9.0 %
.	-	5320	82.5 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 302 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

*Location:* 1369-1370 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

### Q10\_17: Q10.17 Do you belong to a union?

[If EMPLOYED is 1]

Do you belong to a union?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	420	6.5 %
2	No	2822	43.8 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	28	0.4 %
99	Refused	36	0.6 %
.	-	3142	48.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 3,242 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

*Location:* 1371-1372 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

## Q10\_18: Q10.18 And what year were you born?

And what year were you born?

[Try hard to not accept 'Don't Know', 'Refused'; reassure that anonymous]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1931	1931 or earlier	181	2.8 %
1932	-	53	0.8 %
1933	-	49	0.8 %
1934	-	59	0.9 %
1935	-	49	0.8 %
1936	-	70	1.1 %
1937	-	72	1.1 %
1938	-	69	1.1 %
1939	-	84	1.3 %
1940	-	102	1.6 %
1941	-	77	1.2 %
1942	-	118	1.8 %
1943	-	88	1.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1944	-	86	1.3 %
1945	-	128	2.0 %
1946	-	90	1.4 %
1947	-	108	1.7 %
1948	-	106	1.6 %
1949	-	105	1.6 %
1950	-	119	1.8 %
1951	-	128	2.0 %
1952	-	141	2.2 %
1953	-	109	1.7 %
1954	-	110	1.7 %
1955	-	121	1.9 %
1956	-	131	2.0 %
1957	-	111	1.7 %
1958	-	104	1.6 %
1959	-	100	1.6 %
1960	-	94	1.5 %
1961	-	96	1.5 %
1962	-	116	1.8 %
1963	-	85	1.3 %
1964	-	73	1.1 %
1965	-	79	1.2 %
1966	-	80	1.2 %
1967	-	87	1.3 %
1968	-	75	1.2 %
1969	-	69	1.1 %
1970	-	86	1.3 %
1971	-	61	0.9 %
1972	-	66	1.0 %
1973	-	50	0.8 %
1974	-	55	0.9 %
1975	-	66	1.0 %
1976	-	50	0.8 %
1977	-	58	0.9 %
1978	-	41	0.6 %
1979	-	57	0.9 %
1980	-	54	0.8 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Mean: 2882.31
- Median: 1963.00
- Mode: 9999.00
- Minimum: 1931.00
- Maximum: 9999.00
- Standard Deviation: 2524.08

*Location:* 1373-1376 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## Q10\_19: Q10.19 Are you in the following age groups ...?

[If Q10\_18 is 88 or 99]

We just need to know in general, are you the following age groups...?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	18 to 24	21	0.3 %
2	25 to 34	58	0.9 %
3	35 to 49	128	2.0 %
4	50 to 64	145	2.2 %
5	65 or over	127	2.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	9	0.1 %
99	Refused	271	4.2 %
.	-	5689	88.2 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 479 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

*Location:* 1377-1378 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

## Q10\_20: Q10.20 Do you own or do you rent the place where you currently live?

Do you own or do you rent the place where you currently live?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Own	3938	61.1 %



Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	Rent	2123	32.9 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	74	1.1 %
99	Refused	313	4.9 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,061 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

*Location:* 1379-1380 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99

---

## Q10\_21: Q10.21 What is your present religion, if any?

What is your present religion, if any?

[Record current religion. If respondent says I was raised Catholic, but am now Episcopalian, record Episcopalian. Probe or read list as needed.]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Agnostic	55	0.9 %
2	Atheist	131	2.0 %
3	Baptist	182	2.8 %
4	Buddhist	795	12.3 %
5	Catholic	1410	21.9 %
6	Christian	1094	17.0 %
7	Christian Scientists	2	0.0 %
8	Church of God in Christ	6	0.1 %
9	Church of the Nazarene	1	0.0 %
10	Congregationalist (includes United Church of Christ)	1	0.0 %
11	Disciples of Christ, Churches of Christ	1	0.0 %
12	Episcopalian, Anglican	14	0.2 %
13	Falun Gong	1	0.0 %
14	Greek Orthodox	1	0.0 %
15	Hindu	272	4.2 %
16	Jain	11	0.2 %
17	Jehovah's Witnesses	28	0.4 %
18	Jewish	18	0.3 %
19	Lutheran	25	0.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
20	Methodist	53	0.8 %
21	Mormon, Church of the Latter Day Saints	40	0.6 %
22	Muslim, Mohammedan, Islam	510	7.9 %
23	Orthodox, Eastern Orthodox	2	0.0 %
24	Pentecostal	29	0.4 %
25	Presbyterian	58	0.9 %
26	Protestant (no denomination given)	92	1.4 %
27	Reformed, Dutch Reformed, Christian Reformed	1	0.0 %
28	Seventh Day Adventist	16	0.2 %
29	Sikh	52	0.8 %
30	Unitarian, Universalist	8	0.1 %
31	Other Non-Christian	246	3.8 %
32	African Methodist Episcopal/AME	4	0.1 %
77	No religion	830	12.9 %
78	Spiritual, but not religious	69	1.1 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	33	0.5 %
99	Refused	357	5.5 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,058 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 78.00

*Location:* 1381-1382 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 88 , 99

---

## OTH10\_21: Q10.21 Record "Other Non-Christian" religion here

What is your present religion, if any?

[Record current religion. If respondent says I was raised Catholic, but am now Episcopalian, record Episcopalian. Probe or read list as needed.]

Other

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	-	6202	96.2 %
Anism	-	1	0.0 %
Atheist Agnostic.	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
BAHAI	-	1	0.0 %
BAPTISED WITH THE HOLY SPIRIT	-	1	0.0 %
Baha'I	-	1	0.0 %
EPISCOPALIAN	-	1	0.0 %
EVANGELICAL	-	1	0.0 %
EVANGELICO	-	1	0.0 %
Ethopian Orthodox	-	1	0.0 %
ISLAM	-	1	0.0 %
Iglesia ni Kristo.	-	1	0.0 %
Islam	-	2	0.0 %
LDS	-	1	0.0 %
MY OWN RELIGION	-	1	0.0 %
Non-Religion.	-	1	0.0 %
Nonshaman	-	1	0.0 %
Practicing Taoism	-	1	0.0 %
Roman catholic	-	1	0.0 %
SATANIC	-	1	0.0 %
SPIRTUAL	-	1	0.0 %
Salvation Army	-	1	0.0 %
Santaria	-	1	0.0 %
Servant of GOd	-	1	0.0 %
Shamamism	-	1	0.0 %
Shaman	-	39	0.6 %
Shamanism	-	154	2.4 %
Shamansism	-	1	0.0 %
Shaminism	-	1	0.0 %
Shamon	-	1	0.0 %
Shamonism	-	1	0.0 %
Spiritual	-	1	0.0 %
[MASKED BY ICPSR]	-	3	0.0 %
adventista	-	1	0.0 %
apostalic	-	1	0.0 %
bAHAI	-	1	0.0 %
bahai	-	1	0.0 %
church of christ	-	1	0.0 %
evangelico	-	1	0.0 %
full gospel christian	-	1	0.0 %
hawaiian beliefs	-	1	0.0 %
hener religion	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
holy sanctified	-	1	0.0 %
muslum	-	1	0.0 %
non denominational church of christ	-	1	0.0 %
none	-	1	0.0 %
shaman	-	1	0.0 %
shamanisim	-	1	0.0 %
shamanism	-	3	0.0 %
shinto	-	1	0.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

*Location:* 1383-1417 (width: 35; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* character

---

## RELIGIOUS: Hidden variable RELIGIOUS

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not religious	1475	22.9 %
1	Religious	4973	77.1 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

*Location:* 1418-1418 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## RELIGION: Hidden variable RELIGION

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No religion	1475	22.9 %
1	Religion	4973	77.1 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

*Location:* 1419-1419 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## ALLCHRISTIAN: Hidden variable ALLCHRISTIAN

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not Christian	3311	51.3 %
1	Christian	3137	48.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

*Location:* 1420-1420 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## Q10\_22: Q10.22 Would you describe yourself as any of the following ...?

[If ALLCHRISTIAN]

Would you describe yourself as any of the following [READ: "A fundamentalist or evangelical Christian, a born again Christian, or Charismatic"]?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1262	19.6 %
2	No	1586	24.6 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	242	3.8 %
99	Refused	47	0.7 %
.	-	3311	51.3 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 2,848 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

*Location:* 1421-1422 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of Missing Values:* 88 , 99 , .

---

## Q10\_23: Q10.23 How often do you attend a place of worship?

[If RELIGIOUS is 1]

How often do you attend a place of worship?

[Read choices]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	More than once a week	742	11.5 %
2	Once a week	1569	24.3 %
3	Once or twice a month	767	11.9 %
4	A few times a year	1034	16.0 %
5	Seldom	480	7.4 %
6	Never	309	4.8 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
88	Don't know	31	0.5 %
99	Refused	41	0.6 %
.	-	1475	22.9 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,901 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00

Location: 1423-1424 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 88 , 99 , .

## Q10\_24AA: Q10\_24AA

[If HALFALL is 1. Split A]

In describing our background, we often ask someone which racial or ethnic groups best describes them. Imagine if we used instead a 10 point system where points are allocated to whichever racial or ethnic groups we think accurately describes a person. For example, if you think of someone as just African American, you would allocate all 10 points to African American. Or if you think of someone as mixed race, but more African American than White, you might allocate 6 or 7 points to Black and 3 or 4 points to White. Now think of your own background in racial and ethnic terms. In terms of being Asian American, Pacific Islander, White, Black, Latino, and Native American, how would you describe your race and ethnicity using this 10-point system?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	938	14.5 %
1	-	21	0.3 %
2	-	7	0.1 %
3	-	15	0.2 %
4	-	8	0.1 %
5	-	20	0.3 %
6	-	2	0.0 %
7	-	5	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	-	3	0.0 %
10	-	159	2.5 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	5270	81.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1,178 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Mean: 1.59
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.48

*Location:* 1425-1426 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## Q10\_24AB: Q10\_24AB

[If HALFALL is 1. Split A]

In describing our background, we often ask someone which racial or ethnic groups best describes them. Imagine if we used instead a 10 point system where points are allocated to whichever racial or ethnic groups we think accurately describes a person. For example, if you think of someone as just African American, you would allocate all 10 points to African American. Or if you think of someone as mixed race, but more African American than White, you might allocate 6 or 7 points to Black and 3 or 4 points to White. Now think of your own background in racial and ethnic terms. In terms of being Asian American, Pacific Islander, White, Black, Latino, and Native American, how would you describe your race and ethnicity using this 10-point system?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1102	17.1 %
1	-	14	0.2 %
2	-	8	0.1 %
3	-	3	0.0 %
4	-	3	0.0 %
5	-	18	0.3 %
6	-	4	0.1 %
7	-	7	0.1 %
8	-	4	0.1 %
9	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	14	0.2 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	5270	81.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1,178 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Mean: 0.34
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.50

*Location:* 1427-1428 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## Q10\_24AC: Q10\_24AC

[If HALFALL is 1. Split A]

In describing our background, we often ask someone which racial or ethnic groups best describes them. Imagine if we used instead a 10 point system where points are allocated to whichever racial or ethnic groups we think accurately describes a person. For example, if you think of someone as just African American, you would allocate all 10 points to African American. Or if you think of someone as mixed race, but more African American than White, you might allocate 6 or 7 points to Black and 3 or 4 points to White. Now think of your own background in racial and ethnic terms. In terms of being Asian American, Pacific Islander, White, Black, Latino, and Native American, how would you describe your race and ethnicity using this 10-point system?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	836	13.0 %
1	-	25	0.4 %
2	-	36	0.6 %
3	-	21	0.3 %
4	-	21	0.3 %
5	-	58	0.9 %
6	-	8	0.1 %
7	-	11	0.2 %
8	-	9	0.1 %
9	-	13	0.2 %
10	-	140	2.2 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	5270	81.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1,178 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Mean: 1.91
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.49



Location: 1429-1430 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

---

## Q10\_24AD: Q10\_24AD

[If HALFALL is 1. Split A]

In describing our background, we often ask someone which racial or ethnic groups best describes them. Imagine if we used instead a 10 point system where points are allocated to whichever racial or ethnic groups we think accurately describes a person. For example, if you think of someone as just African American, you would allocate all 10 points to African American. Or if you think of someone as mixed race, but more African American than White, you might allocate 6 or 7 points to Black and 3 or 4 points to White. Now think of your own background in racial and ethnic terms. In terms of being Asian American, Pacific Islander, White, Black, Latino, and Native American, how would you describe your race and ethnicity using this 10-point system?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	969	15.0 %
1	-	22	0.3 %
2	-	9	0.1 %
3	-	10	0.2 %
4	-	3	0.0 %
5	-	22	0.3 %
6	-	11	0.2 %
7	-	13	0.2 %
8	-	17	0.3 %
9	-	4	0.1 %
10	-	98	1.5 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	5270	81.7 %
	Total	6,448	100%

Based upon 1,178 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Mean: 1.27
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.07

Location: 1431-1432 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

---

## Q10\_24AE: Q10\_24AE

[If HALFALL is 1. Split A]

In describing our background, we often ask someone which racial or ethnic groups best describes them. Imagine if we used instead a 10 point system where points are allocated to whichever racial or ethnic groups we think accurately describes a person. For example, if you think of someone as just African American, you would allocate all 10 points to African American. Or if you think of someone as mixed race, but more African American than White, you might allocate 6 or 7 points to Black and 3 or 4 points to White. Now think of your own background in racial and ethnic terms. In terms of being Asian American, Pacific Islander, White, Black, Latino, and Native American, how would you describe your race and ethnicity using this 10-point system?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	675	10.5 %
1	-	13	0.2 %
2	-	15	0.2 %
3	-	9	0.1 %
4	-	17	0.3 %
5	-	50	0.8 %
6	-	9	0.1 %
7	-	15	0.2 %
8	-	12	0.2 %
9	-	14	0.2 %
10	-	349	5.4 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	5270	81.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1,178 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Mean: 3.62
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 4.53

*Location:* 1433-1434 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## Q10\_24AF: Q10\_24AF

[If HALFALL is 1. Split A]

In describing our background, we often ask someone which racial or ethnic groups best describes them. Imagine if we used instead a 10 point system where points are allocated to whichever racial or ethnic groups we think accurately describes a person. For example, if you think of someone as just African American, you would allocate all 10 points to African American. Or if you think of someone as mixed race, but more African American than White, you might allocate 6 or 7 points to Black and 3 or 4 points to White. Now think of your own background in racial and ethnic terms. In terms of being Asian American, Pacific Islander, White, Black, Latino, and Native American, how would you describe your race and ethnicity using this 10-point system?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1079	16.7 %
1	-	38	0.6 %
2	-	19	0.3 %
3	-	15	0.2 %
4	-	4	0.1 %
5	-	12	0.2 %
7	-	1	0.0 %
8	-	1	0.0 %
9	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	8	0.1 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	5270	81.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1,178 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Mean: 0.26
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.14

*Location:* 1435-1436 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## Q10\_24AG: Q10\_24AG

[If HALFALL is 1. Split A]

In describing our background, we often ask someone which racial or ethnic groups best describes them. Imagine if we used instead a 10 point system where points are allocated to whichever racial or ethnic groups we think accurately describes a person. For example, if you think of someone as just African American, you would allocate all 10 points to African American. Or if you think of someone as mixed race, but more African American than White, you might allocate 6 or 7 points to Black and 3 or 4 points to White. Now think of your own background in racial and ethnic terms. In terms of being Asian American, Pacific Islander, White, Black, Latino, and Native American, how would you describe your race and ethnicity using this 10-point system?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1149	17.8 %
1	-	8	0.1 %
2	-	4	0.1 %
3	-	3	0.0 %
5	-	4	0.1 %
6	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	-	1	0.0 %
9	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	7	0.1 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	5270	81.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1,178 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Mean: 0.12
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.93

*Location:* 1437-1438 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## Q10\_24AH: Q10\_24AH

[If HALFALL is 1. Split A]

In describing our background, we often ask someone which racial or ethnic groups best describes them. Imagine if we used instead a 10 point system where points are allocated to whichever racial or ethnic groups we think accurately describes a person. For example, if you think of someone as just African American, you would allocate all 10 points to African American. Or if you think of someone as mixed race, but more African American than White, you might allocate 6 or 7 points to Black and 3 or 4 points to White. Now think of your own background in racial and ethnic terms. In terms of being Asian American, Pacific Islander, White, Black, Latino, and Native American, how would you describe your race and ethnicity using this 10-point system?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1066	16.5 %
1	-	4	0.1 %
3	-	2	0.0 %
5	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	105	1.6 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	5270	81.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1,178 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Mean: 0.90
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.85

*Location:* 1439-1440 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## TOT1024A: TOT1024A

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
10	-	1178	18.3 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	5270	81.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1,178 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Mean: 10.00
- Median: 10.00
- Mode: 10.00
- Minimum: 10.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

*Location:* 1441-1442 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## Q10\_24BA: Q10\_24BA

[If HALFALL is 1. Split B]

In describing our background, we often ask someone which racial or ethnic groups best describes them. Imagine if we used instead a 10 point system where points are allocated to whichever racial or ethnic groups we think accurately describes a person. For example, if you think of someone as only of White heritage, you would allocate all 10 points to White. Or if you think of someone as mixed race, but more White than African American, you might allocate 6 or 7 points to White and 3 or 4 points to African American. Now think of your own background in racial and ethnic terms. In terms of being Asian American, Pacific Islander, White, Black, Latino, and Native American how would you describe your race and ethnicity using this 10-point system?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	256	4.0 %
1	-	25	0.4 %
2	-	19	0.3 %
3	-	22	0.3 %
4	-	23	0.4 %
5	-	66	1.0 %
6	-	18	0.3 %
7	-	34	0.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	-	29	0.4 %
9	-	6	0.1 %
10	-	1538	23.9 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	4412	68.4 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 2,036 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Mean: 8.14
- Median: 10.00
- Mode: 10.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.58

*Location:* 1443-1444 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## Q10\_24BB: Q10\_24BB

[If HALFALL is 1. Split B]

In describing our background, we often ask someone which racial or ethnic groups best describes them. Imagine if we used instead a 10 point system where points are allocated to whichever racial or ethnic groups we think accurately describes a person. For example, if you think of someone as only of White heritage, you would allocate all 10 points to White. Or if you think of someone as mixed race, but more White than African American, you might allocate 6 or 7 points to White and 3 or 4 points to African American. Now think of your own background in racial and ethnic terms. In terms of being Asian American, Pacific Islander, White, Black, Latino, and Native American how would you describe your race and ethnicity using this 10-point system?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1920	29.8 %
1	-	55	0.9 %
2	-	22	0.3 %
3	-	11	0.2 %
4	-	7	0.1 %
5	-	10	0.2 %
6	-	5	0.1 %
7	-	3	0.0 %
10	-	3	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	4412	68.4 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 2,036 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Mean: 0.14
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.76

*Location:* 1445-1446 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## Q10\_24BC: Q10\_24BC

[If HALFALL is 1. Split B]

In describing our background, we often ask someone which racial or ethnic groups best describes them. Imagine if we used instead a 10 point system where points are allocated to whichever racial or ethnic groups we think accurately describes a person. For example, if you think of someone as only of White heritage, you would allocate all 10 points to White. Or if you think of someone as mixed race, but more White than African American, you might allocate 6 or 7 points to White and 3 or 4 points to African American. Now think of your own background in racial and ethnic terms. In terms of being Asian American, Pacific Islander, White, Black, Latino, and Native American how would you describe your race and ethnicity using this 10-point system?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1870	29.0 %
1	-	50	0.8 %
2	-	30	0.5 %
3	-	22	0.3 %
4	-	12	0.2 %
5	-	35	0.5 %
6	-	5	0.1 %
7	-	4	0.1 %
8	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	7	0.1 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	4412	68.4 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 2,036 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Mean: 0.26
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.09

*Location:* 1447-1448 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## Q10\_24BD: Q10\_24BD

[If HALFALL is 1. Split B]

In describing our background, we often ask someone which racial or ethnic groups best describes them. Imagine if we used instead a 10 point system where points are allocated to whichever racial or ethnic groups we think accurately describes a person. For example, if you think of someone as only of White heritage, you would allocate all 10 points to White. Or if you think of someone as mixed race, but more White than African American, you might allocate 6 or 7 points to White and 3 or 4 points to African American. Now think of your own background in racial and ethnic terms. In terms of being Asian American, Pacific Islander, White, Black, Latino, and Native American how would you describe your race and ethnicity using this 10-point system?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1934	30.0 %
1	-	48	0.7 %
2	-	23	0.4 %
3	-	8	0.1 %
4	-	2	0.0 %
5	-	6	0.1 %
8	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	14	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	4412	68.4 %
	Total	6,448	100%

Based upon 2,036 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Mean: 0.15
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.94

Location: 1449-1450 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

---

## Q10\_24BE: Q10\_24BE

[If HALFALL is 1. Split B]

In describing our background, we often ask someone which racial or ethnic groups best describes them. Imagine if we used instead a 10 point system where points are allocated to whichever racial or ethnic groups we think accurately describes a person. For example, if you think of someone as only of White heritage, you would allocate all 10 points to White. Or if you think of someone as mixed race, but more White than African American, you might allocate 6 or 7 points to White and 3 or 4 points to African American. Now think of your own background in racial and ethnic terms. In terms of being Asian American, Pacific Islander, White, Black, Latino, and Native American how would you describe your race and ethnicity using this 10-point system?



Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1927	29.9 %
1	-	57	0.9 %
2	-	18	0.3 %
3	-	1	0.0 %
4	-	4	0.1 %
5	-	10	0.2 %
8	-	1	0.0 %
9	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	17	0.3 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	4412	68.4 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 2,036 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Mean: 0.17
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.05

*Location:* 1451-1452 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## Q10\_24BF: Q10\_24BF

[If HALFALL is 1. Split B]

In describing our background, we often ask someone which racial or ethnic groups best describes them. Imagine if we used instead a 10 point system where points are allocated to whichever racial or ethnic groups we think accurately describes a person. For example, if you think of someone as only of White heritage, you would allocate all 10 points to White. Or if you think of someone as mixed race, but more White than African American, you might allocate 6 or 7 points to White and 3 or 4 points to African American. Now think of your own background in racial and ethnic terms. In terms of being Asian American, Pacific Islander, White, Black, Latino, and Native American how would you describe your race and ethnicity using this 10-point system?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1956	30.3 %
1	-	48	0.7 %
2	-	10	0.2 %
3	-	2	0.0 %
5	-	3	0.0 %
6	-	1	0.0 %
9	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
10	-	15	0.2 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	4412	68.4 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 2,036 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Mean: 0.12
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.93

*Location:* 1453-1454 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## Q10\_24BG: Q10\_24BG

[If HALFALL is 1. Split B]

In describing our background, we often ask someone which racial or ethnic groups best describes them. Imagine if we used instead a 10 point system where points are allocated to whichever racial or ethnic groups we think accurately describes a person. For example, if you think of someone as only of White heritage, you would allocate all 10 points to White. Or if you think of someone as mixed race, but more White than African American, you might allocate 6 or 7 points to White and 3 or 4 points to African American. Now think of your own background in racial and ethnic terms. In terms of being Asian American, Pacific Islander, White, Black, Latino, and Native American how would you describe your race and ethnicity using this 10-point system?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1929	29.9 %
1	-	39	0.6 %
2	-	13	0.2 %
3	-	5	0.1 %
4	-	2	0.0 %
5	-	5	0.1 %
6	-	2	0.0 %
7	-	2	0.0 %
8	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	38	0.6 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	4412	68.4 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 2,036 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Mean: 0.26
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.44

*Location:* 1455-1456 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## Q10\_24BH: Q10\_24BH

[If HALFALL is 1. Split B]

In describing our background, we often ask someone which racial or ethnic groups best describes them. Imagine if we used instead a 10 point system where points are allocated to whichever racial or ethnic groups we think accurately describes a person. For example, if you think of someone as only of White heritage, you would allocate all 10 points to White. Or if you think of someone as mixed race, but more White than African American, you might allocate 6 or 7 points to White and 3 or 4 points to African American. Now think of your own background in racial and ethnic terms. In terms of being Asian American, Pacific Islander, White, Black, Latino, and Native American how would you describe your race and ethnicity using this 10-point system?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1862	28.9 %
1	-	8	0.1 %
2	-	5	0.1 %
3	-	13	0.2 %
10	-	148	2.3 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	4412	68.4 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 2,036 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Mean: 0.75
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.60

*Location:* 1457-1458 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## TOT1024B: TOT1024B

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
10	-	2036	31.6 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
.	-	4412	68.4 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 2,036 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Mean: 10.00
- Median: 10.00
- Mode: 10.00
- Minimum: 10.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

*Location:* 1459-1460 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

### Q11\_1: Q11.1 Respondent's general level of information about politics and public affairs seemed:

[The following are questions the interviewer needs to complete]

Respondent's general level of information about politics and public affairs seemed:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very low	199	3.1 %
2	Fairly low	438	6.8 %
3	Average	2055	31.9 %
4	Fairly high	1954	30.3 %
5	Very high	1802	27.9 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

*Location:* 1461-1461 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

### Q11\_2: Q11.2 Overall, how great was the respondent's interest in the interview:

[The following are questions the interviewer needs to complete]

Overall, how great was the respondent's interest in the interview:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very low	97	1.5 %
2	Fairly low	307	4.8 %
3	Average	1908	29.6 %
4	Fairly high	2076	32.2 %
5	Very high	2060	31.9 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1462-1462 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

---

### Q11\_301: (Q11\_301) Q11.3 Check if any of the items apply to the respondent:

[The following are questions the interviewer needs to complete]

Check if any of the items apply to the respondent:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Respondent was tired	186	2.9 %
2	Spoke slowly	640	9.9 %
3	Spoke very fast	437	6.8 %
4	Not intelligent	119	1.8 %
5	Difficult to understand	128	2.0 %
6	Agitated or stressed	94	1.5 %
7	Complained	270	4.2 %
99	None of the above	4574	70.9 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 99.00

Location: 1463-1464 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

---

### Q11\_302: (Q11\_302) Q11.3 Check if any of the items apply to the respondent:

[The following are questions the interviewer needs to complete]

Check if any of the items apply to the respondent:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Respondent was tired	0	0.0 %
2	Spoke slowly	67	1.0 %
3	Spoke very fast	19	0.3 %
4	Not intelligent	34	0.5 %
5	Difficult to understand	78	1.2 %
6	Agitated or stressed	35	0.5 %
7	Complained	85	1.3 %
99	None of the above	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6130	95.1 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 318 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1465-1466 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

---

### Q11\_303: (Q11\_303) Q11.3 Check if any of the items apply to the respondent:

[The following are questions the interviewer needs to complete]

Check if any of the items apply to the respondent:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Respondent was tired	0	0.0 %
2	Spoke slowly	0	0.0 %
3	Spoke very fast	0	0.0 %
4	Not intelligent	15	0.2 %
5	Difficult to understand	27	0.4 %
6	Agitated or stressed	19	0.3 %
7	Complained	37	0.6 %
99	None of the above	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6350	98.5 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 98 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 4.00
- Maximum: 7.00

*Location:* 1467-1468 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

### Q11\_304: (Q11\_304) Q11.3 Check if any of the items apply to the respondent:

[The following are questions the interviewer needs to complete]

Check if any of the items apply to the respondent:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Respondent was tired	0	0.0 %
2	Spoke slowly	0	0.0 %
3	Spoke very fast	0	0.0 %
4	Not intelligent	0	0.0 %
5	Difficult to understand	7	0.1 %
6	Agitated or stressed	14	0.2 %
7	Complained	15	0.2 %
99	None of the above	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6412	99.4 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 36 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 5.00
- Maximum: 7.00

*Location:* 1469-1470 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

### Q11\_305: (Q11\_305) Q11.3 Check if any of the items apply to the respondent:

[The following are questions the interviewer needs to complete]

Check if any of the items apply to the respondent:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Respondent was tired	0	0.0 %
2	Spoke slowly	0	0.0 %
3	Spoke very fast	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	Not intelligent	0	0.0 %
5	Difficult to understand	0	0.0 %
6	Agitated or stressed	3	0.0 %
7	Complained	5	0.1 %
99	None of the above	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6440	99.9 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 8 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 6.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1471-1472 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

---

### Q11\_306: (Q11\_306) Q11.3 Check if any of the items apply to the respondent:

[The following are questions the interviewer needs to complete]

Check if any of the items apply to the respondent:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Respondent was tired	0	0.0 %
2	Spoke slowly	0	0.0 %
3	Spoke very fast	0	0.0 %
4	Not intelligent	0	0.0 %
5	Difficult to understand	0	0.0 %
6	Agitated or stressed	0	0.0 %
7	Complained	2	0.0 %
99	None of the above	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6446	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 2 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 7.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1473-1474 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric



---

### Q11\_307: (Q11\_307) Q11.3 Check if any of the items apply to the respondent:

[The following are questions the interviewer needs to complete]

Check if any of the items apply to the respondent:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Respondent was tired	0	0.0 %
2	Spoke slowly	0	0.0 %
3	Spoke very fast	0	0.0 %
4	Not intelligent	0	0.0 %
5	Difficult to understand	0	0.0 %
6	Agitated or stressed	0	0.0 %
7	Complained	0	0.0 %
99	None of the above	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	6448	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

Location: 1475-1476 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

---

### Q11\_4: Q11.4 Interviewer, how would you characterize your accent when you speak in English?

[The following are questions the interviewer needs to complete]

[If English interview]

Interviewer, how would you characterize your accent when you speak in English?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all	1861	28.9 %
2	Not that strong	504	7.8 %
3	Fairly strong	634	9.8 %
4	Very strong	1003	15.6 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	2446	37.9 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,002 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

*Location:* 1477-1477 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## Q11\_5: Q11.5 Interviewer, how would you describe your own race/ethnicity?

[The following are questions the interviewer needs to complete]

Interviewer, how would you describe your race/ethnicity?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Bangladeshi	8	0.1 %
2	Cambodian	307	4.8 %
3	Chinese	315	4.9 %
4	Filipino	80	1.2 %
5	Hmong	318	4.9 %
6	Indian	292	4.5 %
7	Japanese	344	5.3 %
8	Korean	207	3.2 %
9	Laotian	0	0.0 %
10	Pakistani	65	1.0 %
11	Vietnamese	133	2.1 %
12	Native Hawaiian	0	0.0 %
13	Samoan	0	0.0 %
14	Bhutanese	0	0.0 %
15	Burmese	0	0.0 %
16	Fijian	0	0.0 %
17	Indonesian	66	1.0 %
18	Malaysian	0	0.0 %
19	Mongolian	0	0.0 %
20	Nepali	0	0.0 %
21	Singaporean	1	0.0 %
22	Sri Lankan	1	0.0 %
23	Taiwanese	0	0.0 %
24	Thai	0	0.0 %
25	Tongan	0	0.0 %
31	White	1118	17.3 %
32	Black or African American	519	8.0 %
33	Latino	1210	18.8 %
34	Asian American	1088	16.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
35	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	15	0.2 %
36	Other	361	5.6 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 36.00

Location: 1478-1479 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

## OTH11\_5: Q11.5 Record "Other" ethnicity here

[The following are questions the interviewer needs to complete]

Interviewer, how would you describe your race/ethnicity? Other

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	-	6087	94.4 %
Armenian	-	3	0.0 %
Armenian ( Eurasian )	-	1	0.0 %
Armenian Eurasian	-	1	0.0 %
BI	-	1	0.0 %
BI RACIAL	-	4	0.1 %
BI RACIAL +	-	1	0.0 %
BIRACIAL	-	5	0.1 %
BLACK	-	1	0.0 %
CELTIC	-	5	0.1 %
COLORED WOMAN	-	5	0.1 %
Caucasian and Haitian	-	1	0.0 %
Celtic-American	-	22	0.3 %
European	-	1	0.0 %
HUMAN	-	50	0.8 %
Haitian and Caucasian	-	1	0.0 %
Hispanic Latin American	-	3	0.0 %
Hispanic Latino Americano	-	1	0.0 %
Hispanic Latino americano	-	1	0.0 %
Hispano Latino Amaricano	-	1	0.0 %
Hispano Latino Americano	-	5	0.1 %
IDK	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
INDEGENOUS	-	1	0.0 %
Japanese/white	-	1	0.0 %
MEXICAN AMERICAN	-	1	0.0 %
MEXICAN AMERICAN.	-	2	0.0 %
MIXED : LATIN MIDDLE EASTERN	-	1	0.0 %
Mexican American.	-	2	0.0 %
Mixed: Black and Mexican	-	5	0.1 %
Mixed: black and mexican	-	1	0.0 %
NATIVE AMERICAN	-	3	0.0 %
NO	-	1	0.0 %
Native American	-	20	0.3 %
Native american	-	1	0.0 %
Oneida	-	25	0.4 %
REFUSED	-	1	0.0 %
TWO OR MORE RACES	-	4	0.1 %
Two or More Races	-	1	0.0 %
alan	-	1	0.0 %
albino	-	1	0.0 %
american indian	-	1	0.0 %
celtic	-	2	0.0 %
chinese	-	1	0.0 %
colored woman	-	74	1.1 %
hispanic	-	6	0.1 %
indegenous	-	2	0.0 %
indigenous	-	2	0.0 %
lol	-	1	0.0 %
mixed	-	31	0.5 %
mixed middle eastern latin	-	1	0.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

*Location:* 1480-1507 (width: 28; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* character

---

**PROB\_01: INTERVIEWER: Did you have any problems with the survey...skips, bad codes, wording, etc.?**

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes, had problems	34	0.5 %
2	No, no problems	608	9.4 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	5806	90.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 642 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 1508-1508 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

---

**PROB\_02: INTERVIEWER: What were those problems, please be as specific as possible, please include question numbers, nature of problem, etc.**

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	-	6414	99.5 %
Although a supervisor was asked and the issue resolved; for the location of respondent at age 16 question, no option was available for foreign nations and no directions listed on how to proceed.	-	1	0.0 %
HE NEEDED TO LEAVE. TOO LONG.	-	1	0.0 %
I've already stated this before. Question 4.6a misses a "RACE" and it left blank.	-	1	0.0 %
MANY RESPONDENTS ASK ME TO REPEAT THE QUESTION BECAUSE OF THE WAY THAT IS WRITTEN IN THIS QUESTION : IT IS NOT REALLY BIG A PROBLEM IF SOME PEOPLE HAVE MORE OF A CHANCE IN LIFE THAN OTHERS. PLEASE SIMPLIFY THE WORDING SO THEY CAN UNDERSTAND EAS	-	1	0.0 %
Question 4.6a does not have a second RACE CATEGORY to compare when ASIANS.	-	1	0.0 %
Questions about their ethnicity, gender, doesn't translate properly.	-	1	0.0 %
Questions repeated when already answered.	-	1	0.0 %
Respondent was slow and interviewer had to repeat questions many times. Respondent had a bad cold.	-	1	0.0 %
Responder seemed to be angry and interviewer didn't hear clearly enough (the headset seemed to be broken).	-	1	0.0 %
SINCE THIS SURVEY IS ALREADY LONG FOR THE RESPONDENTS,I SUGGEST TO SIMPLIFY QUESTIONS IN THE WAY THAT THEY CAN UNDERSTAND. SUGGEST TO SAY IN THE QUESTION " DO YOU AGREE OR DISAGREE? ( THEN INTERVIEWERS CAN ASK " SOMEWHAT AGREE OR STRONGLY AGREE	-	1	0.0 %
SOME OFF THE QUESTIONS ARE JUST TOO LENGTHY FOR SUCH ALONG SURVEY SHORTEN THE QUESTIONS SEQUENCE SO THAT THE SURVEY DONT SEEM SO LONG	-	1	0.0 %
SYSTEM IS SLOW	-	1	0.0 %
Same questions are repeated rating intelligence of people with different race.	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
She would answer, " it depends on the person and not the whole race/ethnicity" and in a sense it would be marked as "Depends" or "No Opinion" but I just marked them as "Don't Know". I hope that is the right coding. Thanks.	-	1	0.0 %
THIS was suspended yesterday but was recovered this evening	-	1	0.0 %
That last question (about percentages) gets a bit confusing for people, as phrased.	-	1	0.0 %
The lady did not want to answer a number of questions, refused. Most of the time she was fine answering, suddenly when it came to some personal information she got agitated and wanted to talk to the supervisor. She questioned who is conducting t	-	1	0.0 %
The question regarding discrimination against gays is confusing for some respondents. The word against is used twice in the same sentence. Simplification would be greatly appreciated.	-	1	0.0 %
The respondent understand one question. finally lost the connection	-	1	0.0 %
The system is slow	-	1	0.0 %
The wording of intro question for "what is important to your identity" section is awkward.	-	1	0.0 %
WHEN IT COMES TO THE QUESTION ; " KOREANS, JAPANESE, INDIAN...LIKELY TO BE ASIAN OR ASIAN AMERICAN?" I HAD A COUPLE OF PEOPLE WHO ASKED IF THEY ARE THE ONES IN THE U.S. OR IN THE WORLD IN GENERAL. PLEASE SPECIFY SO THEY CAN ANSWER INSTEAD OF RE	-	1	0.0 %
When asking about protections for the LGBTQ the question sounds like a double negative. i.e.: "Are you for or against protections against discrimination for gays..." There's the term "against" twice in rapid succession that seems to confuse re	-	1	0.0 %
awkward wording for 1 or 2 of the questions	-	1	0.0 %
background very noisy	-	1	0.0 %
did not want to give the neames	-	1	0.0 %
difficulty of understandsing	-	1	0.0 %
she was a pacific islander but much less than white: in the beginning she was very antagonistic because she felt that she was much more johovahs witness than mormon.	-	1	0.0 %
she was attending to her husband while she is answering the resesaarch questions	-	1	0.0 %
timed out	-	1	0.0 %
translation is spanish is not very clear	-	1	0.0 %
wording is a bit awkward for INTRO before going into individual "how important is it to your identity" questions	-	1	0.0 %
yes becaused he insisted that he to suspend interview because of the number of guests who jus visited him, However he agree that I calle back. I did and he agreed and completed the survey in 56 minutess.	-	1	0.0 %
yes he was hurrying up because of a relative funeral	-	1	0.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,448</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6,448 valid cases out of 6,448 total cases.

Location: 1509-1752 (width: 244; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character