

Market-Neutral Quantitative Equity Model

Complete Strategy & Model Documentation

1. Project Objective

The objective of this project is to design and evaluate a **robust, market-neutral quantitative trading system** using Indian equities, combining **classical quantitative finance techniques** with **machine learning**, while maintaining strict controls against overfitting and look-ahead bias.

The focus is on:

- Signal diversification
 - Risk-adjusted performance
 - Out-of-sample robustness
 - Explainability of results
-

2. Asset Universe (Explicit Details)

2.1 Market

- Exchange: **NSE (India)**
- Index reference: **NIFTY 50**

2.2 Stocks Used

The strategy uses a **fixed subset of large-cap NIFTY stocks** to ensure liquidity and stability:

RELIANCE.NS

TCS.NS

INFY.NS

HDFCBANK.NS

ICICIBANK.NS

SBIN.NS

KOTAKBANK.NS

AXISBANK.NS

LT.NS

ITC.NS

HINDUNILVR.NS

BHARTIARTL.NS

ASIANPAINT.NS

HCLTECH.NS

MARUTI.NS

TITAN.NS

SUNPHARMA.NS

Reasons for selection:

- High liquidity
- Low impact costs (assumed)
- Sector diversification
- Continuous trading history since 2009

The universe is **static** to avoid survivorship bias.

3. Data Specifications

3.1 Data Source

- Provider: **Yahoo Finance**
- Access method: **yfinance** Python library

3.2 Data Type

- Adjusted Close prices
- Daily frequency

3.3 Date Ranges

- Full data range: **2009–2025**
- Training period: **2009–2020**
- Out-of-sample testing: **2021–2025**

3.4 Corporate Actions

- Prices are **auto-adjusted**
 - Dividends and splits are implicitly included
-

4. Strategy Architecture (High-Level)

The system consists of:

1. **Four independent alpha generators**
 2. **Alpha blending module**
 3. **Market-neutral portfolio constructor**
 4. **Volatility-parity position sizing**
 5. **Risk management layer**
 6. **Backtesting and evaluation engine**
 7. **Monte Carlo stress testing framework**
-

5. Alpha Signals (Detailed)

5.1 Mean Reversion Alpha

Idea:

Short-term price extremes tend to revert toward recent averages.

Construction:

- Rolling window: 20 trading days

Z-score:

$$Z = (\text{Price} - \text{MA20}) / \text{Std20}$$

-

Alpha signal:

$$\text{Alpha} = -Z$$

-

Role in portfolio:

Capturing short-term liquidity-driven mispricing.

5.2 Momentum Alpha

Idea:

Medium-term price trends persist due to behavioral and institutional effects.

Construction:

- Lookback: 126 trading days (~6 months)

Signal:

$\text{Momentum} = \max(0, \text{return_6m})$

-

Role in portfolio:

Providing trend-following exposure during directional regimes.

5.3 Statistical Arbitrage (Pairs Trading) Alpha

Idea:

Cointegrated stocks exhibit mean-reverting relative price behavior.

Construction:

- Cointegration test: Engle–Granger
- Significance threshold: $p < 0.05$

Spread z-score:

$\text{Spread} = \text{Price_A} - \text{Price_B}$

$\text{Z_spread} = (\text{Spread} - \mu) / \sigma$

-

Trading logic:

- Long undervalued stock

- Short overvalued stock

Role in portfolio:

Delivering market-neutral relative-value returns.

5.4 Machine Learning Alpha

Model Used:

- **XGBoost Regressor**

Why XGBoost:

- Handles nonlinear interactions
- Robust to noisy financial data
- Strong performance on tabular datasets

Features:

- Mean reversion signal
- Momentum signal
- Volatility (ATR)

Target Variable:

- 21-day forward return

Training Methodology:

- Strict temporal split
- No leakage from test period
- Feature standardization using `StandardScaler`

Role in portfolio:

Enhancing alpha timing and regime sensitivity.

6. Alpha Blending (Exact Formula)

The four alpha signals are combined using **fixed weights**:

Composite Alpha =

$0.30 \times \text{Mean Reversion}$

$0.25 \times \text{Momentum}$

$0.25 \times \text{Statistical Arbitrage}$

$0.20 \times \text{Machine Learning}$

Stocks are ranked cross-sectionally on each rebalance date.

7. Portfolio Construction

7.1 Long–Short Structure

- Top 8 stocks → Long
- Bottom 8 stocks → Short
- Approximate dollar neutrality

7.2 Rebalancing

- Frequency: Every **21 trading days**
 - Positions fully closed and rebuilt
-

8. Position Sizing & Risk Management

8.1 Volatility-Parity Sizing

- Volatility measured using **ATR (14-day)**
- Position size inversely proportional to ATR
- Equal risk contribution per position

8.2 Stop Loss

Initial stop based on:

$$\text{Stop} = \text{Entry} \pm 1.3 \times \text{ATR}$$

-

8.3 Trailing Stop

- Dynamically adjusted as price moves favorably
- Protects gains while limiting downside

9. Backtesting Assumptions

- No transaction costs (explicitly acknowledged)
- No slippage
- Immediate execution at close price
- Unlimited borrowing for short positions (simplification)

These assumptions are standard for **research-stage backtests**.

10. Performance Metrics

Metrics evaluated:

- CAGR
 - Sharpe Ratio
 - Maximum Drawdown
 - Equity curve behavior
-

11. Monte Carlo Stress Testing

Purpose

To evaluate robustness against return sequencing risk.

Method

- Bootstrap resampling of daily returns
- 1000 simulated equity paths

Outputs

- Worst 5% CAGR
 - Median CAGR
-

12. Final Out-of-Sample Results

Final Capital: ₹1,755,306

CAGR: 12.63%

Sharpe Ratio: 0.70

Max Drawdown: -23%

Monte Carlo:

Worst 5% CAGR: -3.0%

Median CAGR: 12.1%

13. Interpretation of Results

- The system generates **consistent alpha**
- Risk-adjusted performance is limited by regime dependence
- Monte Carlo results indicate **controlled tail risk**
- Drawdowns are explainable and non-catastrophic

14. Limitations

- Fixed alpha weights
- No transaction cost modeling
- No regime classifier
- Daily data only (no intraday execution)

15. Future Enhancements

- Dynamic alpha weighting
 - Regime classification
 - Transaction cost modeling
 - Intraday extensions
 - Options-implied volatility features
-

16. Conclusion

This project demonstrates a **full-stack quantitative trading system**, covering:

- Signal design
- Machine learning integration
- Risk management
- Backtesting discipline
- Stress testing

17. Images

