

# Invariance of the hyperKähler metric

Shing Tak Lam

August 9, 2023

In this note, we will investigate the invariance of the hyperKähler metric from [1]. We will use the same notation as in section 2.

Let  $g$  denote the Riemannian metric, that is,

$$g((\alpha_j, \beta_j), (\tilde{\alpha}_j, \tilde{\beta}_j)) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \left( \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{tr}(\alpha_j^* \tilde{\alpha}_j) + \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{tr}(\beta_j^* \tilde{\beta}_j) \right)$$

Therefore, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_J((\alpha_j, \beta_j), (\tilde{\alpha}_j, \tilde{\beta}_j)) &= g(J(\alpha_j, \beta_j), (\tilde{\alpha}_j, \tilde{\beta}_j)) \\ &= g((- \beta_j^*, \alpha_j^*), (\tilde{\alpha}_j, \tilde{\beta}_j)) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \left( \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{tr}(-\beta_j \tilde{\alpha}_j) + \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{tr}(\alpha_j \tilde{\beta}_j) \right) \end{aligned}$$

We also have a  $\operatorname{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$  action on  $M$ , given by

$$\psi_\gamma(\alpha_j, \beta_j) = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{k-2}, \gamma \alpha_{k-1}, \beta_0, \dots, \beta_{k-2}, \beta_{k-1} \gamma^{-1})$$

$\psi_\gamma : M \rightarrow M$  is linear, therefore the derivative is itself. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_\gamma^* \omega_J((\alpha_j, \beta_j), (\tilde{\alpha}_j, \tilde{\beta}_j)) &= \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} \left( \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{tr}(-\beta_j \tilde{\alpha}_j) + \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{tr}(\alpha_j \tilde{\beta}_j) \right) + \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{tr}(-\beta_{k-1} \gamma^{-1} \gamma \tilde{\alpha}_{k-1}) + \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{tr}(\gamma \alpha_{k-1} \tilde{\beta}_{k-1} \gamma^{-1}) \\ &= \omega_J((\alpha_j, \beta_j), (\tilde{\alpha}_j, \tilde{\beta}_j)) \end{aligned}$$

using the fact that trace is conjugation invariant. Similarly,  $\psi_\gamma^* \omega_K = \omega_K$  as trace is  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear, and so the Re becomes  $-\operatorname{Im}$ .

Define  $\omega_c = \omega_J + i\omega_K$  for the complex symplectic form on  $M$ . Let  $\Phi = \Phi^c \circ \pi : \mu_c^{-1}(0) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  be defined by

$$\Phi(\alpha_j, \beta_j) = \alpha_{k-1} \beta_{k-1}$$

Let  $N$  be the image of  $\Phi$ . Using the results from [1],  $N$  has a hyperKähler metric  $\tilde{\omega}_c$ , satisfying

$$\Phi^* \tilde{\omega}_c = i^* \omega_c$$

where  $i : \mu_c^{-1}(0) \rightarrow M$  is the inclusion. Since  $\Phi : \mu_c^{-1}(0) \rightarrow N$  is a surjective submersion, this completely determines  $\tilde{\omega}_c$ . We would like to show  $(\operatorname{Ad}_\gamma)^* \tilde{\omega}_c = \tilde{\omega}_c$  for all  $\gamma \in \operatorname{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ . As

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mu_c^{-1}(0) & \xrightarrow{\psi_\gamma} & \mu_c^{-1}(0) \\ \downarrow \Phi & & \downarrow \Phi \\ \operatorname{Orb}(A) & \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Ad}_\gamma} & \operatorname{Orb}(A) \end{array}$$

commutes,

$$\Phi^*(\text{Ad}_\gamma)^*\tilde{\omega}_c = \psi_\gamma^*\Phi^*\tilde{\omega}_c = \psi_\gamma^*i^*\omega_c = i^*\omega_c = \Phi^*\tilde{\omega}_c$$

Therefore, we have that  $\tilde{\omega}_c$  is invariant under the  $\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$  action.

Moreover, the Riemannian metric is invariant under the action of the compact subgroup  $\text{SU}(n)$ . To see this,

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_\gamma^*g((\alpha_j, \beta_j), (\tilde{\alpha}_j, \tilde{\beta}_j)) &= \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} \left( \text{Re tr}(\alpha_j^* \tilde{\alpha}_j) + \text{Re tr}(\beta_j^* \tilde{\beta}_j) \right) + \text{Re tr}(\alpha_j \gamma^* \gamma \tilde{\alpha}_j) + \text{Re tr}(\gamma \beta_j^* \tilde{\beta}_j \gamma^*) \\ &= g((\alpha_j, \beta_j), (\tilde{\alpha}_j, \tilde{\beta}_j))\end{aligned}$$

## References

- [1] Piotr Z. Kobak and Andrew Swann. "Classical nilpotent orbits as hyperkähler quotients". In: *Int. J. Math.* 07.02 (Apr. 1996). Publisher: World Scientific Publishing Co., pp. 193–210. ISSN: 0129-167X. DOI: 10.1142/S0129167X96000116. URL: <https://www.worldscientific.com/doi/10.1142/S0129167X96000116> (visited on 07/27/2023).