Kähler structures on coadjoint orbits of SU(n) and SL($n, \mathbb{C}$)

Shing Tak Lam

August 7, 2023

In this note, we will consider coadjoint orbits of SU(n) and SL($n, \mathbb{C}$), and show that they are Kähler manifolds.

Contents

1 Adjoint and Coadjoint Representations

Define the Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{su}(n) = \{X \in \mathrm{Mat}(n, \mathbb{C}) \mid X^* + X = 0, \mathrm{tr}(X) = 0\} \tag{1}$$

where X^* is the conjugate transpose of X, and with the Lie bracket being the matrix commutator. We can define the adjoint representation of $SU(n)$ as

$$\mathrm{Ad} : SU(n) \to GL(\mathfrak{su}(n))$$
$$\mathrm{Ad}_a(X) = aXa^{-1}$$

Taking the dual representation, we get the coadjoint representation, which is

$$\mathrm{Ad}^* : SU(n) \to GL(\mathfrak{su}(n)^*)$$
$$\mathrm{Ad}^*_a(\beta)(X) = \langle \beta, \mathrm{Ad}_{a^{-1}}(X) \rangle$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is used here to denote the pairing $\mathfrak{su}(n)^* \times \mathfrak{su}(n) \to \mathbb{R}$. The definition above is used to ensure that Ad^* is a group homomorphism, i.e.

$$\mathrm{Ad}^*_{ab} = \mathrm{Ad}^*_a \circ \mathrm{Ad}^*_b$$

whereas if we simply take the dual map, we would get $(\mathrm{Ad}_{ab})^* = (\mathrm{Ad}_b)^* \circ (\mathrm{Ad}_a)^*$.

We will use the same notation for the inner product on $\mathfrak{su}(n)$, which should not be an issue as the inner product defines a natural isomorphism. Now note that $-\kappa$, where κ is the Killing form, defines an inner product

$$\langle X, Y \rangle = -\mathrm{tr}(XY) = \mathrm{tr}(XY^*)$$

on $\mathfrak{su}(n)$[1], which means that we have a natural isomorphism

$$\Phi : \mathfrak{su}(n) \to \mathfrak{su}(n)^*$$
$$X \mapsto \langle X, \cdot \rangle$$

With this, suppose $\beta = \Phi(B)$, then

$$\mathrm{Ad}^*_a(\beta)(X) = \langle B, \mathrm{Ad}_{a^{-1}}(X) \rangle = -\mathrm{tr}\big(Ba^{-1}Xa\big) = -\mathrm{tr}\big(aBa^{-1}X\big) = \Phi(\mathrm{Ad}_a(B))(X)$$

Therefore, the following diagram commutes

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathfrak{su}(n) & \xrightarrow{\ \mathrm{Ad}_a\ } & \mathfrak{su}(n) \\
\Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \Phi} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \Phi} \\
\mathfrak{su}(n)^* & \xrightarrow{\ \mathrm{Ad}^*_a\ } & \mathfrak{su}(n)^*
\end{array}
$$

or equivalently, Φ defines an isomorphism of representations between Ad and Ad^*. This means that in the remainder of this note, we will focus on the adjoint representation instead.

If we differentiate Ad at the identity, we get the representation

$$\mathrm{ad} : \mathfrak{su}(n) \to \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{su}(n))$$
$$\mathrm{ad}_X(Y) = [X, Y]$$

Some properties of Ad, ad and the inner product which we will need are:

- Ad_g is an isometry, that is, for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{su}(n)$,

$$\big\langle \mathrm{Ad}_g(X), \mathrm{Ad}_g(Y) \big\rangle = \langle X, Y \rangle$$

- The Jacobi idenity: if $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{su}(n)$, then

$$[X, [Y, Z]] + [Y, [X, Z]] + [Z, [X, Y]] = 0$$

- Associativity: for $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{su}(n)$, $\langle X, [Y, Z] \rangle = \langle [X, Y], Z \rangle$.

---

[1]In fact, $\langle A, B \rangle = \mathrm{tr}(AB^*)$ defines a Hermitian inner product on the space of complex matrices.

2 Kähler manifolds

Let M be a manifold. In this section, we will define a Kähler structure on M.

Throughout, if V, W are vector spaces,

1. $V \otimes W$ is the tensor product of V with W,

2. $vw := v \otimes w + w \otimes v$ is the symmetric product of v and w, $S^2 V$ is the subspace of $V \otimes V$ spanned by $\{vw \mid v, w \in V\}$,

3. $v \wedge w := v \otimes w - w \otimes v$ is the exterior product of v and w, $\Lambda^2 V$ is the subspace of $V \otimes V$ spanned by $\{v \wedge w \mid v, w \in V\}$,

4. $V^* \otimes W^*$ defines a bilinear form on $V \times W$, via

$$(\alpha \otimes \beta)(v, w) = \alpha(v)\beta(w)$$

In particular, $S^2 V^*$ is the space of symmetric bilinear forms, $\Lambda^2 V^*$ is the space of alternating bilinear forms.

2.1 Cotangent space, forms and exterior derivative

Let $p \in M$ be a point, $x_1, \dots, x_n$ be local coordinates near p. Then we have the tangent space $T_p M$, which has basis

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}$$

In particular, if ϕ is a parametrisation of M with local coordinates $x_1, \dots, x_n$, then we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} := \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_j}$$

Definition 2.1 (cotangent space)

The contangent space of M at p is $T_p^* M$, which is the dual space to $T_p M$. We will write dx^j for the dual basis to $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$.

Definition 2.2 (k-form)

A 1-form α on M is a smooth field of cotangent vectors. That is, for each $p \in M$, we have $\alpha_p \in T_p M^*$. More generally, a k-form α has $\alpha_p \in \Lambda^k T_p^* M$.

If α is a 1 form, V is a vector field on M, then we define the smooth function $\alpha(V) : M \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$(\alpha(V))(p) = \alpha_p(V_p)$$

and we can make a similar definition with k-forms and k vector fields.

2.2 Exterior derivative

In terms of local coordinates, a 1-form α can be written as

$$\alpha_p = \sum_{j=1}^{n} f_j(p) dx_j \tag{2}$$

where $f_j : M \to \mathbb{R}$ are smooth. In the remainder of this subsection, we will define objects in terms of local coordinates. We will omit the proofs that these are independent of the choice of coordinates.

Let $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth map. Then the exterior derivative of f is the 1-form df, given in local coordinates by

$$(\mathrm{d}f)_p = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \mathrm{d}x_j$$

Suppose X is a vector field. Then we write $X(f) := \mathrm{d}f(X)$ for the smooth function $M \to \mathbb{R}$. If α is a 1-form as in eq. (2), then $\mathrm{d}\alpha$ is the 2-form defined by

$$(\mathrm{d}\alpha)_p = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x_k} \mathrm{d}x_k \wedge \mathrm{d}x_j$$

We will also need the exterior derivative of a 2-form, which is defined similarly to the above, but we will not need it explicitly.

Definition 2.3 (Lie bracket of vector fields)

Suppose we have vector fields V, W, which is given by

$$[V, W](f) = V(W(f)) - W(V(f))$$

for all $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ smooth.

We will only need this definition for the following result.

Lemma 2.4. Let α be a 2 form on M, U, V, W vector fields on M. Then at all $p \in M$,

$$\mathrm{d}\alpha(U, V, W) = U(\alpha(V, W)) - V(\alpha(U, W)) + W(\alpha(U, V))$$
$$- \alpha([U, V], W) + \alpha([U, W], V) - \alpha([V, W], U)$$

2.3 Riemannian metric and symplectic form

Definition 2.5 (Riemannian metric)

A Riemannian metric g on M is given on each $\mathrm{T}_p M$ by a positive definite symmetric bilinear form

$$g_p \in S^2 \mathrm{T}_p^* M$$

Definition 2.6 (Symplectic form)

A symplectic form ω on M is a non-degenerate 2-form, i.e. on each $\mathrm{T}_p M$, we have a non-degenerate alternating bilinear form

$$\omega_p \in \Lambda^2 \mathrm{T}_p^* M$$

Moreover, we require that ω is closed, i.e. $\mathrm{d}\omega = 0$.

2.4 Complex structure

Now suppose in addition that M is even dimensional, that we have local coordinates $x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n$, such that if we have a change of coordinates

$$(\widetilde{x}_j, \widetilde{y}_j) = F(x_j, y_j)$$

Then F is a holomorphic function in terms of the complex coordinates

$$z_j = x_j + i y_j \qquad \widetilde{z}_j = \widetilde{x}_j + i \widetilde{y}_j$$

Equivalently, the change of coordinates satisfies the Cauchy–Riemann equations

$$\frac{\partial \widetilde{x}_j}{\partial x_k} = \frac{\partial \widetilde{y}_j}{\partial y_k} \qquad \frac{\partial \widetilde{x}_j}{\partial y_k} = -\frac{\partial \widetilde{y}_j}{\partial x_k}$$

for all $j, k = 1, \ldots, n$. In this case, we call M a *complex manifold*, and z_j the local complex coordinates.

Definition 2.7 (almost complex structure)

The almost complex structure J is for each $p \in M$, a linear map $J_p : T_p M \to T_p M$ for each $p \in M$, sending

$$J_p \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \qquad J_p \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \right) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$$

In fact, J is independent of the choice of local coordinates.

2.5 Kähler structure

Definition 2.8 (Kähler manifold)

A Kähler manifold M is a complex manifold, with a Riemannian metric g, symplectic form ω and almost complex structure J, such that

$$\omega_p(u, v) = g_p(J_p(u), v)$$

for all $p \in M, u, v \in T_p M$.

3 Quotient manifolds

Let $G \subseteq \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ be a matrix Lie group, P a closed Lie subgroup. We would like to show that G/P, with the quotient topology, is a manifold.

Choose local coordinates $x_1, \ldots, x_k$ for P near I, and extend this to local coordinates $x_1, \ldots, x_k, y_1, \ldots, y_\ell$ for G near I. Let $Y = \{x_1 = \cdots = x_k = 0\}$. Then Y is a submanifold of G, with local coordinates $y_1, \ldots, y_\ell$. We will show that there exists a neighbourhood V of I in Y, such that $VP = \{vP \mid v \in V\}$ is open in G.

For this, consider the map

$$m : Y \times P \to G$$
$$m(y, p) = yp$$

Then

$$\mathrm{d}m_{(I,I)}(X, Y) = (Y, X)$$

where we use the isomorphism

$$T_I G = T_I P \oplus T_I Y$$

Hence by the inverse function theorem, we have an open neighbourhood $V \times W$ of (I, I) in $Y \times P$, such that m is a diffeomorphism onto its image. But then this means that

$$VP = \bigcup_{p \in P} m(V \times W)p$$

is an open subset of G.

Let $\pi : G \to G/P$ denote the quotient map. Let $\widetilde{V} = \pi(V)$. Then $\widetilde{V}$ is open, and $\pi : V \to \widetilde{V}$ is a homeomorphism. In particular, this means that $y_1, \ldots, y_\ell$ induce local coordinates on G/P on $\widetilde{V} \ni P$.

Next, notice that G acts on G/P by left multiplication, i.e. $h \cdot (gP) = hgP$. The G action is by homeomor–phisms, therefore by left translation we can define local coordinates on G/P on $g\widetilde{V} \ni gP$. We need to show that the transition maps are smooth.

We can assume without loss of generality that one of the charts is $\widetilde{V}$. Let $g \in G$, and suppose $g\widetilde{V} \cap \widetilde{V}$ is nonempty. But in this case, by the definition of the charts, we have that the transition map is the same as the local coordinate representation of left multiplication by g, which is smooth. An immediate result from the definition of the charts is

> **Proposition 3.1.** The action of G on G/P by left multiplication is by diffeomorphisms.

3.1 Matrix Lie Groups

Moreover, suppose $\mathfrak{g}$ is the Lie algebra of G, $\mathfrak{p}$ the Lie algebra of P. Choose a vector space complement Z of $\mathfrak{p}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$, i.e. $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p} \oplus Z$. Then

$$\exp : \mathfrak{g} \to G$$
$$X \mapsto \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{X^k}{k!}$$

is a diffeomorphism between neighbourhoods of $0 \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $I \in G$. By the above ,this means that $\pi \circ \exp : Z \to G/P$ defines local coordinates on G/P near P.

3.2 Orbits

Now suppose G acts on a manifold M by diffeomorphisms, such that $\mathrm{Stab}(m)$ is a Lie subgroup of G. Then by the orbit stabiliser theorem, we have a bijection

$$\mathrm{Orb}(m) \leftrightarrow G/\mathrm{Stab}(m)$$

Let $\alpha(g) = g \cdot m$ be the map $G \to M$ from the G action, and $\widetilde{\alpha} : G/\mathrm{Stab}(m) \to M$ the induced map. We would like to show $\ker(\mathrm{d}\alpha_I) = \mathfrak{s}$, where $\mathfrak{s}$ is the Lie algebra for $\mathrm{Stab}(m)$. This would then imply that $\widetilde{\alpha}$ is an immersion. $\mathfrak{s} \subseteq \ker(\mathrm{d}\alpha_I)$ is clear, since $\alpha|_{\mathrm{Stab}(m)}$ is constant.

Now suppose $X \in \ker(\mathrm{d}\alpha_I)$. Consider $f(t) = \alpha(\exp(tX))$. Then $\mathrm{d}f_0 = \mathrm{d}\alpha_I(X) = 0$, and so $f(t) = m$ for all t, i.e. $\exp(tX) \in \mathrm{Stab}(m)$ for all m. So $X \in \mathfrak{s}$. As $\widetilde{\alpha}$ is also a bijection, it must then be a diffeomorphism onto its image.

Using the same notation as in section 3.1, this means that we have a (local) parametrisation of the orbits, given by

$$\widetilde{\alpha} \circ \pi \circ \exp = \alpha \circ \exp : Z \to \mathrm{Orb}(m) \tag{3}$$

4 Root decomposition

In this section, we will consider the root decomposition of $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ and use this to derive the root decomposition of $\mathfrak{su}(n)$. Humphreys [3, §8] contains this, and much more.

Consider the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ of trace free $n \times n$ complex matrices. Then we have the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{t}$ of diagonal matrices. Let E_{ij} be the standard basis matrices for $\mathrm{Mat}(n, \mathbb{C})$, $B \in \mathfrak{t}$. Say

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & b_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Then $[B, E_{ij}] = (b_i - b_j)E_{ij}$. This means that we have the eigendecomposition

$$\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C}) = \mathfrak{t} \oplus \bigoplus_{1 \leq i,j \leq n, i \neq j} \mathbb{C}E_{ij} \tag{4}$$

In particular, if we restrict this to the subalgebra $\mathfrak{su}(n)$, we get the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{su}(n) = \widetilde{\mathfrak{t}} \oplus \bigoplus_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left(\mathbb{R}(E_{ij} - E_{ji}) \oplus i\mathbb{R}(E_{ij} + E_{ji}) \right) \tag{5}$$

where $\widetilde{\mathfrak{t}} = \mathfrak{t} \cap \mathfrak{su}(n)$ is the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{su}(n)$ of diagonal matrices.

5 Tangent space and Diagonalisation

5.1 Diagonalisation and Stabilisers of the adjoint action

First of all, we note that elements of $\mathfrak{su}(n)$ are skew–hermitian, hence diagonalisable by an element of $SU(n)$[2]. With this, we can classify the coadjoint orbits based off a diagonal element in the orbit. Consider

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} i\lambda_1 I_{m_1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & i\lambda_k I_{m_k} \end{pmatrix}$$

where I_m is the $m \times m$ identity matrix, $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{R}$, with $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \cdots > \lambda_k$, $m_1 + \cdots + m_k = n$ and $m_1\lambda_1 + \cdots + m_k\lambda_k = 0$. In this case, by the orbit stabiliser theorem, we have a bijection

$$\mathrm{Orb}(A) \leftrightarrow SU(n)/\mathrm{Stab}(A)$$

where $\mathrm{Stab}(A)$ is the stabiliser of A under the adjoint action. In this case, we have that the stabiliser is the block diagonal subgroup

$$\mathrm{Stab}(A) = S\left(U(m_1) \times \cdots \times U(m_k)\right)$$

where we consider $U(m_1) \times \cdots \times U(m_k) \leq SU(n)$ as the block diagonal subgroup, and

$$S\left(U(m_1) \times \cdots \times U(m_k)\right) = \left(U(m_1) \times \cdots \times U(m_k)\right) \cap SU(n)$$

the subgroup with determinant 1.

5.2 Tangent space

Let M be an adjoint orbit. We will now focus on the generic case, that is, the eigenvalues of A are distinct. In this case, we have that

$$\mathrm{Stab}(A) \cong T^{n-1}$$

is the torus of diagonal matrices in $SU(n)$. In this case, the parametrisation eq. (3) is given by

$$\phi(X) = \exp(X)A\exp(X)^{-1}$$

and we find that $\mathrm{d}\phi_0(X) = XA - AX = -\mathrm{ad}_A(X)$. Using the root decomposition from eq. (5), we have that

$$\mathrm{ad}_A(\widetilde{\mathfrak{t}}) = 0 \qquad \mathrm{ad}_A(E_{ij}) = i(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)E_{ij}$$

and so, the tangent space is given by

$$T_A M = \frac{\mathfrak{su}(n)}{\widetilde{\mathfrak{t}}} = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left(\mathbb{R}(E_{ij} - E_{ji}) \oplus i\mathbb{R}(E_{ij} + E_{ji})\right) = \{\mathrm{ad}_A(X) \mid X \in \mathfrak{su}(n)\}$$

For $a \in SU(n)$, $\mathrm{Ad}_a : \mathfrak{su}(n) \to \mathfrak{su}(n)$ is a diffeomorphism. Therefore, if $B = \mathrm{Ad}_a(A)$, then

$$T_B M = \mathrm{Ad}_a(T_A M) = \{\mathrm{ad}_B(X) \mid X \in \mathfrak{su}(n)\}$$

where we use the fact that

$$\mathrm{ad}_{\mathrm{Ad}_a(A)} = \mathrm{Ad}_a \circ \mathrm{ad}_A \circ \mathrm{Ad}_{a^{-1}}$$

and that $\mathrm{Ad}_{a^{-1}}$ is a bijection.

---

[2]From standard linear algebra arguments, we know that they are $U(n)$–diagonalisable. But if PBP^{-1} is diagonal, then so is $(\lambda P)B(\lambda P)^{-1}$, and by choosing λ appropriately, $\lambda \in SU(n)$.

5.3 General case

If we do not assume the eigenvalues are distinct, then $\text{Stab}(A)$ will have Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{s} = (\mathfrak{u}(m_1) \oplus \cdot \oplus \mathfrak{u}(m_k)) \cap \mathfrak{su}(n)$$

In particular, we will still have that

$$T_A M = \frac{\mathfrak{su}(n)}{\mathfrak{s}}$$

and in general,

$$T_B M = \{\text{ad}_B(X) \mid X \in \mathfrak{su}(n)\}$$

6 Kirillov–Kostant–Souriau symplectic form

The statement here is from [4] Chapter 14, although modified to define ω on an adjoint orbit, instead of a coadjoint orbit. The proof is from [1, Section II.1.d], where we use the Jacobi identity to show that the form is closed.

Theorem 6.1 (Kirillov–Kostant–Souriau, [4, Theorem 14.4.1]). Let $M \subseteq \mathfrak{su}(n)$ be an adjoint orbit. Define the 2-form ω on M by

$$\omega_B(\text{ad}_X(B), \text{ad}_Y(B)) = -\langle B, [X, Y] \rangle = \text{tr}(B[X, Y]) \tag{6}$$

for all $B \in M, X, Y \in \mathfrak{su}(n)$. Then ω is a symplectic form on M.

From the definition, we can see that ω_B is antisymmetric, so all we need to check is that it is well defined, non-degenerate and closed.

6.1 ω is well defined

The adjoint representiation ad of $\mathfrak{su}(n)$ may have a nontrivial kernel, which means that we need to check that eq. (6) is independent of the choice of X, Y. Suppose we have $Z \in \mathfrak{su}(n)$ such that $\text{ad}_X(B) = \text{ad}_Z(B)$, i.e. $[X, B] = [Z, B]$. Then for all $Y \in \mathfrak{su}(n)$, we have that

$$\langle B, [X, Y] \rangle = \langle [B, X], Y \rangle = \langle [B, Z], Y \rangle = \langle B, [Z, Y] \rangle$$

and so ω is independent of the choice of X.

6.2 ω is non–degenerate

Now suppose $X \in \mathfrak{su}(n)$ is such that $\omega_B(\text{ad}_X(B), \text{ad}_Y(B)) = 0$ for all $Y \in \mathfrak{su}(n)$. That is,

$$\langle B, [X, Y] \rangle = 0$$

for all $Y \in \mathfrak{su}(n)$. But by associativity,

$$\langle B, [X, Y] \rangle = \langle [B, X], Y \rangle = -\langle \text{ad}_X(B), Y \rangle$$

for all $Y \in \mathfrak{su}(n)$. Therefore, we must then have that $\text{ad}_X(B) = 0$.

6.3 ω is closed

For $X \in \mathfrak{su}(n)$, we will write $X_B^\# = \text{ad}_X(B)$ for the vector field generated by X.

$$[X, Y]^{\#} = [X^{\#}, Y^{\#}]$$

Proof. To show that the two vector fields are the same, suffices to show they act on functions in the same way. Moreover, for $C \in \mathfrak{su}(n)$, we can define $f : \mathfrak{su}(n) \to \mathbb{R}$, by

$$f(B) = \langle C, B \rangle$$

In this case, $\mathrm{grad}(f) = C$. In particular, this means that we only need to check that the vector fields act the same way on functions of the above form. $f : \mathfrak{su}(n) \to \mathbb{R}$ is linear, therefore we have that

$$df_B(H) = \langle C, H \rangle$$

and so $X^{\#}(f)(B) = \langle C, [X, B] \rangle$. Moreover, the function

$$B \mapsto \langle C, [X, B] \rangle$$

is also linear, so we have that

$$d\left(X^{\#}(f)\right)_B (H) = \langle C, [X, H] \rangle$$

Combining these, we need to show

$$\langle C, [[X, Y], B] \rangle = \langle C, [[Y, X], B] \rangle - \langle C, [[X, Y], B] \rangle$$

But

$$[Y, [X, B]] - [X, [Y, B]] = [Y, [X, B]] + [X, [B, Y]] \overset{(*)}{=} -[B, [Y, X]] = [B, [X, Y]]$$

where $(*)$ follows from the Jacobi identity. $\qquad\square$

Using this, and lemma 2.4, we have that

$$d\omega_B(X^{\#}, Y^{\#}, Z^{\#}) = X^{\#}(\omega_B(Y^{\#}, Z^{\#})) - Y^{\#}(\omega_B(X^{\#}, Z^{\#})) + Z^{\#}(\omega_B(X^{\#}, Y^{\#}))$$
$$- \omega_B([X^{\#}, Y^{\#}], Z^{\#}) + \omega_B([X^{\#}, Z^{\#}], Y^{\#}) - \omega_B([Y^{\#}, Z^{\#}], X^{\#})$$

For the first line, notice that the function

$$\mathfrak{g} \to \mathbb{R}$$
$$B \mapsto \omega_B(Y^{\#}, Z^{\#}) = -\langle B, [Y, Z] \rangle$$

is linear, and so its derivative is itself. Hence this means that

$$X^{\#}(\omega_B(Y^{\#}, Z^{\#})) = -\langle X^{\#}, [Y, Z] \rangle$$
$$= -\langle [X, B], [Y, Z] \rangle$$
$$= -\langle -[B, X], [Y, Z] \rangle$$
$$= -\langle B, [-X, [Y, Z]] \rangle$$
$$= -\langle B, [[Y, Z], X] \rangle$$

For the second line, we have that

$$\omega_B([X^{\#}, Y^{\#}], Z^{\#}) = \omega_B([X, Y]^{\#}, Z^{\#}) = -\langle B, [[X, Y], Z] \rangle$$

With all of these, we get

$$d\omega_B(X^{\#}, Y^{\#}, Z^{\#}) = -\langle B, [[Y, Z], X] - [[X, Z], Y] + [[X, Y], Z] \rangle$$
$$+ \langle B, [[X, Y], Z] - [[X, Z], Y] + [[Y, Z], X] \rangle$$

Both lines are zero by the Jacobi identity, hence $d\omega_B = 0$.

7 Kähler structure

In this section, we will construct the Kähler structure on the adjoint orbits of $SU(n)$. We have already constructed the symplectic form ω in theorem 6.1. We will now construct the Riemannian metric g and the almost complex structure J.

7.1 Complex quotient

First, we note that $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$ is a complex manifold, and if P is the subgroup of upper triangular matrices, a variant of the proof in section 3 shows that $SL(n,\mathbb{C})/P$ is a complex manifold, with complex coordinates given by the exponential map.

Consider the composition $\varphi : SU(n) \to SL(n,\mathbb{C})/P$ given by the composition

$$SU(n) \lhook\joinrel\longrightarrow SL(n) \longrightarrow\joinrel\twoheadrightarrow SL(n,\mathbb{C})/P$$

Suppose $\varphi(g) = \varphi(h)$. That is, $gP = hP$. This is true if and only if there exists $p \in P$, such that $h = gp$. In this case, $p = g^{-1}h \in SU(n)$, therefore, $p \in SU(n) \cap P = T$, since $p^* = p^{-1}$ is also lower triangular. This means that φ induces a homeomorphism $\widetilde{\varphi} : SU(n)/T \to SL(n,\mathbb{C})/P$, as it is a continuous bijection from a compact space to a Hausdorff space. Moreover, this is in fact a diffeomorphism.

To see this, consider the natural embedding $\psi : SU(n) \hookrightarrow SL(n,\mathbb{C})$. The derivative at the identity gives a linear map

$$d\psi_I : \mathfrak{su}(n) \to \mathfrak{sl}(n,\mathbb{C})$$

By [5, Theorem 3.32], the following diagram commutes

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
SU(n) & \xrightarrow{\ \psi\ } & SL(n,\mathbb{C}) \\
{\scriptstyle\exp}\big\uparrow & & \big\uparrow{\scriptstyle\exp} \\
\mathfrak{su}(n) & \xrightarrow{\ d\psi_I\ } & \mathfrak{sl}(n,\mathbb{C})
\end{array}
$$

Therefore, if $\mathfrak{t}$ is the Lie algebra of T and $\mathfrak{p}$ the Lie algebra of P, then $d\psi_I$ induces a linear isomorphism $\mathfrak{su}(n)/\mathfrak{t} \to \mathfrak{sl}(n,\mathbb{C})/\mathfrak{p}$. Therefore, $\widetilde{\varphi}$ is a diffeomorphism near I. But $SU(n)$ acts on both spaces transitively by diffeomorphisms, and so $\widetilde{\varphi}$ is a diffeomorphism everywhere.

Using the above, we can get a complex structure on $SU(n)/T \cong M$.

7.2 Reduction to a diagonal element

We will now show that it suffices to show that ω and J are compatible at $A \in M$. $SU(n)$ acts transitively via left multiplication on both $SU(n)/T$ and $SL(n,\mathbb{C})/P$ by diffeomorphisms. Let ℓ_a denote the left multiplication map in both cases, and suppose $B = \mathrm{Ad}_a(A)$.

If $\widetilde{J}$ denotes the complex structure on $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$, note that $d\ell_a(\widetilde{J}v) = \widetilde{J}d\ell_a(v)$ and we have that

$$\widetilde{J}_a = d\ell_a \circ \widetilde{J}_I \circ d\ell_{a^{-1}}$$

ℓ_g descends to a biholomorphism on $SL(n,\mathbb{C})/P \cong SU(n)/T$, and so the corresponding almost complex structure $\overline{J}$ on $SU(n)/T$ is given by

$$\overline{J}_{[a]} = d\ell_a \circ \overline{J}_{[I]} \circ d\ell_{a^*} \tag{7}$$

Since the diffeomorphism $SU(n)/T \cong M$ is induced by the map $\pi(g) = \mathrm{Ad}_g(A)$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_B &= d\pi \circ \overline{J}_{[a]} \circ d\pi^{-1} \\
&= d\pi \circ d\ell_a \circ \overline{J}_{[I]} \circ d\ell_{a^*} \circ d\pi^{-1} \\
&= d\left(\pi \circ \ell_a \circ \pi^{-1}\right) \circ J_A \circ d\left(\pi \circ \ell_{a^*} \circ \pi^{-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

10

It is easy to see that $\pi \circ \ell_a = \mathrm{Ad}_a \circ \pi$. Moreover, since $\mathrm{Ad}_a : \mathfrak{su}(n) \to \mathfrak{su}(n)$ is a linear map, its restriction to M has $\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{Ad}_a = \mathrm{Ad}_a$. Therefore, we have that the almost complex structure is given by

$$J_B = \mathrm{Ad}_a \circ J_A \circ \mathrm{Ad}_{a^*}$$

We want to show that this is compatible with the Kirillov–Kostant–Souriau symplectic form.
Recall from that

$$T_B M = \mathrm{Ad}_a(T_A M)$$

Then we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_B([B, X], [B, Y]) &= -\langle B, [X, Y] \rangle \\
&= -\langle \mathrm{Ad}_a(A), \mathrm{Ad}_a([\mathrm{Ad}_{a^*}(X), \mathrm{Ad}_{a^*}(Y)]) \rangle \\
&= -\langle A, [\mathrm{Ad}_{a^*}(X), \mathrm{Ad}_{a^*}(Y)] \rangle \\
&= \omega_A([A, \mathrm{Ad}_{a^*}(X)], [A, \mathrm{Ad}_{a^*}(X)]) \\
&= \omega_A(\mathrm{Ad}_{a^*}([B, X]), \mathrm{Ad}_{a^*}([B, Y]))
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, ω_B is Ad-invariant, and thus compatible with J. The Riemannian metric is given by

$$g_B(X, Y) = g_A(\mathrm{Ad}_{a^*}(X), \mathrm{Ad}_{a^*}(Y))$$

7.3   At a diagonal element

Recall from section 5 that the stabiliser of A is the torus $T \cong T^{n-1}$ of diagonal matrices in $\mathrm{SU}(n)$.

$$T_A M = \bigoplus_{1 \le i < j \le n} \left(\mathbb{R}(E_{ij} - E_{ji}) \oplus i\mathbb{R}(E_{ij} + E_{ji}) \right) \cong \frac{\mathfrak{su}(n)}{\widetilde{\mathfrak{t}}} \cong T_{[I]}\left(\frac{\mathrm{SU}(n)}{T^{n-1}} \right)$$

where the isomorphism is induced by the quotient map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi : \mathrm{SU}(n) &\to M \\
a &\mapsto \mathrm{Ad}_a(A) = aAa^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $e_{ij} = E_{ij} - E_{ji}$ and $f_{ij} = i(E_{ij} + E_{ji})$. We will show that with respect to this basis, ω_A is block diagonal.

Proposition 7.1.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_A(e_{ij}, e_{kl}) &= 0 \\
\omega_A(f_{ij}, f_{kl}) &= 0 \\
\omega_A(e_{ij}, f_{ij}) &= \frac{2}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} \\
\omega_A(e_{ij}, f_{kl}) &= 0 \text{ for } (i, j) \ne (k, l)
\end{aligned}
$$

One can show that the Lie algebra of P is the Lie algebra of upper triangular matrices, with trace zero

$$\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C}) \cap \bigoplus_{i \le j} \mathbb{C}E_{ij}$$

Which gives the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C}) = \mathfrak{p} \oplus \bigoplus_{i > j} \mathbb{C}E_{ij}$$

Therefore, we have an isomorphism

$$T_{[I]}(\mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{C})/P) = \bigoplus_{i>j} \mathbb{C}E_{ij} = \bigoplus_{i>j} \left(\mathbb{R}E_{ij} \oplus i\mathbb{R}E_{ij} \right)$$

With respect to this basis, and the basis e_{ij}, f_{ij} for $T_A M$, we have that the isomorphism $\mathrm{d}\widetilde{\varphi}_{[I]}$ is given by

$$\mathrm{d}\widetilde{\varphi}(e_{ij}) = -E_{ji} \qquad \mathrm{d}\widetilde{\varphi}(f_{ij}) = iE_{ji}$$

Using this, we can define a complex structure on $T_A M$ by multiplication by $-i$[3]. That is,

$$J(e_{ij}) = f_{ij} \qquad J(f_{ij}) = -e_{ij}$$

Moreover, we can define an inner product on $T_A M$ by

$$g_A(e_{ij}, e_{ij}) = g_A(f_{ij}, f_{ij}) = \frac{2}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j}$$

and requiring e_{ij}, f_{ij} to form an orthogonal basis. This is positive definite since we required $\lambda_i > \lambda_j$ for $i < j$. Using this, we find that

$$\omega_A(e_{ij}, f_{ij}) = \frac{2}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} = g_A(f_{ij}, f_{ij}) = g_A(J_A(e_{ij}), f_{ij})$$

and that J defines an isometry.

Proof of proposition 7.1.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle A, [E_{ij}, E_{kl}] \right\rangle &= \left\langle [A, E_{ij}], E_{kl} \right\rangle \\
&= i(\lambda_i - \lambda_j) \left\langle E_{ij}, E_{kl} \right\rangle \\
&= -i(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)\delta_{jk}\,\mathrm{tr}(E_{il}) \\
&= -i(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)\delta_{jk}\delta_{il} \\
&= i(\lambda_j - \lambda_i)\delta_{il}\delta_{jk}
\end{aligned}
$$

and so,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle A, [e_{ij}, e_{kl}] \right\rangle &= \left\langle A, [E_{ij}, E_{kl}] \right\rangle - \left\langle A, [E_{ji}, E_{kl}] \right\rangle - \left\langle A, [E_{ij}, E_{lk}] \right\rangle + \left\langle A, [E_{ji}, E_{lk}] \right\rangle \\
&= i(\lambda_j - \lambda_i)\delta_{il}\delta_{jk} - i(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)\delta_{jl}\delta_{ik} - i(\lambda_j - \lambda_i)\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} + i(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)\delta_{jk}\delta_{il} \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\left\langle A, [f_{ij}, f_{kl}] \right\rangle &= \left\langle A, [E_{ij}, E_{kl}] \right\rangle + \left\langle A, [E_{ji}, E_{kl}] \right\rangle + \left\langle A, [E_{ij}, E_{lk}] \right\rangle + \left\langle A, [E_{ji}, E_{lk}] \right\rangle \\
&= i(\lambda_j - \lambda_i)\delta_{il}\delta_{jk} + i(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)\delta_{jl}\delta_{ik} + i(\lambda_j - \lambda_i)\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} + i(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)\delta_{jk}\delta_{il} \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
-i\left\langle A, [e_{ij}, f_{kl}] \right\rangle &= \left\langle A, [E_{ij}, E_{kl}] \right\rangle - \left\langle A, [E_{ji}, E_{kl}] \right\rangle + \left\langle A, [E_{ij}, E_{lk}] \right\rangle - \left\langle A, [E_{ji}, E_{lk}] \right\rangle \\
&= i(\lambda_j - \lambda_i)\delta_{il}\delta_{jk} - i(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)\delta_{jl}\delta_{ik} + i(\lambda_j - \lambda_i)\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} - i(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)\delta_{jk}\delta_{il} \\
&= 2i(\lambda_j - \lambda_i)(\delta_{il}\delta_{jk} + \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}) \\
&= 2i(\lambda_j - \lambda_i)\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last line is because we require $i < j$ and $k < l$. Finally, note that

---

[3]Throughout there were occasions where we had a choice of signs. If we chose $-\omega$ instead of ω, then the complex structure would be the one coming from multiplication by i. Similarly, if we required the eigenvalues to be decreasing, rather than increasing this would flip the signs as well.

$$\mathrm{ad}_{e_{ij}}(A) = -[A, E_{ij} + E_{ji}] = (\lambda_j - \lambda_i)f_{ij}$$
$$\mathrm{ad}_{f_{ij}}(A) = -i[A, E_{ij} - E_{ji}] = (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)e_{ij}$$

Using this, we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_A(e_{ij}, f_{ij}) &= \frac{1}{(\lambda_j - \lambda_i)^2} \omega_A(\mathrm{ad}_{e_{ij}}(A), \mathrm{ad}_{f_{ij}}(A)) \\
&= -\frac{1}{(\lambda_j - \lambda_i)^2} \left\langle A, [e_{ij}, f_{ij}] \right\rangle \\
&= -\frac{1}{(\lambda_j - \lambda_i)^2} 2(\lambda_j - \lambda_i) \\
&= -\frac{2}{\lambda_j - \lambda_i} \\
&= \frac{2}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\square$

7.4   General case

In this case, we can write

$$\mathfrak{su}(n) = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \bigoplus_{(i,j) \in S} \left(\mathbb{R}e_{ij} \oplus \mathbb{R}f_{ij} \right)$$

where $S = \left\{ (i, j) \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n, \lambda_i \neq \lambda_j \right\}$. Then the same formulae as above hold, and defines a Kähler structure on M.

8 $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ orbits

First of all, we will sketch how to modify sections 1, 4, 5 and 6 for $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ orbits.

Section 1

The same formulae can be used to define the adjoint and coadjoint representations of $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$. We will use the same notation

$$\langle A, B \rangle = -\mathrm{tr}(AB)$$

for the bilinear form $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{C}$. Note that any complex bilinear form cannot be positive definite. However, it is still nondegenerate. In fact, $(A, B) \mapsto \mathrm{Re}(\langle A, B \rangle)$ defines an inner product on $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$. Using this, we still have an isomorphism between the adjoint and coadjoint orbits.

The identities at the end of the section still hold for $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$, as we did not need the fact that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is positive definite for $\mathfrak{su}(n)$.

Section 4

In this case, since we already needed the root decomposition of $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$, no changes are necessary in this case.

Section 5

In general, elements of $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ won't be diagonalisable, and for a matrix in Jordan normal form, the stabiliser can get very complicated. Therefore, we will now focus on the case where the matrix is diagonalisable. In fact, we will assume that the matrix has distinct eigenvalues. In this case, the stabiliser of

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & z_n \end{pmatrix}$$

is the complex torus $T = T^{n-1}$ of diagonal matrices in $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$. A similar argument as in section 5.2 shows that

$$T_A M = \bigoplus_{i \neq j} \mathbb{C} E_{ij}$$

and more generally, the formula

$$T_B M = \{\mathrm{ad}_X(B) \mid X \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})\}$$

holds, even for non–diagonalisable orbits.

Section 6

The only modification we will need to make in this section is because $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is complex valued, and we used the real part to define the isomorphism $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})^*$. Therefore, the formula in theorem 6.1 becomes

$$\omega_B(\mathrm{ad}_X(B), \mathrm{ad}_Y(B)) = -\operatorname{Re} \langle B, [X, Y] \rangle$$

Note however our choice of the real part was arbitrary, and we could have chosen the imaginary part instead. In fact, we can combine the real and imaginary parts, to get

$$\widetilde{\omega}_B(\mathrm{ad}_X(B), \mathrm{ad}_Y(B)) = -\langle B, [X, Y] \rangle = \mathrm{tr}(B[X, Y])$$

which is a *complex valued* non-degenerate, closed 2-form.

8.1 Semisimple case

The first case we will consider is for semisimple orbits. That is, orbits with distinct eigenvalues. Let M be such an orbit, and fix a diagonal element

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & z_n \end{pmatrix}$$

where $z_j = x_j + iy_j$. In this case, the stabiliser will be the torus $T = T^{n-1}$ of diagonal matrices in $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$. Therefore, by the same argument as in section 5, we have that

$$T_A M = \frac{\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})}{\mathfrak{t}} = \bigoplus_{i \neq j} \mathbb{C} E_{ij}$$

For $i < j$, let $e_{ij} = E_{ij} + E_{ji}$ and $f_{ij} = E_{ij} - E_{ji}$. Then e_{ij}, f_{ij} is a $\mathbb{C}$-basis for $T_A M$. The same computation as in proposition 7.1 shows that

$$\langle A, [e_{ij}, e_{kl}] \rangle = \langle A, [f_{ij}, f_{kl}] \rangle = 0$$

and

$$\langle A, [e_{ij}, f_{kl}] \rangle = 2(z_i - z_j) \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl}$$

Moreover, if we define

$$\omega_B(\mathrm{ad}_X(B), \mathrm{ad}_Y(B)) = -\langle B, [X, Y] \rangle$$

as in theorem 6.1, then we have a complex valued, nondegenerate, closed 2-form ω on M. Set $e_{ij}^{\#} = [e_{ij}, A] = (z_j - z_i) f_{ij}$ and $f_{ij}^{\#} = [f_{ij}, A] = (z_j - z_i) e_{ij}$. Then we have that with respect to the basis $e_{ij}^{\#}, f_{ij}^{\#}$, ω is given by

$$\omega_A(e_{ij}^\#, e_{kl}^\#) = 0$$
$$\omega_A(f_{ij}^\#, f_{kl}^\#) = 0$$
$$\omega_A(e_{ij}^\#, f_{ij}^\#) = 2(z_j - z_i)$$
$$\omega_A(e_{ij}^\#, f_{kl}^\#) = 0 \text{ for } (i, j) \neq (k, l)$$

Set $\omega_J = \mathrm{Re}(\omega_A)$, $\omega_K = \mathrm{Im}(\omega_A)$. Then ω_J, ω_K are symplectic forms on M. Since ω_A above is block diagonal, so are ω_J, ω_K. Therefore, we can assume without loss of generality that $n = 2$, since we can define the complex structures and the Riemannian metric to be block diagonal. In this case, we have a basis $e := e_{12}^\#, f := f_{12}^\#$ for $T_A M$, and

$$\omega_A(e, e) = \omega_A(f, f) = 0$$
$$\omega_A(e, f) = 2(z_2 - z_1)$$

Let $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $\mathrm{Im}(e^{i\theta}(z_2 - z_1)) = 0$ and $\mathrm{Re}(e^{i\theta}(z_2 - z_1)) > 0$. Then $e, v = e^{i\theta}f$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-basis for $T_A M$, with

$$\omega_A(e, e) = \omega_A(v, v) = 0$$
$$\omega_A(e, v) = 2e^{i\theta}(z_2 - z_1)$$

Therefore, without loss of generality we may assume that $\theta = 0$, i.e. $y_1 = y_2$ and $a = 2(x_2 - x_1) > 0$. Next, note that e, ie, f, if form a $\mathbb{R}$-basis for $T_A M$, and with respect to this basis, we have that:

$$\omega_J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a \\ a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -a & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \omega_K = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -a \\ 0 & 0 & -a & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 & 0 \\ a & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Define matrices

$$I = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad K = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then we have that

1. I, J, K satisfy the quaternionic relations $I^2 = J^2 = K^2 = IJK = -1$,

2. $\omega_J = aJ$, $\omega_K = aK$

and $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & & & \\ & a & & \\ & & a & \\ & & & a \end{pmatrix}$ defines an inner product on $T_A M$, as $a > 0$.

Finally, as in section 7, $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ acts transitively on M by diffeomorphisms, preserving the complex structure I from the complex manifold structure, and the 2-form ω. In particular, the Riemannian metric g is given by

$$g_B(\mathrm{Ad}_a(X), \mathrm{Ad}_a(Y)) = g_A(X, Y)$$

where $B = \mathrm{Ad}_a(X)$.

Definition 8.1 (hyperKähler manifold)

A hyperKähler manifold M is a manifold, with

- (almost) complex structures I, J, K satisfying the quaternionic relations $I^2 = J^2 = IJK = -1$,

- a Riemannian metric g,

- symplectic forms $\omega_I, \omega_J, \omega_K$

such that $(M, g, \omega_I, I), (M, g, \omega_J, J), (M, g, \omega_K, K)$ are Kähler manifolds.

Finally, we will show that $(M, g, \omega_I, \omega_J, \omega_K)$ is a hyperKähler manifold. By [2, Page 64], it suffices to show that the forms $\omega_I, \omega_J, \omega_K$ are closed. ω_J, ω_K are symplectic forms, and so are closed. Therefore, suffices to show that ω_I is closed. Define

$$\psi : \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C}) \to M$$
$$X \mapsto \mathrm{Ad}_{\exp(X)} A = \exp(X) A \exp(-X)$$

By the chain rule, we have that

$$\mathrm{d}\psi_X(Y) = \mathrm{Ad}_{\exp(X)}(\mathrm{ad}_Y(A))$$

Recall from section 3 that if we have $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C}) = \mathfrak{t} \oplus V$, then $\psi : V \to M$ defines a parametrisation from a neighbourhood of 0 to a neighbourhood of A. But by definition of the $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ action,

$$(\omega_I)_{\exp(X)}(\mathrm{Ad}_{\exp(X)}(\mathrm{ad}_Y(A)), \mathrm{Ad}_{\exp(X)}(\mathrm{ad}_Z(A))) = (\omega_I)_A(\mathrm{ad}_Y(A), \mathrm{ad}_Z(A))$$

Therefore, in terms of the local coordinates x_{ij} coming from ψ,

$$\omega_I = \sum_{i,j,k,l} c_{ijkl} \mathrm{d}x_{ij} \wedge \mathrm{d}x_{kl}$$

where c_{ijkl} are constants. Hence $\mathrm{d}\omega_I = 0$ in a neighbourhood of A. Using the $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ action, $\mathrm{d}\omega_I = 0$ on all of M.

8.2 Diagonalisable case

In this case, we will only assume that the orbits are diagonalisable. This means that we have

$$T_A M = \bigoplus_{(i,j) \in S} \mathbb{C} E_{ij}$$

where $S = \{(i, j) \mid 1 \le i, j \le n, z_i \ne z_j\}$. With this, the same formulae hold, and define a hyperKähler structure on M.

8.3 2×2 nilpotent case

Now consider the orbit M of rank 1 matrices in $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$. That is, matrices with Jordan normal form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The stabiliser of A is

$$\mathrm{Stab}(A) = \left\{ \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \;\middle|\; \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$$

which has Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{s} = \mathbb{C} E_{12}$$

and so, we have the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathbb{C}(E_{11} - E_{22}) \oplus \mathbb{C} E_{21}$$

Set $e = E_{11} - E_{22}$ and $f = E_{21}$. Then

$$e^{\#} = \mathrm{ad}_e(A) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad f^{\#} = \mathrm{ad}_f(A) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is a basis for $T_A M$. We can define

$$\omega_A(\mathrm{ad}_X(A), \mathrm{ad}_Y(A)) = -\langle A, [X, Y]\rangle$$

as before. In particular, we have that

$$\omega_A(e^\#, e^\#) = \omega_A(f^\#, f^\#) = 0$$
$$\omega_A(e^\#, f^\#) = 2$$

Define $\omega_J = \mathrm{Re}(\omega_A)$ and $\omega_K = \mathrm{Im}(\omega_A)$. We have a real basis $e^\#, ie^\#, f^\#, if^\#$ for $T_A M$. With respect to this basis, we have that

$$\omega_J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \omega_K = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Defining the 4×4 matrices I, J, K as above, we see that $\omega_J = 2J$ and $\omega_K = 2K$. Thus, by the same reasoning as in the semisimple case, M is a hyperKähler manifold, with metric

$$g_A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

References

[1] Michèle Audin. *Torus Actions on Symplectic Manifolds*. Publisher: Birkhäuser Basel. Sept. 2004. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-0348-7960-6.

[2] N. J. Hitchin. *Monopoles, minimal surfaces and algebraic curves*. Publisher: Presses de l'Université de Montreál. 1987.

[3] James E. Humphreys. *Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory*. Publisher: Springer New York. Jan. 1973. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4612-6398-2.

[4] Jerrold E. Marsden and Tudor S. Ratiu. *Introduction to Mechanics and Symmetry*. Publisher: Springer New York. Apr. 1999. DOI: 10.1007/978-0-387-21792-5.

[5] Frank W. Warner. *Foundations of Differentiable Manifolds and Lie Groups*. Publisher: Springer New York. Oct. 1983. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4757-1799-0.