

# “Instantons and the geometry of the nilpotent variety” by Kronheimer

Shing Tak Lam

August 10, 2023

In this document, we will discuss the paper [1]. For concreteness, instead of general Lie groups and Lie algebras, we will focus on the case

$$G = \mathrm{SU}(n) \quad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(n)$$

which has complexification

$$G^c = \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \quad \mathfrak{g}^c = \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$$

## 1 Introduction

The inner product on  $\mathfrak{su}(n)$  is given by  $-\kappa$ , where  $\kappa$  is the Killing form. That is,

$$\langle A, B \rangle = -\mathrm{tr}(AB)$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : \mathfrak{su}(n) \times \mathfrak{su}(n) \times \mathfrak{su}(n) &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ \varphi(A_1, A_2, A_3) &= \sum_{j=1}^3 \langle A_j, A_j \rangle + \langle A_1, [A_2, A_3] \rangle \end{aligned}$$

We are interested in studying the gradient flow of  $\varphi$ . That is,  $A_1, A_2, A_3 : I \rightarrow \mathfrak{su}(n)$  such that

$$(\dot{A}_1, \dot{A}_2, \dot{A}_3) = -\nabla \varphi(A_1, A_2, A_3) \tag{1}$$

First of all, notice that

$$\varphi(A_1 + H_1, A_2, A_3) = \varphi(A_1, A_2, A_3) + 2 \langle H_1, A_1 \rangle + \langle H_1, [A_2, A_3] \rangle$$

and that  $\langle A_1, [A_2, A_3] \rangle = \langle A_2, [A_3, A_1] \rangle = \langle A_3, [A_1, A_2] \rangle$ . Therefore, eq. (1) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{A}_1 &= -2A_1 - [A_2, A_3] \\ \dot{A}_2 &= -2A_2 - [A_3, A_1] \\ \dot{A}_3 &= -2A_3 - [A_1, A_2] \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

The critical points of eq. (2) are triples  $(A_1, A_2, A_3)$  satisfying

$$[A_1, A_2] = -2A_3 \quad [A_2, A_3] = -A_1 \quad [A_3, A_1] = -2A_2$$

Recall that the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  has basis

$$e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix} \quad e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad e_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

satisfying the above relations. Therefore, critical points of eq. (2) correspond to Lie algebra homomorphisms  $\rho : \mathfrak{su}(2) \rightarrow \mathfrak{su}(n)$ . From this, we see that at all critical points of eq. (2),  $\varphi$  is nonnegative, and it is zero only at  $(0, 0, 0)$ .

Next, we will identify  $\mathfrak{su}(n) \times \mathfrak{su}(n) \times \mathfrak{su}(n) \cong L(\mathfrak{su}(2), \mathfrak{su}(n))$ , the space of linear maps  $\mathfrak{su}(2) \rightarrow \mathfrak{su}(n)$ , sending  $(A_1, A_2, A_3)$  to the linear map  $A$  given by  $e_i \mapsto A_i$ .

The adjoint action of  $SU(n)$  on  $\mathfrak{su}(n)$  is given by

$$\text{Ad}_g(A) = gAg^{-1}$$

and this induces an action on  $L(\mathfrak{su}(2), \mathfrak{su}(n))$  by

$$g \cdot A : e_i \mapsto gA_i g^{-1}$$

For any Lie algebra homomorphism  $\rho : \mathfrak{su}(2) \rightarrow \mathfrak{su}(n)$ , define

$$C(\rho) = \{g \cdot \rho \mid g \in SU(n)\}$$

for the critical manifold of all homomorphisms which are conjugate to  $\rho$  via the adjoint action. For Lie algebra homomorphisms  $\rho_-, \rho_+ : \mathfrak{su}(2) \rightarrow \mathfrak{su}(n)$ , define  $M(\rho_-, \rho_+)$  for the space of solutions  $A(t)$  to eq. (2), with boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} A(t) &\in C(\rho_-) \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} A(t) &= \rho_+ \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Note that we are considering parametrised trajectories, therefore there is a natural  $\mathbb{R}$ -action sending  $A(t)$  to  $A(t + c)$ .

For a Lie algebra homomorphism  $\rho : \mathfrak{su}(2) \rightarrow \mathfrak{su}(n)$ , we can extend it to a Lie algebra homomorphism  $\rho : \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ , and define

$$H = \rho \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad X = \rho \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad Y = \rho \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We will then define  $\mathcal{N}(\rho)$  for the nilpotent orbit of  $Y$  in  $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ , and the affine subspace

$$S(\rho) = Y + Z(X)$$

where  $Z(X) = \{A \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C}) \mid [A, X] = 0\}$ . Using this, we have

**Theorem 1.1.** For any pair of homomorphisms  $\rho_-, \rho_+$ , there is a diffeomorphism

$$M(\rho_-, \rho_+) \cong \mathcal{N}(\rho_-) \cap S(\rho_+)$$

If  $\rho_+ = 0$ , then  $S(\rho_+) = \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ , and in this case, we have a diffeomorphism

$$M(\rho_-, 0) \cong \mathcal{N}(\rho_-)$$

Moreover, every nilpotent orbit is  $\mathcal{N}(\rho)$  for some homomorphism  $\rho : \mathfrak{su}(2) \rightarrow \mathfrak{su}(n)$ , which means that we have a description of all nilpotent orbits in  $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ .

## 2 Complex trajectories

### 2.1 Nahm's equations

Consider the change of variables

$$T_i = e^{2t} A_i \quad s = -\frac{1}{2} e^{-2t}$$

Using this, eq. (2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dT_1}{ds} &= -[T_2, T_3] \\ \frac{dT_2}{ds} &= -[T_3, T_1] \\ \frac{dT_3}{ds} &= -[T_1, T_2]\end{aligned}$$

which are Nahm's equations.

## 2.2 Gauge group

First of all, we will extend eq. (2) by considering  $A_0, \dots, A_3 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{su}(n)$ , satisfying the equations

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{A}_1 &= -2A_1 - [A_0, A_1] - [A_2, A_3] \\ \dot{A}_2 &= -2A_2 - [A_0, A_2] + [A_1, A_3] \\ \dot{A}_3 &= -2A_3 - [A_0, A_3] - [A_1, A_2]\end{aligned}\tag{4}$$

Define the group

$$\mathcal{G} = \{g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(n)\}$$

with pointwise operations. Then  $\mathcal{G}$  acts  $A = (A_0, \dots, A_3)$  by

$$(g \cdot A)(t) = \left( g(t)A_0(t)g(t)^{-1} - \frac{dg}{dt} \cdot g(t)^{-1}, g(t)A_1(t)g(t)^{-1}, g(t)A_2(t)g(t)^{-1}, g(t)A_3(t)g(t)^{-1} \right)\tag{5}$$

For brevity, when clear, we will write this as

$$g \cdot A = (gA_0g^{-1} - \dot{g}g^{-1}, gA_1g^{-1}, gA_2g^{-1}, gA_3g^{-1})$$

Note that  $\dot{g}(t) \in T_{g(t)} \mathrm{SU}(n) = g(t)\mathfrak{su}(n)$ , and so  $\dot{g}(t)g(t)^{-1} \in g(t)\mathfrak{su}(n)g(t)^{-1} = \mathfrak{su}(n)$ . First, we will show that eq. (4) is invariant under the action eq. (5). To see this, the transformed right hand side (for the first equation) is

$$\begin{aligned}-2gA_1g^{-1} - [gA_0g^{-1} - \dot{g}g^{-1}, gA_1g^{-1}] - [gA_2g^{-1}, gA_3g^{-1}] &= g(-2A_1 - [A_0, A_1] - [A_2, A_3])g^{-1} + [\dot{g}g^{-1}, gA_1g^{-1}] \\ &= g\dot{A}_1g^{-1} + \dot{g}A_1g^{-1} - gA_1g^{-1}\dot{g}g^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

which is precisely  $\frac{d}{dt}(gA_1g^{-1})$ . Moreover, in eq. (5), we can always choose  $g$  to make  $A_0 = 0$ , by considering the linear ODE

$$\dot{g} = gA_0$$

Therefore, we don't change the problem much by considering eq. (4).

## 2.3 Complex equations

Next, we will break the symmetry in the equations, by choosing  $A_1$  to be 'special'. More precisely, we will consider  $\alpha, \beta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ , defined by

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{2}(A_0 + iA_1) \quad \beta = \frac{1}{2}(A_2 + iA_3)$$

In this case, we have the following expressions:

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha^* &= \frac{1}{2}(-A_0 + iA_1) \\
\alpha + \alpha^* &= iA_1 \\
[\alpha, \alpha^*] &= \frac{1}{2}i[A_0, A_1] \\
[\beta, \beta^*] &= \frac{1}{2}i[A_2, A_3]
\end{aligned}$$

and so the first equation in eq. (4) can be written as the *real equation*

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\alpha + \alpha^*) + 2(\alpha + \alpha^*) + 2([\alpha, \alpha^*] + [\beta, \beta^*]) = 0 \quad (6)$$

and using

$$[\alpha, \beta] = \frac{1}{4}([A_0, A_2] + [A_3, A_1]) + \frac{1}{4}i([A_0, A_3] + [A_1, A_2])$$

the second equation in eq. (4) becomes the *complex equation*

$$\frac{d\beta}{dt} + 2\beta + 2[\alpha, \beta] = 0 \quad (7)$$

As above, the real equation is invariant under the action of  $\mathcal{G}$ . But in this case, the complex equation is invariant under the action of the complex gauge group

$$\mathcal{G}^c = \{\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})\}$$

via eq. (5). In particular, the action is given by

$$g \cdot (\alpha, \beta) = \left( g\alpha g^{-1} - \frac{1}{2}\dot{g}g^{-1}, g\beta g^{-1} \right)$$

and so substituting into eq. (7), we get

$$\dot{g}\beta g^{-1} + g\dot{\beta}g^{-1} - g\beta g^{-1}\dot{g}g^{-1} + 2g\beta g^{-1} + 2g[\alpha, \beta]g^{-1} - [\dot{g}g^{-1}, g\beta g^{-1}] = g \left( \dot{\beta} + 2\beta + 2[\alpha, \beta] \right) g^{-1}$$

## 2.4 Complex trajectories

Let  $\rho_+, \rho_- : \mathfrak{su}(2) \rightarrow \mathfrak{su}(n)$  be Lie algebra homomorphisms. Extend them to Lie algebra homomorphisms  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ , and define

$$H_{\pm} = \rho_{\pm} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad X_{\pm} = \rho_{\pm} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad Y_{\pm} = \rho_{\pm} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

### Definition 2.1 (complex trajectory)

A *complex trajectory* associated to  $\rho_+, \rho_-$  is a pair of smooth functions  $\alpha, \beta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ , which satisfy the complex equation eq. (7), and the boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} 2\alpha(t) &= H_+ \\
\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} 2\alpha(t) &= gH_-g^{-1} \\
\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \beta(t) &= Y_+ \\
\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \beta(t) &= gY_-g^{-1}
\end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

for some  $g \in \mathrm{SU}(n)$ . Moreover, we require that the convergence in eq. (8) is exponential, that is,

$$\|2\alpha(t) - H_+\| < Ke^{-\eta t}$$

for some  $\eta, K > 0$  and so on.

Now define the subgroup  $\mathcal{G}_0^c$  of  $\mathcal{G}^c$  by

$$\mathcal{G}_0^c = \left\{ g \in \mathcal{G}^c \mid g \text{ bounded, } \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t) = 1 \right\}$$

Using the operator norm, which satisfies  $\|gh\| \leq \|g\|\|h\|$ , it is clear that  $\mathcal{G}_0^c$  is closed under multiplication. Therefore, all we need to show is that it is closed under inverses. One proof is as follows:

By Cayley–Hamilton, we have coefficients  $c_1(t), \dots, c_{n-1}(t)$  such that

$$g(t)^n + c_{n-1}g(t)^{n-1} + \dots + c_1(t)g(t) + 1 = 0$$

Multiplying by  $g(t)^{-1}$ , we get

$$g(t)^{-1} = -\left(g(t)^{n-1} + c_{n-1}g(t)^{n-2} + \dots + c_1(t)\right)$$

The  $c_i(t)$  are the elementary symmetric functions in the eigenvalues of  $g(t)$ , and the eigenvalues of  $g(t)$  are bounded, since any eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $g(t)$  necessarily satisfies  $|\lambda| \leq \|g(t)\|$ . Therefore, the coefficients on the right hand side are bounded. Hence by the triangle inequality, we have a bound on  $\|g(t)^{-1}\|$ .

### Definition 2.2 (equivalent)

We say that two complex trajectories  $(\alpha, \beta)$  and  $(\alpha', \beta')$  are *equivalent* if there exists  $g \in \mathcal{G}_0^c$  such that

$$(\alpha', \beta') = g \cdot (\alpha, \beta)$$

i.e. they are in the same  $\mathcal{G}_0^c$  orbit.

## 2.5 Classification of complex trajectories

First of all, note that under the  $\mathcal{G}^c$  action, we can always make  $\alpha = 0$ . In particular, we need

$$\dot{g} = 2g\alpha$$

Assuming this, the complex equation eq. (7) becomes

$$\frac{d\beta}{dt} + 2\beta = 0$$

which has solution

$$\beta(t) = e^{-2t}\beta_0$$

for some  $\beta_0$ . Therefore, the only local invariant under the  $\mathcal{G}^c$  (and  $\mathcal{G}_0^c$ ) action is the conjugacy class of  $\beta_0$ . Reversing the  $\mathcal{G}^c$  action, we find that a generic local solution is

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \frac{1}{2}g^{-1}\dot{g} \\ \beta &= e^{-2t}g^{-1}\beta_0g \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence of this, we have

**Lemma 2.3.** If  $(\alpha, \beta)$  and  $(\alpha', \beta')$  are complex trajectories which are equal outside of some compact set  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , then  $(\alpha, \beta)$  and  $(\alpha', \beta')$  are equivalent.

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we may assume  $K = [-M, M]$  for some  $M > 0$ . Using the  $\mathcal{G}^c$  action, we may assume that

$$\alpha(t) = 0 \quad \beta(t) = e^{-2t}\beta_0$$

Now let  $g \in \mathcal{G}_0^c$  be such that

$$g \cdot (\alpha', \beta') = (0, e^{-2t} \beta'_0)$$

In particular, as

$$\dot{g} = 2g\alpha'$$

$\dot{g} = 0$  for  $t \notin [-M, M]$ , and so  $g$  is constant outside of  $[-M, M]$ . Say  $g = g_-$  for  $t < -M$  and  $g = g_+$  for  $t > M$ . By the boundary condition  $g(t) \rightarrow 1$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $g_+ = 1$ . This means that for  $t > M$ ,  $\beta'(t) = e^{-2t} \beta'_0$ . But in this case  $\beta = \beta'$ , so  $\beta_0 = \beta'_0$ . Hence  $g \cdot (\alpha', \beta') = (\alpha, \beta)$ , and so they are equivalent.  $\square$

## References

- [1] P. B. Kronheimer. "Instantons and the geometry of the nilpotent variety". In: *Journal of Differential Geometry* 32.2 (Jan. 1990). Publisher: Lehigh University, pp. 473–490. ISSN: 0022-040X. doi: 10.4310/jdg/1214445316. URL: <https://projecteuclid.org/journals/journal-of-differential-geometry/volume-32/issue-2/Instantons-and-the-geometry-of-the-nilpotent-variety/10.4310/jdg/1214445316.full> (visited on 03/15/2023).