

Coadjoint Orbits of $SU(n)$

Shing Tak Lam

July 29, 2023

In this note, we will consider the coadjoint orbits of $SU(n)$, and show that they are Kähler manifolds.

Sections 1–7 is an outline of the proof, appendices A, B, C contains the details of the proof. Section 8 contains an alternative proof, which have a few holes.

Contents

1	Adjoint and Coadjoint Orbits	2
2	Tangent space	2
3	Diagonalisation	3
4	Symplectic structure	3
5	Complex structure	3
6	Local coordinates	4
7	Root decomposition	5
8	Another proof?	5
A	Kirillov-Kostant-Souriau form	6
A.1	Infinitesimal action	6
A.2	Coadjoint orbits	7
A.3	Kirillov-Kostant-Souriau symplectic form	7
A.3.1	ω is well defined	7
A.3.2	ω is non-degenerate	8
A.3.3	ω is closed	8
B	Stabilisers of the adjoint action of $SU(n)$ and $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$	10
B.1	Adjoint orbits of $SU(n)$	10
B.2	Adjoint orbits of $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$	11
B.2.1	Diagonalisable case	11
B.2.2	Jordan block	12
B.2.3	General case	12
B.3	Nilporent orbits of $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ for small n	13
C	Local coordinate computations	14
C.1	Kirillov-Konstant-Souriau form	14
C.1.1	Computation at I	14
C.1.2	Computation at any $g \in SU(n)$	15
C.2	Hermitian structure	15
C.2.1	Computation at I	15
C.3	Computation at $g \in SU(n)$	15

1 Adjoint and Coadjoint Orbits

Define the Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{su}(n) = \{A \in \text{Mat}(n, \mathbb{C}) \mid A^* + A = 0, \text{tr}(A) = 0\}$$

where A^* is the conjugate transpose of A , and with the Lie bracket being the matrix commutator. We can define the adjoint representation of $\text{SU}(n)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ad} : \text{SU}(n) &\rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathfrak{su}(n)) \\ \text{Ad}_g(X) &= gXg^\dagger \end{aligned}$$

Taking the dual representation, we get the coadjoint representation, which is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ad}^* : \text{SU}(n) &\rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathfrak{su}(n)^*) \\ \text{Ad}_g^*(\alpha)(X) &= \alpha(\text{Ad}_{g^{-1}}(X)) \end{aligned}$$

Now note that

$$\langle A, B \rangle = -\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(AB^*)$$

defines an inner product on $\mathfrak{su}(n)^1$, which means that we have a natural isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : \mathfrak{su}(n) &\rightarrow \mathfrak{su}(n)^* \\ A &\mapsto \langle A, \cdot \rangle \end{aligned}$$

With this, suppose $\alpha = \Phi(A)$, then

$$\text{Ad}_g^*(\alpha)(X) = \langle A, \text{Ad}_{g^{-1}}(X) \rangle = -\text{tr}(Ag^{-1}Xg) = -\text{tr}(gAg^{-1}X) = \Phi(\text{Ad}_g(A))(X)$$

Therefore, up to identification by Φ , the adjoint and coadjoint representations are the same.

2 Tangent space

Let \mathcal{O}^* be a coadjoint orbit. For $X \in \mathfrak{su}(n)$, consider the curve $g(t) = \exp(tX)$ in G . This has $g'(0) = X$, and we have a curve

$$\mu(t) = \text{Ad}_{g(t)}^*(\mu)$$

through $\mu \in \mathcal{O}^*$. In particular, we have that for $Y \in \mathfrak{su}(n)$,

$$\langle \mu(t), Y \rangle = \langle \mu, \text{Ad}_{g(t)^{-1}}(Y) \rangle$$

Differentiating this at $t = 0$, we get

$$\langle \mu'(0), Y \rangle = -\langle \mu, \text{ad}_X(Y) \rangle = -\langle (\text{ad}_X)^*(\mu), Y \rangle$$

That is, $\mu'(0) = -(\text{ad}_X)^*(\mu)$. Hence we have that

$$T_\mu(\mathcal{O}^*) = \{(\text{ad}_X)^*(\mu) \mid X \in \mathfrak{su}(n)\}$$

If $\alpha = \Phi(A)$, then

¹In fact, $\langle A, B \rangle = \text{tr}(AB^*)$ defines a Hermitian inner product on the space of complex matrices.

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle (\text{ad}_X)^*(\alpha), Y \rangle &= \langle \alpha, \text{ad}_X(Y) \rangle \\
&= \langle A, [X, Y] \rangle \\
&= -\text{tr}(AXY - AYX) \\
&= -\text{tr}(AXY - XAY) \\
&= \langle [A, X], Y \rangle \\
&= \langle -\text{ad}_X(A), Y \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

Hence by identification with Φ , $(\text{ad}_X)^* = -\text{ad}_X$. Thus, in this case we have the tangent space to the corresponding adjoint orbit as

$$T_A\mathcal{O} = \{\text{ad}_X(A) \mid X \in \mathfrak{su}(n)\}$$

3 Diagonalisation

Since all elements in \mathcal{O} are diagonalisable by $\text{SU}(n)$, we can choose $A \in \mathcal{O}$ to be diagonal. Moreover, the eigenvalues are pure imaginary, so we can assume

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} i\lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & i\lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$. Then define the projection map $\pi : \text{SU}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ by

$$\pi(g) = \text{Ad}_g(A) = gAg^t$$

and it is easy to check that π is a surjective submersion. In particular, if α is any form on \mathcal{O} , then α is determined by its pullback $\pi^*\alpha$.

4 Symplectic structure

For details in this section, see the appendix where we consider the general case of the coadjoint orbits of any Lie group.

The Kirillov-Kostant-Souriau form on \mathcal{O}^* is given by

$$\omega_\mu(-(\text{ad}_X)^*(\mu), -(\text{ad}_Y)^*(\mu)) = -\langle \mu, [X, Y] \rangle$$

Therefore, using the isomorphism Φ , we have a corresponding symplectic form on \mathcal{O} given by

$$\omega_B(\text{ad}_X(B), \text{ad}_Y(B)) = -\langle B, [X, Y] \rangle \quad (1)$$

Then $\pi^*\omega = d\alpha_\ell$, where $\alpha = \Phi(A)$, and α_ℓ is the left-invariant 1-form on $\text{SU}(n)$ taking value α at 1. That is,

$$\alpha_\ell(g) = ((d\ell_{g^{-1}})_g)^*(\alpha)$$

Using this, we get that $\pi^*d\omega = d\pi^*\omega = d^2\alpha_\ell = 0$, and as π is a surjective submersion, $d\omega = 0$. The facts that eq. (1) is well defined and non-degenerate are easy to check as well. See the appendix for details.

5 Complex structure

From §3, we assumed that A is diagonal. Suppose the eigenvalues have algebraic multiplicities n_1, \dots, n_k . Then we have that the stabiliser of A is the block diagonal subgroup

$$\text{Stab}(A) = \text{S}(\text{U}(n_1) \times \dots \times \text{U}(n_k))$$

and the quotient space is the flag manifold

$$\mathcal{F}(n_1, \dots, n_k) = \frac{\mathrm{SU}(n)}{\mathrm{Stab}(A)}$$

We won't discuss this any further, see the appendix for details. We will now focus on the generic case, where A has distinct eigenvalues. In this case, the stabiliser is the diagonal subgroup T , which is isomorphic to the torus $T^{n-1} = (S^1)^{n-1}$.

Let P be the subgroup of lower triangular matrices in $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$. Consider the composition $\varphi : \mathrm{SU}(n) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})/P$ given by the composition

$$\mathrm{SU}(n) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{SL}(n) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})/P$$

Suppose $\varphi(g) = \varphi(h)$. That is, $gP = hP$. This is true if and only if there exists $p \in P$, such that $h = gp$. In this case, $p = g^{-1}h \in \mathrm{SU}(n)$, therefore, $p \in \mathrm{SU}(n) \cap P = T$, since $p^\dagger = p^{-1}$ is also lower triangular. This means that φ induces a homeomorphism $\mathrm{SU}(n)/T \cong \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})/P$. The right hand side is a complex manifold ($\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$) quotiented by a complex Lie group P , so it is a complex manifold. Using the above, we can get a complex structure on $\mathrm{SU}(n)/T \cong \mathcal{O}$.

6 Local coordinates

This section is from [un_kks.pdf](#), which follows an exercise from Prof. Bryant's notes. The computations to verify these expressions can be found in [un_kks.pdf](#), and have been omitted.

let θ be the Maurer-Cartan form on $\mathrm{SU}(n)$. That is, it is the $\mathfrak{su}(n)$ -valued 1-form on $\mathrm{SU}(n)$ given by

$$\theta_g(u) = d(\ell_{g^{-1}})_g(u) \in T_e \mathrm{SU}(n) = \mathfrak{su}(n)$$

Writing $\theta = \sum_{j,k} \theta_{jk} dg^{jk}$ where (g^{jk}) are the matrix entries on $\mathrm{SU}(n)$. Then we have that

$$\pi^* \omega = i \sum_{k>j} (\lambda_j - \lambda_k) \theta_{kj} \wedge \overline{\theta_{kj}}$$

and the Hermitian metric h on \mathcal{O} is given by

$$\pi^* h = \sum_{k>j} 2(\lambda_j - \lambda_k) \theta_{kj} \overline{\theta_{kj}}$$

Using the fact that

$$T_g \mathrm{SU}(n) = g\mathfrak{su}(n)$$

and that

$$d\pi_g(h) = hAg^\dagger + gAh^\dagger$$

we get that

$$d\pi_g(h) = [B, hg^\dagger]$$

where $B = \pi(g) = gAg^\dagger$. With this, we can recover the hermitian metric, as

$$h_B([B, C], [B, D]) = \sum_{k>j} 2(\lambda_j - \lambda_k) (g^\dagger C g)_{kj} \overline{(g^\dagger D g)_{kj}}$$

With this, we can see that $\omega = -\mathrm{Im}(h)$, and that the real part $g = \mathrm{Re}(h)^2$ defines a Riemannian metric. Moreover, we can recover the almost complex structure from the symplectic form and the Riemannian metric, via

$$J = \tilde{g}^{-1} \circ \tilde{\omega}$$

where $\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{g} : T\mathrm{SU}(n) \rightarrow T^*\mathrm{SU}(n)$ are linear isomorphisms given by

²The usual notation for a Riemannian metric is g , but I've also used g as the element of $\mathrm{SU}(n)$. Hopefully it should be clear from context which one is which.

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\omega}(u)(v) &= \omega(u, v) \\ \tilde{g}(u)(v) &= g(u, v)\end{aligned}$$

This follows as we have that $g(u, v) = \omega(u, Jv)$.

7 Root decomposition

As the expressions we have above for $\pi^*\omega$ and π^*h are left invariant, suffices to consider them at A , since we can then use left translation in $SU(n)$ to get the expression at a different tangent space. This section should connect the results in the previous two sections.

Consider the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$. Then we have the Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{t} of diagonal matrices. Let E_{ij} be the standard basis matrices for $\text{Mat}(n, \mathbb{C})$, $B \in \mathfrak{t}$. Say

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & b_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Then $[B, E_{ij}] = (b_i - b_j)E_{ij}$. This means that we have the eigendecomposition

$$\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C}) = \mathfrak{t} \oplus \bigoplus_{1 \leq i, j \leq n, i \neq j} \mathbb{C}E_{ij} \quad (2)$$

In particular, if we restrict this to the subalgebra $\mathfrak{su}(n)$, we get the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{su}(n) = \tilde{\mathfrak{t}} \oplus \bigoplus_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\mathbb{R}(E_{ij} - E_{ji}) \oplus i\mathbb{R}(E_{ij} + E_{ji}))$$

where $\tilde{\mathfrak{t}} = \mathfrak{t} \cap \mathfrak{su}(n)$ is the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{su}(n)$ of diagonal matrices. In particular, we have that (assuming the eigenvalues of A are distinct)

$$T_A \mathcal{O} \cong T_{[1]} \left(\frac{SU(n)}{T^{n-1}} \right) \cong \frac{\mathfrak{su}(n)}{\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}} = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\mathbb{R}(E_{ij} - E_{ji}) \oplus i\mathbb{R}(E_{ij} + E_{ji}))$$

Then the almost complex structure is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{R}(E_{ij} - E_{ji}) &\mapsto i\mathbb{R}(E_{ij} + E_{ji}) \\ i\mathbb{R}(E_{ij} + E_{ji}) &\mapsto i\mathbb{R}(E_{ij} - E_{ji})\end{aligned}$$

is

1. The action of multiplication by i in eq. (2),
2. The complex structure linking our expressions for $\pi^*\omega$ and π^*h .

In particular, this means that the coadjoint orbits are Kähler.

8 Another proof?

This section contains claims which I have not checked. But assuming the claims are true, this should give another construction for the Kähler structure on coadjoint orbits of $SU(n)$.

The idea here is that we can make $T^*SU(n)$ into a Kähler manifold, and if we define an appropriate moment map, then we can use Kähler reduction (see appendix) to get a Kähler structure on coadjoint orbits.

First of all, any cotangent bundle T^*M has a symplectic form, called the *canonical symplectic form*

$$\omega = -d\alpha$$

where $\alpha_p = (d\pi_p)^*\xi \in T_p^*M$, $p = (x, \xi)$. In local cotangent coordinates,

$$\omega = \sum_i dx^i \wedge d\xi^i$$

See da Silva §2.3 for details.

In this case, $SU(n)$ is a Lie group, so we can left trivialise the cotangent bundle, via the map

$$\begin{aligned} T^*SU(n) &\rightarrow SU(n) \times \mathfrak{su}(n)^* \\ (g, \alpha) &\mapsto (g, ((d\ell_g)_e)^* \alpha) \end{aligned}$$

where $\ell_g(x) = gx$ is the left multiplication map on $SU(n)$. Using the isomorphism $\Phi : \mathfrak{su}(n) \rightarrow \mathfrak{su}(n)^*$ from above, we get an diffeomorphism

$$T^*SU(n) \cong SU(n) \times \mathfrak{su}(n)$$

Moreover, the polar decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} SU(n) \times \mathfrak{u}(n) &\rightarrow GL(n, \mathbb{C}) \\ (U, X) &\mapsto U \exp(X) \end{aligned}$$

Gives a diffeomorphism $SU(n) \times \mathfrak{su}(n) \cong SL(n, \mathbb{C})$. Therefore, we also have a complex structure on $T^*SU(n)$.

Unchecked claim: This is compatible with the symplectic structure, making $T^*SU(n)$ into a Kähler manifold.

Assuming the claim, let $SU(n)$ act on $SU(n) \times \mathfrak{su}(n)^*$ by

$$g \cdot (h, \xi) = (h, \text{Ad}_g^*(\xi))$$

and define a map $\mu : SU(n) \times \mathfrak{su}(n)^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{su}(n)^*$ by $\mu(h, \xi) = \xi$. Then μ is equivariant, and

Unchecked claim: μ defines a moment map.

Assuming this claim, then for $\xi \in \mathfrak{su}(n)^*$, $\mu^{-1}(\xi)$ is a copy of $SU(n)$, and so if we perform Kähler reduction with momentum ξ , then we get a Kähler structure on the coadjoint orbit

$$\frac{SU(n)}{\text{Stab}(\xi)} \cong \text{Orb}(\xi)$$

A Kirillov-Kostant-Souriau form

This is mostly from `kirillov-kostant.tex`.

Throughout, let G be a Lie group, with Lie algebra $T_e G = \mathfrak{g}$.

A.1 Infinitesimal action

Let M be a manifold, $\Phi : G \times M \rightarrow M$ a smooth action.

Definition A.1 (proper action)

The action Φ is proper if the map

$$(g, x) \mapsto (\Phi(g, x), x)$$

is proper, i.e. the preimage of a compact set is compact.

Proposition A.2. Suppose Φ is a free and proper action. Then the quotient M/G is a smooth manifold, and $\pi : M \rightarrow M/G$ is a smooth submersion.

Definition A.3 (infinitesimal action)

Suppose $\Phi : G \times M \rightarrow M$ is an action. For $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, define $\Phi^\xi : \mathbb{R} \times M \rightarrow M$

$$\Phi^\xi(t, m) = \Phi(\exp(t\xi), m)$$

Then Φ^ξ defines an \mathbb{R} -action, i.e. $\Phi^\xi(t, \cdot)$ is a flow on M . The corresponding vector field

$$\xi_M(x) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \Phi^\xi(t, x)$$

is called the infinitesimal action of ξ .

In particular, for the (co)adjoint representation, we find that

$$\xi_{\mathfrak{g}}(\eta) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \text{Ad}_{\exp(t\xi)}(\eta) = \text{ad}_\xi(\eta) = [\xi, \eta]$$

and if $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathfrak{g}^* \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the usual pairing, then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \xi_{\mathfrak{g}^*}(\alpha), \eta \rangle &= \left\langle \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \text{Ad}_{\exp(t\xi)}^*(\alpha), \eta \right\rangle \\ &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \langle \text{Ad}_{\exp(t\xi)}^*(\alpha), \eta \rangle \\ &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \langle \alpha, \text{Ad}_{\exp(-t\xi)}(\eta) \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \alpha, \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \text{Ad}_{\exp(-t\xi)}(\eta) \right\rangle \\ &= \langle \alpha, -[\xi, \eta] \rangle \\ &= \langle \alpha, -\text{ad}_\xi(\eta) \rangle \\ &= -\langle (\text{ad}_\xi)^*(\alpha), \eta \rangle \end{aligned}$$

So $\xi_{\mathfrak{g}^*} = -(\text{ad}_\xi)^*$, and $\xi_{\mathfrak{g}^*}(\alpha)(\eta) = -\langle \alpha, [\xi, \eta] \rangle$.

A.2 Coadjoint orbits

For $\mu \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, we'll write $\text{Orb}(\mu) = \{\text{Ad}_g^*(\mu) \mid g \in G\}$ for the *coadjoint orbit* of μ . We will assume without proof that this is a submanifold of \mathfrak{g}^* , which is diffeomorphic to G/G_μ , where $G_\mu = \{g \in G \mid \text{Ad}_g^*(\mu) = \mu\}$ is the *isotropy* or *stabiliser* of μ .

A.3 Kirillov-Kostant-Souriau symplectic form

Theorem A.4. Let G be a Lie group, $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^*$ be a coadjoint orbit. Define the 2-form ω on \mathcal{O} by

$$\omega(\mu)(\xi_{\mathfrak{g}^*}(\mu), \eta_{\mathfrak{g}^*}(\mu)) = -\langle \mu, [\xi, \eta] \rangle$$

Then ω and $-\omega$ are symplectic forms on \mathcal{O} .

We will only prove the result for ω . The proof for $-\omega$ is similar.

A.3.1 ω is well defined

First of all, we show that ω is well defined. That is, it is independent of the choice of $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Suppose $\zeta \in \mathfrak{g}$ is such that $\zeta_{\mathfrak{g}^*}(\mu) = \xi_{\mathfrak{g}^*}(\mu)$. Then as $\xi_{\mathfrak{g}^*} = (\text{ad}_\xi)^*$, we must have that

$$\langle \mu, [\xi, \eta] \rangle = \langle \mu, [\zeta, \eta] \rangle$$

for all $\eta \in \mathfrak{g}$.

A.3.2 ω is non-degenerate

Since the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is non-degenerate, $\omega(\mu)(\xi_{\mathfrak{g}^*}(\mu), \eta_{\mathfrak{g}^*}(\mu))$ for all $\eta_{\mathfrak{g}^*}(\mu)$ implies that $\langle \mu, [\xi, \eta] \rangle = 0$, for all η . But this then means that $\xi_{\mathfrak{g}^*}(\mu) = 0$, so ω is non-degenerate.

A.3.3 ω is closed

First of all, we will need some preliminary results.

Lemma A.5.

$$(\text{Ad}_\xi)_{\mathfrak{g}^*} = \text{Ad}_g^* \circ \xi_{\mathfrak{g}^*} \circ \text{Ad}_{g^{-1}}^*$$

Proof. Let $h(t) = \exp(t\xi)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Ad}_g \xi)_{\mathfrak{g}^*}(\mu) &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \text{Ad}_{g h(t) g^{-1}}^*(\mu) \\ &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \text{Ad}_g^* \text{Ad}_{h(t)}^* \text{Ad}_{g^{-1}}^*(\mu) \\ &= \text{Ad}_g^* \circ \left(\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \text{Ad}_{h(t)}^* \right) \circ \text{Ad}_{g^{-1}}^*(\mu) \\ &= \text{Ad}_g^* \circ \xi_{\mathfrak{g}^*} \circ \text{Ad}_{g^{-1}}^*(\mu) \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that Ad_g^* is a linear map, so we can exchange it with the derivative operator. \square

Lemma A.6.

$$\text{Ad}_g([\xi, \eta]) = [\text{Ad}_g(\xi), \text{Ad}_g(\eta)]$$

Proof. First, notice that

$$C_g(C_h(k)) = ghkh^{-1}g^{-1} = C_g(h)C_g(k)C_g(h^{-1})$$

Differentiating this at $h = e$ and $k = e$ gives the result. \square

Lemma A.7. $\text{Ad}_g^* : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ preserves ω , that is,

$$(\text{Ad}_g^*)^* \omega = \omega$$

Proof. Evaluating $(\text{Ad}_\xi)_{\mathfrak{g}^*} = \text{Ad}_g^* \circ \xi_{\mathfrak{g}^*} \circ \text{Ad}_{g^{-1}}^*$ at $\nu = \text{Ad}_g^*(\mu)$, we get

$$(\text{Ad}_g \xi)_{\mathfrak{g}^*}(\nu) = \text{Ad}_g^* \circ \xi_{\mathfrak{g}^*}(\mu) = d_\mu \text{Ad}_g^* \circ \xi_{\mathfrak{g}^*}(\mu)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} ((\text{Ad}_g^*)^* \omega)(\mu)(\xi_{\mathfrak{g}^*}(\mu), \eta_{\mathfrak{g}^*}(\mu)) &= \omega(\nu)(d_\mu \text{Ad}_g^* \cdot \xi_{\mathfrak{g}^*}(\mu), d_\mu \text{Ad}_g^* \cdot \eta_{\mathfrak{g}^*}(\mu)) \\ &= \omega(\nu)((\text{Ad}_g \xi)_{\mathfrak{g}^*}(\nu), (\text{Ad}_g \eta)_{\mathfrak{g}^*}(\nu)) \\ &= -\langle \nu, [\text{Ad}_g \xi, \text{Ad}_g \eta] \rangle \\ &= -\langle \nu, \text{Ad}_g([\xi, \eta]) \rangle \\ &= -\langle \text{Ad}_{g^{-1}}(\nu), [\xi, \eta] \rangle \\ &= -\langle \mu, [\xi, \eta] \rangle \\ &= \omega(\mu)(\xi_{\mathfrak{g}^*}(\mu), \eta_{\mathfrak{g}^*}(\mu)) \end{aligned}$$

\square

For $\nu \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, define the left-invariant one-form

$$\nu_\ell(g) = (d_g \ell_{g^{-1}})^*(\nu)$$

for $g \in G$. Similarly, for $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, let ξ_ℓ be the corresponding left invariant vector field on G . Then $\nu_\ell(\xi_\ell) = \langle \nu, \xi \rangle$ at all $g \in G$.

Fix $\nu \in \mathcal{O}$, and consider the map $\varphi_\nu : G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$, defined by

$$\varphi_\nu(g) = \text{Ad}_g^*(\nu)$$

We can use this to pullback $\sigma = (\varphi_\nu)^*\omega$ to a two form on G .

Lemma A.8. σ is left invariant. That is, $\ell_g^* \sigma = \sigma$ for all $g \in G$.

Proof. First, notice that $\varphi_\nu \circ \ell_g = \text{Ad}_g^* \circ \varphi_\nu$, since

$$\varphi_\nu(\ell_g(h)) = \text{Ad}_{gh}^*(\nu) = \text{Ad}_g^* \circ \text{Ad}_h^*(\nu) = \text{Ad}_g^*(\varphi_\nu(h))$$

With this,

$$\ell_g^* \sigma = \ell_g^* \varphi_\nu^* \omega = (\varphi \circ \ell_g)^* \omega = (\text{Ad}_g^* \circ \varphi_\nu)^* \omega = (\varphi_\nu)^* (\text{Ad}_g^*)^* \omega = (\varphi_\nu)^* \omega = \sigma$$

□

Lemma A.9. $\sigma(\xi_\ell, \eta_\ell) = -\langle \nu_\ell, [\xi_\ell, \eta_\ell] \rangle$.

Proof. By left invariance of both sides, suffices to show that the result holds at e . First notice that

$$d_e \varphi_\nu(\eta) = \eta_{\mathfrak{g}^*}(\nu)$$

Therefore, φ_ν is a submersion at e . By definition of the pullback,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(e)(\xi, \eta) &= (\varphi_\nu)^* \omega(e)(\xi, \eta) \\ &= \omega(\varphi_\nu(e))(d_e \varphi_\nu \cdot \xi, d_e \varphi_\nu \cdot \eta) \\ &= \omega(\nu)(\xi_{\mathfrak{g}^*}(\nu), \eta_{\mathfrak{g}^*}(\nu)) \\ &= -\langle \nu, [\xi, \eta] \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\sigma(\xi_\ell, \eta_\ell)(e) = \sigma(e)(\xi, \eta) = -\langle \nu, [\xi, \eta] \rangle = -\langle \nu_\ell, [\xi_\ell, \eta_\ell] \rangle(e)$$

□

Now for a one form α , we have that

$$d\alpha(X, Y) = X[\alpha(Y)] - Y[\alpha(X)] - \alpha([X, Y])$$

where for a smooth function $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and a vector field X on M , $X[f] := df(X)$ is a smooth function $M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Since $\nu_\ell(\xi_\ell)$ is constant, $\eta_\ell[\nu_\ell(\xi_\ell)] = 0$. Similarly, $\xi_\ell[\nu_\ell(\eta_\ell)] = 0$. Therefore, we have that

$$d\nu_\ell(\xi_\ell, \eta_\ell) = -\nu_\ell([\xi_\ell, \eta_\ell]) = \sigma(\xi_\ell, \eta_\ell)$$

Now suppose X, Y are vector fields on G . We want to show that $\sigma(X, Y) = d\nu_\ell(X, Y)$. As σ is left invariant,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma(X, Y)(g) &= (\ell_{g^{-1}}^* \sigma)(g)(X(g), Y(g)) \\
&= \sigma(e) \underbrace{(d\ell_{g^{-1}} \cdot X(g))}_{=\xi} \underbrace{(d\ell_{g^{-1}} \cdot Y(g))}_{=\eta} \\
&= \sigma(e)(\xi, \eta) \\
&= dv_\ell(\xi_\ell, \eta_\ell)(e) \\
&= (\ell_g^* dv_\ell)(\xi_\ell, \eta_\ell)(e) \\
&= (dv_\ell)(g)(d\ell_g \cdot \xi_\ell(e), d\ell_g \cdot \eta_\ell(e)) \\
&= (dv_\ell)(g)(d\ell_g \cdot \xi, d\ell_g \cdot \eta) \\
&= (dv_\ell)(g)(X(g), Y(g)) \\
&= dv_\ell(X, Y)(g)
\end{aligned}$$

With this, $d\sigma = d^2v_\ell = 0$. Hence $(\varphi_\nu)^*d\omega = d((\varphi_\nu)^*\omega) = d\sigma = 0$. Since $\varphi_\nu \circ \ell_g = \text{Ad}_g^* \circ \ell_g$, and φ_ν is a submersion at e , it is infact a submersion everywhere. Moreover, φ_ν is surjective, by definition.

For $\mu \in \mathcal{O}$, and $X, Y \in T_\mu \mathcal{O}$, we have that

$$d\omega(\mu)(X, Y) = d\omega_{\varphi_\nu(g)}(d\varphi_\nu(\xi), d\varphi_\nu(\eta)) = ((\varphi_\nu)^*d\omega)(g)(\xi, \eta) = 0$$

where $g \in G$ is such that $\varphi_\nu(g) = \mu$, which exists by surjectivity, and $\xi, \eta \in T_g G$ such that $d\varphi_\nu(\xi) = X$ and $d\varphi_\nu(\eta) = Y$, which exists as φ_ν is a submersion. Thus, as $\mu \in \mathcal{O}$ is arbitrary, ω is closed.

B Stabilisers of the adjoint action of $\text{SU}(n)$ and $\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$

This section has been copied from `coadjoint-orbits-sln.pdf`. It is included to provide some more details about the stabilisers of the adjoint action.

B.1 Adjoint orbits of $\text{SU}(n)$

First of all, we note that

$$\mathfrak{su}(n) = \{A \in \text{Mat}(n, \mathbb{C}) \mid A^\dagger + A = 0, \text{tr}(A) = 0\}$$

where A^\dagger is the conjugate transpose of A . In particular, all elements of $\mathfrak{su}(n)$ are skew-hermitian, hence diagonalisable by an element of $\text{SU}(n)$ ³. With this, we can classify the coadjoint orbits based off a diagonal element in the orbit. Consider

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} i\lambda_1 I_{m_1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & i\lambda_k I_{m_k} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{R}$, with $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \dots > \lambda_k$, $m_1 + \dots + m_k = n$ and $m_1\lambda_1 + \dots + m_k\lambda_k = 0$. In this case, we have that the orbit is

$$\text{Orb}(A) \cong \text{SU}(n)/\text{Stab}(A)$$

where $\text{Stab}(A)$ is the stabiliser of A under the adjoint action. In this case, we have that the stabiliser is the block diagonal subgroup

$$\text{Stab}(A) = \text{S}(\text{U}(m_1) \times \dots \times \text{U}(m_k))$$

where we consider $\text{U}(m_1) \times \dots \times \text{U}(m_k) \leq \text{SU}(n)$ as the block diagonal subgroup, and

$$\text{S}(\text{U}(m_1) \times \dots \times \text{U}(m_k)) = (\text{U}(m_1) \times \dots \times \text{U}(m_k)) \cap \text{SU}(n)$$

the subgroup with determinant 1. Therefore, the coadjoint orbit is diffeomorphic to the flag manifold

³From standard linear algebra arguments, we know that they are $\text{SU}(n)$ -diagonalisable. But if PAP^{-1} is diagonal, then so is $(\lambda P)A(\lambda P)^{-1}$, and by choosing λ appropriately, $\lambda \in \text{SU}(n)$.

$$\mathcal{F}(m_1, \dots, m_k) = \frac{\mathrm{SU}(n)}{\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{U}(m_1) \times \dots \times \mathrm{U}(m_k))}$$

In particular, note that $\mathcal{F}(p, n-p)$ is diffeomorphic to the Grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}(p, n)$ of p -dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{C}^n . More generally, a generic element of $\mathcal{F}(m_1, \dots, m_k)$ can be represented as (V_1, \dots, V_k) , where V_j is a dimension m_j subspace of \mathbb{C}^n , with $V_j \perp V_k$ for all $j \neq k$ ⁴. This is because we can set V_j to be the $i\lambda_j$ eigenspace and vice versa.

B.2 Adjoint orbits of $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$

In this case, we have that the Lie algebra is

$$\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C}) = \mathfrak{su}(n)_{\mathbb{C}} = \{A \in \mathrm{Mat}(n, \mathbb{C}) \mid \mathrm{tr}(A) = 0\}$$

Define the Jordan block

$$J_n(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 1 \\ & & & \lambda \end{pmatrix} = \lambda I + J_n \in \mathrm{Mat}(n, \mathbb{C})$$

where $J_n = J_n(0)$. Then we know that every element of $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ -conjugate to a matrix in Jordan normal form, say

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} J_{m_1}(\lambda_1) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & J_{m_k}(\lambda_k) \end{pmatrix}$$

where $m_1 + \dots + m_k = n$ and $m_1\lambda_1 + \dots + m_k\lambda_k = 0$. As above, we would like to compute the stabiliser of A under the conjugation action.

B.2.1 Diagonalisable case

Suppose A was diagonalisable. By conjugating by a permutation matrix, we can assume that A is of the form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 I_{\ell_1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_p I_{\ell_p} \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p$ distinct. In this case, we have that the stabiliser is

$$\mathrm{Stab}(A) = \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{GL}(\ell_1, \mathbb{C}) \times \dots \times \mathrm{GL}(\ell_p, \mathbb{C}))$$

where we consider $\mathrm{GL}(\ell_1, \mathbb{C}) \times \dots \times \mathrm{GL}(\ell_p, \mathbb{C}) \leq \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ as the block diagonal subgroup, and

$$\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{GL}(\ell_1, \mathbb{C}) \times \dots \times \mathrm{GL}(\ell_p, \mathbb{C})) = (\mathrm{GL}(\ell_1, \mathbb{C}) \times \dots \times \mathrm{GL}(\ell_p, \mathbb{C})) \cap \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$$

In this case, we can compute the coadjoint orbits as well. In particular, a generic element $B \in \mathrm{Orb}(A)$ is determined by its eigenspaces. One way to express this is as an open subset of

$$\mathrm{Gr}(\ell_1, n) \times \dots \times \mathrm{Gr}(\ell_p, n)$$

⁴This may not be the usual definition of a (partial) flag manifold, which is a sequence of subspaces

$$0 = W_0 \subset W_1 \subset \dots \subset W_k = \mathbb{C}^n$$

However it is equivalent, since we can set $W_0 = 0$, $W_1 = V_1$, $W_2 = V_1 \oplus V_2$ and so on. Moreover, the indexing is slightly different, since we are indexing using m_1, \dots, m_k , instead of $\dim(W_1) = m_1$, $\dim(W_2) = m_1 + m_2$ and so on. Again, it is easy to convert between the two definitions.

where we require the subspaces to intersect trivially⁵. In the case where $\ell_i = 1$, so the eigenvalues of A are distinct, then the orbit of A is precisely the space of all matrices with the same characteristic polynomial, i.e.

$$\chi_B(t) = (t - \lambda_1) \cdots (t - \lambda_n)$$

Which shows that $\text{Orb}(A)$ is a closed subvariety of $\text{Mat}(n, \mathbb{C})$. Moreover, in this case, we get that the stabiliser is the diagonal subgroup

$$\text{Stab}(A) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & b_n \end{pmatrix} \mid b_j \in \mathbb{C}^*, b_1 \cdots b_n = 1 \right\} \cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^{n-1}$$

Now notice that

$$\begin{pmatrix} | & & | \\ v_1 & \cdots & v_n \\ | & & | \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & b_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} | & & | \\ b_1 v_1 & \cdots & b_n v_n \\ | & & | \end{pmatrix}$$

Which is another way of seeing that the orbit space in this case is an open subset of

$$(\mathbb{CP}^{n-1})^n$$

since each v_j determines a line in \mathbb{C}^n , and we get a well defined function $\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})/(\mathbb{C}^*)^{n-1} \rightarrow (\mathbb{CP}^{n-1})^n$, which is injective.

B.2.2 Jordan block

Now suppose $A = J_n(\lambda)$. Since $\text{tr}(A) = 0$, we must have $\lambda = 0$. In this case, it is easy to show that the stabiliser is the subgroup

$$P = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & \cdots & a_n \\ & a_1 & a_2 & & \vdots \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & a_1 & a_2 \\ & & & & a_1 \end{pmatrix} \mid a_1^n = 1, a_j \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$$

of upper triangular Toeplitz matrices. In fact, we prove something more general in the next subsection. In this case, the orbit of J_n is

$$\text{Orb}(J_n) = \{B \in \text{Mat}(n, \mathbb{C}) \mid B^n = 0, B^{n-1} \neq 0\}$$

which is an open subset of a closed subvariety.

B.2.3 General case

In general, for $B \in \text{Mat}(n, \mathbb{C})$, the equation $BA = AB$ becomes equations of the form

$$J_\ell(\lambda)X = XJ_m(\mu)$$

for some $X \in \text{Mat}(\ell \times m, \mathbb{C})$. Assume without loss of generality that $\ell \geq m$. Then note that

$$J_\ell(\lambda - \mu)X = J_\ell(\lambda)X - \mu X = XJ_m(\mu) - \mu X = XJ_m(0) = XJ_m$$

⁵Which is *not* a flag manifold if we use the same trick as above, considering the sums of the eigenspaces, since without orthogonality we can't recover the eigenspaces from the sums. This is also not the same as the product

$$\text{Gr}(\ell_1, n) \times \text{Gr}(\ell_2, n - \ell_1) \times \cdots \times \text{Gr}(\ell_p, \ell_p)$$

since we don't require the eigenspaces to be orthogonal.

Lemma. Let X be a $m \times n$ matrix, with $J_m X = X J_n$. Then X is upper triangular and Toeplitz, and if $m < n$, then the first $n - m$ columns of X are zero.

Proof. Say the entries of X are (x_{ij}) . Then

$$x_{i+1,j+1} = e_i^T J_m X e_{j+1} = e_i^T X J_n e_{j+1} = x_{i,j}$$

and so X is Toeplitz. Moreover,

$$J_m X e_1 = X J_n e_1 = 0$$

So $x_{i,1} = 0$ for all $i > 1$. Similarly, we have that

$$J_m^\ell X e_\ell = X J_n^\ell e_\ell = 0$$

hence $x_{i,j} = 0$ for $i > j$. Therefore, X is upper triangular. Now suppose $m < n$. We will show that the all the entries on the last row except the last one is zero, since this will show the first $n - m$ columns are zero, and that the Toeplitz part is strictly upper triangular. This follows from the fact that

$$x_{m,n-\ell} = e_m^T X e_{n-\ell} = e_m^T X J_n^\ell e_n = e_m^T J_m^\ell X e_n = 0$$

□

This means that if $\lambda = \mu$, then X must satisfy the conclusions of the lemma. On the other hand, if $\lambda \neq \mu$, then we have that

$$J_\ell(\lambda - \mu)^n X = X J_m^m = 0$$

and so $X = 0$.

Therefore, we can write a generic matrix B with $BA = AB$ blockwise as B_{ij} , where $B_{ij} \in \text{Mat}(m_i \times m_j, \mathbb{C})$, corresponding to $J_{m_i}(\lambda_i)$ and $J_{m_j}(\lambda_j)$. In particular, we have that

$$B_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \lambda_j \neq \lambda_i \\ \begin{pmatrix} T_{ij} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } \lambda_j = \lambda_i \text{ and } m_i > m_j \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T_{ij} \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } \lambda_j = \lambda_i \text{ and } m_i < m_j \\ T_{ij} & \text{if } \lambda_j = \lambda_i \text{ and } m_i = m_j \end{cases}$$

where T_{ij} is a strictly upper triangular Toeplitz matrix, of size $\min(m_i, m_j)$. Therefore, the stabiliser of A is the subgroup of $\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ of the above form.

B.3 Nilpotent orbits of $\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ for small n

Throughout, we will study *nonzero* nilpotent orbits. In particular, all eigenvalues are zero. Also note that

$$\dim(\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})) = n^2 - 1$$

For $n = 2$, we only have one nilpotent orbit, given by the Jordan normal form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and the stabiliser is the subgroup elements of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ of the form

$$\pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The complex dimension of the quotient is $3 - 1 = 2$.

For $n = 3$, the possible Jordan normal forms are

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and the corresponding stabilisers are

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ 0 & a & b \\ 0 & 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & d & e \end{pmatrix}$$

The complex dimension of the orbit spaces are $8 - 2 = 6$ and $8 - 4 = 4$ respectively.

For $n = 4$, we get Jordan decompositions $[4], [3, 1], [2, 2], [2, 1, 1]$. The corresponding stabilisers are

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d \\ 0 & a & b & c \\ 0 & 0 & a & b \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d \\ 0 & a & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e & f \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d \\ 0 & a & 0 & c \\ e & f & g & h \\ 0 & e & 0 & g \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d \\ 0 & a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e & f & g \\ 0 & h & i & j \end{pmatrix}$$

The complex dimension of the orbit spaces are $15 - 3 = 12$, $15 - 5 = 10$, $15 - 5 = 10$ and $15 - 9 = 6$ respectively.

Note in each case, the complex dimension is even, which is a necessary condition for there to be a hyperkähler structure on the orbit space.

C Local coordinate computations

C.1 Kirillov-Konstant-Souriau form

Let \mathcal{O} be an adjoint orbit in $\mathfrak{su}(n)$, and fix $\xi \in \mathcal{O}$ as above. Recall that for $\mu \in \mathcal{O}$,

$$T_\mu \mathcal{O} = \{[\mu, A] \mid A \in \mathfrak{su}(n)\}$$

and the Kirillov-Konstant-Souriau form ω is defined by

$$\omega_\mu([\mu, A], [\mu, B]) = -\langle \mu, [A, B] \rangle$$

C.1.1 Computation at I

Computing the pullback, we find that $\pi^* \omega$ at the identity is given by

$$(\pi^* \omega)_I(A, B) = \omega_\xi([\mu, A], [\mu, B]) = -\langle \xi, [A, B] \rangle$$

Computing this in terms of the coordinates, we find that it is

$$\begin{aligned} -\langle \xi, [A, B] \rangle &= \text{tr}(\xi[A, B]) \\ &= i \sum_{j,k} \xi_j (A_{jk} B_{kj} - B_{jk} A_{kj}) \\ &= i \sum_{j,k} \xi_j (A_{kj} \overline{B_{kj}} - \overline{A_{kj}} B_{kj}) \\ &= \frac{i}{2} \sum_{j,k} \xi_j (A_{kj} \overline{B_{kj}} - \overline{A_{kj}} B_{kj}) + \frac{i}{2} \sum_{j,k} \xi_k (A_{jk} \overline{B_{jk}} - \overline{A_{jk}} B_{jk}) \\ &= \frac{i}{2} \sum_{j,k} \xi_j (A_{kj} \overline{B_{kj}} - \overline{A_{kj}} B_{kj}) - \frac{i}{2} \sum_{j,k} \xi_k (A_{kj} \overline{B_{kj}} - \overline{A_{kj}} B_{kj}) \\ &= \frac{i}{2} \sum_{j,k} (\xi_j - \xi_k) (A_{kj} \overline{B_{kj}} - \overline{A_{kj}} B_{kj}) \\ &= i \sum_{k>j} (\xi_j - \xi_k) (A_{kj} \overline{B_{kj}} - \overline{A_{kj}} B_{kj}) \end{aligned}$$

Let (θ_{jk}) be the standard coordinate functions on $\mathfrak{su}(n)$, then

$$(\pi^* \omega)_I = i \sum_{k>j} (\xi_j - \xi_k) \theta_{kj} \wedge \overline{\theta_{kj}}$$

where $\alpha \wedge \beta(v, w) = \alpha(v)\beta(w) - \alpha(w)\beta(v)$.

C.1.2 Computation at any $g \in \mathrm{SU}(n)$

Now let θ be the Maurer-Cartan form on $\mathrm{SU}(n)$. That is, it is the $\mathfrak{su}(n)$ -valued 1-form on $\mathrm{SU}(n)$ given by

$$\theta_g(u) = d(\ell_{g^{-1}})_g(u) \in T_e \mathrm{SU}(n) = \mathfrak{su}(n)$$

Writing $\theta = \sum_{j,k} \theta_{jk} dg^{jk}$ where (g^{jk}) are the matrix entries on $\mathrm{SU}(n)$, in fact we have that

$$\pi^* \omega = i \sum_{k>j} (\xi_j - \xi_k) \theta_{kj} \wedge \overline{\theta_{kj}}$$

Since⁶ $\pi^* \omega$ and θ are both left invariant, and the above expressions agree at the identity, they must agree everywhere. Alternatively, we can compute this directly, as in the next section.

C.2 Hermitian structure

We will define a Hermitian metric h on \mathcal{O} , using the fact that π is a surjective submersion. That is, we have

$$\pi^* h = \sum_{k>j} 2(\xi_j - \xi_k) \theta_{kj} \overline{\theta_{kj}}$$

where $\alpha\beta(v, w) = \alpha(v)\beta(w)$.

C.2.1 Computation at I

At ξ , the above formula gives us that

$$h_\xi([\xi, A], [\xi, B]) = \sum_{k>j} 2(\xi_j - \xi_k) A_{kj} \overline{B_{kj}}$$

which one can check defines a Hermitian metric (at least in the case when the ξ_j are distinct).

C.3 Computation at $g \in \mathrm{SU}(n)$

In this case, if $A, B \in T_g \mathrm{SU}(n)$, then we can see that $\theta(A) = g^T A$ and $\theta(B) = g^T B$. Therefore, we have that

$$\pi^* h(A, B) = \sum_{k>j} 2(\xi_j - \xi_k) (g^T A)_{kj} \overline{(g^T B)_{kj}}$$

However, by the definition of the pullback, we also have that

$$\pi^* h(A, B) = h_{\pi(g)}(d\pi_g(A), d\pi_g(B))$$

Set $\mu = \pi(g)$, then we have that

$$\pi^* h(A, B) = h_\mu([\mu, Ag^T], [\mu, Bg^T])$$

Say $A = Cg, B = Dg$, then we get that

$$h_\mu([\mu, C], [\mu, D]) = \sum_{k>j} 2(\xi_j - \xi_k) (g^T Cg)_{kj} \overline{(g^T Dg)_{kj}}$$

Again, in the case the ξ_j are distinct, we can see that this defines a Hermitian metric on \mathcal{O} .

⁶§A.3.3