

# Ideas from “Classical nilpotent orbits as hyperkähler quotients”

Shing Tak Lam

July 28, 2023

In this note, we provide a high level overview for the paper [1] by Kobak and Swann. We will use the same notation and numbering as in the paper, but we will omit technical details from the proofs.

## 1 Introduction

Here, we define the hyperKähler structure on  $\mathbb{H}^n$ , where the complex structures are given by right multiplication by  $-i, -j, -k$  respectively, and the Riemannian metric is the Euclidean metric from the isomorphism  $\mathbb{H}^n \cong \mathbb{R}^{4n}$  of vector spaces. For a subgroup  $H$  of  $\mathrm{Sp}(N)$ ,  $H$  acts (on the left) on  $\mathbb{H}^n$ , and the *hyperKähler moment map* for this action is an equivariant map

$$\mu : \mathbb{H}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^* \otimes \mathrm{Im}(\mathbb{H}) \cong \mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathrm{Im}(\mathbb{H})$$

where  $d(\mu^X) = X \lrcorner \eta$ ,  $\eta = \omega_I i + \omega_J j + \omega_K k$  the quaternion values form given by the symplectic forms. What this means is that if we write

$$\mu = \mu_I i + \mu_J j + \mu_K k$$

then  $\mu_I$  is a moment map for the action of  $H$  on  $\mathbb{H}^n$  with respect to the complex structure  $I$ , and so on. Throughout, we will have the moment map

$$\mu^X(q) = -\bar{q}^T X q$$

for  $X \in \mathfrak{h}$ , which we consider to be an  $N \times N$  quaternionic matrix.

## 2 The Constructions

First of all, we reduce to the case where  $\mathcal{O}$  is an adjoint orbit of a classical simple Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$ , since in the general case we can take product/sums.

In each case, we first specify a hyperKähler vector space  $M$  and the group  $G$ , then we prove that the complex symplectic quotient by  $G^{\mathbb{C}}$  is what we want, then we show that the complex quotient is the same as the hyperKähler quotient.

### 2.1 The Special Linear Group

In this case, let  $V_0, \dots, V_k$  be Hermitian vector spaces,  $\dim(V_i) = n_i$ ,  $n_0 = 0$  and  $n_k = n$ . Then we can define the vector space

$$M = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{k-1} (\mathrm{Hom}(V_i, V_{i+1}) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}(V_{i+1}, V_i))$$

and we write each point  $p = (\alpha_i, \beta_i)$  as the diagram

$$0 = V_0 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} \\ \xleftarrow{\beta_0} \end{array} V_1 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} \\ \xleftarrow{\beta_1} \end{array} V_2 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} \\ \xleftarrow{\beta_2} \end{array} \cdots \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{k-1}} \\ \xleftarrow{\beta_{k-1}} \end{array} V_k = \mathbb{C}^n$$

We have a left  $\mathbb{H}$  action on  $M$ , given by

$$i(\alpha_i, \beta_i) = (i\alpha_i, i\beta_i) \quad j(\alpha_i, \beta_i) = (-\beta_i^*, \alpha_i^*)$$

which makes  $M$  into a quaternionic vector space. In this case, the Lie group action of  $G = \mathrm{U}(n_1) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{U}(n_{k-1})$  on  $M$  is

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_i &\mapsto g_{i+1} \alpha_i g_i^{-1} \\ \beta_i &\mapsto g_i \beta_i g_{i+1}^{-1}\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

where  $g_i \in \mathrm{U}_{n_i}$ ,  $g_0 = g_k = 1$ . The moment map in this case is  $\mu = i\mu_r + 2k\mu_c : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathrm{Im}(\mathbb{H})$ , where (up to identifying  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  with  $\mathfrak{g}$  via the Killing form),

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_r &= (\alpha_{i-1} \alpha_{i-1}^* - \beta_{i-1}^* \beta_{i-1} + \beta_i \beta_i^* - \alpha_i^* \alpha_i)_{i=1}^{k-1} & \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes i\mathbb{R} = i\mathfrak{g} = i\mathfrak{u}(n_1, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \cdots \oplus i\mathfrak{u}(n_{k-1}, \mathbb{C}) \\ \mu_c &= (\alpha_{i-1} \beta_{i-1} - \beta_i \alpha_i)_{i=1}^{k-1} & \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{gl}(n_1, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(n_{k-1}, \mathbb{C})\end{aligned}$$

### 2.1.1 The Complex Quotient

Fix a point  $p = (\alpha_i, \beta_i) \in \mu_c^{-1}(0)$ . In this case, we can define  $X = \alpha_{k-1} \beta_{k-1} \in \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ . Then  $X^k = 0$ , as  $p \in \mu_c^{-1}(0)$ . Moreover, the action of  $G^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathrm{GL}(n_1, \mathbb{C}) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}(n_{k-1}, \mathbb{C})$  preserves  $X$ , so we have a well defined map

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi^c : \mu_c^{-1}(0)/G^{\mathbb{C}} &\rightarrow \mathcal{N} \\ (\alpha_i, \beta_i) &\mapsto \alpha_{k-1} \beta_{k-1}\end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{N}$  is the nilpotent variety of  $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})^1$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** The map  $\Phi^c$ , restricted to the set of closed  $G^{\mathbb{C}}$  orbits, is injective. Furthermore, its image consists of a union of closures of nilpotent orbits in  $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ . If there exists  $X \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$  such that  $\mathrm{rank}(X^i) = n_{k-i}$  for all  $i$ , then the image is precisely the closure of the nilpotent orbit containing  $X$ .

*Proof sketch.* □

### 2.1.2 Equivalence of Kähler and Complex Quotients

We have the following result by Kirwan (paraphrased):

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $X$  be a Kähler manifold,  $G$  a compact Lie group acting on  $X$  preserving the Kähler structure, such that  $G^{\mathbb{C}}$  also acts holomorphically on  $X$ . Let  $\mu$  be the Kähler moment map for the action of  $G$ , satisfying condition  $(\star)$ . Let

$$X^{\min} = \left\{ y \mid \text{limit under steepest descent of } \|\mu\|^2 \text{ lies in } \mu^{-1}(0) \right\}$$

Then  $x \in G^{\mathbb{C}} \mu^{-1}(0)$  if and only if  $x \in X^{\min}$  and the orbit  $G^{\mathbb{C}} x$  is closed in  $X^{\min}$ . In this case, the map

$$\mu^{-1}(0)/G \rightarrow G^{\mathbb{C}} \mu^{-1}(0)/G^{\mathbb{C}}$$

is a homeomorphism, where  $G^{\mathbb{C}} \mu^{-1}(0)/G^{\mathbb{C}}$  is the set of closed  $G^{\mathbb{C}}$  orbits in  $G^{\mathbb{C}} \mu^{-1}(0)$ .

We will return to the condition  $(\star)$  later, but for now, we will first assume that  $(\star)$  holds in the cases which we want, and then prove that it holds later on.

First, since  $(M, \omega_I, \omega_J, \omega_K)$  is hyperKähler,  $(M, \omega_I)$  is Kähler. Moreover, the group  $G$  acts on  $(M, \omega_I)$  preserving the Kähler structure, with moment map  $\mu_r$ . Therefore, applying theorem 2.2, we get that

$$\mu_r^{-1}(0)/G \cong G^{\mathbb{C}} \mu_r^{-1}(0)/G^{\mathbb{C}}$$

Next, we assume  $M^{\min} = M$ , so  $G^{\mathbb{C}} \mu_r^{-1}(0)$  is just the set of points for which the orbit  $G^{\mathbb{C}} x$  is closed. In this case, we have a natural inclusion

<sup>1</sup>Any nilpotent endomorphism necessarily has all eigenvalues being zero, and so it is trace free.

$$X = \mu_c^{-1}(0) \cap G^{\mathbb{C}} \mu_r^{-1}(0) \subseteq G^{\mathbb{C}} \mu_r^{-1}(0)$$

Since  $X$  is  $G^{\mathbb{C}}$  invariant, we have an induced map

$$X/G^{\mathbb{C}} \hookrightarrow G^{\mathbb{C}} \mu_r^{-1}(0)/G^{\mathbb{C}} \cong \mu_r^{-1}(0)/G$$

Finally, we want to find the image of this map. But this is just  $\mu^{-1}(0)/G$ , which is the hyperKähler quotient. Therefore, all that remains is to show that  $M^{\min} = M$ , and that  $(\star)$  holds.

$M^{\min} = M$ : For this, it suffices to show that the critical points of  $\|\mu_r\|^2$  are global minima. Since  $\mu_r^* = \mu_r$ , we have that  $\text{grad}(\|\mu_r\|^2) = 2(d\mu_r)\mu_r$ , which vanishes if and only if  $\mu_r = 0$  and so  $\|\mu_r\|^2 = 0$ .

The condition  $(\star)$  is that the trajectories of the gradient flow of  $\|\mu_r\|^2$  are bounded. In this case, we have that

$$\|\mu_r(x)\|^2 \leq \|x\|^4$$

for all  $x \in M$ . The paper then claims that this implies each trajectory is bounded, but I don't see why this is true.

## 2.2 Orthogonal and Symplectic Lie Algebras

# 3 Consequences and Examples

## 3.1 Quaternionic Kähler metrics

## 3.2 Finite Quotients

## 3.3 HyperKähler Quotients

## References

- [1] Piotr Z. Kobak and Andrew Swann. "Classical nilpotent orbits as hyperkähler quotients". In: *Int. J. Math.* 07.02 (Apr. 1996). Publisher: World Scientific Publishing Co., pp. 193–210. issn: 0129-167X. doi: 10.1142/S0129167X96000116. URL: <https://www.worldscientific.com/doi/10.1142/S0129167X96000116> (visited on 07/27/2023).