

## ACTION ITEMS

- compile all design documents into one doc with headings
- clean up concepts, figure out relationships between connections and notes, and how preferences interact with topics
- split remaining features into thirds and assign to each team member
- GET EMAILS WORKING ahhh

## DEADLINES

- Wednesday 11/30 - revised design document
- Wednesday 12/7 - app demo
- Monday 12/12 - feature freeze

John just sent us all things.

Kim doesn't seem to have mono anymore, but something is still hanging around and keeping her from working.

Where is our security design? Security seems to not be on GitHub.

All our design documents should be in one doc, rather than a bunch.

Design choices are kind of interleaved into concepts. So we should put them all into one document with headings to make it easier for John to find stuff. The thing he sent us has headings that we're being graded on.

## Discussion

- how to deal with illnesses - timeline, extensions, etc: We probably won't need more extensions, since most everyone is mostly better at this point
- Deadlines: Next step is to revise the design document (Wednesday 11/30), a week from this Wednesday 12/7 is a demo from the app. The Monday 12/12 after that is feature freeze
- We should start deploying to Heroku like v soon.
- Lantian left :( - life happens. It isn't guaranteed that we could get another member. One team is losing two people, and two people isn't feasible to finish the final project, so we might get people. Do we want an option of being able to see a list of people and say "no, we don't want this person?" This will probably be decided at about 4p today and we would have to make a decision soon after that. Shinjini is biased towards not having an extra code bc getting familiar with code is hard, so we're pretty much not gonna ask for another person.
- Will Kim and Casie be hella penalized for having way less code than Shinjini?  
A: Obv you guys are a special case. The MVP document written up was supposed to include who's doing what, with the intention of, if teams miss the MVP, staff could look and see who missed what parts. Our MVP document didn't have names assigned to things.

The baseline is we assume team members broke projects into equitable portion, and did each portion. If you scaled that over academic ability for each team member over the past couple weeks, obviously that's not quite balanced.

- When did the MVP "freeze"?  
Sunday night.
- How should Casie and Kim go forward?  
Take features from the all features, cut it into thirds, and assign people to each third. It would be reasonable (in the case where one of us is still recovering) to draw the lines while taking that into account.
- Progress report: MVP - we have accounts, we can pick locations, and people match on locations and times. Location matching is currently based on matching zip codes - might make sense to match within a radius (e.g., 10 miles out from zip code). Selecting availabilities - if there's overlap in time and location, matching can happen. Can connect to user. Shinjini does a demo.

One major thing we're missing is the e-mailing users feature. Notes are on a per-user basis (other users in a connection cannot see notes), so that should not be in the connection part of the data model and we should figure out how to make that happen. John thinks we have semantically different relationships that would make our data model more ugly but would make it make more sense. Can we make it a textual constraint? 2 is not a valid multiplicity marking. We need two arrows. Topics don't currently have a "leaning" of what people want to talk about within that topic (e.g. you can't specify "conservative" in the topic of "politics"), but that can be built into the presets of topics.

Preference is essentially on what criteria you want your matches to be made. Availability should be separate from preference.

- Time's up. In general our concepts (e.g., how preferences interact with topics, connections and notes) was a little fuzzy. Tighten up concepts and figure out exactly what preferences do.

John's gone

Team discussion

- re: topics, we should make a lightweight admin interface to modify topics (e.g., an admin route), and add a "superuser" field to User. Kim's gonna do that.
- Shinjini's gonna be adding notes and stuff for connections, and Casie will be doing the UI part of it.
- We should probably add "blocked" and "doNotMatch" field to user model
- Notes need to be sanitized, as well as signin/register stuff, and about me