

ECON2103: Financial Economics

Lecture 10

Instructor: Dr Shino Takayama

This week's topics

- Uncertainty
- Risk
- Wealth-based criteria
- Decision-based criteria
- Target-based criteria
- Risk transfer
- Hedging
- Risk management
- Insuring
- Deversifying
- Expected utility
- Expected value
- Variance
- Standard deviation
- Covariance
- Risk averse
- Certainty equivalent value

Uncertainty and risk

- Uncertainty itself can be divided into two classifications.
- The first of which refers to situations where qualitative descriptions are so difficult to generate that it is not practical even to define possible future states of the world.
- The second kind of uncertainty refers to situations in which it is possible to define future states of the world, but not to attach probability distributions to their possible realizations.
- **Risk** refers to situations in which it is possible both to define future states of the world and the probabilities with which they might occur.

Decision criteria

- Under risk, decision makers' **criteria** include expected wealth maximization, minimizing maximum regret, and the customary expected utility maximization.
- Different choices of criteria will help manage certain aspects of a risk-management problem, but the solutions will not necessarily be consistent with those obtained using other criteria.

Wealth-based and decision-based criteria

- **Wealth-based criteria** emphasize the distribution of a decision maker's final wealth, usually focusing on such measures of central tendency as expected value.
- **Dispersion-based criteria** emphasize the spread of a random variable.
- They are also both symmetric measures, and as a result consider variation above the mean as serious as variation below the mean.
- In contrast, many decision makers prefer to use criteria that weight losses more heavily than gains.

Target-based criteria

- In some instances, management may exhibit risk aversion through attempts to avoid downside risk (unfavorable outcomes).
- We shall refer to techniques of this type as **target-based approaches** or **chance-constrained approaches**.

Example

- Suppose the management of a firm is trying to allocate liquid assets to two accounts, one of which (e.g., a bank checking account) is riskless but pays no interest, while the other offers a risky return (money market investments, for example, if we assume they will not necessarily be held to maturity).
- Assume the rate of return r on the second account is uniformly distributed over the range $[-0.5, 0.7]$.
- If R is the amount currently available for allocation to the two accounts, the value of invested resources next period will be: $(R-S)+S(1+r)$ where S is invested in the risky asset and the remainder $R - S$ is kept in the non- interest-bearing riskless account.

Example continued

- Suppose management would like to make the next period investment value as large as possible but subject to the condition that $R + Sr$ not fall below 95% (an arbitrarily chosen percentage) of the original value of R too often.
- In this example, suppose that if the investment falls below 95% of its original value, it should not do so more than 25% of the time (another arbitrary choice).

Formal statement of the problem

- Management wishes to

$$\max_S E \left[R \left(1 + \frac{S}{R} r \right) \right] \quad (11.3)$$

subject to

$$\Pr[R + Sr \geq 0.95R] \geq 0.75 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq S \leq R$$

where \Pr means cumulative probability.

A simpler form

- Equation (11.3) can be rewritten as

$$\max_S E(1 + \alpha r)$$

subject to

$$\Pr[(1 + \alpha r) \geq 0.95] \geq 0.75 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 \quad (11.4)$$

where $\alpha = S/R$.

The solution to this problem is $\alpha^ = 0.25$.*

A different constraint

- The constraint requiring that downside risk be controlled according to equation (11.3) imposes an opportunity cost on the firm, since if more risk were taken, expected return would be higher.
- One way of assessing this opportunity cost is to allow the probability of losses to increase and recalculate the solution to the original problem.
- Suppose instead of (11.4) that we impose:

$$\Pr[(1 + \alpha r) \geq 0.95] \geq 0.67 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$$

- The solution to this problem is $\alpha^*=0.50$.

Methods of risk transfer

- Risk management often involves **transferring risks** to the agents best equipped to bear them.
- Risk transfer can make it possible for agents to undertake new risks that they would otherwise avoid, and hence improve an economy's resource allocation.
- Many attempts to manage risks involve selecting among forms of risk transfer according to a pre-selected criterion.
- Using the notion of a **decision tree** to represent the management problem, one can think of **risk transfers** as actions intended to affect the impacts of certain outcomes.

Hedging

- Hedging means eliminating the possibility of realizing either a gain or a loss.
- A hedge can be arranged either by selling the risky prospect to another party, or by buying an offsetting risky prospect.
- For example, suppose a decision maker has a long position in a random variable X that promises to pay \$4 with probability $\frac{1}{2}$ and - \$2 with probability $\frac{1}{2}$.
- If the decision maker now sells short the same random variable, that position becomes $X - X$, offering a certainty outcome of zero irrespective of the outcome of X .
- In such a situation the decision maker is said to be fully hedged against the risk.

Risk management

- The decision maker has, of course, eliminated the potential for either loss or gain in this example.
- If the offsetting short position is to be arranged through a market transaction, the hedger must be able to find a suitable counterparty—say, a speculator who might assume a long position in X .
- **Hedging** is a form of **risk management** that involves risk sharing.

Insuring

- **Insuring** means reducing the probability of one or more downside outcomes by buying insurance protection.
- The price paid for the protection is referred to as an insurance premium.
- Upside outcomes are not usually affected by the purchase of insurance.
- To see the difference between hedging and insuring, consider a variant on the above example.
- Suppose it is now possible to purchase, for price p , an insurance contract P that allows the insured to sell to the insurance company the variable X at a price of \$1.5.

Example

- The decision maker's payoffs, exclusive of the insurance premium p , are then:

<i>Instrument</i>	X	P	$X + P$
First outcome	\$4.0	\$0.0	\$4.0
Second outcome	-\$2.0	\$1.5	-\$0.5

- The decision maker's loss exposure has now been reduced from \$2 to \$0.5, by paying a price of p , so that if things turn out badly, the decision maker's total loss is $\$0.5 + p$.
- On the other hand, the decision maker's gross gain remains at \$4, and the net gain including the insurance premium is $\$4 - p$.

Diversifying

- Diversifying means combining different prospects in ways designed to reduce down-side risks.
- To see how diversification can lower risk in relation to return, consider investing in just two financial instruments, X and Y .

Joint probabilities and returns

- Denoting realized returns on the two financial instruments by r_X and r_Y , Table 11.1 shows the joint probabilities:

TABLE 11.1
JOINT PROBABILITIES OF RETURNS

r_X	r_Y		
	4%	7%	10%
1%	1/9	1/9	1/9
3%	1/9	1/9	1/9
5%	1/9	1/9	1/9

The expected return

- The **expected return** on either financial instrument in Table 11.1 is given by the sum of the outcomes multiplied by the probability of realizing each possible outcome.
- The probabilities of the outcomes of r_x are given by the row sums of the joint probabilities, while the probabilities of the outcomes of r_y are given by the column sums of the joint probabilities.
- Thus,

$$E(r_x) = (1/3)(0.01) + (1/3)(0.03) + (1/3)(0.05) = 0.03$$

and

$$E(r_y) = 0.07.$$

The variance of returns

- The **variance** of returns are both measures of how dispersed returns can be—the greater the dispersion, the greater the variance.
- The variance is defined as the expected value of the square of the differences between outcomes and their mean:

$$\text{var}(r_X) = \sigma^2(r_X) = E[r_X - E(r_X)]^2.$$

- For example, letting $\sigma^2(r_X)$ denote the variance of return on financial instrument X ,

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma^2(r_X) &= E[r_X - E(r_X)]^2 \\ &= (1/3)(0.01 - 0.03)^2 + (1/3)(0.03 - 0.03)^2 + (1/3)(0.05 - 0.03)^2 \\ &= 0.000267.\end{aligned}$$

The standard deviation of return

- The square root of variance is the **standard deviation**.
- Note that the standard deviation of return on financial instrument X is

$$\sigma(r_X) = (0.000267)^{1/2}.$$

- Similarly

$$\sigma(r_Y) = (0.0006)^{1/2}.$$

Covariance

- The **covariance** between r_X and r_Y , denoted by $cov(r_X, r_Y)$, is a measure of the statistical association between the returns on the two financial instrument.

- Covariance is defined as:

$$cov(r_X, r_Y) = E(r_X r_Y) - E(r_X)E(r_Y).$$

- In the present example this covariance is equal to zero because the two returns for the financial instruments are distributed independently.
- You can see the returns are statistically independent by noting that regardless of which outcome you consider for r_X , the probabilities of the three outcomes for r_Y are all equal.

Expected utility

- An intuitive way to characterize a risk averter's behavior is to say that downside risk is regarded more seriously by a risk averter than an equal upside potential is valued, meaning that the risk-averse investor requires to be compensated if she is to accept risk.
- Consider the lottery X : payoff of \$10 and - \$10 with equal probability.
- This lottery's mean is zero.

Certainty equivalent value

- One can calculate the **certainty equivalent value** for this lottery, which is defined as an amount of cash, paid or received with certainty, that the decision maker regards as just equal to the value of the lottery.
- Hence, a risk-averse investor's certainty equivalent value for lottery X will be negative.
- In the present example (continuing with the practice of not including dollar signs in the utility function),

$$U(c) \equiv E[u(X)] = (1/2)u(10) + (1/2)u(-10) \leq u(0)$$

where c is the certainty equivalent value of the lottery and “ \equiv ” means “is defined to be.”

Key points 1

- The environments in which financial decisions are taken can be viewed as either uncertain or as risky.
- Uncertainty refers to an environment that is difficult to specify, even qualitatively.
- In contrast to decision making under uncertainty, decision making under risk allows taking advantage of quantitative problem formulations.
- Nearly all of the models used in financial economics assume that decisions are taken under risk.
- A decision maker facing risk may strive to maximize the expected utility of final possible outcomes.

Key points 2

- Many financial decision problems focus on transferring risk.
- There are three main types of risk transfer—hedging, insurance, and diversification.
- Hedging involves eliminating the possibility of making either gains or losses.
- Insurance involves paying premiums to eliminate certain downside outcomes.
- Diversification involves combining different prospects in ways designed to reduce downside risks without selling them off.