

ECON8000: Quantitative Skills for Economics

Lecture 5: Linear Algebra

Shino Takayama

University of Queensland

February 2020

Linear Transformations

If V and W are vector spaces over F , a function $L : V \rightarrow W$ is a **linear transformation** if:

- ▶ $L(u + v) = L(u) + L(v)$ for all $u, v \in V$.
- ▶ $L(\alpha v) = \alpha L(v)$ for all $\alpha \in F$ and $v \in V$.

The identity function on V is a linear transformation.

If $M : W \rightarrow X$ is a second linear transformation, then $M \circ L$ is a linear transformation because

$$M(L(u + v)) = M(L(u) + L(v)) = M(L(u)) + M(L(v))$$

and

$$M(L(\alpha v)) = M(\alpha L(v)) = \alpha M(L(v)).$$

Inverse Linear Transformations

Theorem: If $L : V \rightarrow W$ is a linear transformation and a bijection, then L^{-1} is a linear transformation.

Proof. Fix $u, v \in W$ and $\alpha \in F$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} L^{-1}(u + v) &= L^{-1}(L(L^{-1}(u)) + L(L^{-1}(v))) \\ &= L^{-1}(L(L^{-1}(u) + L^{-1}(v))) = L^{-1}(u) + L^{-1}(v) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$L^{-1}(\alpha v) = L^{-1}(\alpha L(L^{-1}(v))) = L^{-1}(L(\alpha L^{-1}(v))) = \alpha L^{-1}(v).$$

Linear Independence, Spans, and Bases

There are three key concepts related to a vector space V :

- ▶ A set $S \subset V$ is **linearly (in)dependent** if there (do not) exist distinct $v_1, \dots, v_k \in S$ and scalars $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in F$, not all of which are 0, such that $\alpha_1 v_1 + \dots + \alpha_k v_k = 0$.
- ▶ The **span** of a set $S \subset V$ is the set of all **linear combinations** $\alpha_1 v_1 + \dots + \alpha_k v_k$, where $v_1, \dots, v_k \in S$ and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in F$.
- ▶ A set $B \subset V$ is a **basis** of V if it is linearly independent and its span is all of V .

The Matrix of a Linear Transformation

If $L : V \rightarrow W$ is a linear transformation and v_1, \dots, v_n and w_1, \dots, w_m are bases for V and W , then there are scalars a_{ij} such that $L(v_j) = a_{1j}w_1 + \dots + a_{mj}w_m$ for each $j = 1, \dots, n$. The **matrix of L** with respect to these bases is

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{bmatrix}.$$

If $v = d_1v_1 + \dots + d_nv_n$, then

$L(v) = \sum_j d_j(\sum_i a_{ij}w_i) = \sum_i (\sum_j a_{ij}d_j)w_i$. so to compute the coefficient $\sum_j a_{ij}d_j$ of w_i in $L(v)$ we pick up the i^{th} row

$[a_{i1} \quad \cdots \quad a_{in}]$ of A , turn it 90 degrees, and drop it on the

column vector $\begin{bmatrix} d_1 \\ \vdots \\ d_n \end{bmatrix}$.

The Transpose

For the $m \times n$ matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{the transpose is} \quad A^T = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{m1} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{1n} & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{bmatrix}.$$

That is, A^T is the $n \times m$ matrix whose ij -entry is a_{ji} .

Matrix Multiplication

If $B = (b_{hi})$ is a second $\ell \times m$ matrix, the **product** of B and A is

$$BA = \begin{bmatrix} b_{11}a_{11} + \cdots + b_{1m}a_{m1} & \cdots & b_{11}a_{1n} + \cdots + b_{1m}a_{mn} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ b_{\ell 1}a_{11} + \cdots + b_{\ell m}a_{m1} & \cdots & b_{\ell 1}a_{1n} + \cdots + b_{\ell m}a_{mn} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Permutations

A **permutation** of a finite set is a bijection from that set to itself. The **symmetric group on n elements**, denoted by Σ_n , is the set of permutations $\sigma : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$. For any two permutations σ and τ , $\tau \circ \sigma$ is also a permutation.

Swaps

If $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, let $(i\ j)$ be the element of Σ_n such that

$$(i\ j)(k) = \begin{cases} i, & k = j, \\ j, & k = i, \\ k, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In standard terminology a permutation such as $(i\ j)$ is called a **2-cycle** (you can probably guess what a 3-cycle is) but my preferred term is **swap**. We let $(i\ i)$ denote the identity.

The Sign of a Permutation

The **sign** of σ , denoted by $\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)$ is -1 or 1 according to whether σ is a composition of an odd or even number of swaps. Note that

$$\operatorname{sgn}(\tau \circ \sigma) = \operatorname{sgn}(\tau) \cdot \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma).$$

From this it follows that

$$\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma^{-1}) = \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma).$$

The Determinant

The **determinant** of an $n \times n$ matrix $A = (a_{ji})$ is

$$\det(A) = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_n} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) a_{\sigma(1)1} \cdots a_{\sigma(n)n}.$$

Theorem: If A and B are $n \times n$ matrices, then

$$\det(BA) = \det(A) \cdot \det(B).$$

Proof. Combining the definitions of matrix multiplication and the determinant, we compute that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \det(BA) &= \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_n} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1}^n b_{\sigma(1)j} a_{j1} \right) \times \cdots \times \left(\sum_{j=1}^n b_{\sigma(n)j} a_{jn} \right) \\
 &= \sum_{j_1=1}^n \cdots \sum_{j_n=1}^n \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_n} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) b_{\sigma(1)j_1} a_{j_1 1} \cdots b_{\sigma(n)j_n} a_{j_n n} \\
 &= \sum_{j_1=1}^n \cdots \sum_{j_n=1}^n \det(C(j_1, \dots, j_n))
 \end{aligned}$$

where $C(j_1, \dots, j_n)$ is the matrix with entries $b_{kj_i} a_{j_i i}$. If $j_i = j_{i'}$ for distinct i and i' , then this matrix has two identical rows and its determinant vanishes. Therefore we can sum over those j_1, \dots, j_n that are all different, and let τ be the permutation $1 \rightarrow j_1, \dots, n \rightarrow j_n$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\det(BA) &= \sum_{\tau \in \Sigma_n} \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_n} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) b_{\sigma(1)\tau(1)} a_{\tau(1)1} \cdots b_{\sigma(n)\tau(n)} a_{\tau(n)n} \\
&= \sum_{\theta \in \Sigma_n} \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_n} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) b_{\sigma(\theta(1))1} a_{1\theta(1)} \cdots b_{\sigma(\theta(n))n} a_{n\theta(n)} \\
&= \sum_{\theta \in \Sigma_n} \sum_{\rho \in \Sigma_n} \operatorname{sgn}(\rho \circ \theta^{-1}) b_{\rho(1)1} a_{1\theta(1)} \cdots b_{\rho(n)n} a_{n\theta(n)} \\
&= \sum_{\theta \in \Sigma_n} \sum_{\rho \in \Sigma_n} \operatorname{sgn}(\rho) \cdot \operatorname{sgn}(\theta) b_{\rho(1)1} a_{1\theta(1)} \cdots b_{\rho(n)n} a_{n\theta(n)} \\
&= \left(\sum_{\rho \in \Sigma_n} \operatorname{sgn}(\rho) b_{\rho(1)1} \cdots b_{\rho(n)n} \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{\tau \in \Sigma_n} \operatorname{sgn}(\tau) a_{\tau(1)1} \cdots a_{\tau(n)n} \right) \\
&= \det(B) \cdot \det(A).
\end{aligned}$$

In this calculation we let $\theta = \tau^{-1}$ (note that $\sigma \mapsto \sigma^{-1}$ is a bijection) then set $\rho = \sigma \circ \theta^{-1}$ (note that $\sigma \mapsto \sigma \circ \theta^{-1}$ is a bijection).

Nonsingularity and Invertibility

Let V and W be n dimensional vector spaces, let $L : V \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation, and let $A = (a_{ij})$ be the matrix of L with respect to bases v_1, \dots, v_n and w_1, \dots, w_n . We say that L and A are **nonsingular** if $\det(A) \neq 0$; otherwise they are **singular**.

The $n \times n$ **identity matrix** is

$$I_n = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

An $n \times n$ matrix A is left (right) invertible if there is an $n \times n$ matrix B such that $BA = I_n$ ($AB = I_n$) which we call a **left (right) inverse**.

Example

Consider

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & x_{23} \\ x_{31} & x_{32} & x_{33} \end{bmatrix}.$$

- ▶ $(1, 2, 3) \rightarrow (1, 2, 3)$
- ▶ $(1, 2, 3) \rightarrow (1, 3, 2)$: $2 \rightleftharpoons 3$
- ▶ $(1, 2, 3) \rightarrow (2, 1, 3)$: $1 \rightleftharpoons 2$
- ▶ $(1, 2, 3) \rightarrow (2, 3, 1)$: $1 \rightleftharpoons 2$ and $3 \rightleftharpoons 1$
- ▶ $(1, 2, 3) \rightarrow (3, 1, 2)$: $3 \rightleftharpoons 1$ and $1 \rightleftharpoons 2$
- ▶ $(1, 2, 3) \rightarrow (3, 2, 1)$: $1 \rightleftharpoons 3$

Thus the determinant of X is

$$x_{11}x_{22}x_{33} - x_{11}x_{32}x_{23} - x_{21}x_{12}x_{33} + x_{21}x_{32}x_{13} + x_{31}x_{12}x_{23} - x_{31}x_{22}x_{13}.$$

Observation

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} & \det \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & x_{23} \\ x_{31} & x_{32} & x_{33} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= x_{11} \cdot \det \begin{bmatrix} x_{22} & x_{23} \\ x_{32} & x_{33} \end{bmatrix} - x_{21} \cdot \det \begin{bmatrix} x_{12} & x_{13} \\ x_{32} & x_{33} \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ x_{31} \cdot \det \begin{bmatrix} x_{12} & x_{13} \\ x_{22} & x_{23} \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Useful Tip

Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix such that

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ 0 & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\det(A) = \det(A_{11}) \cdot \det(A_{22}).$$

Law 1

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ ca_{j1} & \cdots & ca_{jn} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix} = c \cdot \det \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{j1} & \cdots & a_{jn} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix} .$$

Law 2

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{j1} + b_{j1} & \cdots & a_{jn} + b_{jn} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \\ = \det \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{j1} & \cdots & a_{jn} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix} + \det \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ b_{j1} & \cdots & b_{jn} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix} .$$

Law 3

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{k1} & \cdots & a_{kn} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{k1} & \cdots & a_{kn} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

In the end

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{j1} & \cdots & a_{jn} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ ca_{k1} + da_{j1} & \cdots & ca_{kn} + da_{jn} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix} = c \cdot \det \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{j1} & \cdots & a_{jn} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{k1} & \cdots & a_{kn} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

Solving Systems of Equations

Suppose that V and W are n dimensional vector spaces, A is the matrix of the linear transformation $L : V \rightarrow W$ with respect to the bases v_1, \dots, v_n and w_1, \dots, w_n , and $w = \beta_1 w_1 + \dots + \beta_n w_n$ is a point in W . Typically we imagine solving the equation $L(v) = w$, which means finding all $v = \alpha_1 v_1 + \dots + \alpha_n v_n$ that satisfy it. We write this as a system of equations:

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

The standard method for solving this is called **Gaussian elimination** in honor of Carl Friedrich Gauss, even though it appears in a Chinese book from 179 A.D., and was also described by Newton. The idea is to use the first equation to solve for α_1 , then substitute this into the other equations, reducing to a system of $n - 1$ equations in $n - 1$ unknowns.

We can describe this in terms of row operations on the matrix equation above. Supposing that $a_{11} \neq 0$, we add $-a_{n1}/a_{11}$ times the first equation, to the last equation, obtaining

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{n-1,1} & a_{n-1,2} & \cdots & a_{n-1,n} \\ 0 & a_{n2} - \frac{a_{n1}}{a_{11}}a_{12} & \cdots & a_{nn} - \frac{a_{n1}}{a_{11}}a_{1n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_{n-1} \\ \beta_n - \frac{a_{n1}}{a_{11}}\beta_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

One can repeat this until all entries in the first column, except for a_{11} , are zero. The second equation is then used to eliminate α_2 from all equations except the second, and so forth.

Eventually we obtain a diagonal matrix, and at that point we multiply the i^{th} equation by $1/a_{ii}$.

An Example

We solve the system

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & -1 \\ -3 & -1 & 2 \\ -2 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ -11 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Successive row operations yield

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & -1 \\ -3 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ -11 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ 1 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 1 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ \frac{3}{2} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ \frac{3}{2} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Obviously the column vector we are solving for doesn't change, and doesn't add any information, so when done by hand the algorithm is usually expressed in terms of an augmented matrix in which the last column is what for us is the right hand side.