

ECON8000: Quantitative Skills for Economics

Lecture 3: Topology and Metric Spaces I

Shino Takayama

University of Queensland

February 2020

Vector Spaces

A **vector space** over a field F is a triple $(V, +, \cdot)$, where $+: V \times V \rightarrow V$ and $\cdot: F \times V \rightarrow V$ are operations called **vector addition** and **scalar multiplication**, such that:

- ▶ $(u + v) + w = u + (v + w)$ for all $u, v, w \in V$.
- ▶ $u + v = v + u$ for all $u, v \in V$.
- ▶ There is a $0 \in V$ such that $v + 0 = v$ for all $v \in V$.
- ▶ For each $v \in V$ there is $-v \in V$ such that $v + (-v) = 0$.
- ▶ $\alpha(\beta v) = (\alpha\beta)v$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in F$ and $v \in V$.
- ▶ $1v = v$ for all $v \in V$.
- ▶ $(\alpha + \beta)v = \alpha v + \beta v$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in F$ and $v \in V$.
- ▶ $\alpha(u + v) = \alpha u + \alpha v$ for all $\alpha \in F$ and $u, v \in V$.

Examples: a) F itself; b) $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ is a vector space over \mathbb{Q} ; c) \mathbb{R} is a vector space over \mathbb{Q} ; d) $C([0, 1])$ is the set of continuous functions $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Inner Products

If V is vector space over an ordered field F , an **inner product** on V is a function $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V \rightarrow F$ such that:

- ▶ $\langle v, w \rangle = \langle w, v \rangle$ for all $v, w \in V$.
- ▶ $\langle u + v, w \rangle = \langle u, w \rangle + \langle v, w \rangle$ for all $u, v, w \in V$.
- ▶ $\langle \alpha v, w \rangle = \alpha \langle v, w \rangle$ for all $\alpha \in F$ and all $v, w \in V$.
- ▶ $\langle v, v \rangle \geq 0$ for all $v \in V$, and $\langle v, v \rangle = 0$ if and only if $v = 0$.

Example: On F^n the **standard inner product** is

$$\langle x, y \rangle = x_1 y_1 + \cdots + x_n y_n.$$

An **inner product space** is a vector space endowed with an inner product. We define the **norm** of $v \in V$ to be

$$\|v\| = \sqrt{\langle v, v \rangle}.$$

The Cauchy-Schwartz Inequality

Let V be an inner product space.

Theorem: $|\langle v, w \rangle| \leq \|v\| \cdot \|w\|$ for all $v, w \in V$, with equality if and only if $w = \alpha v$ or $v = \alpha w$ for some $\alpha \in F$.

Proof. If $w = 0$, then $\langle v, w \rangle = 0$ (proof?) and $\|v\| \cdot \|w\| = 0$, and $w = 0v$. Therefore suppose that $w \neq 0$. If $\lambda = \langle v, w \rangle / \|w\|^2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \|v - \lambda w\|^2 = \langle v - \lambda w, v - \lambda w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle - \lambda \langle v, w \rangle - \lambda \langle w, v \rangle + \lambda^2 \langle w, w \rangle \\ &= \|v\|^2 - 2\langle v, w \rangle^2 / \|w\|^2 + \langle v, w \rangle^2 / \|w\|^2 = \|v\|^2 - \langle v, w \rangle^2 / \|w\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

If this holds with equality, then $\langle v - \lambda w, v - \lambda w \rangle = 0$ and thus $v = \lambda w$. On the other hand if $v = \alpha w$, then $\|v\| = \sqrt{\alpha^2 \|w\|^2} = |\alpha| \cdot \|w\|$, so $|\langle v, w \rangle| = |\alpha \|w\|^2| = \|v\| \cdot \|w\|$.

Normed Spaces

Let V be a vector space over an order field F . A **norm** on V is a function $\|\cdot\| : V \rightarrow F$ such that:

- a) $\|v + w\| \leq \|v\| + \|w\|$ for all $v, w \in V$.
- b) $\|\alpha v\| = |\alpha| \cdot \|v\|$ for all $\alpha \in F$ and $v \in V$.
- c) $\|v\| \geq 0$ with equality if and only if $v = 0$.

Examples: On F^n the **city block norm** is

$\|x\|_1 = |x_1| + \cdots + |x_n|$, and the **sup norm** is $\|x\|_\infty = \max_i |x_i|$.

A **normed space** is a vector space over an ordered field that is endowed with a norm.

If V is an inner product space, the norm derived from the inner product is, in fact, a norm: c) is one of the conditions in the definition of an inner product, for b) we have

$$\|\alpha v\| = \sqrt{\langle \alpha v, \alpha v \rangle} = \sqrt{\alpha^2 \cdot \|v\|^2} = |\alpha| \cdot \|v\|,$$

and the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned} (\|v + w\|)^2 &= \langle v + w, v + w \rangle = \|v\|^2 + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \|w\|^2 \\ &\leq \|v\|^2 + 2\|v\| \cdot \|w\| + \|w\|^2 = (\|v\| + \|w\|)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Metric Spaces

A **metric space** is a pair (X, d) where X is a set and $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a function, called a **metric**, such that:

- ▶ $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$.
- ▶ $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$. (Symmetry)
- ▶ $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$. (Triangle Inequality)

If V is a normed space and we set $d(v, w) = \|v - w\|$, then d is a metric: $d(v, w) = 0$ if and only if $v = w$ because $\|v\| = 0$ if and only if $v = 0$, $d(v, w) = d(w, v)$ because

$$\| -v \| = | -1 | \cdot \| v \| = \| v \|,$$

and

$$d(u, w) = \|u - w\| \leq \|u - v\| + \|v - w\| = d(u, v) + d(v, w).$$

If $x \in X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, the ε -ball centered at x is

$$\mathbf{B}_\varepsilon(x) = \{y \in X : d(x, y) < \varepsilon\}.$$

We extend this notation to balls around sets: if $S \subset X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, then

$$\mathbf{B}_\varepsilon(S) = \bigcup_{x \in S} \mathbf{B}_\varepsilon(x) = \{y \in X : d(x, y) < \varepsilon \text{ for some } x \in S\}.$$

A set $U \subset X$ is **open** if, for every $x \in U$, there is some $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\mathbf{B}_\varepsilon(x) \subset U$. We observe that \emptyset and X itself are open. If U and V are open, then $U \cap V$ is open: if $x \in U \cap V$, then there are $\varepsilon_U, \varepsilon_V > 0$ such that $\mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon_U}(x) \subset U$ and $\mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon_V}(x) \subset V$, so $\mathbf{B}_{\min\{\varepsilon_U, \varepsilon_V\}}(x) \subset U \cap V$. If I is an arbitrary index set and $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a collection of open set, then $\bigcup_i U_i$ is open.

Topological Spaces

A **topological space** is a pair (X, τ) in which X is a set and τ is a set of subsets of X , called **open sets**, such that:

- ▶ $\emptyset \in \tau$ and $X \in \tau$.
- ▶ If $U, V \in \tau$, then $U \cap V \in \tau$.
- ▶ For any $\mathcal{C} \subset \tau$, $\bigcup_{U \in \mathcal{C}} U \in \tau$.

We say that τ is a **topology** for X .

Roughly speaking, we are going to work backwards through these different types of spaces, from most general to increasingly structured. In this way we will see each of the concepts that we bring to bear on \mathbb{R}^n , such as convexity or limit, at the maximum level of generality, which is its most natural setting, and we will get some initial taste of the organization of modern mathematics. It should be understood that we are skimming the surface, since each of these topics could easily be studied in a semester length course.

Topological Spaces

Recall that a **topological space** is a pair (X, τ) in which X is a set and τ is a set of subsets of X , called **open sets**, such that:

- ▶ $\emptyset \in \tau$ and $X \in \tau$.
- ▶ If $U, V \in \tau$, then $U \cap V \in \tau$.
- ▶ For any $\mathcal{C} \subset \tau$, $\bigcup_{U \in \mathcal{C}} U \in \tau$.

We say that τ is a **topology** for X .

Example: If $X = \{a, b\}$ and $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, X\}$, then τ is a topology for X that cannot be derived from any metric on X . (Why?)

We will not be interested in topologies that are not derived from metrics, even though they are very important in some branches of mathematics. The reason we study topological spaces is that defining concepts at this level of generality (when possible) is clarifying, and proofs that use only topological concepts are usually simpler than those that mix in metric space concepts.

Interior, Closure, and Boundary

Let (X, τ) be a topological space. A set $C \subset X$ is **closed** if its complement $C^c = X \setminus C$ is open, i.e., $C^c \in \tau$. Note that \emptyset and X are closed, the union of two closed sets is closed, and the intersection of an arbitrary collection of closed sets is closed.

Let $S \subset X$ be a set.

- ▶ The **interior** $\text{int } S$ of S is the largest open set contained in S . (This makes sense because the union of all open sets contained in S is open.)
- ▶ The **closure** \overline{S} of S is the smallest closed set containing S . (This makes sense because the intersection of all closed sets containing S is closed.)
- ▶ The **boundary** or **frontier** of S is $\partial S = \overline{S} \setminus \text{int } S$.

We say that S is a **neighborhood** of a point x if there is an open set U such that $x \in U \subset S$.

Continuous Functions

Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be topological spaces. A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is **continuous** if, for all $V \in \sigma$, $f^{-1}(V) \in \tau$, i.e., *preimages of open sets are open*.

Consider a function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

- ▶ If f is continuous in the ε - δ sense, then, for any open $V \subset \mathbb{R}$, $f^{-1}(V)$ is open because if $x \in f^{-1}(V)$, then $\mathbf{B}_\varepsilon(f(x)) \subset V$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, so $\mathbf{B}_\delta(x) \subset f^{-1}(\mathbf{B}_\varepsilon(f(x))) \subset f^{-1}(V)$ for some $\delta > 0$.
- ▶ Suppose that, for every open $V \subset \mathbb{R}$, $f^{-1}(V)$ is open. If $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\varepsilon > 0$, then $\mathbf{B}_\varepsilon(f(x))$ is open, so $f^{-1}(\mathbf{B}_\varepsilon(f(x)))$ is open and consequently contains $\mathbf{B}_\delta(x)$ for some $\delta > 0$.

If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous bijection and f^{-1} is continuous, then f is a **homeomorphism**. Topologically, X and Y are “the same” space.

Compositions Preserve Continuity

Recall that our main ways of constructing new functions from given functions are composition, restriction to a subdomain, and cartesian products. We now check that the first two of these preserve continuity, and we will eventually see that the third does as well.

Proposition: If X , Y , and Z are topological spaces and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ are continuous functions, then $g \circ f$ is continuous.

Proof. If $U \subset Z$ is open, then $g^{-1}(U)$ is open because g is continuous, and $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(U))$ is open because f is continuous, but $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(U)) = (g \circ f)^{-1}(U)$, so we have shown that $g \circ f$ is continuous.

Connected Sets

If X is a topological space, a set $A \subset X$ is **connected** if there do not exist open sets $U, V \subset X$ such that $U \cap V = \emptyset$, $A \cap U \neq \emptyset \neq A \cap V$, and $A \subset U \cup V$. Intuitively, A “doesn’t fall apart into multiple pieces.”

Theorem: If X and Y are topological spaces, $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous, and $A \subset X$ is connected, then $f(A)$ is connected.

Proof. Aiming at a contradiction, suppose that $f(A)$ is not connected, so there are open sets $U, V \subset Y$ such that $U \cap V = \emptyset$, $f(A) \cap U \neq \emptyset \neq f(A) \cap V$, and $f(A) \subset U \cup V$. Then $f^{-1}(U)$ and $f^{-1}(V)$ are open, by continuity, $A \cap f^{-1}(U) \neq \emptyset \neq A \cap f^{-1}(V)$, and $A \subset f^{-1}(U) \cup f^{-1}(V)$. This contradicts the assumption that A is connected.

Connected Components

Let X be a topological space. For each $x \in X$, the union of all connected sets that contain x is the **connected component** of x . The next result shows that the connected component is connected, so it is the largest connected set containing x .

Proposition: If $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a collection of connected sets, any two of which have a nonempty intersection, then $A = \bigcup_i A_i$ is connected.

Proof. Aiming at a contradiction, suppose that there are open sets U and V with $U \cap V = \emptyset$, $A \cap U \neq \emptyset \neq A \cap V$, and $A \subset U \cup V$. For each i , $A_i \subset U \cup V$, so either $A_i \subset U$ or $A_i \subset V$. There must be an i with $A_i \subset U$ and a j with $A_j \subset V$, but then $A_i \cap A_j \subset U \cap V = \emptyset$, contrary to hypothesis.

Connected Components are Closed

Proposition: If X is a topological space and $A \subset X$ is connected, then \overline{A} is connected.

Proof. For the sake of producing a contradiction suppose not, so there are open sets U and V such that $U \cap V = \emptyset$, $\overline{A} \cap U \neq \emptyset \neq \overline{A} \cap V$, and $\overline{A} \subset U \cup V$. It cannot be the case that $A \cap U \neq \emptyset \neq A \cap V$, so without loss of generality we may assume that $A \subset U$. Then $X \setminus V$ is a closed set that contains A , so $\overline{A} \subset X \setminus V$, which contradicts $\overline{A} \cap V \neq \emptyset$.

For any $x \in X$, the connected component of x is a connected set that contains all connected sets that contain x , so it contains its closure, which is to say it is closed.

Thus the set of connected components of points in X is a partition of X into closed sets.

Closed Intervals are Connected

Lemma: If $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a \leq b$, then $[a, b]$ is connected.

Proof. Aiming at a contradiction, suppose that $[a, b]$ is not connected, so there are (relatively) open $U, V \subset [a, b]$ with $U \cap V = \emptyset$, $U \neq \emptyset \neq V$, and $U \cup V = [a, b]$. Without loss of generality suppose that $a \in U$. Let

$$S = \{ t \in [a, b] : [a, t] \subset U \}.$$

Then S is nonempty because it contains a , and it is bounded above by b , so it has a least upper bound ℓ . If $\ell \in U$, then $[a, \ell] \subset U$ and $(\ell - \varepsilon, \ell + \varepsilon) \subset U$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, but then $[a, \ell + \varepsilon) \subset S$, which contradicts the definition of ℓ . On the other hand, if $\ell \in V$, then $(\ell - \varepsilon, \ell + \varepsilon) \subset V$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, and $\ell - \varepsilon$ is an upper bound of S , again contradicting the definition of ℓ .

Compact Sets

If X is a topological space, a set $A \subset X$ is **compact** if, whenever $\{U_i\}$ is a collection of open sets such that $A \subset \bigcup_i U_i$, there are U_{i_1}, \dots, U_{i_k} such that $A \subset U_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{i_k}$. That is, *every open cover of A has a finite subcover*.

This is not an intuitive definition. In spite of its enormous importance, the concept only started to emerge around 1910. But in comparison with “closed and bounded” (the equivalent definition for \mathbb{R}^n) and “every sequence has a convergent subsequence” (the equivalent definition for metric spaces) it is often easier to verify when doing a proof, due to its primitive and general character.

Basic Results for Compactness

Theorem: If X is a topological space, $K \subset X$ is compact, and $C \subset K$ is closed, then C is compact.

Proof. If $\{U_i\}$ is an open cover of C , then $\{U_i\}$ together with $X \setminus C$ is an open cover of K , so there are i_1, \dots, i_k such that $K \subset U_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{i_k} \cup (X \setminus C)$, and thus $C \subset U_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{i_k}$.

Theorem: If X and Y are topological spaces, $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous, and $K \subset X$ is compact, then $f(K)$ is compact.

Proof. Let $\{V_i\}$ be a collection of open subsets of Y that cover $f(K)$. By continuity each $f^{-1}(V_i)$ is open, so $\{f^{-1}(V_i)\}$ is an open cover of K . Therefore there are i_1, \dots, i_k such that

$$K \subset f^{-1}(V_{i_1}) \cup \dots \cup f^{-1}(V_{i_k}),$$

which means that $f(K) \subset V_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup V_{i_k}$.

Compact Subsets of \mathbb{R}

Lemma: For any $a < b$, $[a, b]$ is compact.

Proof. Let $\{U_i\}$ be an open cover of $[a, b]$. Let S be the set of $c \in [a, b]$ such that $[a, c]$ is contained in the union of finitely many of the U_i . There is some i such that $a \in U_i$, so $S \neq \emptyset$. Let ℓ be the least upper bound of S . If $\ell < b$, then $(\ell - \varepsilon, \ell + \varepsilon) \subset U_i$ for some i and $\varepsilon > 0$, and for some $c \in (\ell - \varepsilon, \ell)$ there is a finite cover of $[a, c]$, which combines with U_i to give a finite cover $[a, \ell + \varepsilon)$, contradicting the definition of ℓ . Thus $\ell = b$. Again $(b - \varepsilon, b] \subset U_i$ for some i and $\varepsilon > 0$, and for some $c \in (\ell - \varepsilon, \ell)$ there is a finite cover of $[a, c]$, which combines with U_i to give a finite cover $[a, b]$.

Theorem: A set $K \subset \mathbb{R}$ is compact if and only if it is closed and bounded.

Proof. A closed bounded set is compact because it is a closed subset of a bounded interval. To prove the converse suppose that K is compact. If K was unbounded $\{(-n, n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ would be an open cover with no finite subcover. If K was not closed there would be a number $t \in \overline{K} \setminus K$, so t would be contained in every closed set that contains K . Therefore there would be no $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $(t - \varepsilon, t + \varepsilon) \cap K = \emptyset$, so

$$\{(-\infty, t - \varepsilon) \cup (t + \varepsilon, \infty) : \varepsilon > 0\}$$

would be an open cover of K without a finite subcover.

Bonus Theorem for Economists: If X is a compact topological space and $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, then f is bounded and there is an $x \in X$ such that $f(x) = \max_{x' \in X} f(x')$.

Proof. $f(X)$ is compact.