MT543 Topics in Algebra

Notes taken by Stephen Nulty and John Brennan October 6, 2023

Note:

Any transcription mistakes and typos are my own.

Lectures by David Wraith. Lie Groups and Lie Algebras.

Lecture 1 25/09/23

missed this lecture - some intro to do with spheres, transformations and symmetries and other motivational stuff. Definition of an algebra (bilinear product) over a field.

0. Introduction

Lie groups have a dual nature: they are groups but also very special topological spaces. The algebraic and topological (spatial) properties are closely aligned. Lie groups and Lie algebras lie at the intersection of algebra, topology, geometry, analysis and more.

Definition 0.0.1. An algebra is a vector space V equipped with a bilinear map $m: V \times V \to V$.

Note, the "multiplication" map m does not have to be commutative or associative. In general, Lie algebras are neither commutative nor associative. Recall,

```
Commutativity: m(u, v) = m(v, u),
Associativity: m(m(u, v), w) = m(u, m(v, w)).
```

Every Lie group has an associated Lie algebra which encodes many properties of the group. Often this allows problems about Lie groups to be reduced to problems in (fancy!) linear algebra.

Example of a Lie group

The set of rottions of a ball centres on O in \mathbb{R}^3 is a Lie group. It is a group under composition of rotations. To "see" the topology here, notice that it makes sense to talk about two rotations being "close", so there is a sense of space. It makes sense to consider a continuous family of rotations. Continuity implies the existence of topology. We can identify this group with the matrix group SO(3). The map $\mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ given by $x \mapsto Ax$ for $A \in SO(3)$ is a rotation and every rotation occurs in this way. SO(3) is a subset (but not a subgroup) of the set/group of all (3×3) -real matrices $M_3(\mathbb{R})$. By listing the elements of any 3×3 matrix we get a bijection $M_3(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}^9$. As \mathbb{R}^9 has a natural topology (metric), this gives a natural topology on $M_3(\mathbb{R})$ and by restriction on SO(3).

Lecture 2 27/09/23

Sorting out tutorial times. Lectures: Monday 2pm MS2, Wednesday 2pm LGH, Thursday 12pm MS2.

Lie Groups, dual nature, Groups but also a topological geometrical character. Can prove things with a mix of both methods - intersection of various areas.

1. Groups of matrices

1.1. General Linear Groups

Quaternions will have a central role.

Consider groups of $N \times N$ matrices over the fields \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{C} and also over the quaternions.

Definition 1.1.1. The quaternions \mathbb{H} is a 4-dim real vector space with standard basis elements 1, i, j, k, equipped with an associative linear multiplication operation defined by

$$i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1, \quad ij = k, jk = i, ki = j$$

So a generic quaternion takes the form a + bi + cj + dk, $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$.

Observe, ji = j(jk) = (jj)k (by associativity) = $j^2k = -k$. Similarly kj = -i and ik = -j.

e.g.
$$(2+i-3k)(5+2i-j+k) = 10+4i-2j+2k+5i-2-k-j-15k-6j-61+3$$
 etc.

Quaternions is not commutative, so is not a field. However it is a skew field (division algebra).

Terminology - In a + bi + cj + dk, a is called the real or scalar part, and the rest bi + cj + dk imaginary or vector part.

In analogy with complex numbers,

Definition 1.1.2. 1. The conjugate of a+bi+cj+dk, is $\overline{a+bi+cj+dk} = a-bi-cj-dk$ 2. The norm of a+bi+cj+dk is $|a+bi+cj+dk| = \sqrt{a^2+b^2+c^2+d^2}$

Thus \mathbb{H} is a normed vector space. Next observe that for each $q \in \mathbb{H}$ $q\bar{q} = \bar{q}q = |q|^2$.

therefore (symbol) $q^{-1} = \bar{q}/|q|^2$. So $qq^{-1} = q\bar{q}/|q|^2 = |q|^2 = 1$, similarly for $q^{-1}q = 1$.

This allows division $q_1 \cdot q_2^{-1} = q_1 \bar{q}_2/|q_2|^2$. Writing q_1/q_2 is ambiguous however. $q_1 q_2^{-1} \neq q_2^{-1} q_1$ generically.

Clearly $\mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{H}$. A classic theorem of Frobenius asserts that \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{C} , \mathbb{H} are the only real associative division algebras. These objects similarly play a distinguished role in Lie group theory.

Convention: Suppose V is a vector space over the quaternions \mathbb{H} . We will adopt the convention that whenever we scale a vector $v \in V$ by a scalar $\lambda \in \mathbb{H}$, we multiply on the left, i.e. λv

Let $M_n(\mathbb{R}), M_n(\mathbb{C}), M_n(\mathbb{H})$ denote the sets (vector spaces!) of all $n \times n$ matrices over $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$.

Definition 1.1.3. The General Linear Groups $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$, resp. $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ is the group of $n \times n$ invertible matrices with \mathbb{R} resp \mathbb{C} coefficients. (Group under multiplication). Equivalently $GL_n(\mathbb{R}) = \{A \in M_n(\mathbb{R}) | \det(A) \neq 0\}$. Similarly $GL_n(\mathbb{C}) = \{A \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) | \det(A) \neq 0\}$.

(return to the idea of determinants of quaternions later).

Recall that for any matrix $A \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ we have two associated linear maps $L_A : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $L_a(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$, $R_A : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $R_a(\vec{x}) = \vec{x}A$.

It is well know that A is invertible (RC cases) \iff det(A) \neq 0 \iff L_A, R_A are isomorphisms.

Lecture $3 \ 02/10/23$

Thursday lecture moved to Friday at 10am in MS2.

Reminder:

• Quaternions \mathbb{H} , multiplication is associative not commutative. If V is a \mathbb{H} - vector space, we scale from the left only, i.e. λv for $\lambda \in \mathbb{H}$, $v \in V$.

- General linear groups $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$, $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ groups under * of all invertible \mathbb{R} resp. $\mathbb{C} n \times n$ matrices.
- $A \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$, $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is invertible iff $\det A \neq 0$ iff L_A , R_A are both invertible where $L_a(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$, $R_a(\vec{x}) = \vec{x}A$.

We now consider $M_n(\mathbb{H})$.

Definition 1.1.4. A function $f: \mathbb{H}^n \to \mathbb{H}^n$ is \mathbb{H} - linear if $f(\lambda_1 v_1 + \lambda_2 v_2) = \lambda_1 f(v_1) + \lambda_2 f(v_2), \ \forall \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{H}, v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{H}^n$.

Lemma 1.1.5. For $A \in M_n(\mathbb{H})$, $R_A : \mathbb{H}^n \to \mathbb{H}^n$ given by $R_a(\vec{x}) = \vec{x}A$ for $v \in \mathbb{H}^n$ a row vector, is \mathbb{H} - linear, however L_A is in general not \mathbb{H} - linear. Proof: exercise

idea is that associativity makes λvA ok, but not with left multiplication which is interfered by commutativity.

Lemma 1.1.6. For $A \in M_n(\mathbb{H})$, $R_A : \mathbb{H}^n \to \mathbb{H}^n$, is an \mathbb{H} -linear isomorphism iff A is invertible, i.e. $\exists B \in M_n(\mathbb{H})$ such that $AB = BA = I_n$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) If R_A is an iso. then there is a \mathbb{H} -linear inverse $(R_A)^{-1} : \mathbb{H}^n \to \mathbb{H}^n$. There is a corresponding matrix $B \in M_n(\mathbb{H})$. Since $R_A \circ (R_A)^{-1} = R_A \circ (R_A)^{-1} = I_n$. we deduce $BA = AB = I_n$ (NB order of matrices here!). Therefore $B = A^{-1}$.

$$(\Leftarrow)$$
 Similar.

Definition 1.1.7. The quaternionic general linear group $GL_n(\mathbb{H}) = \{A \in M_n(\mathbb{H}) | A \text{ is invertible}\} = \{A \in M_n(\mathbb{H}) | R_a \text{ is an iso.}\}$

NB: There is a problem with the notion of \mathbb{H} - determinant due to non-commutativity we'll return to this later (possible to define determinant and gl as ones with non-zero determinant, but defining it requires some thought.)

It turns out that we can view $\mathbb C$ and $\mathbb H$ - matrices/linear maps in terms of $\mathbb R$ - matrices.

Proposition 1.1.8. There is a real linear map $\rho_n: M_n(\mathbb{C}) \to M_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ such that the following diagram commutes.

$$\mathbb{C}^{n} \xrightarrow{\theta_{n}} \mathbb{R}^{2n}$$

$$\downarrow_{R_{A}} \qquad \downarrow_{R_{\rho_{n}(A)}}$$

$$\mathbb{C}^{n} \xrightarrow{\theta_{n}} \mathbb{R}^{2n}$$

where $\theta_n : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ is given by $\theta_n(a_1+ib_1,\ldots,a_n+ib_n) = (a_1,b_1,\ldots,a_n,b_n)$.

(compactly every complex matrix can be viewed as a real matrix of twice the size)

Remark: θ_n is a real linear isomorphism. This forces $R_{\rho_n(A)} = \theta_n \circ R_A \circ \theta_n^{-1}$. This is linear and therefore there is a corresponding matrix $\in M_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. See moodle.

Observation 1.1.9. ρ_n is injective. Proof: exercise.

Lemma 1.1.10. ρ_n satisfies $\rho_n(AB) = \rho_n(A)\rho_n(B)$. So ρ_n is an injective real-algebra homomorphism.

Proof. We compose commutative squares from 1.1.8 to get

$$\mathbb{C}^{n} \xrightarrow{\theta_{n}} \mathbb{R}^{2n} \\
\downarrow_{R_{A}} \qquad \downarrow_{R_{\rho_{n}(A)}} \\
\mathbb{C}^{n} \xrightarrow{\theta_{n}} \mathbb{R}^{2n} \\
\downarrow_{R_{B}} \qquad \downarrow_{R_{\rho_{n}(B)}} \\
\mathbb{C}^{n} \xrightarrow{\theta_{n}} \mathbb{R}^{2n}$$

On L.H.S. we have $R_B \circ R_A = R_{AB}$. (note order)

On R.H.S we have $R_{\rho_n(B)} \circ R_{\rho_n(A)} = R_{\rho_n(A)\rho_n(B)}$.

But since LHS is R_{AB} this means $R_{\rho_n(AB)} = \text{composition on RHS} =$ $R_{\rho_n(A)\rho_n(B)}$.

It's not surjective however. Q: What exactly is $\rho_n(A)$? Consider $(a+ib) \in$ $M_1(\mathbb{C})$.

$$R_{(a+ib)}(x+iy) = (x+iy)(a+ib) = (ax - by) + i(ay + bx)$$

Now $\theta_1(x+iy)=(x,y)\in\mathbb{R}^2$ etc.

So $\theta_1((ax - by) + i(ay + bx)) = (ax - by, ay + bx)$ The corresponding map from $\mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ is $(x, y) \mapsto (ax - by, ay + bx)$. Observe that

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix} = (ax - by, ay + bx)$$

So $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{R})$ corresponds under ρ_1 to $(a+ib) \in M_1(\mathbb{C})$. More generally

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} + ib_{11} & \dots & a_{1n} + ib_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} + ib_{n1} & \dots & a_{nn} + ib_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$$

corresponds to

is obtained by replacing each \mathbb{C} entry by its corresponding 2×2 real block.

Lecture 4 04/10/23

Last time:

- $A \in M_n(\mathbb{H})$ then $R_A : \mathbb{H}^n \to \mathbb{H}^n$ given by $R_a(\vec{x}) = \vec{x}A$. is \mathbb{H} linear (assuming coefficients in \mathbb{H} multiply on vectors from the left, x row vector). Left multiplication is not in general \mathbb{H} linear.
- Under the real linear isomorphism $\theta_n : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, $\theta_n(a_1 + ib_1, \dots, a_n + ib_n) = (a_1, b_1, \dots, a_n, b_n)$. Any complex-linear map $\mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ corresponds to a real-linear map $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \to \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ and in terms of matrices (an right multiplication) $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ corresponds to some matrix $\rho_n(A) \in M_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$.

If $A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} + ib_{11} & \dots & a_{1n} + ib_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} + ib_{n1} & \dots & a_{nn} + ib_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$

then

$$\rho_n(A) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & b_{11} \\ -b_{11} & a_{11} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \cdot \cdot \begin{vmatrix} a_{1n} & b_{1n} \\ -b_{1n} & a_{1n} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$\vdots \qquad \vdots \qquad \vdots$$

$$a_{n1} & b_{n1} \\ -b_{n1} & a_{n1} \end{vmatrix} \cdot \cdot \cdot \begin{vmatrix} a_{nn} & b_{nn} \\ -b_{nn} & a_{nn} \end{vmatrix}$$

Consider the \mathbb{C} linear map $\mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ given by $z \to zi$. This is R_A where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} i & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & i \end{pmatrix} = iI$$

For this matrix we have

$$ho_n(A) = egin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & & \mathbf{0} \\ -1 & 0 & & & & \mathbf{0} \\ \hline \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \hline \mathbf{0} & & & & & 0 & 1 \\ & & & & & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{I}_n$$

A map $f: \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ is \mathbb{C} linear if it is real linear and f(zi) = f(z)i.

Let $barf: \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ be the corresponding \mathbb{R} linear map and suppose this has matrix $B \in M_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$. Then the complex linearity requirement is $R_B \circ R_{\mathcal{I}_n} = R_{\mathcal{I}_n} R_B$.

Since $R_X = R_Y \iff X = Y$ we see this is equivalent to asking $B\mathcal{I}_n = \mathcal{I}_n B$. i.e. $B \in M_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ corresponds under θ_n to a complex linear map $\iff B\mathcal{I}_n = \mathcal{I}_n B$.

We'd proved

Corollary 1.1.11. The image of $\rho_n : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \to M_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ is the set of all of all matrices in $M_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ which commute with \mathcal{I}_n .

Remark: This shows that ρ_n is not surjective.

Lemma 1.1.12. There is an injective group homomorphism $\rho_n : GL_n(\mathbb{C}) \to GL_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$, given by restricting $\rho_n : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \to M_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. We just have to check that if $A \in GL_n(\mathbb{C})$, then $\rho_n(A)$ is invertible. Clearly $\rho_n(AA^{-1}) = \rho_n(A^{-1}A) = \rho_n(I_n)$ so by 1.1.10. $\rho_n(A)\rho_n(A^{-1}) = \rho_n(A^{-1})\rho_n(A) = \rho_n(I_n) = I_{2n}$.

 $\therefore \rho_n(A^{-1}) = \rho_n(A)^{-1}$, hence $\rho_n(A) \in GL_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$. So $\rho_n : GL_n(\mathbb{C}) \to GL_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$, and by 1.1.10 this is a (multiplicative) group homomorphism \square

Now for quaternion matrices.

First observe that there is a \mathbb{C} linear isomorphism $\phi_n : \mathbb{H}^n \to \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ given by $\phi_n(z_1 + w_1 j, \dots, z_n + w_n j) = (z_1, w_1, \dots, z_n, w_n)$.

(exercise to figure out a + bi + cj + dk as z + wj, with $\mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{H}$.)

Proposition 1.1.13. There is an injective \mathbb{C} linear map $\psi_n: M_n(\mathbb{H}) \to M_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ s.t. the following square commutes:

$$\mathbb{H}^{n} \xrightarrow{\phi_{n}} \mathbb{C}^{2n} \\
\downarrow^{R_{A}} \qquad \downarrow^{R_{\psi_{n}(A)}} \\
\mathbb{H}^{n} \xrightarrow{\phi_{n}} \mathbb{C}^{2n}$$

i.e. $\phi_n \circ R_A = R_{\psi_n(A)} \circ \phi_n$. Moreover, ψ_n satisfies $\psi_n(AB) = \psi_n(A)\psi_n(B)$.

Proof. Analogous to that of prop 1.1.8 and lemma 1.1.10. Exercise! \Box

Remark: It is easily checked (exercise!) that
$$\psi_1(z+wj) = \begin{pmatrix} z & w \\ -\bar{w} & \bar{z} \end{pmatrix}$$

More generally, image of ψ_n consists of block matrices with blocks of this form (analogous to ρ_n).

By restricting to invertible matrices we obtain:

Corollary 1.1.14. There is an injective group homomorphism $\psi_n : GL_n(\mathbb{H}) \to GL_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$.

Proof. Analogous to
$$1.1.12$$
 - exercise.

(you can compose the maps then to get a real 4n matrix from a quaternionic one)

Composing ρ_{2n} and ψ_n gives

Corollary 1.1.15. There is an injective \mathbb{R} linear map resp. group homomorphism given by $\rho_{2n} \circ \psi_n : M_n(\mathbb{H}) \to M_{4n}(\mathbb{R})$ resp. $\rho_{2n} \circ \psi_n : GL_n(\mathbb{H}) \to GL_{4n}(\mathbb{R})$.

Slogan: all groups of $\mathbb H$ or $\mathbb C$ matrices can be viewed as groups of real matrices!

Definition 1.1.16. (1.1.16) For $A \in M_n(\mathbb{H})$, $\det(A) := \det \psi_n(A)$.

Lecture 5 06/10/23

(Talking about matrices and linear maps, and in \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{C} and \mathbb{H} , there's a standard basis given to go between linear maps and matrices.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}^n & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}^n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{R}^{2n} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}^{2n} \end{array}$$

and you can go between $\mathbb R$ and $\mathbb C$ with a canonical map, where you forget the complex structure going to $\mathbb R$ from $\mathbb C$ or by pairing up the pairs of reals going to $\mathbb C$.)

Last time:

- There is a canonical \mathbb{C} linear isomorphism $\phi_n : \mathbb{H}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ given by $\phi_n(z_1 + w_1 j, \dots, z_n + w_n j) = (z_1, w_1, \dots, z_n, w_n)$.
- There is an injective homomorphism of complex algebras $\psi_n: M_n(\mathbb{H}) \to M_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\mathbb{H}^{n} \xrightarrow{\phi_{n}} \mathbb{C}^{2n}$$

$$\downarrow R_{A} \qquad \downarrow R_{\psi_{n}(A)}$$

$$\mathbb{H}^{n} \xrightarrow{\phi_{n}} \mathbb{C}^{2n}$$

• If $A \in M_n(\mathbb{H})$ then $\det(A) := \det \psi_n(A)$.

Proposition 1.1.17. (1.1.17 - need to fix the numbering) For $A \in M_n(\mathbb{H})$, A is invertible \iff det $A \neq 0$, i.e. $GL_n(\mathbb{H}) = \{A \in M_n(\mathbb{H}) \mid \det A \neq 0\}$.

Proof. We claim that A is invertible $\iff \psi_n(A)$ is invertible.

- (\Rightarrow) This is immediate from the multiplicative properties of ψ_n in 1.1.13.
- (\Leftarrow) In 1.1.14 we noted that the restricted map $\psi_n : GL_n(\mathbb{H}) \to GL_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ is a group homomorphism. \therefore if $\psi_n(A) \in GL_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ (i.e. is invertible) for $A \in M_n(\mathbb{H})$, then since im ψ_n is a subgroup of $GL_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$, $\exists B \in GL_n(\mathbb{H})$ s.t. $\psi_n(B) = [\psi_n(A)]^{-1}$. (Want to show now that B is the inverse of A.)

We have $\psi_n(AB) = \psi_n(A)\psi_n(B) = \psi_n(A)[\psi_n(A)]^{-1} = I_{2n}$. But ψ_n is injective, so we must have $AB = I_n$. Similarly BA = I. $B = A^{-1}$ i.e. A is invertible.

So the claim is true. \therefore A is invertible \iff ψ_n is invertible \iff elementary linear algebra $\det \psi_n(A) \neq 0 \iff$ $\det A \neq 0$

1.2. Orthogonal Groups

From now on we will only consider (skew) fields \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{C} , \mathbb{H} (= \mathbb{F}).

Recall that an inner product on a vector space V over \mathbb{F} is a map \langle , \rangle : $V \times V \to \mathbb{F}$ which is bilinear (i.e. linear in each entry) and is positive definite, i.e. $\langle v, v \rangle \geq 0 \ \forall v \in V$. If $v \neq 0, \langle v, v \rangle > 0$. e.g. dot product in \mathbb{R}^n .

Definition 1.2.1.

- a The standard inner product in \mathbb{F}^n is given by $\langle (x_1, \ldots, x_n), (y_1, \ldots, y_n) \rangle$ = $x_1 \overline{y_1} + \ldots + x_n \overline{y_n}$, where $\overline{y_i}$ is the conjugate of y_i . (If $y_i \in \mathbb{R}$ then $\overline{y_i} = y_i$). This is the dot product if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$, and is called a <u>Hermitian</u> inner product if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ or \mathbb{H} .
- b The standard basis for \mathbb{F}^n is (1, 0, ..., 0), (0, 1, ..., 0), ..., (0, 0, ..., 1).

Remarks: As $x\bar{x} = |x|^2$ for all $x \in \mathbb{F}^n$ we see that $\langle x, x \rangle \geq 0 \forall x \in \mathbb{F}^n$ for the standard inner products.

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$,

- $\langle \lambda x, y \rangle = \lambda \langle x, y \rangle$
- $\langle x, \lambda y \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle \bar{\lambda}$ (NB for $q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{H}$ $q_1 \bar{q}_2 = \bar{q}_2 \bar{q}_1$.)
- $\bullet \ \overline{\langle x, y \rangle} = \langle x, y \rangle.$

In the real case, vectors x, y are orthogonal if $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$. A basis $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ for \mathbb{R}^n is orthonormal if $|v_i| = 1 \forall i$ and $\langle v_i, v_j \rangle = 0$ for $i \neq j$. Exactly the same language is used if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, \mathbb{H} .

Lemma 1.2.2. $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is a (Hermitian) orthonormal basis $\iff \{\theta_n(v_1), \theta_n(iv_1), \ldots, \theta_n(v_n), \theta_n(iv_n)\}$ is an orthonormal basis for \mathbb{R}^n . $(\theta_n : \mathbb{C}^n \cong \mathbb{R}^{2n})$.

Proof. An easy computation sows that

$$\underbrace{\langle x,\,y\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}}_{\text{Hermitian I.P. on }\mathbb{C}^n} = \underbrace{\langle \theta_n(x),\,\theta_n(y)\rangle_{\mathbb{R}}}_{\text{dot product on }\mathbb{R}^n} + i\,\langle \theta_n(x),\,\theta_n(iy)\rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$$

Thus $\langle x, y \rangle = 0 \iff \langle \theta_n(x), \theta_n(y) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\langle \theta_n(x), \theta_n(iy) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$. The result now follows easily. (Exercise: complete the argument)