

25 January 2016 -- Computer Architectures -- part 1/2

Matr, Last Name, First Name

The website <http://www.ratemyprofessors.com>, self defines itself as being “the largest online destination for professor ratings in USA, UK and Canada”. The practice of providing students with tools to evaluate their professors is currently rather common at highly reputed universities and institutions. The Fabulous University of No-Nerds (FUN2) uses a polling system where students rate their professors on 4 criteria (C=clarity, A=availability, S=students involvement capabilities, E=efficiency of teaching) using scores from 1 to 6 (a zero denotes a no-rating provided by a student for that criterion). It is well known that each course at FUN2 accounts from a minimum of 11 students up to 42 max and that the number of rated professors is between 2 and 15 and that each professor teaches only one course. It is requested to write a program in 8086 that, upon receipt of a global “university” array of N EQU 630 elements with all scores for all professors, elaborates some statistics. The array SCORES is sorted by professor’s code (from 1 to 15) and the format of one entry of scores array is:

pppp ccc aaa sss eee

with pppp=professor’s code, from 1 to 15 (0000 means that the entry is not valid), and ccc, aaa, sss, eee are the scores for C, A, S, E; please note that 0 indicates that the student did not provide a rating for that criterion. Please also observe that all “non valid entries” (if any) are in the “lowest” part of the array SCORES DW N DUP(?)

For each professor it is necessary to compute the average evaluation for each criterion based on the scores received, i.e. AC, AA, AS, and AE. For each professor it is also necessary to compute the overall average evaluation denoted as Professor’s Score (PS), based on all the scores received in all criteria.

All averages have to be computed on 3 integer and 5 fractional bits (the extra bits can be easily neglected by truncation), i.e. XXX.YYYYY, where all stored within a single byte.

NOTES and HINTS (observe that)

- The array is sorted, i.e. all evaluations on professor 2 follow all evaluations of professor 1 and so on.
- For each valid line there is at least one valid criterion rating.
- Each professor has at least one valid evaluation for each single criterion.
- Right after the last evaluation row of professor 15 the rest of the array is filled with non-valid entries, which are therefore concentrated (if any) at the higher row indexes of the array SCORES.
- Maximum points collected for each criterion is $42 * 6 = 252$ i.e., fitting one byte.
- **To compute each criterion average value, it is necessary to implement a division on an operand on 16 bits divided by another operand on 8 bits; it is therefore necessary to extend the 8 bits storing the accumulated number of points (which is an integer value) to 16 bits in a suitable way. It is recommended to study and properly implement this extension by looking at the format of the target result (3 integer and 5 fractional bits).**
- Observe that, in general, PS is NOT the average of AC, AA, AS and AE, as there could be students who could have decided to rate only on some, but not all, criteria.
- For the computation of PS, students must determine, by looking at the worst cases, the sizes of variables involved in the computation and to do the proper “adjustments” before executing the division operation.

An Example (with 3 professors only)

INPUT				
Prof. code	C	A	S	E
1	5	0	5	4
1	6	6	5	6
1	5	5	4	5
1	5	0	4	0
2	2	1	1	2
2	1	1	1	0
3	2	3	2	2
3	3	4	2	3
3	2	4	3	3
3	2	2	1	2
0	-	-	-	-
0	-	-	-	-

Computations (N_C =number of C votes, etc)								
code	$\sum C$	$\sum A$	$\sum S$	$\sum E$	N_C	N_A	N_S	N_E
1	21	11	18	15	4	2	4	3
2	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	1
3	9	13	8	10	4	4	4	4
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

- denotes a don't care

RESULTS ($AC = \sum C / N_C$, etc)				
AC	AA	AS	AE	PS
5.25	5.5	4.5	5	65/13=5
1.5	1	1	2	9/7=1.28...
2.25	3.25	2	2.5	40/16=2.5
-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-

25 January 2016 -- Computer Architectures -- part 1/2

Matr, Last Name, First Name

Tasks to be implemented and corresponding points (only fully completed items will be considered to award points):

- **(MANDATORY) One and only one among Items 1A, 1B and 1C:**
 - Item 1A: write a running program assuming that there is only one professor in the university and computing AC, AA, AS and AE on one byte each, on 3 integer and 5 fractional bits; the program computes also PS assuming that each voting student has rated ALL criteria (in this particular case PS can be computed as the average of the averages AC, AA, AS and AE) **POINTS → 22**
 - Item 1B: write a running program assuming that there are exactly 15 professors and computing for each professor AC, AA, AS, AE and PS (as for Item 1A), again assuming that each voting student has rated ALL criteria (as for Item 1A, the computation of PS is very simple) **POINTS → 25**
 - Item 1C: write a running program assuming that there are up to 15 professors and computing AC, AA, AS, AE (as for Item 2A) and PS, in the general case when there could be students who have rated only some criteria (but not all) (simplifications for 1A and 1B no longer apply) **POINTS → 28**
- **ONLY FOR STUDENTS WHO CHOSE EITHER ITEM 1B OR ITEM 1C**
 - Item 2: identify and print the code of the best professor(s), i.e. this/these with the largest value of PS (please consider the truncated parts should be neglected) **POINTS → +3**
 - Item 3: identify and print the code of super professor(s), i.e. this/these whose PS value is greater than/equal to 4.75 **POINTS → +3**
 - Item 4: identify and print the code of professors whose PS value is greater than/equal to the average of all PS values **POINTS → +3**
- **Bonus Item (FOR ALL STUDENTS):** identify and print the code of professors who have obtained at least one among AC, AA, AS and AE equal to 6 (which is the maximum value achievable for each averaged score) **POINTS → +2** for students who solved 1A; **POINTS → +3** *for students who solved 1B or 1C*.

Please consider that a maximum of 33 points can be accounted here; larger values will be “cut off” to 33.

According to the example above:

- for Item 2, the best professor is #1 (with PS=5),
- for Item 3 there is only one above (or at least equal), i.e. professor #1 (with PS=5),
- for Item 4 the average PS is $(5+1.28...+2.5)/3 = 2.92...$ and therefore there is only one above (or at least equal), i.e. professor #1 (with PS=5),
- for Bonus Item, only NO professor has achieved at least one “6” in her/his average ratings (AC, AA, AS, AE).

REQUIREMENTS (SHARP)

- In the final program, the array of ratings is defined and initialized inside the program (no need to input/output)
- It is not required to provide the optimal (shortest, most efficient, fastest) solution, but a working and clear one.
- It is required to write at class time a short and clear explanation of the algorithm used.
- It is required to write at class time significant comments to the instructions.
- Input-output is not necessary in class-developed solution, but its implementation is mandatory for the oral exam.
- Minimum score to “pass” this part is 15 (to be averaged with second part and to yield a value at least 18)

REQUIREMENTS ON THE I/O PART TO BE DONE AT HOME

- The databases (if any, i.e. not necessary in case) have to be defined and initialized inside the code
- All inputs and outputs should be in readable ASCII form (no binary is permitted).

Please use carbon copy ONLY (NO PICTURES ARE ALLOWED) and retain one copy for home implementation and debug. At the end of the exam please give to professors all the sheets of your solution. Missing or late sheet will not be evaluated. Please provide your classroom submitted solution with several explanatory and significant comments. Please remember that only what has been developed at class time can and will be evaluated at oral time and that it is necessary to write the instructions of the program and not just the description of the algorithm. When coming to oral discussion, please clearly mark in red on your “classroom” copy, all modifications. Please also provide an error-free and running release of the solution, as well as with its printed list of instructions. Please consider that the above are necessary but not sufficient requirements to success the exam, since the final evaluation will be based on a number of parameters. FAILURE TO ACCOMPLISH ALL THE ABOVE NECESSARY REQUIREMENTS WILL CAUSE NO-QUESTION-ASKED AND IMMEDIATE REJECTION.