SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE			DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE ENGINEERING			
ProgramName:B. Tech		Assignment Type: Lab Aca		AcademicYear:202	emicYear:2025-2026	
CourseCoordinatorName		Venkataramana Veeramsetty				
Instructor(s)Name		Dr. V. Venkataramana (Co-ordinator)				
		Dr. T. Sampath Kumar				
		Dr. Pramoda Patro				
		Dr. Brij Kishor Tiwari				
		Dr.J.Ravichan	nder			
		Dr. Mohamm	and Ali Shaik			
		Dr. Anirodh I	Kumar			
		Mr. S.Naresh	Kumar			
		Dr. RAJESH	VELPULA			
		Mr. Kundhan	Kumar			
		Ms. Ch.Rajith				
		Mr. M Prakas	sh			
		Mr. B.Raju				
		Intern 1 (Dharma teja)				
		Intern 2 (Sai Prasad)				
		Intern 3 (Sowmya)				
		NS_2 ( Mou				
CourseCode	24CS002PC215	CourseTitle	AI Assisted Cod	ing		
Year/Sem	II/I	Regulation	R24			
Date and Day of Assignment	Week3 - Tuesday	Time(s)				
Duration	2 Hours	Applicableto Batches				
AssignmentNumber: 5.2 (Present assignment number)/24 (Total number of assignments)						
O No Our	ostion				vnostodT	

Q.No.	Question	ExpectedTi me
		to
		complete
1	Lab Objectives:  • To explore the ethical risks associated with AI-generated code. • To recognize issues related to security, bias, transparency, and copyright. • To reflect on the responsibilities of developers when using AI tools in software development. • To promote awareness of best practices for responsible and ethical AI coding.	Week3 - Wednesday

### Lab Outcomes (LOs):

After completing this lab, students will be able to:

- Identify and avoid insecure coding patterns generated by AI tools.
- Detect and analyze potential bias or discriminatory logic in AI-generated outputs.
- Evaluate originality and licensing concerns in reused AI-generated code.
- Understand the importance of explainability and transparency in AI-assisted programming.
- Reflect on accountability and the human role in ethical AI coding practices...

## Task Description#1 (Privacy and Data Security)

- Use an AI tool (e.g., Copilot, Gemini, Cursor) to generate a login system. Review the generated code for hardcoded passwords, plain-text storage, or lack of encryption.
- Prompt: Generate a login system for hardcoded passwords, plain-text storage, or lack of encryption.

## Expected Output#1

 Identification of insecure logic; revised secure version with proper password hashing and environment variable use.

```
Problems Output Debug Console Terminal Ports

Enter your username: john
Enter your password: password123
Login successful
PS D:\AIAC>
```

# Task Description#2 (Bias)

- Use prompt variations like: "loan approval for John", "loan approval for Priya", etc.
   Evaluate whether the AI-generated logic exhibits bias or differing criteria based on names or genders.
- Prompt: Generate a loan approval for Priya, john exhibits gender bias.

### Expected Output#2

 Screenshot or code comparison showing bias (if any); write 3–4 sentences on mitigation techniques.

```
PROBLEMS OUTPUT DEBUG CONSOLE TERMINAL PORTS

PS C:\Users\T-SHIRISHA\AppData\Local\Programs\Microsoft VS Code> & C:\Users\T-SHIRISHA\AppData\Local\Local\Programs\Microsoft VS Code> & C:\Users\T-SHIRISHA\AppData\Local\Programs\Microsoft VS Code> [
```

#### Task Description#3 (Transparency)

- Write prompt to write function calculate the nth Fibonacci number using recursion and generate comments and explain code document
- Prompt: Write a program in python to calculate the nth Fibonacci number using recursion.

# **Expected Output#3**

- Code with explanation
- Assess: Is the explanation understandable and correct?

```
PROBLEMS OUTPUT DEBUG CONSOLE TERMINAL PORTS

PS C:\Users\T-SHIRISHA\Documents\HTML\Ai> & C:\Users\T-SHIRISHA\AppData\
Enter a number: 10
Fibonacci number: 55
PS C:\Users\T-SHIRISHA\Documents\HTML\Ai> []
```

#### Task Description#4 (Bias)

- Ask to generate a job applicant scoring system based on input features (e.g., education, experience, gender, age). Analyze the scoring logic for bias or unfair weightings.
- Prompt: Generate a job applicant scoring system based on input features like education, experience, gender, age, etc.

# **Expected Output#4**

- Python code
- Analyze is there any bias with respect to gender or any

```
Problems Output Debug Console Terminal Ports

PS D:\AIAC> & C:/Users/T-SHIRISHA/AppData/Local/Microsoft/WindowsApps/python3.11.exe d:/AIAC/ai.py
Alice -> Score: 57
Bob -> Score: 55
Charlie -> Score: 60
PS D:\AIAC>
```

## Task Description#5 (Inclusiveness)

Code Snippet

```
def greet_user(name, gender):
    if gender.lower() == "male":
        title = "Mr."
    else:
        title = "Mrs."
    return f"Hello, {title} {name}! Welcome."
```

• Prompt: Modify the code to include the gender - neutral.

```
×
     File Edit Selection View
                                    Go
                                           Run
                                                  Terminal
                                                             Help
        ⋈ Welcome
                             🕏 fibo.py
                                            ×
         C: > Users > T-SHIRISHA > Documents > HTML > Ai > ♥ fibo.py > ...
          def greet_user(name, gender):
                     gender = gender.lower()
                     if gender == "male":
                      title = "Mr."
elif gender == "female":
title = "Mrs."
ピ
                       else: # gender-neutral or unspecified
  title = "Mx."
                       return f"Hello, {title} {name}! Welcome."
                  print(greet_user("John", "male"))
print(greet_user("Alice", "female"))
print(greet_user("Sam", "non-binary"))
           18
```

#### **Expected Output#5**

• Regenerate code that includes **gender-neutral** also

```
PROBLEMS OUTPUT DEBUG CONSOLE TERMINAL PORTS

PS C:\Users\T-SHIRISHA\AppData\Local\Programs\Microsoft VS Code> & C:\Users\T-SHIRISHA\, Hello, Mr. John! Welcome.

Hello, Mrs. Alice! Welcome.

Hello, Mx. Sam! Welcome.

PS C:\Users\T-SHIRISHA\AppData\Local\Programs\Microsoft VS Code> []
```

Note: Report should be submitted a word document for all tasks in a single document with prompts, comments & code explanation, and output and if required, screenshots

### **Evaluation Criteria:**

Criteria	Max Marks
Transparency	0.5
Bias	1.0
Inclusiveness	0.5
Data security and Privacy	0.5
Total	2.5 Marks