

The horosphere version of the Osserman conjecture and related topics

(based on the joint work with Mitsuhiro Itoh)

Hiroyasu Satoh
Nippon Institute of Technology, Japan

November 27, 2022

The 13th MSJ-SI
“Differential Geometry and Integrable Systems”
The 5th International Workshop
Geometry of Submanifolds and Integrable Systems

§0.1) Horospheres

Definition 1.1

Let M be an n -dimensional non-compact, simply connected, complete Riemannian manifold with no conjugate points.

Let $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ be a geodesic with unit speed.

- Associated γ , we can define the **Busemann function** $b_\gamma : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$b_\gamma(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \{d(x, \gamma(t)) - t\}$$

Here d is the Riemannian distance on M .

- A level hypersurface of b_γ is called a **horosphere**.

§0.2) Theorem A

Theorem A (Itoh-S, Kyushu J.Math. **67** (2013))

Let M be an n -dimensional *Hadamard* manifold.

Then M is an n -dimensional *real space form* of constant curvature $-k^2$ if and only if there is a constant k such that all horospheres of M are totally umbilic with constant principal curvature k .

Remark 1.2

A Busemann function on a Hadamard manifold is at least C^2 -class. Replacing the non-positive sectional curvature condition by the condition for a manifold to be *without focal points*.

See

- [J.-H.Eschenburg, Math.Z. **153**(1977)]
- [N.Innami, Adv.Stud.Pure Math. **3**(1984)]

§0.3) No conjugate points

Let M be a complete Riemannian manifold.

Definition 1.3

- M is said to have **no conjugate points** if any points $p, q \in M$ are not conjugate.
- Suppose $p, q \in M$ and γ is a geodesic connecting p and q . Then p and q are conjugate along γ if there exists a non-trivial Jacobi field $Y(t)$ along γ that vanishes at p and q .

Lemma 1.4

M has no conjugate points if and only if $\langle Y(t), Y(t) \rangle > 0$ for $t > 0$ where Y is any nontrivial Jacobi field along any geodesic with $Y(0) = 0$.

§0.4) No focal points

Let M be a complete Riemannian manifold.

Definition 1.5

- M is said to have **no focal points** if every geodesic $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ has no focal point as a 1-dimensional submanifold in M .
- Let $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ and $c : [0, \ell] \rightarrow M$ be geodesics satisfying $c(0) = \gamma(a)$ for a certain $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\langle \gamma'(a), c'(0) \rangle = 0$. For $k \in [0, \ell]$, $c(k)$ is called a focal point of γ along c if there exists a non-trivial Jacobi field $Y(t)$ along c with $Y(0)$ is parallel to $\gamma'(a)$ and $Y(k) = 0$.

Lemma 1.6

M has no focal points if and only if $\langle Y(t), Y(t) \rangle' > 0$ for $t > 0$ where Y is any nontrivial Jacobi field along any geodesic with $Y(0) = 0$.

We remark that if M has no focal point, then M has no conjugate points.

§0.5) Horosphere version of Osserman conjecture

We can rise the following conjecture.

Conjecture (Itoh-S, Kyushu J.Math. **67** (2013))

Let M be an n -dimensional non-compact, simply connected, complete Riemannian manifold with no focal points.

Then, M must be a rank-one symmetric space of non-compact type or a flat Euclidean space, provided that (*) every horosphere has constant principal curvature and these constants are common for all horospheres together with the common multiplicities.

The above is motivated by the *Osserman conjecture*.

§0.6) Osserman manifold and Osserman conjecture

Let M be a Riemannian manifold.

- R denotes the Riemannian curvature tensor of M .
- For $X \in T_p M$, the **Jacobi operator** $R_X : T_p M \rightarrow T_p M$ is defined by $R_X(Y) := R(Y, X)X$.

Definition 1.7

- ① M is called **pointwise Osserman** if the eigenvalues of the Jacobi operator R_X do not depend on the choice of a unit tangent vector $X \in T_p M$ at each point $p \in M$.
- ② M is called **globally Osserman** if M is pointwise Osserman and the eigenvalues of the Jacobi operator R_X are constant on M .

§0.6) Osserman manifold and Osserman conjecture

Osserman Conjecture (R.Osserman, Amer.Math.Monthly **97**(1990))

A globally Osserman manifold is two-point homogeneous, that is, flat or rank-one symmetric.

Theorem 1.8 (Y.Nikolayevsky, Math.Ann. **331**(2005))

A globally Osserman manifold of dimension $n \neq 8, 16$ is two-point homogeneous.

See also

- [Q.-S.Chi, Pacific J.Math. **150** (1991)]
- [P.Gilkey-A.Swann-L.Vanhecke, Quart.J.Math.Oxford(2) **46**(1995)]

§0.7) Similarities between the Osserman conjecture and our conjecture (horosphere version)

Suppose that we have a linear transformation $\mathcal{R}_X : X^\perp \rightarrow X^\perp$ determined by a unit tangent vector $X \in T_p M$.

Both of these conjectures can be interpreted as conjecture that *if the eigenvalues of a map \mathcal{R}_X are constant and do not depend on the choice of a unit tangent vector $X \in T_p M$ and $p \in M$, M is two-point homogeneous.*

§0.5) Horosphere version of Osserman conjecture

Definition 1.9

Let M be an n -dimensional non-compact, simply connected, complete Riemannian manifold with no focal points.

If (*) every horosphere of M has constant principal curvature and these constants are common for all horospheres together with the common multiplicities, then we call M an H-Osserman manifold.

Conjecture (Horosphere version of Osserman conjecture)

An H-Osserman manifold is two-point homogeneous, that is, flat or rank-one symmetric.

In this talk ...

§0 Introduction

§1 Horospheres and the shape operator

§2 Proof of our Theorems

Theorem B

If M is an H -Osseman manifold and satisfies a certain curvature condition (detailed later), then M is a rank-one symmetric space of non-compact type or flat Euclidean space.

§3 Geometry of asymptotically harmonic manifolds

Theorem C

If M is an H -Osseman manifold and homogeneous, then M is a rank-one symmetric space of non-compact type or flat Euclidean space.

§4 Osseman manifolds and Clifford structures

§1.1) The Busemann function and horospheres

Let M be an n -dimensional non-compact, complete, simply connected Riemannian manifold with **no conjugate points**.

Let γ be an arbitrary geodesic with unit speed in M .

- The Busemann function b_γ is a C^1 -convex function satisfying $|\nabla b_\gamma| = 1$, where ∇b_γ is the gradient vector field of b_γ .
- For any $p \in M$, there exists a geodesic $\sigma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ such that
 - ① σ passes through p ,
 - ② $\nabla b_\gamma(\sigma(t)) = -\sigma'(t)$.

Then, we call σ an **asymptote** to γ .

- For $c \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote a horosphere by $\mathcal{H}_\gamma(c) = b_\gamma^{-1}(-c)$.
Note that $\gamma(c) \in \mathcal{H}_\gamma(c)$.

§1.2) Horospheres and the shape operator

Let M be an n -dimensional non-compact, complete, simply connected Riemannian manifold with **no focal points**.

Let γ be an arbitrary geodesic with unit speed in M .

- The Busemann function b_γ is a C^2 -convex function satisfying $|\nabla b_\gamma| = 1$, where ∇b_γ is the gradient vector field of b_γ .
- Write $\mathbf{n} := -\nabla b_\gamma$.
- Restricting \mathbf{n} to a horosphere $\mathcal{H}_\gamma(c)$ defines a (outward) unit normal vector field on it.
- The shape operator $S_{\mathbf{n}}$ of $\mathcal{H}_\gamma(c)$ with respect to \mathbf{n} is defined by

$$S_{\mathbf{n}} : T_p \mathcal{H}_\gamma(c) \rightarrow T_p \mathcal{H}_\gamma(c); \quad S_{\mathbf{n}}(V) = \nabla_V \mathbf{n},$$

which is a self-adjoint endomorphism of $T_p \mathcal{H}_\gamma(c) = \mathbf{n}^\perp \subset T_p M$.

- $\langle S_{\mathbf{n}}(V), W \rangle = -\nabla db_\gamma(V, W)$ holds for $V, W \in T_p \mathcal{H}_\gamma(c)$.

§1.3) The Riccati equation

Lemma 2.1

Regarding the shape operator S_n as a $(1,1)$ -tensor field on M , we have

$$\nabla_n S_n + S_n \circ S_n + R_n = O,$$

where $R_n := R(\cdot, n)n$ is the Jacobi operator.

(Proof)

- Extend a vector field V on $\mathcal{H}_\gamma(c)$ to a vector field on M by parallel transport along a geodesic σ which is an asymptote to γ .
- Then we have $\nabla_n n = 0$ and $\nabla_n V = 0$.
- Calculate $R_n(V) = \nabla_V(\nabla_n n) - \nabla_n(\nabla_V n) - \nabla_{[V,n]}n$.



§1.3) The Riccati equation

Remark 2.2

We write

- the shape operator of $\mathcal{H}_\gamma(t)$ at $\gamma(t)$ by $S(t)$, and
- the Jacobi operator $R_{\gamma'(t)}$ at $\gamma(t)$ by $R(t)$.

Then, the Riccati equation along γ can be expressed as

$$S'(t) + S(t)^2 + R(t) = O.$$

Lemma 2.3

For any geodesic γ , the shape operator $S(t)$ of $\mathcal{H}_\gamma(t)$ at $\gamma(t)$ satisfies

$$S'(t) + S(t)^2 + R(t) = O$$

§2.1) One approach to solve our conjecture

Riccati Equation

$$S'(t) + S(t)^2 + R(t) = O \quad \text{along any geodesic } \gamma \quad (\text{RE})$$

Suppose that M is H-Osserman.

- If $S'(t) = O$ holds for any γ , we find that M is globally Osserman.
- Then, $R'(t) = -(S(t)^2)' = -S'(t) \circ S(t) - S(t) \circ S'(t) = O$.
- $R'(t) = O$ for any γ means that $(\nabla_X R)(Y, X)X = 0$ holds for any orthogonal vectors X, Y , which is equivalent to $\nabla R = O$, that is, M is locally symmetric.

See [L.Vanhecke-T.J.Willmore, Math.Ann. 263 (1983)].

Lemma 2.4 (Gilkey-Swann-Vanhecke (1995))

If M is pointwise Osserman and also locally symmetric, then M is flat or locally rank-one symmetric.

§2.2) Theorem A

Theorem A

Let M be an n -dimensional non-compact, simply connected, complete Riemannian manifold with no focal points.

*Then M is an n -dimensional **real space form** of constant curvature $-k^2$ if and only if there is a constant k such that all horospheres of M are **totally umbilic with constant principal curvature k** .*

(Proof of “ \Leftarrow ”)

- The assumption means $S(t) = k \operatorname{Id}_{\gamma'(t)^\perp}$ for any geodesic γ . Hence, we have $S'(t) = 0$.
- Moreover, $R(t) = -k^2 \operatorname{Id}_{\gamma'(t)^\perp}$ for any geodesic γ , which means that M has constant sectional curvature $-k^2$.



§2.3) Theorem B

Theorem B

Let M be an n -dimensional non-compact, simply connected, complete Riemannian manifold with no focal points.

Suppose that

- ① *M is H -Osseman, that is, every horosphere of M carries constant distinct principal curvatures $\lambda_1 < \cdots < \lambda_\ell (\leq 0)$, and these principal curvatures with the common multiplicity are independent of the choice of horospheres.*
- ② *for any geodesic γ , if $u \in T_{\gamma(0)}\mathcal{H}_{\gamma(0)}$ is a unit eigenvector of the shape operator with eigenvalue λ_i , then*

$$\langle R(t)u(t), u(t) \rangle \geq -\lambda_i^2$$

holds for any $t \geq 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, \ell - 1$).

Here $u(t)$ is the parallel extension of u along γ .

Then M is a flat Euclidean space or rank-one symmetric space of non-compact type.

§2.3) Theorem B : Outline of Proof

(Proof)

- For λ_i we set $T_{\lambda_i}(t) = S(t) - \lambda_i \text{Id}$ and $Q_{\lambda_i}(t) = R(t) + \lambda_i^2 \text{Id}$.
- Then, $\langle R(t)u(t), u(t) \rangle \geq -\lambda_i^2$ means $\langle Q_{\lambda_i}(t)u(t), u(t) \rangle \geq 0$.
- The Riccati equation (RE) can be rewritten to

$$T'_{\lambda_i}(t) + T_{\lambda_i}(t)^2 + 2\lambda_i T_{\lambda_i}(t) + Q_{\lambda_i}(t) = O. \quad (\text{MRE})$$

- By differentiating (MRE) j -times along γ , we obtain the following formula.

$$T_{\lambda_i}^{(j+1)}(t) + \sum_{r=0}^j \binom{j}{r} T_{\lambda_i}^{(r)}(t) \circ T_{\lambda_i}^{(j-r)}(t) + 2\lambda_i T_{\lambda_i}^{(j)}(t) + Q_{\lambda_i}^{(j)}(t) = O. \quad (\text{dMRE})$$

\vdots

§2.3) Theorem B : Outline of Proof

(Proof)

\vdots

- By induction on $i = 1, \dots, \ell - 1$ and $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ together with some basic lemmas, we get $T_{\lambda_i}^{(j+1)}(0)u = \mathbf{0}$ for any eigenvector $u \in T_{\gamma(0)}\mathcal{H}_\gamma(0)$ of the Shape operator $S(0)$ with eigenvalue λ_i .
- In our situation, M is analytic and the Busemann function is also analytic.
See [A.Ranjan-H.Shah, Geom.Dedicata **101** (2003)]
- Hence we obtain $T'_{\lambda_i}(t)u(t) = \mathbf{0}$ along γ , where $u(t)$ is the parallel extension of u .
- From the above argument, we derive $S'(t) = O$ for any geodesic.



§3.1) Harmonic and asymptotically harmonic manifolds

Definition 3.1

- A complete Riemannian manifold M is called a **harmonic manifold** if every geodesic sphere of sufficiently small radii is of constant mean curvature.
- A complete, noncompact Riemannian manifold M with no focal points is called a **asymptotically harmonic manifold** if every horosphere is of constant mean curvature.

Remark 3.2

- Every non-compact harmonic manifold is an asymptotically harmonic manifold.
- Every harmonic manifold is an Einstein manifold.

§3.1) Harmonic and asymptotically harmonic manifolds

Lichnerowicz Conjecture (Bull.Soc.Math.France **72** (1944))

Any harmonic manifold is two-point homogeneous.

- The Lichnerowicz conjecture is true for compact manifolds with finite fundamental group.
See [Z.I.Szabó, J.Differential Geom. **31** (1990)].
- There exists a class of non-compact harmonic spaces with non-positive sectional curvatures, which are homogeneous but non-symmetric (called “[Damek-Ricci space](#)”).
See [E.Damek-F.Ricci, Bull.Amer.Math.Soc. **27** (1992)]

§3.1) Harmonic and asymptotically harmonic manifolds

Theorem 3.3 (J.Heber, Geom.Funct.Anal. **16** (2006))

Let M be a non-compact, simply connected homogeneous space. Then the following are equivalent:

- ① *M is asymptotically harmonic and Einstein.*
- ② *M is flat, or rank-one symmetric of non-compact type, or a non-symmetric Damek-Ricci space.*

From this theorem, we immediately obtain the following fact.

§3.2) Theorem C

Theorem C

If M is H-Osserman and homogeneous, then M is a flat Euclidean space or rank-one symmetric space of non-compact type.

(Proof) It is enough to show that an H-Osserman manifold is

- asymptotically harmonic,
- Einstein and
- non-symmetric Damek-Ricci spaces are not H-Osserman.

Taking a trace of the Riccati equation $S'(t) + S(t)^2 + R(t) = 0$.

If M is H-Osserman,

- then $\text{Tr}(S'(t)) = 0$ holds.
- Hence we have

$$\text{Ric}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}) = \text{Tr}(R(t)) = -\text{Tr}(S(t)^2) = \text{Constant}$$

along any geodesic γ .



§4.1) Osserman manifolds and Clifford structures

Gilky-Swann-Vanhecke suggested the following approach to the Osserman conjecture:

- 1 show that the Riemannian curvature tensor of an Osserman manifold has a Clifford structure at every point.
- 2 classify Riemannian manifolds having curvature tensor as in (CS).

Definition 4.1

The Riemannian curvature tensor R has a $\text{Cliff}(\nu)$ -structure at $p \in M$ if

$$R(X, Y)Z = \lambda_0(\langle X, Z \rangle Y - \langle Y, Z \rangle X) + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} (\mu_i - \lambda_0)(2\langle J_i X, Y \rangle J_i Z + \langle J_i Z, Y \rangle J_i X - \langle J_i Z, X \rangle J_i Y), \quad (\text{CS})$$

where J_1, \dots, J_ν are skew-symmetric orthogonal operators satisfying the Hurwitz relations $J_i J_j + J_j J_i = -2\delta_{ij} \text{Id}_{T_p M}$ and $\mu_i \neq \lambda_0$.

Following the above scheme, Nikolayevsky proved his theorem.

§4.1) Osserman manifolds and Clifford structures

Remark 4.2

If the Riemannian curvature tensor R has a $\text{Cliff}(\nu)$ -structure at $p \in M$, then the Jacobi operator is expressed as the form

$$R_X(Y) = \lambda_0(\|X\|^2 Y - \langle Y, X \rangle X) + \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} (\mu_i - \lambda_0) \langle J_i X, Y \rangle J_i X. \quad (\text{CS}')$$

The eigenvalues are $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell$, where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell$ are the μ_i 's without repetitions.

The tensor R in the form (CS) can be reconstructed from (CS') using polarization and the first Bianchi identities.

§4.2) Clifford structure on a horosphere

In our case, we can also define a $\text{Cliff}(\nu)$ -structure with respect to the shape operator of a horosphere.

Definition 4.3

Let M be an n -dimensional non-compact, simply connected, complete Riemannian manifold with no focal points.

The shape operator S_n of a horosphere $\mathcal{H}_\gamma(c)$ has a $\text{Cliff}(\nu)$ -structure if

$$S_n(V) = \lambda_0 V + \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} (\mu_i - \lambda_0) \langle J_i \mathbf{n}, V \rangle J_i \mathbf{n}, \quad V \in T_p \mathcal{H}_\gamma(c). \quad (\text{H-CS})$$

where J_1, \dots, J_ν are skew-symmetric orthogonal operators satisfying the Hurwitz relations $J_i J_j + J_j J_i = -2\delta_{ij} \text{Id}_{\mathbf{n}^\perp}$ and $\mu_i \neq \lambda_0$.

§4.3) The shape operator of a horosphere in a rank-one symmetric space of non-compact type

Remark 4.4

Let M be a hyperbolic space other than the real hyperbolic space, namely, a complex, quaternionic, or octonionic hyperbolic space.

The shape operator of a horosphere in M is completely described as

$$S_n(V) = - \left(V + \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \langle J_i \mathbf{n}, V \rangle J_i \mathbf{n} \right), \quad V \in T_p \mathcal{H}_\gamma(c)$$

in terms of the metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and the associated complex (or quaternionic, octonionic) structure, normalized as holomorphic sectional curvature being -4 . Here $d = 2, 4$ and 8 for the complex, quaternionic and octonionic space, respectively.

§4.4) Question 1

Question 1

Will the scheme suggested by Gilkey-Swann-Vanhecke also be useful in our conjecture?

- 1 Show that the shape operator of a horosphere in an H-Osserman manifold has a Clifford structure
- 2 Classify manifolds whose horosphere has the shape operator as in (H-CS).

There are two difficulties in proceeding with this scheme in our problem:

- 1 To describe the relation of shape operators at point p of two horospheres that both contain point p .
- 2 If M is H-Osserman, do the shape operators satisfy the duality principle?

§4.5) The duality principle

Let \mathcal{V} be a linear space over \mathbb{R} .

Let $\mathcal{R} : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{V})$ be a map. (\mathcal{R}_X is a linear map on \mathcal{V} for $X \in \mathcal{V}$.

However, $X \mapsto \mathcal{R}_X$ does not have to be linear.)

Definition 4.5

We say that a map $\mathcal{R} : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{V})$ satisfies the duality principle, if for any unit vector $X, Y \in \mathcal{V}$, the vector Y is an eigenvector of \mathcal{R}_X if and only if the vector X is an eigenvector of \mathcal{R}_Y (with the same eigenvalue).

Theorem 4.6 (Z.Rakić(1999), Y.Nikolayevsky-Z.Rakić(2013))

The following two conditions are equivalent:

- 1 *The Jacobi operator satisfies the duality principle;*
- 2 *M is Osserman.*

§4.6) Question 2

Question 2

Are the following two conditions equivalent?

- 1 The shape operator of any horosphere satisfies the duality principle;
- 2 M is H-Osserman.