Lemma 1 (The Five-Lemma (Hatcher p. 129)). In a commutative diagram of abelian groups as below, if the two rows are exact and α, β, δ , and ε are isomorphisms then γ is an isomorphism.

- If β and δ are surjective and ε is injective then γ is surjective.
- If β and δ are injective and α is surjective then γ is injective.

Definition 1 (Separation (Munkres [?])). Let X be a topological space. A **separation** of X is a pair U, V of disjoint, nonempty, open subsets of X whose union is X. The space X is said to be **connected** if there does not exist a separation of X.

Lemma 2 (23.1 (Munkres [?])). If Y is a subspace of X, a separation of Y is a pair of disjoint, nonempty sets A, B whose union is Y, neither of which contains a limit point of the other. The space Y is connected if there exists no separation of Y.

Definition 2 (Separating Set). Let X be a (possibly disconnected) topological space and $S \subset X$. S separates X with a pair (U, V) if (U_i, V_i) is a separation of $X_i \setminus S_i$ for all i.

Definition 3 (Surrounding). Given $B \subset D \subset X$ the set B surrounds D in X if B separates X with the pair $(D \setminus B, X \setminus D)$. We will refer to such a pair as a surrounding pair in X.

Definition 4 (Extension). If S surrounds L in a subspace D of X let $\widehat{S} := S \sqcup (D \setminus L)$ denote the (disjoint) union of the separating set S with the complement of L in D. The extension of (L, S) in D is the pair

$$(D,\widehat{S}) = (L \sqcup (D \setminus L), S \sqcup (D \setminus L)).$$

Lemma 3. If (L,S) is a surrounding pair in a subspace D of X and L is open in D then

$$H_k(L \cap A, S) \cong H_k(A, \widehat{S})$$

for all k and any $A \subseteq D$ such that $\widehat{S} \subset A$.

Theorem 1. Suppose $B \subseteq B'$ surround D in X and $A \subseteq D$ such that $B' \subseteq A$. Suppose S surrounds L in D is such that $B \cap L \subseteq B$ and $D \setminus B' \subseteq L \setminus S$. Let $\eta^k : H_k(B) \to H_k(B')$ be induced by inclusion.

If η^k is surjective then

$$\operatorname{\mathbf{cok}} H_k((L \cap B', S) \to (L \cap A, S)) \cong H_k(A, B')$$

for all k.

Proof. Consider the following commutative diagrams of long exact sequences of pairs (A, B) and (A, B').

where vertical maps are induced by inclusion.

Because b and e are identity maps they are bijections, and therefore surjective and injective, respectively. With our hypothesis that η^{k-1} is surjective c is therefore surjective by Lemma 1.

Because S surrounds L in D, $H_k(L \cap A, S) \cong H_k(A, \widehat{S})$ for all k by Lemma 3. Note that $B \setminus (D \setminus L) = B \cap L \subseteq S$ implies $B \subseteq S \sqcup (D \setminus L) = \widehat{S}$. Moreover, $D \setminus \widehat{S} = (D \setminus S) \cap L = L \setminus S$ so $D \setminus B' \subseteq L \setminus S = D \setminus \widehat{S}$ implies $\widehat{S} \subseteq B'$. So we have a sequence of inclusions

$$H_k(A, B) \xrightarrow{m} H_k(A, \widehat{S}) \xrightarrow{n} H_k(A, B')$$

where $c = n \circ m$ factors through $H_k(A, \widehat{S})$. As we have shown, $c = n \circ m$ is surjective, therefore $n: H_k(A, \widehat{S}) \to H_k(A, B')$ must be surjective.

Now, consider the following long exact sequence of the triple (\hat{S}, B', A) .

$$\ldots \to \mathrm{H}_k(B',\widehat{S}) \xrightarrow{u} \mathrm{H}_k(A,\widehat{S}) \xrightarrow{n} \mathrm{H}_k(A,B') \to \ldots$$

Because n is surjective **im** $n = H_k(A, B')$ where **im** $n \cong \operatorname{\mathbf{coim}} n = \operatorname{\mathbf{cok}} u$ by exactness. As $S \subseteq B', A$ we have that $H_k(B', \widehat{S}) \cong H_k(L \cap B', S)$ and $H_k(A, \widehat{S}) \cong H_k(L \cap A, S)$ by Lemma 3, so

$$\operatorname{cok} H_k((L \cap B', S) \to (L \cap A, S)) \cong \operatorname{cok} u \cong H_k(A, B')$$

as desired. \Box

Questions

• Can we use cokernels the same way we have been using images? Typically the cokernel of $f: A \to B$ as $B/\text{im} \ f$ the same way to coimage is defined $A/\text{ker} \ f$. That is, the coimage and cokernel are quotients by subspaces, which may be more difficult to interpret than the kernel and image which are simple subspaces of the domain and codomain.

- How does this handle spurious features? First remember, we have already confirmed coverage (note, however, we do not seem to need to assume that $D \setminus B' \subseteq L...$ that doesn't seem right). Spurious features would give us false positives to the coverage problem. In any case, these features would be contained in both the domain $H_k(L \cap B', S)$ and codomain $H_k(L \cap A, S)$ of our function. Therefore, these features would be in our image, and the cokernel is the quotient of the codomain with the image, so these features are modded out.
- I believe n surjective implies we have a short exact sequence, which would imply u is injective: $\operatorname{im} u \cong \operatorname{H}_k(L \cap B', S)$. If we can interpret $\operatorname{H}_k(L \cap B', S)$ as a (normal) subspace of $\operatorname{H}_k(L \cap A, S)$ we may be able to compute the cokernel as $\operatorname{H}_k(L \cap A, S)/\operatorname{H}_k(L \cap B', S)$. I havent seen much on quotients of homology groups, let alone quotients of relative homology groups. For fun, let's expand

$$\mathbf{H}_{k}(L \cap A, S)/\mathbf{H}_{k}(L \cap B', S) = \frac{Z_{k}(L \cap A, S)/B_{k}(L \cap A, S)}{Z_{k}(L \cap B', S)/B_{k}(L \cap B', S)}$$
$$\frac{\left(\frac{Z_{k}(L \cap A)}{Z_{k}(S)}\right) / \left(\frac{B_{k}(L \cap A)}{B_{k}(S)}\right)}{\left(\frac{Z_{k}(L \cap B')}{Z_{k}(S)}\right) / \left(\frac{B_{k}(L \cap B')}{B_{k}(S)}\right)}$$