

Understanding the nanoscale structure of hexagonal phase lyotropic liquid crystal membranes

Benjamin J. Coscia,[†] Richard D. Noble,[†] Douglas L. Gin,^{†,‡} Joe Yelk,[¶] Matthew A. Glaser,[¶] Xunda Feng,[§] and Michael R. Shirts^{*,†}

[†]*Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering, University of Colorado Boulder,
Boulder, CO 80309, USA*

[‡]*Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder CO
80309, USA*

[¶]*Department of Physics, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder CO, 80309, USA*

[§]*Department of Chemical and Environmental Engineering, Yale University, New Haven,
Connecticut 06511, USA*

E-mail: michael.shirts@colorado.edu

Abstract

Nanostructured porous membranes made from the cross-linked hexagonal phase of self-assembled lyotropic liquid crystals (LLCs) are a promising material for selective separations. In this work, we develop an atomistic molecular model of an experimentally characterized LLC membrane. While it is relatively straightforward to create a stable hexagonal phase model, we demonstrate that closely reproducing the experimental pore structure requires a carefully constructed initial configuration in order to avoid falling into metastable basins. We use simulated X-ray Diffraction (XRD) patterns in order to ensure maximum consistency between our structures and experiment. We show that pores are likely composed of 5 columns of stacked LLC monomers which surround each hydrophilic core. Although this system has been reported as dry, we show that small amounts of water are necessary to fully reproduce the experimental XRD pattern due to asymmetries introduced by hydrogen bonds between monomer head groups and water molecules. We explore the composition and structure of the nanopores and reveal that there exists a composition gradient rather than a hard partition between the hydrophilic and hydrophobic regions. The clearer picture of the nanoscopic structure of these membranes provided in this study will enable a better understanding of the mechanisms of small molecule transport within these nanopores.

1 Introduction

More highly selective membranes would be extremely useful for the recovery of dissolved species in complex aqueous and organic solutions. For example, selective membranes can further progress forward osmosis processes by reducing the cost of separating potable water from draw solutions.¹ Additionally, flowback water (FW) produced during hydraulic fracturing is a complex wastewater full of potentially valuable dissolved organic compounds such as acetate that can be recovered using highly selective membranes.² Finally, a significant amount of nitrogen and phosphorus from fertilizer is found in wastewater. Selective mem-

branes offer a route towards low energy nutrient recovery from these wastewaters which can help sustain fertilizer production and subsequently food production into the future.³

Current commercial RO and NF membranes suffer limitations to their selectivity because they are unstructured. Although scalable, their fabrication involves the spontaneous assembly of polymers into disordered structures which offer separation pathways that are tortuous and polydisperse in size. This makes overcoming the well-known permeability-selectivity tradeoff a challenge. Namely, it is difficult to increase the permeability of a desired molecular or atomic species, while maintaining the same retention of an undesired species.⁴

Selective separation by a semipermeable membrane barrier is a function of the geometric and chemical interactions of solutes with the membrane material. A molecule's size, shape, charge and polarity combine to determine the degree to which a solute partitions into a membrane and how fast it travels through the membrane. To separate a component from a mixture, one must understand how to design membranes in order to tune the relative transport rates of desired and undesired solutes.^{5,6}

Crosslinked lyotropic liquid crystal (LLC) membranes may be capable of performing highly selective separations. LLCs are amphiphilic molecules that have the ability to self-assemble into porous nanostructures⁷ and can be crosslinked to create mechanically strong membrane films with pores on the order of 1 nm in diameter.⁸ Unlike most commercial NF membranes, LLC membrane pores are uniform in size because they are self-assembled. Since LLC membranes lack a pore size distribution, they inherently exhibit high selectivity due to their strict molecular weight cut-off (MWCO).⁸ Additionally, the LLC monomers described in this paper are salts, and therefore lead to Donnan exclusion of ions in solution. The membrane gains a net surface charge when counterions from the head groups that line the pore walls escape into the feed solution in an effort to balance the gradients of concentration and electric potential.⁹

The feasibility of nanostructured LLC membranes for selective separations has been demonstrated using LLCs that form the type 1 bicontinuous cubic (Q_1),¹⁰⁻¹² and the in-

verted hexagonal (H_{II})⁸ phases. When separating organic solutes from NaCl, Q_I phase membrane filtration experiments have shown selectivity 2–3 times higher than commercial RO and 6–12 times higher than commercial NF membranes.² When separating a series of various sized dyes, the H_{II} phase membrane showed complete rejection of dyes bigger than 1.2 nm in size.⁸

The H_{II} phase pore geometry has a higher theoretical capacity for transport than the Q_I phase. The H_{II} phase forms at room temperature in the presence of c.a. 10 wt% water and consists of hexagonally packed, hydrophilic pore columns.⁷ In the absence of water, neat monomer will form the same hexagonal columnar structure which, in literature, has been referred to as the Col_h thermotropic phase.¹³ Q_I phase membranes consist of a tortuous network of three dimensionally interconnected pores that prevent optimal through-plane transport. The densely packed, non-tortuous and uniform sized pores of H_{II} phase membranes represent the ideal geometry for achieving high solute flux.¹⁴ Despite the promise of the H_{II} phase, the hexagonally packed liquid crystalline domains, formed when monomers self-assemble, are isotropically aligned which is detrimental to membrane permeability.

Recently, researchers have learned how to macroscopically align the hexagonal domains which has revived research into H_{II} phase LLC membranes. Previously, research efforts were focused on the Q_I phase, whose geometry does not require alignment. In 2014, Feng et al. showed that one can align Col_h hexagonal domains, created by the monomer Na-GA3C11, using a magnetic field with subsequent cross-linking to lock the structure in place.¹³ In 2016, Feng et al. showed that one could obtain the same result using a second technique termed soft confinement.¹⁵ Current experimental efforts are focused on extending the method to the H_{II} phase and characterizing the performance of these newly aligned systems.

Our current understanding of the molecular details of LLC membranes' nanostructure is not sufficient to be able to precisely design them for specific separations. Over the past 20 years, H_{II} -phase LLC polymer membrane studies have been limited primarily to Na-GA3C11 with some characterization done after minor structural modifications. Resel et al. varied the




Figure 1: The LLC monomer Na-GA3C11 (a) rendered atomistically (b) exhibits wedge-like character (c). Monomer wedges assemble into disks (d) with hydrophilic head groups (blue) facing towards the disk center. The disks assemble into hexagonally packed columnar mesophases (e).

length of the monomer tails and the counterion used and observed its effect on pore spacing.¹⁶ In a later study of rejection performance, it was shown that membranes formed by cross-linked Na-GA3C11 in the H_{II} phase cannot separate solutes less than 1.2 nm in diameter because the pores are too large.⁸ We do not yet understand how to controllably reduce the effective pore size or how to tune the chemical environment in the nanopores of this or related materials for small molecule separations. The only source of predictive modeling for LLC systems have been macroscopic models that likely do not adequately describe transport at these length scales.¹⁰ Modeling with molecular detail could provide sufficient information about the mechanisms and chemical features to better inform experimental design of similar nanostructured membranes.

A molecular-level understanding of LLC membrane structure, enabled by molecular dynamics (MD) simulations, can provide guidelines to reduce the large chemical space available to design monomers for creation of separation-specific membranes. A good molecular model should incorporate a detailed picture of the nanoscopic pore structure which is crucial to understanding the role of monomer structure in solute transport and membrane design. Models resulting from molecular dynamics simulations can provide the required level of detail (Figure 2), assuming the force fields are sufficiently accurate. With such an atomistic model, we can directly observe molecular-level solute transport and suggest governing mechanisms. We can observe how the choice of head group interacts with solutes of interest. We can interchange counterions which may influence both the pore size and the strength of the Donnan potential.

In this study, we build a significantly more realistic atomistic model of LLC membranes than, to our knowledge, has ever previously been created, and explore what new structural information can be gained and what structure hypotheses are supported by this model. We validate the model using as much experimental information as possible. We are most interested in reproducing the conclusions about structure drawn from small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) and wide angle X-ray scattering (WAXS) experiments as well as in matching ionic

conductivity measurements.¹⁵




Figure 2: (a) Previous understanding of the pores are essentially speculations based on limited chemical and experimental data. (b) We use a detailed molecular model in this paper in order to appropriately model the pore’s complex architecture which is crucial to understanding the mechanism of solute transport. In both pictures, the head group region is colored blue and the tail region is colored cyan.

In this paper, we develop a molecular model of the Col_h assembly formed by Na-GA3C11. Compared to the H_{II} phase, the Col_h phase is a simpler starting point. The system has no water which allowed us to simulate longer timescales, and there exists detailed experimental characterization of the fully aligned state, including 2D wide-angle X-ray scattering (WAXS) patterns (Figure 3b) which are useful for reconstructing structural data. We will use this Col_h model in order to build an H_{II} model in the future.

There are five major features of interest present in the 2D experimental pattern shown in Figure 3b.

1. *R*- π : The location of the first is at $q_z = 1.7 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$, corresponding to a real space separation of 3.7 Å. Previous work¹³ attributes this reflection to π - π stacking between aromatic rings in the direction perpendicular to the membrane plane, or z-axis.¹³ For simplicity,

we will refer to this reflection as R- π .

2. *R-double*: A weak intensity line, located at exactly half the q_z value of R- π ($q_z = 0.85 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$), corresponds to real space periodicity of 7.4 Å. Since this reflection corresponds to double the spacing of R- π in real space, we will refer to this reflection as R-double. R-double has been interpreted as 2_1 helical ordering of aromatic rings along the z axis,¹³ meaning that if one traces the positions of the aromatic rings with a helical curve, then for each full turn in the helix, one will encounter two aromatic rings.
3. *R-alkanes*: A low intensity ring located at $r = 1.4 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ marks the third major reflection of interest. The real space separation corresponds to 4.5 Å which is characteristic of the average spacing between packed alkane chains.¹⁷ We will call this reflection R-alkanes.
4. *R-spots*: Within R-alkanes, are four spots of higher relative intensity. Accordingly, we name these reflections R-spots. The location of all spots is $\sim 37^\circ$ from the q_z axis in their respective quadrants. In many liquid crystal systems one can explain the spots as the product of alkane chains tilted with respect to the membrane plane.¹⁸
5. *R-pores*: The final feature corresponds to the spacing and symmetry of the d_{100} plane. This plane is geometrically related to the distance between pores. The feature, which we named R-pores, is characterized by dots along the equatorial axis defined by $q_z = 0$. The spacing between dots is indicative of the hexagonal symmetry of the packed pores. We observe the same information with higher resolution using SAXS (Fig. 3a).

Despite having structural data, there is still information which experiment cannot definitively answer. There are a number of structural questions we wish to answer in this article. We want to know:

1. What is the density of monomers that pack around each hydrophilic core?

Authors often describe this and similar systems as being made up of layers. A simple molecular simulation study of a similar molecule suggested that there are 4 monomers




Figure 3: (a) (Reproduced from 15) The repeat spacing in the 1D small angle X-ray scattering pattern is characteristic of hexagonal packing. The leading peak, q^* , represents the distance between the d_{100} planes. Using this distance, we know that the distance between pore centers is 4.12 nm. (b) 2D WAXS gives details about repeating features on the order of angstroms. Experimentalists have explained each of the 5 major reflections present as follows: (R- π) Aromatic head groups $\pi - \pi$ stack 3.7 Å apart. (R-double) Monomers arrange vertically in a 2_1 helix. (R-alkanes) Alkane chain tails pack 4.5 Å apart. (R-spots) Monomer tails are tilted with respect to the membrane plane. (V) As derived from SAXS, the pores are spaced 4.12 nm apart and pack hexagonally

in each layer. Their estimation is based on a simulated system containing only 16 total monomers which likely does not sufficiently model the chemical environment present in the real system.¹⁹ A separate calculation based on the volume of the liquid crystal monomers proposes that there are seven monomers in each layer.¹⁶

We are careful to avoid the term 'layers' since many liquid crystalline systems have long range order in 1 or 2 spatial dimensions and short range order in the other dimensions.²⁰ In the system we are studying, there are long-range 2D correlations in the hexagonal array of pores (xy plane) and short range z-direction correlations between stacked columns of monomers. In this study, we use our atomistic molecular model to study how the system's structure is affected by the density of these monomer columns that surround each pore's hydrophilic core.

2. What structural motif best matches experimental 2D WAXS patterns?

On the short timescales accessible to MD (even the 100's of nanoseconds of simulation performed here are short compared to experimental timescales), we observe distinct metastable configurations which depend on starting configuration. We simulated XRD patterns of our system and compared them to experimental 2D WAXS patterns (Figure 3b) so that we ensure our model creates a nanoscopic chemical environment maximally consistent with experiment within the constraints of our forcefield. Using this approach, we are able to confirm some previous interpretations of the WAXS pattern and refute others.

3. Is it necessary to include any water in order to appropriately model the Col_h phase?

While the Col_h phase is described as dry, it is likely that small amounts of ambient water are leached into the system. The hydrogen bonding network formed by the water may play a role in structuring the pore. We used simulated X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns to see if there is any meaningful structural difference between a "dry" and a "wet" system.

4. What is the chemical composition of the pores?

The limited picture that experiment provides tells us that there are hexagonally packed, hydrophilic regions where transport is likely to occur. One may instinctively imagine these regions as tube-like pathways with well-defined boundaries. We explored the composition of the pores, the partition between the hydrophilic and hydrophobic regions, and its sensitivity to initial configuration, including both dry and wet systems.

2 Methods

2.1 Monomer Parameterization

We parameterized the liquid crystal monomer Na-GA3C11 using the Generalized AMBER Force Field (GAFF)²¹ with the Antechamber package²² provided with AmberTools16.²³

We assigned atomic charges using the am1bccsym method of `molcharge` shipped with QUACPAC from Openeye Scientific Software. We ran all molecular dynamics simulations using GROMACS 2016.^{24–27}

We generated an ensemble of characteristic, low-energy vacuum monomer configurations by applying a simulated annealing process to a parameterized monomer. We cooled monomers from 1000K to 50K over 10 nanoseconds. We randomly pulled a low energy configuration from the trajectory then reassigned charges using `molcharge`. Using the new charges, we annealed the monomer system again and pulled a random monomer configuration from the trajectory which we used for full system construction (Figure 4a). See section S2 for further detail.

2.2 Unit Cell Preparation

The timescale for self-assembly of monomers into the hexagonal phase is unknown and likely outside of a reasonable length for an atomistic simulation, calling for a more efficient way to build the system. Previous work has shown a coarse-grained model self assemble into the H_{II} phase configuration in ∼1000 ns.²⁸ We attempted atomistic self-assembly by packing monomers into a box using Packmol.²⁹ Simulations of greater than 100 ns show no indicators of progress towards an ordered system (See section S1). To bypass the slow self-assembly process, we use Python scripts to assemble monomers into a structure close to one of a number of hypothesized equilibrium configurations (Figure 4).

A typical simulation volume contains four pores in a monoclinic unit cell, the smallest unit cell that maintains hexagonal symmetry when extended periodically. Each pore is made of columns of stacked monomers with periodic continuity along the pore axis, avoiding any edge effects and creating an infinite length pore ideal for studying transport. We prefer a small number of stacked monomers in order to reduce computational cost and to allow us to look at longer timescales. Ultimately, we chose to build a system with 20 monomers per column in order to minimize finite size effects with reasonable computational expense and to




Figure 4: (a) We parameterized a single monomer and annealed it to produce a low energy configuration. (b) A Python script assembles monomers into columns which are duplicated and rotated to surround hydrophilic pore centers. (c) We chose to stack twenty monomers into each column. (d) The pores are duplicated and placed into a monoclinic unit cell.

obtain sufficient resolution when simulating XRD patterns (see further discussion in section S3).

2.3 Monomer Placement

When constructing an initial configuration, there are a number of variables which require careful consideration while placing monomers. The equilibrium configuration is sensitive to some while insensitive to others. The starting pore radius, defined as the distance of a chosen head group carbon from the pore's central axis, does not influence the equilibrium structure if one chooses a reasonable value. The pore radius is chosen to be 0.5 nm in our initial configurations. The initial distance between pores, within a wide range, also has little effect on the equilibrated structure. However, one should not start them too close or there will be high energy repulsions during early equilibration. We chose an initial pore spacing of 4.5 nm, \sim 10% larger than the experimental value of 4.12 nm. A sensitivity analysis of both parameters is presented in the Supporting information, section S4. The distance between vertically stacked monomers, the xy position of monomers with respect to vertically adjacent monomers, and the number of columns per pore do influence the equilibrated structure and require further justification for their choices. We rely on experimental data to inform them.

We chose the vertical spacing between monomers for the initial configuration based on $R-\pi$ and then allowed the system to readjust during equilibration. We rotated each monomer so the plane of its aromatic head group would be coplanar with the xy plane. We explored three different initial monomer spacings. The first is exactly equal to $R-\pi$ with layers placed so aromatic rings stack 3.7 Å apart in the z-direction. We explore a second system with an initial spacing of 5 Å. We briefly explored a third system with an initial spacing of 10 Å. However this spacing yields non-physical behavior which is detailed in the Supporting Information, section S4.3.

We chose the relative orientation between vertically adjacent monomers in each column based on clues from diffraction data as well as the various known stacking modes of benzene

and substituted benzene rings: sandwiched, parallel-displaced and T-shaped.³⁰ We ruled out the T-shaped configuration because its $\sim 5 \text{ \AA}$ equilibrium stacking distance³⁰ is inconsistent with $R-\pi$. It is also infeasible for the monomers to orient in the T-shaped conformation because of the bulky tail groups. We explored the system's preference towards the sandwiched vs. parallel displaced stacking modes in some detail. Both have reported stacking distances near the $R-\pi$ value of 3.7 \AA . Head groups in our sandwiched initial configuration stack directly on top of each other while head groups in the parallel displaced initial configuration stack with an offset of $180^\circ / n_{\text{col}}$ where n_{col} is the number of columns per pore. See Figure S 5 for a detailed illustration of the initial configurations in each mode.

The number of columns per pore is unknown, as stated in question (1). We tested configurations constructed with a varied number of columns per pore. We built systems in the sandwiched and parallel displaced configurations with 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 monomers per layer.

2.4 Equilibration

We developed equilibration schemes for creating dry and wet configurations. Both schemes start with an initial configuration generated according to the previous guidelines. For wet systems, we added the desired concentration of water to the initial configuration and carried out equilibration in the same way as the dry systems. First, we fixed monomer head groups in place using position restraints with a force constant of $10^6 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \text{ nm}^{-2}$. We gradually released the position restraints by decreasing the force constants over a series of NVT simulations. We allowed the resulting unrestrained structure to equilibrate for 5 ns in the NPT ensemble with pressure controlled by the berendsen barostat followed by NPT equilibration simulations run for at least 400 ns using the Parrinello-Rahman barostat. More precise details are available in section S 5.

2.5 Equilibrium Calculations

2.5.1 Determining equilibration time

Using equilibrated structures, we carried out various calculations to characterize the system. We defined the point at which a system is equilibrated based on when the distance between pores stopped changing. We determined when the distances stopped changing by applying the statistical test, `pymbar.timeseries.detectEquilibration`, to the time series.^{31,32} Typically, the pore-to-pore distance equilibrated between 200 and 350 ns. We used data collected after equilibration to do all subsequent analysis.

2.5.2 Calculation of pore spacing

To calculate the equilibrated pore spacing, we measured the distance between pore centers. We located the pore centers by averaging the coordinates of sodium ions in their respective pores. We generated pore spacing statistics using the bootstrapping technique (See section S-6 of the Supporting Information).

2.5.3 Pair distribution functions and correlation length

The normalized pair distribution function, $g(\mathbf{r})$, describes the probability of finding a pair of particles separated by \mathbf{r} ,

$$g(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{\rho N} \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j \neq i}^N \delta(\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_i) \right\rangle \quad (1)$$

where ρ is the average number density of particles and $\delta(\mathbf{r})$ is the Dirac delta function.³³ We applied equation 1 in three dimensions and then extracted one dimensional distribution functions using slices of the grid along the appropriate axis.

We measured the one dimensional pair distribution function, $g(z)$, between centers of masses of aromatic head group rings along the z-axis (perpendicular to the membrane plane). We averaged all 1D slices in the z-direction of the full 3D correlation function within 2.1 Å of

$(x, y) = (0, 0)$. We chose 2.1 Å as a crude approximation of the radius of the phenyl ring plane. We calculated the radius as the sum of the longest C-C distance within a phenyl ring (2.8 Å) and two times the carbon atom radius (0.7 Å).

Here, $g(z)$ is characterized by an oscillatory function with a period equal to the average distance between stacked monomers, and an amplitude that decays exponentially (See Figure 8). The rate of decay is related to the correlation length, L, between monomer head groups. We estimated L by fitting the peaks of $g(z)$ to a decaying exponential function of the form:

$$Ae^{-z/L} \quad (2)$$

where A is a fitting parameter for amplitude, z is the independent variable of $g(z)$ and L is the fit correlation length. We calculated the error in the estimated value of L as the square root of the diagonal entry of the covariance matrix of optimized fit parameters.

We also used $g(z)$ to calculate the equilibrated vertical stacking distance between monomers, d_{equil} . We fit a decaying sinusoidal function to $g(z)$ of the form:

$$1 - A \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{d_{equil}}z + B\right) e^{-z/L} \quad (3)$$

where A and B are fit parameters for the function's amplitude and phase shift respectively. This function could be used in place of Equation 2, however it does not consistently fit the peaks of $g(z)$ from parallel displaced configurations well enough to extract a reliable value of L.

2.5.4 *Radial distribution functions*

We explored the pores' compositions by measuring the average number densities of various monomer components as a function of distance from the pore centers. We looked at the average number density of sodium ions, aromatic rings and carbon atoms making up the monomer tails. We binned the radial distance of all atoms in each group from the pore

centers, then normalized by the volume of the annulus defined by the bin edges and the z box vector (See Figure S20).

2.5.5 *Simulated structure factor calculations*

We generated simulated XRD patterns based on atomic coordinates in order to make a direct experimental comparison. We modelled all atomic coordinates as Gaussian spheres of electron density corresponding to each atom's electronic radius. A three dimensional Fourier transform (FT) of the array of electron density results in a three dimensional structure factor which represents the unit cell in reciprocal space. The experimental WAXS measurement was made using a vertically aligned film whose pores were oriented perpendicular to the direction of the incident X-ray beam. Although the pores were vertically aligned, the crystalline domains were still misaligned with respect to the xy plane. To account for this, we averaged 2D slices of the structure factor at all angles about $|\mathbf{q}| = (0, 0, z)$.

We normalized all diffraction patterns relative to R-alkanes. We believe that the alkane-alkane density, averaged over all angles, is the feature most likely to be replicated between experiment and simulation. Other features are dependent on system ordering which is likely to have some dependence on initial configuration. We calculated the average intensity within R-alkanes of the experimental pattern, I_{avg} and divided all intensities by this value. In this way, the average intensity of R-alkanes was equal to 1. When calculating I_{avg} , we excluded intensities within $\pm 30^\circ$ of the meridional axis defined by $q_r = 0$, since the simulated patterns differ from experiment in those regions in all cases. Specifically, in contrast to the experimental WAXS pattern, R- π , as it appears in the simulated diffraction patterns, intersects with R-alkanes (See Figure 6). We set an upper bound on the colorbar by multiplying I_{avg} by a scaling factor, f . Intensities that appear in the patterns $\geq f \times I_{avg}$ are colored uniformly. We applied the same scaling method to the simulated patterns. We carefully chose a scaling factor of $f = 3.1$ in order to visibly display all features in all patterns.

2.5.6 Ionic conductivity calculations

We calculated ionic conductivity using the Nernst-Einstein relationship, which relates the DC ionic conductivity, σ , to ion diffusivity, D , concentration, C , ion charge, q , the Boltzmann constant, k_b , and temperature, T :

$$\sigma = \frac{q^2 C D}{k_b T} \quad (4)$$

We measured sodium ion diffusion coefficients by calculating the slope of the linear region of the z-direction mean square displacement curve as indicated by the Einstein relation.³⁴ We visualized the MSD plot to determine where to begin and end a linear fit. We measured ion concentration with respect to the volume of the entire unit cell.

2.6 Cross-linking

In order to maximally match the experimental membrane synthesis process, we created a cross-linking algorithm that one can apply to equilibrated structures. The primary purpose of cross-linking is to create a mechanically robust membrane. For that reason, we are not concerned with replicating the kinetics of the reaction, but instead emphasize understanding how much and in what way cross-linking modulates the system's structure.

We based our cross-linking algorithm on the known reaction mechanism (Figure S25). The reaction takes place at the terminal vinyl groups on each alkane tail. The procedure is carried out iteratively. Each iteration, the algorithm chooses carbons to cross-link based on the distance between eligible carbon pairs. The algorithm then updates the topology with the new bonds and atom types, energy minimizes the system and runs a short simulation before selecting the next group of eligible carbons atoms.

3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Density of monomers around pores

Our simulations best support a model built with 5 monomer columns per pore based on the measured equilibrated pore-to-pore distances. To discern the composition of the monomer layers, addressing question 1, we ran simulations of systems created with 4–8 columns per pore. We built systems in both the parallel displaced and sandwiched configurations and equilibrated them according to the dry equilibration procedure. We tested all systems with an initial vertical monomer spacing, d , of 3.7 Å in accordance with R- π . We tested 4 additional systems with monomers initially spaced 5 Å apart vertically (See section 4.1 of the supporting info for more details on sensitivity to initial layer spacing). We considered the pore-to-pore spacing to be equilibrated as defined in section 2.5.1. Figure 5 shows the equilibrated pore-to-pore distances for all systems tested.




Figure 5: Systems with 5 columns per pore have equilibrated pore spacings closest to the experimental value of 4.12 nm. The equilibrated pore spacing of the model increases as the number of columns in each pore increases.

All systems tested, although equilibrated from the perspective of the metrics used here,

are frozen in metastable basins. Not all make physical sense or fit the experimental profile that we are trying to match. In the limit of infinite simulation time, all systems will in theory converge to a single equilibrium configuration, but that time is far beyond the 100's of nanosecond simulated here. For simplicity, we group the systems studied here into the ordered and disordered basins. Any system where $d = 3.7 \text{ \AA}$ will be included in the ordered basin and any system where $d = 5.0 \text{ \AA}$ will be included in the disordered basin. Generally, when monomers are started further apart, they stay further apart than systems where monomers are started closer together (see Table 2). Because of the extra space between stacked monomers, monomer head groups have more rotational freedom. We quantified the ordering of the head groups using the nematic order parameter (See section S ??). Disordered basin systems have a lower nematic order parameter (meaning they are more disordered) than ordered basin systems.

Systems built with 5 columns in each pore equilibrate to a pore spacing that is most consistent with the experimental value of 4.12 nm derived from SAXS measurements (Figure 3a). Ordered basin systems built with 4 columns per pore equilibrate to an average pore spacing 0.25 nm lower than experiment. Ordered basin systems built with 6 columns per pore, have an equilibrated pore spacing ca. 0.50 nm higher than experiment. Monomers in disordered basin systems built with 6 columns-per-pore agree with experiment within error, but stack too far apart. Those in the disordered sandwiched and disordered parallel displaced configurations stack ≈ 4.87 and 4.94 \AA apart respectively, which is ca. 1.2 \AA further apart than suggested by experiment. 5 column-per-pore systems stack, at a maximum, 0.9 \AA further apart than experiment (see Table 2). The remainder of this discussion will focus on the analysis of systems built with 5 columns per pore.

3.2 Simulated XRD comparison to 2D WAXS data

We further refined our structural understanding of the system by simulating X-ray diffraction patterns produced from equilibrated MD trajectories and comparing them to experiment.

We tested systems built with 5 columns per pore in the parallel displaced and sandwiched configurations at 300 K in the ordered and disordered basins. We generated simulated patterns using the equilibrated portion of each simulation trajectory. The patterns for all structures are shown and compared to experiment in Figure 6.

The diffraction patterns show some noise, especially along the axis where $q_r=0$. There are two main reasons for this noise. First is that we angle averaged the 3D structure factor (see section 2.5.5) which inherently gives less data as q_r approaches 0. Second, our simulations are not long enough to sample enough truly independent configurations within their respective metastable basins. By sampling more uncorrelated equilibrium configurations, we can suppress some of the noise (See section S9).

The simulated XRD patterns show moderate qualitative agreement with experiment. R-alkanes and R-pores appear in the expected location. R-pores is more intense than experiment, likely because we are simulating a near perfect, infinite hexagonal array. The real system has defects and domain misalignment which decreases the overall intensity. R-spots appears to be weaker, except for the ordered basin sandwiched configuration, in the simulated patterns. R-spots is also partially engulfed by the wide R- π reflection. R- π appears at a lower q_z value than experiment. In all systems, the reflection reaches its maximum at $q_z < 1.5 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ which means that monomers prefer to stack at least 4.2 Å apart rather than 3.7 Å. R-double does not appear in any of the patterns.

The simulated XRD patterns of the parallel displaced configurations show an additional reflection due to their helical structure. There are weak horizontal reflections near $|q_z| = 0.7 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$, half of the q_z value of R- π . The reflection does not cross through $q_r = 0 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ so it does not necessarily appear for the same reason as R-double. This type of pattern is characteristic of a helix.³⁵ The head groups in the parallel displaced configuration are arranged in a helix. It is possible that these reflections contribute to the diffuse reflections that connect R-spots and R-double in the experimental pattern.

We quantified the numerical discrepancies present when comparing the relative intensities




Figure 6: Simulated XRD patterns show some qualitative agreement with experiment. Shown is a comparison of the (a) Sandwiched, ordered basin (b) Sandwiched, disordered basin (d) Parallel displaced, ordered basin and (e) Parallel displaced, disordered basin configurations with (c) experimental WAXS. In all cases, R-pores, R-alkanes and R- π are present to some degree. R-spots is also present, however it is generally partially covered by the broad R- π reflection. Quantitative comparisons of the relative intensities of reflections of interest are presented in Table 1. In both XRD patterns generated from parallel displaced patterns, there is a faint line across $q_z \approx 0.7 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$, half the simulated value of R- π . Although it does not cross through $q_r = 0 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$, it is at the same q_z value where we expect R-double to be present. Still, R-double is not fully reproduced in any of the simulated patterns.

of each reflection of interest between experimental and simulated patterns. Table 1 shows the relative intensities of each reflection for all systems tested. The patterns are normalized so that the average intensity of R-alkanes must equal 1. We measured the approximate intensity of R- π and R-double by measuring the intensity of the appropriate peaks of the cross-section of the 2D pattern at $q_r = 0$. The relative intensity of R- π is significantly higher than experiment in our simulations. R-spots is measured as the average intensity within the region bounded by a 'spot'. We identified spots based on visual inspection. If the spots were not easily discernible, then the intensity was taken as that of the intersection of R-alkanes

at half the q_z value of R- π , since that is where it appears experimentally. The intensity of R-spots is slightly lower than experiment in all cases except for the ordered basin sandwiched configuration which agrees with experiment. There is no R-double intensity to be measured. Figures are provided in section S8 to make these measurements clear.

Table 1: The simulated XRD patterns of the systems tested, normalized so that the average intensity of R-alkanes equals 1, show R- π reflections that are significantly higher than experiment and R-spots reflections that are slightly lower than experiment. R-double does not appear in any patterns, and thus has no measurable intensity.

Reflection	Configuration					
	Experiment	Sandwiched	Parallel	Disordered	Disordered	
			Displaced	Sandwiched	Parallel	Displaced
R-alkanes	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0
R-spots	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.1		1.2
R- π	2.8	44.0	7.7	8.4		10.1
R-double	0.9	—	—	—		—

There are clear differences between simulated and experimental results that must be addressed and justified. We will explore the possible origin of select experimental reflections and form structural hypotheses related to their appearance in our simulated patterns. Specifically, we want answer:

1. What is the origin of R-spots?
2. Why is the intensity of R- π so much greater than experiment?
3. What is the origin of R-double?

3.2.1 Origin of R-spots

In order to more clearly study R-spots, we equilibrated an ordered basin sandwiched configuration at 280K. Obtaining an equilibrium structure at a precise temperature is a challenge that force fields often fail to overcome. Wang et al. highlighted the shortcoming of some of the most popular protein force field in predicting the temperature dependence of protein

structural ensembles.³⁶ It is possible that our membrane system, simulated at 300K, does not fully represent the true structure at 300K. Lowering the temperature to 280K helped us to better resolve R-spots.

Evidence strongly suggests that the R-spots signal is not a result of alkane chain tilt. Previous literature has attributed the spots in this particular WAXS pattern as the product of tilted alkane chains.¹³ We measured the tilt angle of the alkane chains of the 280K system and showed that it equilibrates to an average tilt angle of -2°(Fig. 7b), far from the 37°tilt angle previously used to explain R-spots.

To understand the true origin of R-spots, we determined which atoms gave rise to the feature. Since R-spots is present as higher intensity spots within R-alkanes, it is likely that the spots arise as a consequence of the tails. By removing all non-tail atoms from the trajectory and simulating a diffraction pattern with the remaining atoms, we were able to isolate the cause of the spots to the tails (Figure 7c). Since the tails stay nearly flat, we plotted their centroids (Figure 7d) and measured the angle between each centroid and its nearest neighbors with respect to the plane of the membrane. We see distinct peaks in the distribution of these angles (Figure 7).

The peaks in the nearest neighbor angle distribution are consistent with the location of R-spots. The peaks of interest in Figure 7e are located at $\pm 33^\circ$ which is the same location where the highest intensity of spots are located in the simulated patterns. We confirmed this by radially integrating the 2D WAXS pattern for $|q|$ values between 1.4 and 1.57 (between 4 and 4.5 Å in real space). We observe distinct peaks ca. 30°, in close agreement with the previously measured angle distribution (Figure 7f). We performed the same integration on the raw experimental data and found the angle at which R-spots reaches its highest intensity to be $\pm 37^\circ$ which is a reconcilable difference with our simulated results.




Figure 7: (a) R-spots increases in intensity when the temperature of the system is lowered to 280K. (b) We measured the average angle made between each monomer alkane tail and the membrane plane. The average tilt angle (dashed line) is near -2° which is far from the 37° tilt angle previously used to explain R-spots. (c) To isolate the main cause of R-spots, we removed all atoms from the trajectory except for carbon atoms that constitute the tails. The simulated XRD pattern of the tails-only trajectory still shows R-spots. (d) Since the tails stay relatively flat, we plotted the centroid of each tail and observed that they pack hexagonally (for example, green colored centroids in the plot surround the red centroid in hexagonal fashion). (e) We hypothesize that R-spots is the result of ordered tail packing. Defining the membrane plane to be 0° , we measured the angles between each alkane chain tail centroid and its nearest neighbor centroids for the equilibrated sandwiched configuration simulated at 280K. Peaks that appear in each distribution are centered near $\pm 33^\circ$. (f) We radially integrated the simulated XRD patterns of the parallel displaced and sandwiched configuration within the region bounding R-alkanes. Peaks appear in the same location as the angle distributions which corroborates our hypothesis.

3.2.2 Discrepancies between experimental and simulated R- π

There is not a single reason for the high intensity of R- π in our simulations. We used one-dimensional pair distribution functions of the centers of masses of monomer phenyl groups, $g(z)$, to help us understand how far apart monomers stack within monomer columns and the

degree to which they are correlated. These metrics helped us dissect the various contributions to $R-\pi$. Figure 8 shows $g(z)$ for all systems tested.




Figure 8: 1D correlation functions of the center of masses of aromatic head groups, $g(z)$, show decaying oscillatory behavior. We calculated the correlation length by fitting a decaying exponential function (Equation 2) to the peaks of $g(z)$. The correlation length is longer for ordered basin systems (Table 2). We did not attempt to calculate the correlation length for (d) because there are no clear peaks. We assume that its correlation is less than the vertical distance between monomers.

Monomers in the ordered basin stack closer together than those in the disordered basin (Table 2). We calculated the equilibrated vertical distance, d_{equil} , between monomers using Equation 3. Monomers in the ordered basin stack ca. 0.2 and 0.3 Å closer than those built in the disordered basin in the sandwiched and parallel displaced configurations respectively. The distance between stacked monomers is greater than experiment by 0.5 - 0.9 Å across all

Table 2: The correlation length is larger for systems in the ordered basin. The equilibrated vertical stacking distance, d_{equil} , is also smaller.

System	d (Å)	d_{equil} (Å)	Correlation Length (Å)
Sandwiched	3.7	4.27 ± 0.03	4.2 ± 0.8
Parallel Displaced	3.7	4.33 ± 0.04	14.5 ± 1.3
Sandwiched	5.0	4.48 ± 0.07	3.2 ± 0.9
Parallel Displaced	5.0	4.60 ± 0.08	$< d_{equil}$
Experiment	–	3.70	10 ± 1

cases. This behavior is not surprising since GAFF models atoms as point charges and does not appropriately model the aromatic $\pi - \pi$ interactions which would make it more energetically favorable for the monomers to stack closer together. Additionally, it is possible that the tails prevent close stacking of monomer head groups and we do not achieve the timescales necessary for them to rearrange into a necessarily more tightly packed configuration. Ordered basin systems are likely the best choice for transport studies.

The correlation length of the parallel displaced, ordered basin system shows the closest agreement with experiment (Table 2). We calculated the correlation length of each system using Equation 2. We could not extract a reliable correlation length from the disordered parallel displaced configuration since the peaks do not show clear patterns that can easily be fit to an exponential decay. The correlation length of both sandwiched systems is relatively low because the height of the first peak of $g(z)$ is so high. The peak height indicates the degree of local ordering and influences the intensity of R- π . If vertically adjacent sandwiched head groups were less ordered, which might be achieved with much longer simulations, then the leading peak intensity should decrease and the correlation length would increase. We expect that the leading peak would only get more intense if monomers stacked 3.7 Å apart. Conversely, parallel displaced head groups are not as confined by their vertically adjacent neighbors. This z-direction translational freedom may still be feasible if monomers stack 3.7 Å apart in a parallel displaced configuration meaning the system would maintain a correlation length and R- π intensity in relative agreement with experiment.

The persistent oscillatory behavior of the correlation function may in part explain the high intensity of R- π relative to experiment. The way we calculate correlation length is unique to the rate of decay of $g(z)$ but not its exact shape. $g(z)$ for our simulated systems, especially the sandwiched configurations, shows peaks which persist across the entire correlation function. If the peaks, at large values of z , instead decay to 1 uniformly, the correlation length could remain nearly the same, but R- π would have a reduced intensity. The monomer head groups in our simulations do not move enough on the timescales simulated to create the noise which would cause such behavior. See Section S11.1 of the supporting information for illustrative examples.

The system size does not significantly alter the intensity of R- π . We equilibrated a sandwiched system with twice as many monomers per column so that the system size doubled in the z -direction. The correlation length increases modestly from 4.5 ± 0.4 to 7.3 ± 1.2 Å, and the intensity of R- π decreases from 44.0 to 39.4. Visually, the correlation functions are nearly identical (See Figure S27).

3.2.3 Origin of R-double

The appearance of R-double implies a vertical modulation in electron density every 7.4 Å. We are not able to achieve such modulation using our simple initial configurations. Although the position of monomers in parallel displaced configurations alternate every other layer, we know that such a helical configuration will not produce R-double, but only off-axis reflections at the same q_z value.³⁷ There is not a unique solution that describes the origin of R-double. Extracting the exact relationship between a diffraction pattern and its real space configuration is well-known as the phase problem.³⁸ We have proposed configurations that result in the appearance of R-double below, and we can speculate which makes the most physical sense. We explore both dry and wet configurations.

We can produce R-double if we rotate monomers with respect to vertically adjacent monomers. In this configuration, monomers are rotated so that the vector created by the

bond extending from the carboxylate carbon to the phenyl ring is oriented $\pm 15^\circ$ with respect to the vector extending from the carboxylate carbon to the pore center (Figure 9b). Every other monomer layer is rotated $+15^\circ$ and those in between are rotated -15° . This configuration allows monomer tails to sit between adjacent monomer tails which may be the most favorable way for them to pack. This configuration is stable short-term while unrestrained however R-double quickly fades after a few nanoseconds of simulation. The long-term stability of a configuration similar to this may be feasible if monomers stay stacked 3.7 Å apart.

We can also produce R-double if layers are not uniformly spaced. Rather, monomers might form pairs that stack less than 3.7 Å apart, and whose center of masses are spaced 7.4 Å from the next pair of monomers (Figure 9a). To our knowledge, there have been no studies that specifically address the possibility of a configuration like this. Our forcefield causes our system to tend towards uniformly spaced layers. Simulations of unevenly spaced systems are only stable if position restraints are applied to heavy atoms of the phenyl rings.

Adding water to the system facilitates the appearance of R-double. We added water to the parallel displaced and sandwiched configurations in the ordered basin and equilibrated them according to the wet equilibration procedure. There is no experimental measurement of water concentration in these membranes so we tested a range of water concentrations from 1% to 5%. R-double appears transiently in the simulated XRD pattern of the parallel displaced configuration with 1 wt% water (Figure 9c). It is not initially present, but appears after 200 ns of simulation time. After 450 ns, it disappears again. Simulated XRD patterns of all other solvated systems tested are shown in Figure S 24, however R-double is not present.

R-double appears in the solvated system due to the structure of the head groups. To prove this, we removed the head groups from the trajectory used to produce Figure 9c in order to produce that shown in Figure 10a. R-double does not appear without the influence of the head groups. Water molecules must play a role in the structuring of the head groups since R-double does not appear in any dry simulations.

When two vertically stacked monomer head groups hydrogen bond with a shared water




Figure 9: (a) When monomers are non-uniformly spaced (top), R-double appears if all heavy atoms of the head groups are held in place with position restraints (middle). R-double quickly fades once the position restraints are released (bottom). (b) When monomer head groups are rotated with respect to vertically adjacent monomers (top), R-double is visible while the heavy atoms of the head groups are held in place with position restraints (middle). Again, R-double fades once the position restraints are released. (c) When we add 1 wt% water to the parallel displaced configuration in the ordered basin (top) R-double is not initially present during the restrained portion of equilibration (middle). After 200 ns of equilibration, R-double becomes visible and persists for another 200 ns (bottom).

molecule, the monomers are drawn closer together (as illustrated in Figure 10b), which creates an asymmetry that allows R-double to appear. If a monomer head group shares a hydrogen bonded water molecule with a head group above itself, it will be less likely to share a water molecule with a head group below it due to steric constraints. The monomer head

group below can just as easily share a water molecule with a head group below itself. In this scenario, the centers of each pair are 2 times the π -stacking distance apart which would lead to R-double (much like the configuration in Figure 9a). There are a modest number of occurrences of this scenario, shown in Figure 10c. Peaks in the distributions represent the scenario where a monomer head group shares a water molecule with a head group vertically above it. In Figure 10d, we calculated the discrete fourier transform of the distributions which we used as a rough indicator of whether the head group arrangement will lead to R-double. We see the strongest indicator of asymmetric monomer stacking in Pore 1 where the peaks at 0.5 layers^{-1} are clearly distinguished, meaning there is periodicity every two layers.

It is likely that R-double originates from water molecules as described above and it is the only mechanism that occurred without using position restraints. The extent of the hydrogen bonding network that forms is largely determined by the accuracy of the forcefield. It is possible that a more realistic forcefield would make the effect stronger or weaker. If this is truly the mechanism, it implies that the system studied by Feng et al.^{13,15} was not truly a thermotropic Col_h phase. Rather, they were very low water content H_{II} phases unintentionally created due to the neat monomers' hydroscopicity. This detail may be important for reproducing the results of Feng et al.

3.3 Chemical composition of pore columns

We are most interested in the structure and composition of the pores since we would like to study transport mechanisms within them. We have shown that the tails possess a certain degree of order which is necessary in order to create the complex WAXS pattern shown experimentally, but they will not be involved in a separation process. We aim to further understand the pore architecture and observe the differences, if any, between the different equilibrated configurations studied so far.

In general, the composition of each region, particularly within our definition of the pore




Figure 10: (a) The structure of the head groups is responsible for the appearance of R-double. When we remove head groups from the trajectory, the simulated diffraction pattern no longer shows R-double. (b) Monomer head groups above or below each other that hydrogen bond with a shared water molecule are drawn closer together. Blue monomers were stacked above orange monomers in the initial configuration. (c) Monomers in each column are numbered from 1–20. For simplicity, we define a layer to be composed of all same-number monomers. Peaks in the distributions indicate a shared hydrogen bonded water molecule between a monomer head group and one in the layer vertically above it. For example, in Pore 1, monomer 7 shares a hydrogen bond with a monomer in layer 8 (pictured in (b)). In this scenario, monomers are no longer evenly spaced in the z-direction since they are pulled closer together by hydrogen bonds. (d) We performed discrete fourier transforms on each distribution in (c). In all cases, the strongest peak represents periodicity every layer. In Pore 1, there is also periodicity every other layer as indicated by the strong peak at 0.5 layers^{-1} . In this case, the center of mass of two adjacent pairs is separated by twice the average monomer stacking distance, while the four monomers involved are unequally spaced. These conditions may give rise to R-double.

region, is similar between all systems. We believe we can solvate and study transport in any of the systems presented here and extract similar transport mechanisms. We will need to verify that this assumption is true.

We plotted the number densities of heavy atoms in the head group, carbon atoms in the tail region and all sodium ions (Figure 11). For the head group region, we used heavy atoms making up the aromatic rings and carboxylate groups. For the tail region we used carbon atoms of the monomer tails (See Figure S3 for a diagram). We averaged the histograms over at least 50 ns of equilibrated trajectory.

There is a gradient in pore composition transitioning radially from the hydrophilic to the hydrophobic region, rather than an abrupt division. Based on size-exclusion experiments, we define the pore radius to be 0.6 nm.⁸ The system does not confine sodium ions and head groups to just within the pore region. For dry systems, 19% of sodium ions exist outside the pore region (except sandwiched, ordered basin, where 16% are outside the pore). Additionally, we see that in all cases, about 3% of the plotted tail density is located within the pore region (except ordered sandwiched, where 1.5% are within the pore region). For the solvated system, the results are similar, however the head group density is shifted slightly radially outward. This is likely due to swelling of the pore by water.

The space in the pore region is filled with a mixture of sodium ions and head groups. The distributions appear somewhat different near $r=0$, but that noise is expected since there is significantly less sampling as r approaches 0. Regardless, all systems, including the solvated system, have a significant number of head groups and sodium ions occupying the pore center. This observation highlights that the pore region is dense, not hollow, and may impede transport of solvent and solutes when compared to the previous idealized picture of a hollow tube conducive to transport.




Figure 11: In all cases, the component radial distribution functions are similar. They exhibit a composition gradient transitioning from the hydrophilic to the hydrophobic regions. The biggest differences are at $r=0$ where noise is expected to be high due to decreased sampling. The center of the pore is not hollow, but contains sodium ions and head groups, even when the system is solvated. This architecture may impede transport in the real system. The solvated system has a lower density of head groups near the pore center which is likely due to the swelling that is necessary in order to fit water molecules in the pore region.

3.4 Slow dynamics

We observe slow dynamics in our system. Typical diffusion constants for columnar liquid crystals have been reported to be between $10^{-11} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ ³⁹ and $10^{-14} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$.⁴⁰ We measured the diffusion constants of monomers in each of the systems we studied (See Table S-2) and learned that they are all on the order of $10^{-14} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$. This is not entirely surprising considering how densely the monomers are packed as implied by diffraction experiments. The entangled tails likely restrict both vertical and lateral movement.

Consequently, there is not enough movement on the timescales we simulated for the system to consistently reach a structure equivalent to experiment. In all cases our monomers equilibrate to a stacking distance that is too large compared to experiment. While this may be in large part due to the forcefield's inability to model aromatic interactions, it is also possible that the monomer tails do have enough time to pack as tightly as they could. More densely packed tails could allow the monomers to stack closer together.

We quantified the movement of the tails during our simulations by calculating the autocorrelation function of the dihedral angle formed around the bond between the head groups

and the ether oxygens which attach the tails to the head group (See Figure S4). We exclude the dihedral from the middle tail since it is fundamentally different than the two symmetric outside tails. We limited these studies to the sandwiched configuration for simplicity.

The ether dihedrals become decorrelated on a reasonable timescale when the temperature is raised. At 300K (Figure 12a), the autocorrelation function does not cross the x-axis until ≈ 105 ns meaning that tails might only fully rotate 4 times over the course of the 400 ns that we studied. Additionally, the correlation function plateau's near a value of -0.2 which indicates that the tails are starting in an unfavorable configuration. We implemented distance restraints between the centers of mass of monomer head groups to preserve the hexagonal phase, then rose the temperature of the equilibrated 300 K ordered basin sandwiched system to 500 K. We witnessed decorrelation of the ether dihedrals after ≈ 11 ns with a plateau at 0, (Figure 12b) indicating a complete loss of memory. We annealed the resultant configuration back down to 300 K over 200 ns to see if the increased rotational freedom might allow the system to relax into to a more tightly packed configuration.

Decorrelating the ether dihedrals at high temperature, followed by thermal annealing does not improve packing in our model. In the ideal case, if all monomers stacked 3.7 \AA apart, the z-dimension of our unit cell should be 7.4 nm. In the ordered basin, sandwiched system studied in this paper, the z-dimension of the unit cell equilibrated to 8.87 nm, which is roughly consistent with the stacking distance reported in Table 2. After 200 ns of annealing from 500K to 300K, the z-dimension of the unit cell was 9.22 nm. We repeated the annealing procedure over the course of 400 ns and the final z-dimension of the unit cell was 9.20 nm. Much longer annealing simulations may get the system to the correct density, but we do not have the resources to further explore this approach.

3.5 Model Ionic Conductivity Measurements

We calculated the ionic conductivity of the parallel displaced configuration in the ordered basin with 1 wt % water since we believe its structure is the closest match to experiment.




Figure 12

Our model estimates the ionic conductivity within one order of magnitude of experiment (Figure 13). We verified the value calculated by the Nernst-Einstein relationship using a second method called the collective diffusion model⁴¹ (See section S ??). The values calculated by the collective diffusion model agree with Nernst-Einstein values within error, however there is a much higher uncertainty that would require much longer simulations to lower.




Figure 13: The calculated ionic conductivity of the simulated system is approximately one order of magnitude higher than experiment.

The calculated value of ionic conductivity is 5x higher than experiment because we simulated infinitely long, aligned pores. The ionic conductivity measurement to which we are

comparing was done with a 80 μm thick film, nearly 10,000 times thicker than our simulated system. The thick film is likely imperfectly aligned and has defects leading to non-contiguous pores. It has been shown that there is a large dependence of ionic conductivity on the alignment of the pores. The ionic conductivity of an isotropically aligned film is ca. 85 times lower than that of the nearly aligned film to which we are comparing.¹³ We hypothesize that a thin, perfectly aligned film would have a value of ionic conductivity in closer agreement with our model.

3.6 Effect of Cross-linking

We applied our cross-linking algorithm to equilibrated sandwiched and parallel displaced configurations in the ordered pore basin. We allowed the cross-linking algorithm to propagate until greater than 90% of vinyl groups were either involved in a cross-linking reaction or were terminated. See section S13 for further details on the cross-linking algorithm. We allowed the cross-linked configurations to simulate for 100 ns further in the NPT ensemble.

There are minor changes to the physical characteristics of these systems when they are cross-linked (See Figure 14). The ionic conductivity of the sandwiched configuration decreases while that of the parallel displaced configuration stays the same. The pore spacing decreases in both systems by 0.07 nm. The vertical monomer stacking distance increases in the sandwiched configuration and decreases in the parallel displaced configuration, however the values of cross-linked configurations fall within uncertainty of their un-crosslinked counterparts. The correlation length decreases in both systems, but is most pronounced in the parallel displaced system.

4 Conclusion

We have used a detailed molecular model of the Col_h phase formed by Na-GA3C11 in order to study its nanoscopic structure. While there have been efforts to model formation of




Figure 14: There are minor differences between the physical properties of a crosslinked (xlink) system versus an uncrosslinked system. The sandwiched configuration (S) exhibits a smaller decrease in its ionic conductivity, while the parallel displaced (PD) configuration remains constant. The pore spacing of both systems decreases upon crosslinking. The vertical distance between stacked monomers increases in the sandwiched configuration and decreases in the parallel displaced configuration. The correlation length decreases in both configurations.

various liquid crystalline phases with molecular dynamics, to our knowledge there have been no studies which attempt to examine their structure with the same level of detail presented here.

We observed a number of metastable configurations, stable for hundreds of nanoseconds, which do not fit the experimental profile we have tried to match. We explored two classes of metastable basins which are dependent on the initial vertical stacking distance between monomers : the ordered and disordered pore basins. We expect that these metastable

configurations will eventually rearrange and converge to a single equilibrium structure. We conducted extensive analysis in order to isolate structures which most closely resemble the true equilibrium structure.

We achieve maximum structural consistency with experiment, as determined by simulated 2D XRD patterns, when we build our model in the ordered basin parallel displaced configuration with 5 monomer columns per pore and 1 wt% water. R-alkanes and R-pores appear where expected for the reasons originally predicted. We learned that R-spots is likely due to ordered alkane chain packing. R- π appears at lower q values than experiment because monomer stack too far apart. Finally, we observed that our model reproduces R-double only when we add 1 wt% water to the system. This observation has important implications for the reproducibility of the experimental results from Feng et al since they claim that their membrane is completely dry.

We characterized the environment centered around the membrane pores and learned that the pores are generally filled with monomer head groups and sodium ions. All dry systems studied showed a similar distribution of sodium, head groups and tails while the wet system shows evidence of swelling due to the presence of water molecules. We also observed that there is not a hard partition between hydrophlic and hydrophobic regions, rather there is a gradient. This finding has raised questions about the nature of size-exclusion separations in systems without a well-defined pore size.

We justified that our system can reasonably estimate ionic conductivity. Our calculations are about 1 order of magnitude higher than experiment, however that is to be expected since we are simulating a perfectly straight and defect-free membrane.

Finally, we verified that our conclusions do not change when the system is cross-linked by the algorithm we implemented. The diffraction pattern weakens relative to the uncross-linked system, the ionic conductivity drops by a factor of ca. 1.5, in closer agreement with experiment, the pore spacing decreases and the membrane becomes thicker.

With the structural understanding gained by these simulations, we will evaluate transport

of various solutes within the system. We will apply the knowledge gained from this study in order to suggest improvements to the existing system as well as to evaluate new unsynthesized LLC systems.

References

- (1) McCutcheon, J. R.; McGinnis, R. L.; Elimelech, M. A novel ammoniacarbon dioxide forward (direct) osmosis desalination process. *Desalination* **2005**, *174*, 1–11.
- (2) Dischinger, S. M.; Rosenblum, J.; Noble, R. D.; Gin, D. L.; Linden, K. G. Application of a lyotropic liquid crystal nanofiltration membrane for hydraulic fracturing flowback water: Selectivity and implications for treatment. *Journal of Membrane Science* **2017**, *543*, 319–327.
- (3) Xie, M.; Shon, H. K.; Gray, S. R.; Elimelech, M. Membrane-based processes for wastewater nutrient recovery: Technology, challenges, and future direction. *Water Research* **2016**, *89*, 210–221.
- (4) Werber, J. R.; Osuji, C. O.; Elimelech, M. Materials for next-generation desalination and water purification membranes. *Nature Reviews Materials* **2016**, *1*, 16018.
- (5) Gin, D. L.; Bara, J. E.; Noble, R. D.; Elliot, B. J. Polymerized Lyotropic Liquid Crystal Assemblies for Membrane Applications. *Macromolecular Rapid Communications* **2008**, *29*, 367–389.
- (6) Wijmans, J. G.; Baker, R. W. The solution-diffusion model: a review. *Journal of Membrane Science* **1995**, *107*, 1–21.
- (7) Smith, R. C.; Fischer, W. M.; Gin, D. L. Ordered Poly(p-phenylenevinylene) Matrix Nanocomposites via Lyotropic Liquid-Crystalline Monomers. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **1997**, *119*, 4092–4093.
- (8) Zhou, M.; Kidd, T. J.; Noble, R. D.; Gin, D. L. Supported Lyotropic Liquid-Crystal Polymer Membranes: Promising Materials for Molecular-Size-Selective Aqueous Nanofiltration. *Adv. Mater.* **2005**, *17*, 1850–1853.

- (9) Donnan, F. G. Theory of membrane equilibria and membrane potentials in the presence of non-dialysing electrolytes. A contribution to physical-chemical physiology. *Journal of Membrane Science* **1995**, *100*, 45–55.
- (10) Hatakeyama, E. S.; Gabriel, C. J.; Wiesnauer, B. R.; Lohr, J. L.; Zhou, M.; Noble, R. D.; Gin, D. L. Water filtration performance of a lyotropic liquid crystal polymer membrane with uniform, sub-1-nm pores. *Journal of Membrane Science* **2011**, *366*, 62–72.
- (11) Hatakeyama, E. S.; Wiesnauer, B. R.; Gabriel, C. J.; Noble, R. D.; Gin, D. L. Nanoporous, Bicontinuous Cubic Lyotropic Liquid Crystal Networks via Polymerizable Gemini Ammonium Surfactants. *Chem. Mater.* **2010**, *22*, 4525–4527.
- (12) Carter, B. M.; Wiesnauer, B. R.; Hatakeyama, E. S.; Barton, J. L.; Noble, R. D.; Gin, D. L. Glycerol-Based Bicontinuous Cubic Lyotropic Liquid Crystal Monomer System for the Fabrication of Thin-Film Membranes with Uniform Nanopores. *Chem. Mater.* **2012**, *24*, 4005–4007.
- (13) Feng, X.; Tousley, M. E.; Cowan, M. G.; Wiesnauer, B. R.; Nejati, S.; Choo, Y.; Noble, R. D.; Elimelech, M.; Gin, D. L.; Osuji, C. O. Scalable Fabrication of Polymer Membranes with Vertically Aligned 1 nm Pores by Magnetic Field Directed Self-Assembly. *ACS Nano* **2014**, *8*, 11977–11986.
- (14) Matyka, M.; Khalili, A.; Koza, Z. Tortuosity-porosity relation in porous media flow. *Phys. Rev. E* **2008**, *78*, 026306.
- (15) Feng, X.; Nejati, S.; Cowan, M. G.; Tousley, M. E.; Wiesnauer, B. R.; Noble, R. D.; Elimelech, M.; Gin, D. L.; Osuji, C. O. Thin Polymer Films with Continuous Vertically Aligned 1 nm Pores Fabricated by Soft Confinement. *ACS Nano* **2016**, *10*, 150–158.
- (16) Resel, R.; Leising, G.; Markart, P.; Kriechbaum, M.; Smith, R.; Gin, D. Structural properties of polymerised lyotropic liquid crystals phases of 3,4,5-tris(\$mega\$-

- acryloxyalkoxy)benzoate salts. *Macromolecular Chemistry and Physics* **2000**, *201*, 1128–1133.
- (17) McIntosh, T. J.; Simon, S. A.; MacDonald, R. C. The organization of n-alkanes in lipid bilayers. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Biomembranes* **1980**, *597*, 445–463.
- (18) Govind, A. S.; Madhusudana, N. V. A simple molecular theory of smectic-C liquid crystals. *EPL* **2001**, *55*, 505.
- (19) Zhu, X.; Scherbina, M.; Bakirov, A.; Gorzolnik, B.; Chvalun, S.; Beginn, U.; Moller, M. Methacrylated Self-Organizing 2,3,4-Tris(alkoxy)benzenesulfonate: A New Concept Toward Ion-Selective Membranes. *Chem. Mater.* **2006**, *18*, 4667–4673.
- (20) Chaikin, P.; Lubensky, T. *Principles of condensed matter physics*, 1st ed.; Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- (21) Wang, J.; Wolf, R. M.; Caldwell, J. W.; Kollman, P. A.; Case, D. A. Development and testing of a general amber force field. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2004**, *25*, 1157–1174.
- (22) Wang, J.; Wang, W.; Kollman, P. A.; Case, D. A. Automatic atom type and bond type perception in molecular mechanical calculations. *Journal of Molecular Graphics and Modelling* **2006**, *25*, 247–260.
- (23) Case, D.; Betz, R.; Botello-Smith, W.; Cerutti, D.; Cheatham, T., III; Darden, T.; Duke, R.; Giese, T.; Gohlke, H.; Goetz, A. et al. AmberTools16. 2016.
- (24) Bekker, H.; Berendsen, H. J. C.; Dijkstra, E. J.; Achterop, S.; van Drunen, R.; van der Spoel, D.; Sijbers, A.; Keegstra, H.; Reitsma, B.; Renardus, M. K. R. Gromacs: A parallel computer for molecular dynamics simulations. *Physics Computing* **1993**,
- (25) Berendsen, H. J. C.; van der Spoel, D.; van Drunen, R. GROMACS: A message-passing parallel molecular dynamics implementation. *Computer Physics Communications* **1995**, *91*, 43–56.

- (26) Van Der Spoel, D.; Lindahl, E.; Hess, B.; Groenhof, G.; Mark, A. E.; Berendsen, H. J. C. GROMACS: Fast, flexible, and free. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2005**, *26*, 1701–1718.
- (27) Hess, B.; Kutzner, C.; van der Spoel, D.; Lindahl, E. GROMACS 4: Algorithms for Highly Efficient, Load-Balanced, and Scalable Molecular Simulation. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2008**, *4*, 435–447.
- (28) Mondal, J.; Mahanthappa, M.; Yethiraj, A. Self-Assembly of Gemini Surfactants: A Computer Simulation Study. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2013**, *117*, 4254–4262.
- (29) Martnez, L.; Andrade, R.; Birgin, E. G.; Martnez, J. M. PACKMOL: A package for building initial configurations for molecular dynamics simulations. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2009**, *30*, 2157–2164.
- (30) Sinnokrot, M. O.; Valeev, E. F.; Sherrill, C. D. Estimates of the Ab Initio Limit for Interactions: The Benzene Dimer. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 10887–10893.
- (31) Chodera, J. D. A Simple Method for Automated Equilibration Detection in Molecular Simulations. *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation* **2016**, *12*, 1799–1805.
- (32) Shirts, M. R.; Chodera, J. D. Statistically optimal analysis of samples from multiple equilibrium states. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **2008**, *129*, 124105.
- (33) Kuriabova, T.; Betterton, M. D.; Glaser, M. A. Linear aggregation and liquid-crystalline order: comparison of Monte Carlo simulation and analytic theory. *J. Mater. Chem.* **2010**, *20*, 10366–10383.
- (34) Einstein, A. Investigations on the theory of the brownian movement. *Dover Publications* **1956**,
- (35) Watson, J.; Crick, F. A structure for Deoxyribose Nucleic Acid. *Nature* **1953**, *171*, 737–738.

- (36) Wang, L.-P.; McKiernan, K. A.; Gomes, J.; Beauchamp, K. A.; Head-Gordon, T.; Rice, J. E.; Swope, W. C.; Martnez, T. J.; Pande, V. S. Building a More Predictive Protein Force Field: A Systematic and Reproducible Route to AMBER-FB15. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2017**, *121*, 4023–4039.
- (37) Harburn, G.; Taylor, C. A.; Welberry, T. R. *Atlas of optical transforms*; Cornell University Press, 1975; Google-Books-ID: BwCFAAAIAAJ.
- (38) Taylor, G. The phase problem. *Acta Cryst D, Acta Cryst Sect D, Acta Crystallogr D, Acta Crystallogr Sect D, Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr, Acta Crystallogr Sect D Biol Crystallogr* **2003**, *59*, 1881–1890.
- (39) Dong, R. Y.; Goldfarb, D.; Moseley, M. E.; Luz, Z.; Zimmermann, H. Translational diffusion in discotic mesophases studied by the nuclear magnetic resonance pulsed field gradient method. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1984**, *88*, 3148–3152.
- (40) Dvinskikh, S. V.; Fur, I.; Zimmermann, H.; Maliniak, A. Molecular self-diffusion in a columnar liquid crystalline phase determined by deuterium NMR. *Phys. Rev. E* **2002**, *65*, 050702.
- (41) Liu, Y.; Zhu, F. Collective diffusion model for ion conduction through microscopic channels. *Biophys. J.* **2013**, *104*, 368–376.

Graphical TOC Entry

