**Defining a “folding” measurement for oligomers with arbitrary distributions**

The purpose of this document is to introduce a measurement for the extent of “folding” in non-biological oligomers with arbitrary secondary structure.

As a review, we wish to define a measurement for the extent of “folding” so that we can: 1) quantify secondary structure formation, and 2) explore model parameter spaces with a goal in mind. When I discuss exploring/optimizing model parameters, I am assuming that our goal is to “explore” model parameters that exhibit states that are “folded” (exhibit some secondary structure). The standard approach for exploring and optimizing parameters for the purpose of modeling protein folding is to minimize their Z-score:

.

Here  is the mean potential energy for an ensemble of “non-native” configurations, and  is the standard deviation in the potential energy for the “non-native” ensemble.

Per our discussion this morning, 8/6/19, we are interested in identifying a Z-score-like measurement that avoids the need to define one “native” configuration as a reference (to account for models where there is not a well-funneled free energy surface), with a preference for using ensemble average structures and energies. Below is an attempt to define a folding metric which emulates the Z-score while avoiding the limitations of using a single reference configuration.

First, I propose that we define a set of *K* thermodynamics states for our coarse grained model(s) by applying MSMBuilder (K-centers) clustering to our MD trajectories. Notably, one advantage of MSMBuilder is its ability to characterize the properties of states that are sampled infrequently, such as transition states and highly-funneled (folded) minimum energy structures. I propose that we cluster configurations (assign them to these *K* thermodynamic states) based upon their particle positions. More specifically, we define a RMSD in their particle positions (RMSD < 0.2 nm) from the geometric center calculated for that state. The geometric center is also called a “centroid configuration.” We propose to classify individual thermodynamic states as “folded” or “unfolded” through further analysis of the statistical and energetic properties of their centroid configurations. More specifically, we define a thermodynamic state as “folded” if it satisfies either of the following criteria: 1) That thermodynamic state (cluster) has the lowest mean potential energy , or 2) the mean potential energy for that state is within a standard deviation of the energy for the lowest state. In order to evaluate the performance of a set of model parameters, with respect to the task of distinguishing “folded” and “unfolded” structures, we evaluate a linear combination of Z-scores for all “folded” structures:

.

Here  is the potential energy for thermodynamic state *i* at centroid configuration .  is the statistical probability (weight) for state *j* and can, in principle, be evaluated a variety of ways, including the number of counts for that state (simplest definition)*.* In the denominator, the contributions of each “folded” state are modulated by the exponential of their energy difference from the lowest energy state. In the event that there is only one “folded” (“native”) and one “unfolded” (“non-native”) state, this definition simplifies to the Z-score. However, in the event that there are more than one of these states, this definition could enable more flexible optimization of our model parameters. For example, I could imagine an approach like this working well for a model that exhibits two degenerate and structurally distinct minima.