Partial Differential Equations Part 1

Ananda Dasgupta

PH3205, Spring Semester 2024

The general IBVP is given by

► The PDE

$$u_t = ku_{xx} + q(x,t)$$

The general IBVP is given by

► The PDE

$$u_t = ku_{xx} + q(x,t)$$

► The Initial Condition

$$u\left(x,0\right) =f\left(x\right)$$

The general IBVP is given by

► The PDE

$$u_{t}=ku_{xx}+q\left(x,t\right)$$

► The Initial Condition

$$u(x,0) = f(x)$$

► The boundary conditions

$$a_1 u(0, t) + a_2 u_x(0, t) = g_1(t)$$

and

$$b_1 u(c, t) + b_2 u_x(c, t) = g_2(t)$$



The general IBVP is given by

► The PDE

$$u_t = ku_{xx} + q(x,t)$$

► The Initial Condition

$$u\left(x,0\right) =f\left(x\right)$$

► The boundary conditions

$$a_{1}u\left(0,t\right)+a_{2}u_{x}\left(0,t\right)=g_{1}\left(t\right)$$

and

$$b_1 u(c, t) + b_2 u_x(c, t) = g_2(t)$$

In what follows we will solve the simpler case q(x,t) = 0

▶ We begin with a discretization of space-time

$$u_i^n \equiv u(x_i, t_n) = u(x_0 + i\Delta x, t_0 + n\Delta t)$$

▶ We begin with a discretization of space-time

$$u_i^n \equiv u(x_i, t_n) = u(x_0 + i\Delta x, t_0 + n\Delta t)$$

 We use finite difference approximations for the partial derivatives

$$u_t(x_i, t_n) = \lim_{\delta t \to 0} \frac{u(x_i, t_n + \delta t) - u(x_i, t_n)}{\delta t} \approx \frac{u_i^{n+1} - u_i^n}{\Delta t}$$

▶ We begin with a discretization of space-time

$$u_i^n \equiv u(x_i, t_n) = u(x_0 + i\Delta x, t_0 + n\Delta t)$$

 We use finite difference approximations for the partial derivatives

$$u_t(x_i, t_n) = \lim_{\delta t \to 0} \frac{u(x_i, t_n + \delta t) - u(x_i, t_n)}{\delta t} \approx \frac{u_i^{n+1} - u_i^n}{\Delta t}$$

and

$$u_{x}(x_{i},t_{n})\approx\frac{u_{i+1}^{n}-u_{i}^{n}}{\Delta x}$$

▶ We begin with a discretization of space-time

$$u_i^n \equiv u(x_i, t_n) = u(x_0 + i\Delta x, t_0 + n\Delta t)$$

 We use finite difference approximations for the partial derivatives

$$u_t(x_i, t_n) = \lim_{\delta t \to 0} \frac{u(x_i, t_n + \delta t) - u(x_i, t_n)}{\delta t} \approx \frac{u_i^{n+1} - u_i^n}{\Delta t}$$

and

$$u_{x}(x_{i},t_{n})\approx\frac{u_{i+1}^{n}-u_{i}^{n}}{\Delta x}$$

We also need approximations for higher derivatives, e.g

$$u_{xx}(x_i, t_n) \approx \frac{u_{i+1}^n - 2u_i^n + u_{i-1}^n}{(\Delta x)^2}$$



▶ The PDE $u_t = ku_{xx}$ becomes

$$\frac{u_i^{n+1} - u_i^n}{\Delta t} = k \left[\frac{u_{i+1}^n - 2u_i^n + u_{i-1}^n}{(\Delta x)^2} \right]$$

▶ The PDE $u_t = ku_{xx}$ becomes

$$\frac{u_i^{n+1} - u_i^n}{\Delta t} = k \left[\frac{u_{i+1}^n - 2u_i^n + u_{i-1}^n}{(\Delta x)^2} \right]$$

This yields an explicit formula for u_i^{n+1} in terms of the "known" quantities $u_i^n, u_{i\pm 1}^n$:

$$u_i^{n+1} = \frac{k\Delta t}{(\Delta x)^2} \left(u_{i+1}^n + u_{i-1}^n \right) + \left(1 - 2 \frac{k\Delta t}{(\Delta x)^2} \right) u_i^n, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$$

▶ The PDE $u_t = ku_{xx}$ becomes

$$\frac{u_i^{n+1} - u_i^n}{\Delta t} = k \left[\frac{u_{i+1}^n - 2u_i^n + u_{i-1}^n}{(\Delta x)^2} \right]$$

This yields an explicit formula for u_i^{n+1} in terms of the "known" quantities $u_i^n, u_{i\pm 1}^n$:

$$u_i^{n+1} = \frac{k\Delta t}{(\Delta x)^2} \left(u_{i+1}^n + u_{i-1}^n \right) + \left(1 - 2 \frac{k\Delta t}{(\Delta x)^2} \right) u_i^n, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$$

Note that the values u_i^0 can be obtained from the initial conditions $u(x,0) = f(x) : u_i^0 = f(x_i)$

▶ The PDE $u_t = ku_{xx}$ becomes

$$\frac{u_i^{n+1} - u_i^n}{\Delta t} = k \left[\frac{u_{i+1}^n - 2u_i^n + u_{i-1}^n}{(\Delta x)^2} \right]$$

This yields an explicit formula for u_i^{n+1} in terms of the "known" quantities $u_i^n, u_{i\pm 1}^n$:

$$u_i^{n+1} = \frac{k\Delta t}{(\Delta x)^2} \left(u_{i+1}^n + u_{i-1}^n \right) + \left(1 - 2 \frac{k\Delta t}{(\Delta x)^2} \right) u_i^n, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$$

- Note that the values u_i^0 can be obtained from the initial conditions $u(x,0) = f(x) : u_i^0 = f(x_i)$
- ▶ The extreme values u_0^{n+1} and u_N^{n+1} can be found using

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 u_0^{n+1} + a_2 \frac{u_1^{n+1} - u_0^{n+1}}{\Delta x} &= g_1 \left(t_{n+1} \right) \\ b_1 u_N^{n+1} + b_2 \frac{u_N^{n+1} - u_{N-1}^{n+1}}{\Delta x} &= g_2 \left(t_{n+1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

▶ The PDE $u_t = ku_{xx}$ becomes

$$\frac{u_i^{n+1} - u_i^n}{\Delta t} = k \left[\frac{u_{i+1}^n - 2u_i^n + u_{i-1}^n}{(\Delta x)^2} \right]$$

This yields an explicit formula for u_i^{n+1} in terms of the "known" quantities $u_i^n, u_{i\pm 1}^n$:

$$u_i^{n+1} = \frac{k\Delta t}{(\Delta x)^2} \left(u_{i+1}^n + u_{i-1}^n \right) + \left(1 - 2 \frac{k\Delta t}{(\Delta x)^2} \right) u_i^n, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$$

- Note that the values u_i^0 can be obtained from the initial conditions $u(x,0) = f(x) : u_i^0 = f(x_i)$
- ▶ The extreme values u_0^{n+1} and u_N^{n+1} can be found using

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 u_0^{n+1} + a_2 \frac{u_1^{n+1} - u_0^{n+1}}{\Delta x} &= g_1 \left(t_{n+1} \right) \\ b_1 u_N^{n+1} + b_2 \frac{u_N^{n+1} - u_{N-1}^{n+1}}{\Delta x} &= g_2 \left(t_{n+1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

The implicit version

We can get an implicit equation for u_i^{n+1} by replacing the RHS of $u_t = ku_{xx}$ by the finite difference approximation at t_{n+1}

The implicit version

- We can get an implicit equation for u_i^{n+1} by replacing the RHS of $u_t = ku_{xx}$ by the finite difference approximation at t_{n+1}
- ▶ This gives

$$u_i^{n+1} = \frac{k\Delta t}{(\Delta x)^2} \left(u_{i+1}^{n+1} + u_{i-1}^{n+1} \right) - 2 \frac{k\Delta t}{(\Delta x)^2} u_i^{n+1} + u_i^n$$

The implicit version

- We can get an implicit equation for u_i^{n+1} by replacing the RHS of $u_t = ku_{xx}$ by the finite difference approximation at t_{n+1}
- ► This gives

$$u_i^{n+1} = \frac{k\Delta t}{(\Delta x)^2} \left(u_{i+1}^{n+1} + u_{i-1}^{n+1} \right) - 2 \frac{k\Delta t}{(\Delta x)^2} u_i^{n+1} + u_i^n$$

This leads to a set of simultaneous linear equations

$$-\mu u_{i+1}^{n+1} + (1+2\mu) u_i^{n+1} - \mu u_{i-1}^{n+1} = u_i^n, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots N-1$$

where
$$\mu = \frac{k\Delta t}{(\Delta x)^2}$$

The implicit version

- We can get an implicit equation for u_i^{n+1} by replacing the RHS of $u_t = ku_{xx}$ by the finite difference approximation at t_{n+1}
- ► This gives

$$u_i^{n+1} = \frac{k\Delta t}{(\Delta x)^2} \left(u_{i+1}^{n+1} + u_{i-1}^{n+1} \right) - 2 \frac{k\Delta t}{(\Delta x)^2} u_i^{n+1} + u_i^n$$

This leads to a set of simultaneous linear equations

$$-\mu u_{i+1}^{n+1} + (1+2\mu) u_i^{n+1} - \mu u_{i-1}^{n+1} = u_i^n, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots N-1$$
where $\mu = \frac{k\Delta t}{(\Delta x)^2}$

▶ The extreme values follow from the BCs once again.

The implicit version

- We can get an implicit equation for u_i^{n+1} by replacing the RHS of $u_t = ku_{xx}$ by the finite difference approximation at t_{n+1}
- ► This gives

$$u_i^{n+1} = \frac{k\Delta t}{(\Delta x)^2} \left(u_{i+1}^{n+1} + u_{i-1}^{n+1} \right) - 2 \frac{k\Delta t}{(\Delta x)^2} u_i^{n+1} + u_i^n$$

This leads to a set of simultaneous linear equations

$$-\mu u_{i+1}^{n+1} + (1+2\mu) u_i^{n+1} - \mu u_{i-1}^{n+1} = u_i^n, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots N-1$$

where
$$\mu = \frac{k\Delta t}{(\Delta x)^2}$$

- ▶ The extreme values follow from the BCs once again.
- ▶ These have to be solved for all the u_i s at $t = t_{n+1}$.

The implicit version

- We can get an implicit equation for u_i^{n+1} by replacing the RHS of $u_t = ku_{xx}$ by the finite difference approximation at t_{n+1}
- ► This gives

$$u_i^{n+1} = \frac{k\Delta t}{(\Delta x)^2} \left(u_{i+1}^{n+1} + u_{i-1}^{n+1} \right) - 2 \frac{k\Delta t}{(\Delta x)^2} u_i^{n+1} + u_i^n$$

▶ This leads to a set of simultaneous linear equations

$$-\mu u_{i+1}^{n+1} + (1+2\mu) u_i^{n+1} - \mu u_{i-1}^{n+1} = u_i^n, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots N-1$$

where
$$\mu = \frac{k\Delta t}{(\Delta x)^2}$$

- ▶ The extreme values follow from the BCs once again.
- ▶ These have to be solved for all the u_i s at $t = t_{n+1}$.
- ► The equations are sparse and so they can be solved efficiently.



The implicit version

- We can get an implicit equation for u_i^{n+1} by replacing the RHS of $u_t = ku_{xx}$ by the finite difference approximation at t_{n+1}
- ► This gives

$$u_i^{n+1} = \frac{k\Delta t}{(\Delta x)^2} \left(u_{i+1}^{n+1} + u_{i-1}^{n+1} \right) - 2 \frac{k\Delta t}{(\Delta x)^2} u_i^{n+1} + u_i^n$$

▶ This leads to a set of simultaneous linear equations

$$-\mu u_{i+1}^{n+1} + (1+2\mu) u_i^{n+1} - \mu u_{i-1}^{n+1} = u_i^n, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots N-1$$

where
$$\mu = \frac{k\Delta t}{(\Delta x)^2}$$

- ▶ The extreme values follow from the BCs once again.
- ▶ These have to be solved for all the u_i s at $t = t_{n+1}$.
- ► The equations are sparse and so they can be solved efficiently.



Crank-Nicolson algorithm

What if, instead of

$$\frac{u_i^{n+1} - u_i^n}{\Delta t} = k \left[\frac{u_{i+1}^n - 2u_i^n + u_{i-1}^n}{(\Delta x)^2} \right]$$

Crank-Nicolson algorithm

What if, instead of

$$\frac{u_i^{n+1} - u_i^n}{\Delta t} = k \left[\frac{u_{i+1}^n - 2u_i^n + u_{i-1}^n}{(\Delta x)^2} \right]$$

and

$$\frac{u_i^{n+1} - u_i^n}{\Delta t} = k \left[\frac{u_{i+1}^{n+1} - 2u_i^{n+1} + u_{i-1}^{n+1}}{(\Delta x)^2} \right]$$

Crank-Nicolson algorithm

What if, instead of

$$\frac{u_i^{n+1} - u_i^n}{\Delta t} = k \left[\frac{u_{i+1}^n - 2u_i^n + u_{i-1}^n}{(\Delta x)^2} \right]$$

and

$$\frac{u_i^{n+1} - u_i^n}{\Delta t} = k \left[\frac{u_{i+1}^{n+1} - 2u_i^{n+1} + u_{i-1}^{n+1}}{(\Delta x)^2} \right]$$

we took

$$\frac{u_i^{n+1} - u_i^n}{\Delta t} = \frac{k}{2} \left[\frac{u_{i+1}^n - 2u_i^n + u_{i-1}^n}{(\Delta x)^2} + \frac{u_{i+1}^{n+1} - 2u_i^{n+1} + u_{i-1}^{n+1}}{(\Delta x)^2} \right]$$

Crank-Nicolson algorithm

What if, instead of

$$\frac{u_i^{n+1} - u_i^n}{\Delta t} = k \left[\frac{u_{i+1}^n - 2u_i^n + u_{i-1}^n}{(\Delta x)^2} \right]$$

and

$$\frac{u_i^{n+1} - u_i^n}{\Delta t} = k \left[\frac{u_{i+1}^{n+1} - 2u_i^{n+1} + u_{i-1}^{n+1}}{(\Delta x)^2} \right]$$

we took

$$\frac{u_i^{n+1} - u_i^n}{\Delta t} = \frac{k}{2} \left[\frac{u_{i+1}^n - 2u_i^n + u_{i-1}^n}{(\Delta x)^2} + \frac{u_{i+1}^{n+1} - 2u_i^{n+1} + u_{i-1}^{n+1}}{(\Delta x)^2} \right]$$

▶ This is the Crank-Nicolson algorithm.

Crank-Nicolson algorithm

What if, instead of

$$\frac{u_i^{n+1} - u_i^n}{\Delta t} = k \left[\frac{u_{i+1}^n - 2u_i^n + u_{i-1}^n}{(\Delta x)^2} \right]$$

and

$$\frac{u_i^{n+1} - u_i^n}{\Delta t} = k \left[\frac{u_{i+1}^{n+1} - 2u_i^{n+1} + u_{i-1}^{n+1}}{(\Delta x)^2} \right]$$

we took

$$\frac{u_i^{n+1} - u_i^n}{\Delta t} = \frac{k}{2} \left[\frac{u_{i+1}^n - 2u_i^n + u_{i-1}^n}{(\Delta x)^2} + \frac{u_{i+1}^{n+1} - 2u_i^{n+1} + u_{i-1}^{n+1}}{(\Delta x)^2} \right]$$

- This is the Crank-Nicolson algorithm.
- ► This, of course, is also an implicit algorithm.



Crank-Nicolson algorithm

What if, instead of

$$\frac{u_i^{n+1} - u_i^n}{\Delta t} = k \left[\frac{u_{i+1}^n - 2u_i^n + u_{i-1}^n}{(\Delta x)^2} \right]$$

and

$$\frac{u_i^{n+1} - u_i^n}{\Delta t} = k \left[\frac{u_{i+1}^{n+1} - 2u_i^{n+1} + u_{i-1}^{n+1}}{(\Delta x)^2} \right]$$

we took

$$\frac{u_i^{n+1} - u_i^n}{\Delta t} = \frac{k}{2} \left[\frac{u_{i+1}^n - 2u_i^n + u_{i-1}^n}{(\Delta x)^2} + \frac{u_{i+1}^{n+1} - 2u_i^{n+1} + u_{i-1}^{n+1}}{(\Delta x)^2} \right]$$

- This is the Crank-Nicolson algorithm.
- ► This, of course, is also an implicit algorithm.



$$u_t = ku_{xx},$$
 $u(x,0) = f(x),$ $u(0,t) = u(L,t) = 0$

Consider the IBVP

$$u_t = ku_{xx},$$
 $u(x,0) = f(x),$ $u(0,t) = u(L,t) = 0$

On physical grounds, we expect any solution to decrease to 0 over time.

$$u_t = ku_{xx},$$
 $u(x,0) = f(x),$ $u(0,t) = u(L,t) = 0$

- On physical grounds, we expect any solution to decrease to 0 over time.
- ► The explicit finite difference algorithm

$$u_i^{n+1} = \frac{k\Delta t}{(\Delta x)^2} \left(u_{i+1}^n + u_{i-1}^n \right) + \left(1 - 2 \frac{k\Delta t}{(\Delta x)^2} \right) u_i^n, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$$

Consider the IBVP

$$u_t = ku_{xx},$$
 $u(x,0) = f(x),$ $u(0,t) = u(L,t) = 0$

- On physical grounds, we expect any solution to decrease to 0 over time.
- ► The explicit finite difference algorithm

$$u_i^{n+1} = \frac{k\Delta t}{(\Delta x)^2} \left(u_{i+1}^n + u_{i-1}^n \right) + \left(1 - 2 \frac{k\Delta t}{(\Delta x)^2} \right) u_i^n, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$$

rightharpoonup can be written in a matrix form $\mathbf{u}^{(n+1)} = A\mathbf{u}^{(n)}$ where $\mathbf{u}^{(n)} = \begin{pmatrix} u_1^n, & u_2^n, & \dots, & u_{N-1}^n \end{pmatrix}^T$

$$u_t = ku_{xx},$$
 $u(x,0) = f(x),$ $u(0,t) = u(L,t) = 0$

- On physical grounds, we expect any solution to decrease to 0 over time.
- The explicit finite difference algorithm

$$u_i^{n+1} = \frac{k\Delta t}{(\Delta x)^2} \left(u_{i+1}^n + u_{i-1}^n \right) + \left(1 - 2 \frac{k\Delta t}{(\Delta x)^2} \right) u_i^n, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$$

- rightharpoonup can be written in a matrix form $\mathbf{u}^{(n+1)} = A\mathbf{u}^{(n)}$ where $\mathbf{u}^{(n)} = \begin{pmatrix} u_1^n, & u_2^n, & \dots, & u_{N-1}^n \end{pmatrix}^T$
- ightharpoonup and thus $\mathbf{u}^{(n)} = A^n \mathbf{u}^{(0)}$

$$u_t = ku_{xx},$$
 $u(x,0) = f(x),$ $u(0,t) = u(L,t) = 0$

- On physical grounds, we expect any solution to decrease to 0 over time.
- ► The explicit finite difference algorithm

$$u_i^{n+1} = \frac{k\Delta t}{(\Delta x)^2} \left(u_{i+1}^n + u_{i-1}^n \right) + \left(1 - 2 \frac{k\Delta t}{(\Delta x)^2} \right) u_i^n, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$$

- rightharpoonup can be written in a matrix form $\mathbf{u}^{(n+1)} = A\mathbf{u}^{(n)}$ where $\mathbf{u}^{(n)} = \begin{pmatrix} u_1^n, & u_2^n, & \dots, & u_{N-1}^n \end{pmatrix}^T$
- ightharpoonup and thus $\mathbf{u}^{(n)} = A^n \mathbf{u}^{(0)}$
- For $\mathbf{u}^{(n)}$ to decay to zero, all the eigenvalues of A must be smaller than 1 in magnitude.



$$u_t = ku_{xx},$$
 $u(x,0) = f(x),$ $u(0,t) = u(L,t) = 0$

- On physical grounds, we expect any solution to decrease to 0 over time.
- ► The explicit finite difference algorithm

$$u_i^{n+1} = \frac{k\Delta t}{(\Delta x)^2} \left(u_{i+1}^n + u_{i-1}^n \right) + \left(1 - 2 \frac{k\Delta t}{(\Delta x)^2} \right) u_i^n, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$$

- rightharpoonup can be written in a matrix form $\mathbf{u}^{(n+1)} = A\mathbf{u}^{(n)}$ where $\mathbf{u}^{(n)} = \begin{pmatrix} u_1^n, & u_2^n, & \dots, & u_{N-1}^n \end{pmatrix}^T$
- ightharpoonup and thus $\mathbf{u}^{(n)} = A^n \mathbf{u}^{(0)}$
- For $\mathbf{u}^{(n)}$ to decay to zero, all the eigenvalues of A must be smaller than 1 in magnitude.



▶ The $(N-1) \times (N-1)$ dimensional matrix A is

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - 2\mu & \mu & 0 \\ \mu & 1 - 2\mu & \mu \\ 0 & \mu & 1 - 2\mu & \ddots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mu & 1 - 2\mu & \mu \\ \mu & 1 - 2\mu \end{pmatrix}$$

where
$$\mu = \frac{k\Delta t}{(\Delta x)^2}$$
.

▶ The $(N-1) \times (N-1)$ dimensional matrix A is

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - 2\mu & \mu & 0 \\ \mu & 1 - 2\mu & \mu & \\ 0 & \mu & 1 - 2\mu & \ddots & \\ \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \mu & 1 - 2\mu & \mu \\ & & & \mu & 1 - 2\mu \end{pmatrix}$$

where
$$\mu = \frac{k\Delta t}{(\Delta x)^2}$$
.

▶ To find its eigenvalues we must solve $D_{N-1}(\lambda) = 0$ where $D_n(\lambda)$ is the determinant of the $n \times n$ tridigonal matrix whose diagonal elements are $2\alpha = 1 - 2\mu - \lambda$ and all the sub-diagonal elements are μ .

▶ The $(N-1) \times (N-1)$ dimensional matrix A is

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - 2\mu & \mu & 0 \\ \mu & 1 - 2\mu & \mu & & \\ 0 & \mu & 1 - 2\mu & \ddots & & \\ \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \mu & 1 - 2\mu & \mu \\ & & & \mu & 1 - 2\mu \end{pmatrix}$$

where
$$\mu = \frac{k\Delta t}{(\Delta x)^2}$$
.

- ▶ To find its eigenvalues we must solve $D_{N-1}(\lambda) = 0$ where $D_n(\lambda)$ is the determinant of the $n \times n$ tridigonal matrix whose diagonal elements are $2\alpha = 1 2\mu \lambda$ and all the sub-diagonal elements are μ .
- ► The determinant obeys the recursion relation

$$D_n = 2\alpha D_{n-1} - \mu^2 D_{n-2}$$



▶ The $(N-1) \times (N-1)$ dimensional matrix A is

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - 2\mu & \mu & 0 \\ \mu & 1 - 2\mu & \mu & & \\ 0 & \mu & 1 - 2\mu & \ddots & & \\ \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \mu & 1 - 2\mu & \mu \\ & & & \mu & 1 - 2\mu \end{pmatrix}$$

where
$$\mu = \frac{k\Delta t}{(\Delta x)^2}$$
.

- ▶ To find its eigenvalues we must solve $D_{N-1}(\lambda) = 0$ where $D_n(\lambda)$ is the determinant of the $n \times n$ tridigonal matrix whose diagonal elements are $2\alpha = 1 2\mu \lambda$ and all the sub-diagonal elements are μ .
- ► The determinant obeys the recursion relation

$$D_n = 2\alpha D_{n-1} - \mu^2 D_{n-2}$$



▶ To solve the recursion relation, we may try the Ansatz $D_n = r^n$

- ▶ To solve the recursion relation, we may try the Ansatz $D_n = r^n$
- ► This leads to $r^2 2\alpha r + \mu^2 = 0$

- ▶ To solve the recursion relation, we may try the Ansatz $D_n = r^n$
- ► This leads to $r^2 2\alpha r + \mu^2 = 0 \implies r_{1,2} = \alpha \pm \sqrt{\alpha^2 \mu^2}$

- ▶ To solve the recursion relation, we may try the Ansatz $D_n = r^n$
- ► This leads to $r^2 2\alpha r + \mu^2 = 0 \implies r_{1,2} = \alpha \pm \sqrt{\alpha^2 \mu^2}$
- ▶ The most general solution, then, is $D_n = Ar_1^n + Br_2^n$

- ▶ To solve the recursion relation, we may try the Ansatz $D_n = r^n$
- ► This leads to $r^2 2\alpha r + \mu^2 = 0 \implies r_{1,2} = \alpha \pm \sqrt{\alpha^2 \mu^2}$
- ▶ The most general solution, then, is $D_n = Ar_1^n + Br_2^n$
- ► The constants A and B can be determined from

$$D_0 = 1 \implies A + B = 1$$

- ▶ To solve the recursion relation, we may try the Ansatz $D_n = r^n$
- ► This leads to $r^2 2\alpha r + \mu^2 = 0 \implies r_{1,2} = \alpha \pm \sqrt{\alpha^2 \mu^2}$
- ▶ The most general solution, then, is $D_n = Ar_1^n + Br_2^n$
- ► The constants A and B can be determined from
 - $D_0 = 1 \implies A + B = 1$
 - $D_1 = 2\alpha \implies Ar_1 + Br_2 = 2\alpha = r_1 + r_2$

- ▶ To solve the recursion relation, we may try the Ansatz $D_n = r^n$
- ► This leads to $r^2 2\alpha r + \mu^2 = 0 \implies r_{1,2} = \alpha \pm \sqrt{\alpha^2 \mu^2}$
- ▶ The most general solution, then, is $D_n = Ar_1^n + Br_2^n$
- ▶ The constants A and B can be determined from
 - \triangleright $D_0 = 1 \implies A + B = 1$
 - $D_1 = 2\alpha \implies Ar_1 + Br_2 = 2\alpha = r_1 + r_2$
- ▶ leading to $A = \frac{r_1}{r_1 r_2}, B = -\frac{r_2}{r_1 r_2}$

- ▶ To solve the recursion relation, we may try the Ansatz $D_n = r^n$
- ► This leads to $r^2 2\alpha r + \mu^2 = 0 \implies r_{1,2} = \alpha \pm \sqrt{\alpha^2 \mu^2}$
- ▶ The most general solution, then, is $D_n = Ar_1^n + Br_2^n$
- ▶ The constants A and B can be determined from

$$\triangleright$$
 $D_0 = 1 \implies A + B = 1$

$$D_1 = 2\alpha \implies Ar_1 + Br_2 = 2\alpha = r_1 + r_2$$

▶ leading to
$$A = \frac{r_1}{r_1 - r_2}, B = -\frac{r_2}{r_1 - r_2}$$

Thus
$$D_n = \frac{r_1^{n-1} - r_2^{n-1}}{r_1 - r_2}$$

- ▶ To solve the recursion relation, we may try the Ansatz $D_n = r^n$
- ► This leads to $r^2 2\alpha r + \mu^2 = 0 \implies r_{1,2} = \alpha \pm \sqrt{\alpha^2 \mu^2}$
- ▶ The most general solution, then, is $D_n = Ar_1^n + Br_2^n$
- ▶ The constants A and B can be determined from

$$\triangleright$$
 $D_0 = 1 \implies A + B = 1$

$$D_1 = 2\alpha \implies Ar_1 + Br_2 = 2\alpha = r_1 + r_2$$

▶ leading to
$$A = \frac{r_1}{r_1 - r_2}, B = -\frac{r_2}{r_1 - r_2}$$

Thus
$$D_n = \frac{r_1^{n-1} - r_2^{n-1}}{r_1 - r_2}$$

►
$$D_{N+1} = 0 \implies \frac{r_1}{r_2} = \exp\left(i\frac{2\pi I}{N}\right), \quad I = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$$

$$\frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha^2 - \mu^2} = \frac{\cos^2\left(\frac{l\pi}{N}\right)}{-\sin^2\left(\frac{l\pi}{N}\right)} \implies \frac{\alpha}{\mu} = \cos\left(\frac{l\pi}{N}\right) \implies 1 - 2\mu - \lambda = 2\mu\cos\left(\frac{l\pi}{N}\right)$$

- For stability, we need $-1 < \lambda < 1$ for each $I = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$

- For stability, we need $-1 < \lambda < 1$ for each l = 0, 1, ..., N-1
- ▶ We need $-1 < 1 4\mu$ or $\mu < \frac{1}{2}$

$$1 - 2\mu - \lambda = 2\mu \cos\left(\frac{I\pi}{N}\right)$$

- For stability, we need $-1 < \lambda < 1$ for each l = 0, 1, ..., N-1
- ▶ We need $-1 < 1 4\mu$ or $\mu < \frac{1}{2}$
- ► Thus, the condition for the explicit algorithm to be stable is

$$\frac{k\Delta t}{(\Delta x)^2} < \frac{1}{2} \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \Delta t < \frac{(\Delta x)^2}{2k}$$

$$1 - 2\mu - \lambda = 2\mu \cos\left(\frac{I\pi}{N}\right)$$

- For stability, we need $-1 < \lambda < 1$ for each l = 0, 1, ..., N-1
- ▶ We need $-1 < 1 4\mu$ or $\mu < \frac{1}{2}$
- ► Thus, the condition for the explicit algorithm to be stable is

$$\frac{k\Delta t}{(\Delta x)^2} < \frac{1}{2} \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \Delta t < \frac{(\Delta x)^2}{2k}$$

The implicit algorithm

► The algorithm

$$\frac{u_i^{n+1} - u_i^n}{\Delta t} = k \left[\frac{u_{i+1}^{n+1} - 2u_i^{n+1} + u_{i-1}^{n+1}}{(\Delta x)^2} \right]$$

The implicit algorithm

► The algorithm

$$\frac{u_i^{n+1} - u_i^n}{\Delta t} = k \left[\frac{u_{i+1}^{n+1} - 2u_i^{n+1} + u_{i-1}^{n+1}}{(\Delta x)^2} \right]$$

► Can be rewritten in the matrix form $A\mathbf{u}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{u}^{(k)} + \mathbf{b}$ where

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1+2\mu & -\mu & 0 & & & & \\ -\mu & 1+2\mu & -\mu & & & & \\ 0 & -\mu & 1+2\mu & \ddots & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & -\mu & 1+2\mu & -\mu & \\ & & 0 & -\mu & 1+2\mu \end{pmatrix} \qquad \mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

The implicit algorithm

► The algorithm

$$\frac{u_i^{n+1} - u_i^n}{\Delta t} = k \left[\frac{u_{i+1}^{n+1} - 2u_i^{n+1} + u_{i-1}^{n+1}}{(\Delta x)^2} \right]$$

► Can be rewritten in the matrix form $A\mathbf{u}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{u}^{(k)} + \mathbf{b}$ where

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1+2\mu & -\mu & 0 & & & \\ -\mu & 1+2\mu & -\mu & & & & \\ 0 & -\mu & 1+2\mu & \ddots & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & -\mu & 1+2\mu & -\mu & \\ & & 0 & -\mu & 1+2\mu \end{pmatrix} \qquad \mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}$$

▶ To analyze stability, consider the case $\alpha = \beta = 0$, so that $u^{(k)} = A^{-k}u^{(0)}$

The implicit algorithm

► The algorithm

$$\frac{u_i^{n+1} - u_i^n}{\Delta t} = k \left[\frac{u_{i+1}^{n+1} - 2u_i^{n+1} + u_{i-1}^{n+1}}{(\Delta x)^2} \right]$$

► Can be rewritten in the matrix form $A\mathbf{u}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{u}^{(k)} + \mathbf{b}$ where

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1+2\mu & -\mu & 0 & & & \\ -\mu & 1+2\mu & -\mu & & & & \\ 0 & -\mu & 1+2\mu & \ddots & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & -\mu & 1+2\mu & -\mu & \\ & & 0 & -\mu & 1+2\mu \end{pmatrix} \qquad \mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}$$

- ▶ To analyze stability, consider the case $\alpha = \beta = 0$, so that $\mathbf{u}^{(k)} = A^{-k}\mathbf{u}^{(0)}$
- ▶ For stability, we need $\rho(A^{-1}) < 1$



The implicit algorithm

► The algorithm

$$\frac{u_i^{n+1} - u_i^n}{\Delta t} = k \left[\frac{u_{i+1}^{n+1} - 2u_i^{n+1} + u_{i-1}^{n+1}}{(\Delta x)^2} \right]$$

► Can be rewritten in the matrix form $A\mathbf{u}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{u}^{(k)} + \mathbf{b}$ where

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1+2\mu & -\mu & 0 & & & \\ -\mu & 1+2\mu & -\mu & & & & \\ 0 & -\mu & 1+2\mu & \ddots & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & -\mu & 1+2\mu & -\mu & \\ & & 0 & -\mu & 1+2\mu \end{pmatrix} \qquad \mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}$$

- ▶ To analyze stability, consider the case $\alpha = \beta = 0$, so that $\mathbf{u}^{(k)} = A^{-k}\mathbf{u}^{(0)}$
- ▶ For stability, we need $\rho(A^{-1}) < 1$



The implicit algorithm

► The eigenvalues of A are $1 + 2\mu \left(1 - \cos\left(\frac{I\pi}{N}\right)\right)$

- ► The eigenvalues of A are $1 + 2\mu \left(1 \cos\left(\frac{l\pi}{N}\right)\right)$
- ▶ Thus, those of A^{-1} are

$$\frac{1}{1 + 2\mu \left(1 - \cos\left(\frac{I\pi}{N}\right)\right)}$$

The implicit algorithm

- ► The eigenvalues of A are $1 + 2\mu \left(1 \cos\left(\frac{I\pi}{N}\right)\right)$
- ▶ Thus, those of A^{-1} are

$$\frac{1}{1 + 2\mu \left(1 - \cos\left(\frac{I\pi}{N}\right)\right)}$$

▶ It is obvious that the denominator is always greater than 1

- ▶ The eigenvalues of A are $1 + 2\mu \left(1 \cos\left(\frac{I\pi}{N}\right)\right)$
- ► Thus, those of A^{-1} are

$$\frac{1}{1 + 2\mu \left(1 - \cos\left(\frac{l\pi}{N}\right)\right)}$$

- ▶ It is obvious that the denominator is always greater than 1
- ▶ The algorithm is unconditionally stable.

- ▶ The eigenvalues of A are $1 + 2\mu \left(1 \cos\left(\frac{I\pi}{N}\right)\right)$
- ▶ Thus, those of A^{-1} are

$$\frac{1}{1 + 2\mu \left(1 - \cos\left(\frac{I\pi}{N}\right)\right)}$$

- ▶ It is obvious that the denominator is always greater than 1
- ► The algorithm is unconditionally stable.
- So, by the way, is the Crank-Nicolson algorithm!

- ▶ The eigenvalues of A are $1 + 2\mu \left(1 \cos\left(\frac{I\pi}{N}\right)\right)$
- ▶ Thus, those of A^{-1} are

$$\frac{1}{1 + 2\mu \left(1 - \cos\left(\frac{I\pi}{N}\right)\right)}$$

- ▶ It is obvious that the denominator is always greater than 1
- ► The algorithm is unconditionally stable.
- So, by the way, is the Crank-Nicolson algorithm!