

# Lab Assignment 1

## TEAM MEMBERS:

Shiva Ghose, @gshiva

John Peterson, @jrpeters

Wenjian Bai, @baiwenji

## Question 1

**a**

The circuit diagram can be seen in figure 1.




Figure 1: A lead-lag compensator circuit diagram for question 1.

**b**

If we consider the impedance of the group  $R_1$ ,  $C_1$  and  $R_3$  as  $Z_1$  and the impedance of the group  $R_2$ ,  $C_2$  and  $R_4$  as  $Z_2$ , we can get the circuit diagram seen in figure 2:

This circuit essentially operates as an inverting amplifier and hence the relationship between the output and input is given as:

$$\frac{V_{out}(s)}{V_{in}(s)} = -\frac{Z_2}{Z_1}$$

Where:

$$Z_1 =$$




Figure 2: Simplified lead-lag compensator circuit diagram.

## Question 2

a

The circuit diagram can be seen in figure 3.




Figure 3: The required circuit diagram for question 2.

b

Circuit analysis:

At the non-inverting terminal, we effectively have a circuit represented by figure 4. We can use Kirchoff's voltage law along with the superposition theorem, we see:




Figure 4: Equivalent circuit at the non-inverting terminal

Voltage at  $V^+$  due to  $V_1$ ,  $V_1^+$ , is given by:

$$V_1^+ = \frac{\frac{R_2 R_g}{R_2 + R_g}}{R_1 + \frac{R_2 R_g}{R_2 + R_g}} V_1$$

$$\therefore V_1^+ = \left( \frac{1}{\frac{R_1}{R_g} + \frac{R_2}{R_1} + 1} \right) V_1$$

Similarly, voltage at  $V^+$  due to  $V_2$ ,  $V_2^+$ , is given by:

$$V_2^+ = \frac{\frac{R_1 R_g}{R_1 + R_g}}{R_2 + \frac{R_1 R_g}{R_1 + R_g}} V_2$$

$$\therefore V_2^+ = \frac{1}{\frac{R_2}{R_g} + \frac{R_1}{R_2} + 1} V_2$$

Using the superposition theorem to recombine the values, we find that  $V^+$  is given by:

$$V^+ = V_1^+ + V_2^+$$

$$V^+ = \frac{1}{\frac{R_1}{R_g} + \frac{R_2}{R_1} + 1} V_1 + \frac{1}{\frac{R_2}{R_g} + \frac{R_1}{R_2} + 1} V_2$$

We can now move on to the inverting terminal of the op-amp. The corresponding equivalent circuit is shown in figure 5. Analyzing this circuit, we see that:




Figure 5: Equivalent circuit at the non-inverting terminal

$$I_3 R_3 = V_3 - V^-$$

$$I_4 R_4 = V_4 - V^-$$

$$V^- - V_{out} = (I_3 + I_4) R_f$$

Substituting from the above equations, we get:

$$V^- - V_{out} = \left( \frac{V_3 - V^-}{R_3} + \frac{V_4 - V^-}{R_4} \right) R_f$$

Reordering the terms, we get:

$$V_{out} = V^- - \left( \frac{V_3 - V^-}{R_3} + \frac{V_4 - V^-}{R_4} \right) R_f$$

$$\Rightarrow V_{out} = V^- \left( \frac{R_f}{R_3} + \frac{R_f}{R_4} + 1 \right) - \left( \frac{R_f}{R_3} \right) V_3 - \left( \frac{R_f}{R_4} \right) V_4$$

We also know that for an ideal op-amp,  $V^+ = V^-$ , hence we get:

$$V_{out} = \left( \frac{R_f}{R_3} + \frac{R_f}{R_4} + 1 \right) \left( \frac{1}{\frac{R_1}{R_g} + \frac{R_1}{R_2} + 1} \right) V_1 + \left( \frac{R_f}{R_3} + \frac{R_f}{R_4} + 1 \right) \left( \frac{1}{\frac{R_2}{R_g} + \frac{R_2}{R_1} + 1} \right) V_2 - \left( \frac{R_f}{R_3} \right) V_3 - \left( \frac{R_f}{R_4} \right) V_4$$

This is equation is the mathematical representation of the problem statement which which required  $V_{out} = a_1 V_1 + a_2 V_2 - a_3 V_3 - a_4 V_4$ , i.e. :

$$a_1 = \left( \frac{R_f}{R_3} + \frac{R_f}{R_4} + 1 \right) \left( \frac{1}{\frac{R_1}{R_g} + \frac{R_1}{R_2} + 1} \right) = 1$$

$$a_2 = \left( \frac{R_f}{R_3} + \frac{R_f}{R_4} + 1 \right) \left( \frac{1}{\frac{R_2}{R_g} + \frac{R_2}{R_1} + 1} \right) = 2$$

$$a_3 = \left( \frac{R_f}{R_3} \right) = 3$$

$$a_4 = \left( \frac{R_f}{R_4} \right) = 4$$

**c**

[DO WE HAVE ANY MORE??]

While building this circuit, we made the following assumptions:

- We are using an ideal op-amp, i.e. the input impedance is infinite and the output impedance is negligible. This implies that the op-amp does not load it's input circuit and loading effects are not seen on the op-amps output terminal.
- The voltage at the non-inverting terminal is equal to the voltage at the inverting terminal.
- The open loop op-amp gain is infinite.
- The op-amp will operate in an ideal manner when the output is not saturated above or below its supply limits.

**d**

We started by choosing  $R_f$ ,  $R_g$  and  $R_1$  to arbitrary resistances such that they were large enough to make sure that other resistances in the circuit were not small. By this we mean that the values of the other resistances were in the order of Kilo-Ohms. From section **b**, we can rewrite gains  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  in terms of  $a_3$  and  $a_4$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= (a_3 + a_4 + 1) \left( \frac{1}{\frac{R_1}{R_g} + \frac{R_1}{R_2} + 1} \right) = 1 \\ &= (8) \left( \frac{1}{\frac{R_1}{R_g} + \frac{R_1}{R_2} + 1} \right) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

We can rewrite this as:

$$\begin{aligned} 8R_2R_g &= R_1R_2 + R_1R_g \\ \Rightarrow R_g &= \frac{R_1R_2}{7R_2 - R_1} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly for  $a_2$ , we see:

$$a_2 = (a_3 + a_4 + 1) \left( \frac{1}{\frac{R_2}{R_g} + \frac{R_2}{R_1} + 1} \right) = 2$$

$$= (8) \left( \frac{1}{\frac{R_2}{R_g} + \frac{R_2}{R_1} + 1} \right) = 2$$

We can re-arrange and re-write the above equations as:

$$R_g = \frac{R_1 R_2}{3R_1 - R_2}$$

Equating the two equations which equal  $R_g$ , we get:

$$\frac{R_1 R_2}{7R_2 - R_1} = \frac{R_1 R_2}{3R_1 - R_2}$$

Thus, we get:

$$R_2 = \frac{R_1}{2}$$

Thus we arbitrarily chose  $R_f = R_g = 10 \text{ K}\Omega$  and  $R_1 = 50 \text{ K}\Omega$  We ended up using the following components in our circuit:

Component Name	Component Type	Component Specification
$R_f$	Resistor	9.880 $\text{K}\Omega$
$R_g$	Resistor	9.860 $\text{K}\Omega$
$R_1$	Resistor	49.3 $\text{K}\Omega$
$R_2$	Resistor	24.65 $\text{K}\Omega$
$R_3$	Resistor	3.293 $\text{K}\Omega$
$R_4$	Resistor	2.470 $\text{K}\Omega$

**e**

Physical implementation.

**f**

John

**g**

John

**h**

The beating signal is achieved as a sinusoidal wave which has an amplitude that also varies sinusoidally. In effect, the output is  $\sin(\omega_a t) \sin(\omega_b t)$ . We can use trigonometric identities to rearrange this sinusoidal product as a sum as follows:

$$\sin(\omega_a t) \sin(\omega_b t) = \frac{\cos(\omega_a t + \omega_b t) - \cos(\omega_a t - \omega_b t)}{2}$$

We use the product-to-sum identity to break up the product of the sines into a sum of cosines. We can again rewrite the cosines in terms of sines by taking into account the phase difference.

$$\frac{\cos(\omega_a t + \omega_b t) - \cos(\omega_a t - \omega_b t)}{2} = \frac{\sin((\omega_a t + \omega_b t) + \pi/2) - \sin((\omega_a t - \omega_b t) + \pi/2)}{2}$$

This way, we can achieve a beating signal as a sum of sinusoids. For the purposes of this question, we chose  $\omega_a = 1$  and  $\omega_b = 10$  The values for each of the output terminals is as follows:

The required LabView screen shot can be seen in figure 6

	V1	V2	V3	V4
Amplitude (Volts)	3	0	1	0
Frequency (Hz)	-9	0	11	0
Offset (Volts)	0	0	0	0
Phase (Radians)	$\frac{\pi}{2}$	0	$\frac{\pi}{2}$	0




Figure 6: The LabView front-end of a system that produces  $\sin(\omega_a t_a) \sin(\omega_b t_b)$ .