Recitation 4

Recitation Instructor: Shivam Verma

Email: shivamverma@nyu.edu

Ph: 718-362-7836

Office hours: WWH 605 (2.50 - 4.50 pm, Tuesdays)

Brief overview

About solving linear systems

- Big Oh notation and "order" of computation
- Gaussian elimination
- LU decomposition for solving linear systems
- Example/exercise of LU decomposition
- LU decomposition in MATLAB

Solving linear systems (5 min)

- Two kinds of linear system solvers:
 - Factorization-based solvers (LU/Gaussian, Cholesky, LDL etc.)
 - o Iteration-based solvers (Jacobi, Gauss-Seidel etc.)
- We use Gaussian elimination to solve systems of linear equations
- Why do we care about Gaussian elimination / LU decomposition etc.? Why not just calculate the inverse? (Hint: flops!)
- Kinds of linear systems include
 - Sparse / dense
 - Symmetry, positive-definiteness, diagonally dominant (special factorizations)
 - Triangular systems (forward/backward substitution is cheap)
- Big Oh notation $f(x) = O(g(x)) \Leftrightarrow |f(x)| \le M|g(x)|, x \ge x_0$. (measures the limiting behaviour of a function)
- Big Oh notation used in numerical analysis to measure # of mathematical operations (flops) for a numerical computation
- Eg. Matrix-vector, matrix-matrix multiplication
- How many operations does calculating a determinant take using the usual method?
- Ans: *O*(*n*!)
- Why is this important. Consider a matrix of order 100. What is O(n!)? 9.3326 x 10^157! This will take roughly 10^127 years to calculate using a supercomputer.
- Read [1] to know more about cost of diff. matrix ops!

LU factorization has two steps: (5 min)

- Gaussian Elimination:
 - Discovered by Gauss in 1800s. Known over 2000 years ago by the Chinese!

$$a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n = b_1$$

$$a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + \dots + a_{2n}x_n = b_2$$

$$\dots$$

$$a_{n1}x_1 + a_{n2}x_2 + \dots + a_{nn}x_n = b_n$$

Apply row transformation: $R_i \rightarrow R_i - l_{i1}R_1$

$$\begin{aligned} a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \ldots + a_{1n}x_n &= b_1\\ (a_{21} - l_{21}a_{11})x_1 + \ldots + (a_{2n} - l_{21}a_{11})x_n &= b_2\\ \ldots\\ (a_{n1} - l_{n1}a_{11})x_1 + \ldots + ((a_{nn} - l_{n1}a_{11})x_n &= b_n\\ \end{aligned}$$
 We choose $l_{ik} = a_{ik}/a_{kk}$ so that after k such sequences:

$$a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n = b_1$$

$$a_{22}x_2 + \dots + a_{2n}x_n = b_2$$

$$\dots$$

$$a_{kk}x_k + \dots + a_{kn}x_n = b_k$$

$$a_{nk}x_k + \dots + a_{nn}x_n = b_n$$

of mult operations =
$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k^2 \approx n^3/3$$

of add operations = $\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k^2 \approx n^3/3$
Total # of operations = $\approx 2n^3/3$

• Solution of a right (left) triangular system using backward (forward) substitution:

$$r_{11}x_1 + r_{12}x_2 + \dots + r_{1n}x_n = z_1$$

 $r_{22}x_2 + \dots + r_{2n}x_n = z_2$
 \dots
 $r_{nn}x_n = z_n$

Or,
$$Rx = z$$
.

$$x_n = z_n/r_{nn}$$

$$x_{n-1} = (z_{n-1} - r_{n-1,n}x_n)/r_{n-1,n-1}$$
...
$$x_1 = (z_1 - r_{1,2}x_2 - \dots - r_{1,n}x_n)/r_{11}$$

of operations for i'th row = (n-i) additions, multiplications and 1 division Thus, total add/mult operations = $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (i-1) = n(n-1)/2 \approx n^2/2$]

• **Important**: LU decomposition does NOT depend on 'b' (RHS), i.e. same LU decomposition for a matrix A.

Try solving the following system(s) using LU decomposition! (home exercise)

1.

Ans:

2. https://www.utdallas.edu/dept/abp/PDF_Files/LinearAlgebra_Folder/LU_Decomposition.
pdf

3.

A =

[4, 2, 1;

2, 1, 1;

1, 1, 1]

Try this with and without pivoting. What do you observe?

Ans.

L =

[1, 0, 0;

1/2, 0, 1;

1/4, 1, 0]

U =

[4, 2, 1;

0, ½, ¾;

 $0, 0, \frac{1}{2}$

Hint: Recall Theorem 2.2 from book (pg. 50). Is there a principal diagonal submatrix (minor) which is singular?

Pivoting

When do we need pivoting?

- Any leading diagonal submatrix is singular
- A(1,1) is zero
- Eg. See example 3 in prev. section.

Pivoting not needed if matrix is diagonally dominant. Why?

• Diagonally dominant matrix: $|A_{ii}| \ge \sum_{j \ne i} |A_{ij}|$ for all i (rows)

Practicing solving linear equations in MATLAB (30 min)

- The '\' operator in MATLAB is used for solving all kinds of linear equations. Try
 it!
- Forward substitution in MATLAB (example)
- Comparing three different implementations of forward substitution in MATLAB

 looping over rows and columns (forward1), looping over rows only (forward2),
 looping over columns only (forward3).
- Why do the timings of these three implementations of the same algorithm differ so much?
- Ans.
 - The MATLAB '\' operator is the most efficient and takes least time, as expected.
 - The 'two for loops' implementation (forward1) should take around 7 seconds, while forward2 and forward3 take around 0.75 and 0.3 seconds respectively.
 - O Despite the fact that each method requires roughly the **same number of floating point operations**, timings differ significantly. This is mainly due to **memory access** and how **matrices are stored in memory. MATLAB stores matrices as one-dimensional arrays, column by column**. You can see that by accessing matrices with only one index, i.e., using A(k) for an n×n matrix returns the entry A(m,l), where k=m+(l-1)n,
 - $1 \le l, 1 \le m \le n$. Numbers that are next to each other in memory can be read from memory much faster than numbers that are stored further away from each other.

Lesson: Columns and rows are NOT the same in MATLAB!

- Finally, LU decomposition in MATLAB, and comparison with '\' operator and inverse (cramer's rule).
 - \circ [L U P] = lu(A)
 - o help lu

Try the following code in MATLAB (left followed by right):

```
%dense solver
                                             %sparse solver
disp('For dense matrix')
                                             disp('For sparse matrix')
n = 5000;
                                             C = diag(rand(n,1));
A = rand(n,n);
b = rand(n,1);
                                             disp('For C\b');
disp('For A\b');
                                             C\b;
A\b;
                                             disp('For LU');
toc;
disp('For LU');
                                             [L,U,P]=Iu(C);
                                             b_new = P*b;
[L,U,P]=lu(A);
                                             y = L b_new;
b_new = P*b;
                                             U\y;
y = L b_new;
                                             toc;
U\y;
                                             disp('Inv(C)*B');
toc;
disp('Inv(A)*B');
                                             inv(C)*b;
tic;
                                             toc;
inv(A)*b;
toc;
```

Output should look like:

```
For dense matrix
For A\b
Elapsed time is 2.310573 seconds.
For LU
Elapsed time is 2.018139 seconds.
Inv(A)*B
Elapsed time is 4.974864 seconds.
For sparse matrix
For C\b
Elapsed time is 0.109042 seconds.
For LU
Elapsed time is 2.002971 seconds.
Inv(C)*B
Elapsed time is 4.922749 seconds.
```

 Can you explain this behaviour? How is MATLAB's backslash '\' operator so awesome?

- Determines properties of matrix and applies algorithm accordingly
 - Eg. Triangular -> forward/backward substitution, symmetric -> LDL
- Behind-the-scenes hardware optimizations
- Read [7] this for more info.
- If you're curious, MATLAB's sparse solver uses a package called UMFPACK. Read more about it in the "backslash" book [9]: *Direct* methods for sparse linear systems, Tim Davis, SIAM, 2006.
- Note: A\b == mldivide(A,b) [another 'name' for 'backslash' operator]

To see in live motion what MATLAB's backslash operator is doing, run the command **spparms('spumoni',1)**; before solving for **A\b**. To know why MATLAB chooses an algorithm, see [8].

Example from [7]:

```
Input:
spparms('spumoni',1);
A = delsq(numgrid('B', 256));
b = rand(size(A, 2), 1);
mldivide(A,b); % another way to write A\b
Output:
sp\: bandwidth = 254+1+254.
sp\: is A diagonal? no.
sp\: is band density (0.01) > bandden (0.50) to try banded solver? no.
sp\: is A triangular? no.
sp\: is A morally triangular? no.
sp\: is A a candidate for Cholesky (symmetric, real positive diagonal)? yes.
sp\: is CHOLMOD's symbolic Cholesky factorization (with automatic reordering)
successful? yes.
sp/: is CHOLMOD's numeric Cholesky factorization successful? yes.
sp\: is CHOLMOD's triangular solve successful? yes.
```

Helpful links

- 1. More about cost of computation
- 2. Wiki page for LU decomp.

- 3. MIT OCW example for LU
- 4. <u>LU decomp. explained very well here</u>
- 5. <u>Detailed notes on LU decomp. and linear systems</u>
- 6. Why columns and rows are not the same in MATLAB
- 7. Stackoverflow answer to how MATLAB \ solver works
- 8. <u>Documentation for MATLAB \ solver</u>
- 9. <u>"Backslash" book</u>