## Problem Set #2

Quiz, 5 questions

## **✓** Congratulations! You passed!

Next Item



1/1 point

1.

Suppose we are given a directed graph G=(V,E) in which every edge has a distinct positive edge weight. A directed graph is acyclic if it has no directed cycle. Suppose that we want to compute the maximum-weight acyclic subgraph of G (where the weight of a subgraph is the sum of its edges' weights). Assume that G is weakly connected, meaning that there is no cut with no edges crossing it in either direction.

Here is an analog of Prim's algorithm for directed graphs. Start from an arbitrary vertex s, initialize  $S=\{s\}$  and  $F=\emptyset$ . While  $S\neq V$ , find the maximum-weight edge (u,v) with one endpoint in S and one endpoint in V-S. Add this edge to F, and add the appropriate endpoint to S.

Here is an analog of Kruskal's algorithm. Sort the edges from highest to lowest weight. Initialize  $F=\emptyset$ . Scan through the edges; at each iteration, add the current edge i to F if and only if it does not create a directed cycle.

Which of the following is true?

	Both algorithms always compute a maximum-weight acyclic subgraph.			
	Only the modification of Kruskal's algorithm always computes a maximum-weight acyclic subgraph.			
0	Both algorithms might fail to compute a maximum-weight acyclic subgraph.			
Correct Indeed. Any ideas for a correct algorithm?				

Only the modification of Prim's algorithm always computes a maximum-weight acyclic subgraph.



1/1 point

2.

Consider a connected undirected graph G with edge costs that are *not necessarily distinct*. Suppose we replace each edge cost  $c_e$  by  $-c_e$ ; call this new graph G'. Consider running either Kruskal's or Prim's minimum spanning tree algorithm on G', with ties between edge costs broken arbitrarily, and possibly differently, in each algorithm. Which of the following is true?



Both algorithms compute a maximum-cost spanning tree of G, but they might compute different ones.

_	_	-	-	_	-
ι.	C)	•	п	•	

Problem Set #3	g rules generally y	ield different sp	anning trees.
Problem Set #7 5	, , ,		U

Proble	en Set #2
Quiz, 5 que	estions
	Both algorithms compute the same maximum-cost spanning tree of $\emph{G}.$
	Prim's algorithm computes a maximum-cost spanning tree of ${\it G}$ but Kruskal's algorithm might not.
	Kruskal's algorithm computes a maximum-cost spanning tree of $G$ but Prim's algorithm might not.
	1/1
	point
3.	
graph algorit	der the following algorithm that attempts to compute a minimum spanning tree of a connected undirected $G$ with distinct edge costs. First, sort the edges in decreasing cost order (i.e., the opposite of Kruskal's thm). Initialize $T$ to be all edges of $G$ . Scan through the edges (in the sorted order), and remove the current from $T$ if and only if it lies on a cycle of $T$ .
Which	of the following statements is true?
Corr	The algorithm always outputs a minimum spanning tree.
Dur be t spa	ing the iteration in which an edge is removed, it was on a cycle $C$ of $T$ . By the sorted ordering, it must the maximum-cost edge of $C$ . By an exchange argument, it cannot be a member of any minimum nning tree. Since every edge deleted by the algorithm belongs to no MST, and its output is a spanning to cycles by construction, connected by the Lonely Cut Corollary), its output must be the (unique)
	The output of the algorithm will always be connected, but it might have cycles.
	The output of the algorithm will never have a cycle, but it might not be connected.
	The algorithm always outputs a spanning tree, but it might not be a minimum cost spanning tree.
<b>~</b>	1/1 point
is a sp	der an undirected graph $G=(V,E)$ where edge $e\in E$ has cost $c_e$ . A <i>minimum bottleneck spanning tree</i> $T_e$ anning tree that minimizes the maximum edge cost $\max_{e\in T}c_e$ . Which of the following statements is true? The that the edge costs are distinct.
	A minimum bottleneck spanning tree is always a minimum spanning tree but a minimum spanning tree is not always a minimum bottleneck spanning tree.
	A minimum bottleneck spanning tree is not always a minimum spanning tree and a minimum spanning tree is not always a minimum bottleneck spanning tree.

12/02/2019	Greedy Algorithms, Minimum Spanning Trees, and Dynamic Programming - Home   Coursera
O Proble	A minimum bottleneck spanning tree is not always a minimum spanning tree, but a minimum spanning tree is always a minimum bottleneck spanning tree. $ \begin{array}{c} \text{M Set \#2} \end{array} $
Quiz, 5 que	<u>stio</u> ns
the l spar	the positive statement, recall the following (from correctness of Prim's algorithm): for every edge $e$ of MST, there is a cut $(A,B)$ for which $e$ is the cheapest one crossing it. This implies that every other nning tree has maximum edge cost at least as large. For the negative statement, use a triangle with extra high-cost edge attached.
	A minimum bottleneck spanning tree is always a minimum spanning tree and a minimum spanning tree is always a minimum bottleneck spanning tree.
0.50 / poin	
5.	
also giv MST if	e given a connected undirected graph $G$ with distinct edge costs, in adjacency list representation. You are ven the edges of a minimum spanning tree $T$ of $G$ . This question asks how quickly you can recompute the we change the cost of a single edge. Which of the following are true? [RECALL: It is not known how to ninistically compute an MST from scratch in $O(m)$ time, where $m$ is the number of edges of $G$ .] [Check all pply.]
	Suppose $e  otin T$ and we increase the cost of $e$ . Then, the new MST can be recomputed in $O(m)$ deterministic time.
This	should be selected
	Suppose $e \in T$ and we decrease the cost of $e$ . Then, the new MST can be recomputed in $O(m)$ deterministic time.
<b>Corr</b> e The	ect MST does not change (by the Cut Property), so no re-computation is needed.
	Suppose $e  otin T$ and we decrease the cost of $e$ . Then, the new MST can be recomputed in $O(m)$ deterministic time.
This	should be selected

Suppose  $e \in T$  and we increase the cost of e. Then, the new MST can be recomputed in O(m) deterministic time.

## Correct

Let A,B be the two connected components of  $T-\{e\}$ . Edge e no longer belongs to the new MST if and only if it is no longer the cheapest edge crossing the cut (A,B) (this can be checked in O(m) time). If f is the new cheapest edge crossing (A,B), then the new MST is  $T-\{e\}\cup\{f\}$ .

## Problem Set #2

Quiz, 5 questions



