AI-Based Cyber Security Threat Prediction

Model Research

I. Comparative Analysis of State-of-the-Art Prediction Models

The complexity of cyber threats requires specialized AI models for different prediction tasks: statistical classification, sequential behavior analysis, and relational mapping. The optimal solution is typically a hybrid architecture leveraging multiple models.

Model Category	Example Model	Core Function / Differentiation	Why Choose (Best Fit)	Typical Accuracy / F1-Score
Ensemble / Gradient Boosting	XGBoost/Ligh tGBM	Uses optimized gradient boosting algorithms.Exc els at rapid classification of tabular statistics; highly explainable via SHAP.	Chosen as the foundational Stage 1 filter model due to its speed, efficiency, and high accuracy for handling large volumes of enriched, structured data.	Accuracy: 98–99.5%. F1-Score: Up to 99.2% on intrusion detection benchmarks.
Sequential Deep Learning	Transformer Encoder (LSTM/RNN)	Processes time-ordered event sequences; uses self-attention to capture complex, long-range dependencies.	Critical for detecting multi-step campaigns, lateral movement, and insider threats, where the sequence of actions is key.	Accuracy/F1: High 98–99% achievable.Hy brid models combining CNNs/LSTMs have demonstrated 99.86% accuracy for sequential threats.

Relational Deep Learning	Graph Neural Network (GNN)	Constructs a graph of entities (IPs, users) and interactions (edges) to model structural relationships.	Provides essential multi-hop context for detecting coordinated attacks and identifying related threat clusters across multiple assets.	Accuracy: Generally 96–97.9% F1/Accuracy observed on relational threat detection.
Anomaly Detection (Unsupervised)	Autoencoder / Isolation Forest	Learns a statistical baseline of "normal" behavior. Flags deviations (high reconstruction error).	Provides a continuous monitoring safety net for catching unseen threats or zero-day attacks since it requires no malicious labels for training.	Measured via Reconstruction Error; provides essential high sensitivity against novel threats.
Traditional ML (Baseline)	Random Forest (RF)	Ensemble of Decision Trees. Highly stable and requires minimal hyperparamet er tuning.	Suitable for establishing a robust, easily interpretable baseline model for initial comparison and quick deployment.	Accuracy: 97–99%. Known for its stability and strong performance across various datasets.

II.A. Differentiation: The Hybrid Fusion System

For maximum detection accuracy and resilience, a **Multi-Stage Hybrid Fusion System** is the recommended architecture. This system combines the specialized strengths of each model family:

- Statistical Efficiency (XGBoost/LightGBM): Handles massive, high-velocity tabular data for rapid initial filtering (Stage 1).
- **Temporal Context (Transformer/LSTM):** Analyzes the *sequence* of actions (behavior) to spot complex, multi-step attacks (Stage 2).
- **Structural Context (GNN):** Analyzes the *relationships* between assets and users to find coordinated threat clusters (Stage 3).
- **Zero-Day Capability (Autoencoder):** Operates in parallel to flag statistically aberrant, *unseen* activity (Stage 4).

These component models feed their prediction scores (probability, sequence score, correlation score, error score) into a **Meta-Learner** (Stage 5), typically a simple classification model. This final layer weights and combines the diverse inputs to achieve a highly reliable **Unified Risk Score**, maximizing robustness against targeted evasion and noise. ¹⁴ The combined ensemble approach consistently outperforms single classifiers in intrusion detection.

Works cited

- 1. CIC UNSW-NB15 Augmented Dataset University of New Brunswick, accessed October 15, 2025, https://www.unb.ca/cic/datasets/cic-unsw-nb15.html
- 2. Overview on Intrusion Detection Systems Design Exploiting Machine Learning for Networking Cybersecurity MDPI, accessed October 15, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/13/13/7507
- 3. Intrusion detection system based on machine learning using least square support vector machine PMC, accessed October 15, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11978955/
- Ensemble Model Based on Hybrid Deep Learning for Intrusion Detection in Smart Grid Networks - PubMed Central, accessed October 15, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10490611/
- 5. Threat intelligence Microsoft Sentinel, accessed October 15, 2025, https://learn.microsoft.com/en-us/azure/sentinel/understand-threat-intelligence
- What Is Cyber Threat Intelligence (CTI)? Palo Alto Networks, accessed October 15, 2025, https://www.paloaltonetworks.com/cyberpedia/what-is-cyberthreat-intelligence-cti
- 7. Adoption of Deep-Learning Models for Managing Threat in API Calls with Transparency Obligation Practice for Overall Resilience PubMed Central, accessed October 15, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11314962/

- 8. What Is SIEM? 7 Pillars and 13 Core Features [2025 Guide] Exabeam, accessed October 15, 2025, https://www.exabeam.com/explainers/siem/what-is-siem/
- 9. SIEM vs EDR: Similarities and Differences CrowdStrike.com, accessed October 15, 2025, https://www.crowdstrike.com/en-us/cybersecurity-101/next-gen-siem/siem-vs-e

dr/

- 10. A deep learning/machine learning approach for anomaly based network intrusion detection, accessed October 15, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12455727/
- 11. Systematic Review of Graph Neural Network for Malicious Attack Detection MDPI, accessed October 15, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/2078-2489/16/6/470
- 12. A deep learning/machine learning approach for anomaly based network intrusion detection Frontiers, accessed October 15, 2025, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/artificial-intelligence/articles/10.3389/frai.2025.1625891/pdf
- 13. The Role of Ensemble Learning in Strengthening Intrusion Detection Systems: A Machine Learning Perspective ResearchGate, accessed October 15, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/384366905 The Role of Ensemble Learning in Strengthening Intrusion Detection Systems A Machine Learning Per spective