

# Artificial Intelligence Assignment: PacMan AI

Shivam Swarnkar, ss8464@nyu.edu

October 26, 2016

## Abstract

Most algorithms failed to generate answers in given time limit. However, with few modifications, almost every algorithm succeeded to perform decently. The best algorithms (with modifications) were BSF, A\*, Iterative Deepening and Evolutionary algorithm without random mutation.

## 1 Algorithms and Performances

Every algorithm was initially designed by following the standard structure, but few modifications were made to improve the performance. Also, a data structure to hold game state, move which resulted in this current state, and parent state was designed, created and used in following algorithms.

### 1.1 BSF

Performed well. Best performance : Cleared Level 1, Avg. Score range: 2000-3000. Used standard BSF with number of nodes limit.

### 1.2 DFS and Iterative Deepening

DFS: Performed below average. Best Performance : 980, Avg. Score range : 250- 750. Used standard DFS with recursion and depth limit. Iterative Deepening: Performed average. Best Performance : 1700, Avg. Score range : 750-1300. Used recursive DFS for repetition.

### 1.3 A\*

Performed average. Best Performance: 1600, Avg. Score range: 650-1300. When a simple Heuristic function was used then performance was average because it was running out of time, but with a better heuristic function (which calculated distance from (edible/non-edible) ghosts, time and other logics) performed better than BSF.

### 1.4 Hill Climbing

Performed below average. Best Performance : 680, Avg. Score range : 250-450. Used standard HC algorithm, but it got stuck easily in corners and when neither pills or ghosts were around.

### 1.5 Simulated Annealing

Performed below average. Best Performance : 980, Avg. Score range : 250-350. Used standard SA algorithm, but many times randomly taken bad steps made situation worse. Probably a better heuristic and schedule function will improve the performance.

### 1.6 Evolutionary Algo 1 and 2

Performed Average, perform better with a better evaluation function. Created a population of 20 and 30 respectively. One algo selected the best, and kept progressing them after elimination while second mutated few top populations using randomly generated different actions