AI LAB WEEK 7
1BM21CS203

Create a knowledgebase using prepositional logic and prove the given query using resolution.

```
import re

def main(rules, goal):
    rules = rules.split(' ')
    steps = resolve(rules, goal)
    print('\nStep\t|Clause\t|Derivation\t')
    print('-' * 30)
    i = 1
    for step in steps:
        print(f' {i}.\t| {step}\t| {steps[step]}\t')
        i += 1

def negate(term):
    return f'~{term}' if term[0] != '~' else term[1]

def reverse(clause):
    if len(clause) > 2:
        t = split_terms(clause)
        return f'{t[1]}v{t[0]}'
    return ''
def split_terms(rule):
    exp = '(~*[PQRS])'
    terms = re.findall(exp, rule)
    return terms

split_terms('~PvR')
['~P', 'R']
def contradiction(goal, clause):
    contradictions = [ f'{goal}v{negate(goal)}', f'{negate(goal)}v{goal}']
    return clause in contradictions or reverse(clause) in contradictions
def resolve(rules, goal):
    temp = rules.copy()
    temp += [negate(goal)]
    steps = dict()
```

```
    for rule in temp:
        steps[rule] = 'Given.'
    steps[negate(goal)] = 'Negated conclusion.'
    i = 0
    while i < len(temp):
        n = len(temp)
        j = (i + 1) % n
        clauses = []
        while j != i:
            terms1 = split_terms(temp[i])
            terms2 = split_terms(temp[j])
            for c in terms1:
                if negate(c) in terms2:
                    t1 = [t for t in terms1 if t != c]
                    t2 = [t for t in terms2 if t != negate(c)]
                    gen = t1 + t2
                    if len(gen) == 2:
                        if gen[0] != negate(gen[1]):
                            clauses += [f'{gen[0]}v{gen[1]}']
                        else:
                            if contradiction(goal,f'{gen[0]}v{gen[1]}'):
                                temp.append(f'{gen[0]}v{gen[1]}')
                                steps[''] = f"Resolved {temp[i]} and {temp[j]} to {temp[-
1]}, which is in turn null. \
                                \nA contradiction is found when {negate(goal)} is assumed
as true. Hence, {goal} is true."
                                return steps
                    elif len(gen) == 1:
                        clauses += [f'{gen[0]}']
                    else:
                        if contradiction(goal,f'{terms1[0]}v{terms2[0]}'):
                            temp.append(f'{terms1[0]}v{terms2[0]}')
                            steps[''] = f"Resolved {temp[i]} and {temp[j]} to {temp[-1]},
which is in turn null. \
                            \nA contradiction is found when {negate(goal)} is assumed as
true. Hence, {goal} is true."
                            return steps
            for clause in clauses:
                if clause not in temp and clause != reverse(clause) and reverse(clause)
not in temp:
                    temp.append(clause)
                    steps[clause] = f'Resolved from {temp[i]} and {temp[j]}.'
            j = (j + 1) % n
```

```
        i += 1
    return steps
rules = 'Rv~P Rv~Q ~RvP ~RvQ' #(P^Q)<=>R :
(Rv~P)v(Rv~Q)^(~RvP)^(~RvQ)
goal = 'R'
main(rules, goal)
rules = 'PvQ PvR ~PvR RvS Rv~Q ~Sv~Q' # (P=>Q)=>Q, (P=>P)=>R,
(R=>S)=>~(S=>Q)
main(rules, 'R')
```

input

```
Step    |Clause |Derivation
-----------------------------
 1.     | Rv~P  | Given.
 2.     | Rv~Q  | Given.
 3.     | ~RvP  | Given.
 4.     | ~RvQ  | Given.
 5.     | ~R    | Negated conclusion.
 6.     |       | Resolved Rv~P and ~RvP to Rv~R, which is in turn null.

A contradiction is found when ~R is assumed as true. Hence, R is true.

Step    |Clause |Derivation
-----------------------------
 1.     | PvQ   | Given.
 2.     | PvR   | Given.
 3.     | ~PvR  | Given.
 4.     | RvS   | Given.
 5.     | Rv~Q  | Given.
 6.     | ~Sv~Q | Given.
 7.     | ~R    | Negated conclusion.
 8.     | QvR   | Resolved from PvQ and ~PvR.
 9.     | Pv~S  | Resolved from PvQ and ~Sv~Q.
 10.    | P     | Resolved from PvR and ~R.
 11.    | ~P    | Resolved from ~PvR and ~R.
 12.    | Rv~S  | Resolved from ~PvR and Pv~S.
 13.    | R     | Resolved from ~PvR and P.
 14.    | S     | Resolved from RvS and ~R.
 15.    | ~Q    | Resolved from Rv~Q and ~R.
 16.    | Q     | Resolved from ~R and QvR.
 17.    | ~S    | Resolved from ~R and Rv~S.
 18.    |       | Resolved ~R and R to ~RvR, which is in turn null.
A contradiction is found when ~R is assumed as true. Hence, R is true.
```