GSI: Eduardo
Oregon Reyes

DISC #: 107

Math 55, Handout 4.

PROOF METHODS.

- 1.1. A proof by exhaustion is a proof that proceeds by exhausting all possibilities and examining a small number of examples.
- 1.2. A proof by cases is a method that shows the original conditional statement with a hypothesis made up of propositions p1, p2, ... pn can be proved through proving each of the n conditional statements. The proof must cover all possible cases that arise in a theorem.
- Q1. Write down and prove the rule of inference behind these proof methods.

P.
$$V P_2 V ... V P_K \rightarrow G = (P_i \rightarrow g)_{\Lambda}(P_s \rightarrow g)_{\Lambda}(P_k \rightarrow g)$$

If $P_i V ... V P_K \rightarrow g = F$, then $g = F$ and at least
one $P_j = T$
Then $P_j \rightarrow g = F$ so the right-hand side is F .
convertely, if the RH.S. is F_i then there is at least one
 $P_j \rightarrow g = F_i$ so $g = F$ and $p_j = T$.
Thus, $P_i V ... V P_K \rightarrow g = F$
thence $P_i V ... V P_K \rightarrow g = F$

1.3. Without loss of generality (WLOG) means

to use an assumption in a proof that makes it possible to prove a theorem by reducing the number of cases to consider in the proof.

- 1.4. Common errors with these two kinds of proofs are
 - drawing the incorrect conclusions from examples
 - not covering every possible case
 - making unwarranted assumptions
- Q2. Give an example of an error with a proof by cases.

```
Theorem: If x is a real number, then x² is a positive real number.

Proof: P, = " X is positive"

P2 = "X is negative"

When X is positive, X² is positive (product of 2 positive numbers X)

When X is negative, X² is positive a 150

This misses the case of X being 0.
```

- 2.1. A constructive existence proof is an existence proof given by finding an element a such that P(a) is true.
- 2.2. A non-constructive existence proof is an existence proof where an element a such that P(a) is true is not found, but the existence proof is proven true in another way.
- Q3. Prove there is a pair of consecutive integers such that one of them is a perfect square and the other is a perfect cube. Is your proof constructive or non-contructive?

Consecutive integers: 8 and 9

 $(2)^3 = 8$

 $(3)^2 = 9$

This is a constructive existence proof.

3.1. A uniqueness proof has two parts:

Existence: show an element x with the desired property exists

Uniqueness: show that if y does not equal x, then y does not have the desired property

PROOF STRATEGIES.

- 4.1. Forward reasoning is
 - a proof that uses a sequence of steps that leads to the conclusion.
- 4.2. Backward reasoning is

a proof with a sequence of steps to reason backwards by finding a statement p that can rove a statement q to prove the property that $p \rightarrow q$.

4.3. Adapting an existing proof means

taking advantage of existing proofs of similar results and adapting them to prove other facts by providing clues clues for new proofs.

Q4. Prove that (n-1)n is even for any integer n. What is your proof strategy? Proof by cases

```
case 1: n is even n=2m for m \in \mathbb{N} Thus n(n-1)=2m(2m-1)=2[m(2m-1)] is even. case 2: n is odd n=2m-1 where m \in \mathbb{N} Thus n(n-1)=(2m-1)(2m-2)=2[(2m-n)(m-1)] is even.
```

Q5. Adapt your strategy from Q4 to show that (n-1)n(n+1) is divisible by 3 for any integer n.

```
case 1: n=3K-1 Torsome nEZ

case 2: n=3K-1 Torsome nEZ

case 3: n=3K-2 Torsome nEZ

Among 3 consecutive integers, exactly one is divisible by 3, so their product is divisible by 3
```