

Name:

GSI:

DISC #:

Solutions to Homework 5.

Prob 1. Find a formula for $\sum_{k=0}^m \lfloor \sqrt[3]{k} \rfloor$.

Solution: First note that any sum $\sum_{k=0}^m f(k)$ where $f(k)$ is a function from \mathbb{Z}_+ to \mathbb{Z}_+ is in fact the total number of (integer) points of the type (k, h) where the first coordinate corresponds to our summation index k and the second coordinate (denoted h for “height”) corresponds to the positive integer heights that do not exceed the value $f(k)$. In other words, it is the total number of points in the set

$$S = \cup_{k=0}^m \cup_{h \in \mathbb{N}} \{(k, h) : h \leq f(k)\} = \cup_{h \in \mathbb{N}} \cup_{k=0}^m \{(k, h) : f(k) \geq h\}.$$

That is, $\sum_{k=0}^m f(k) = |S|$. Notice next that the set S is a disjoint union, over all possible natural values h , of its subsets $S_h = \{(k, h) : f(k) \geq h\}$, $h \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus

$$\sum_{k=0}^m f(k) = |S| = \sum_{h \in \mathbb{N}} |S_h|. \quad (1)$$

Now apply this reasoning to the function $f(k) = \lfloor \sqrt[3]{m} \rfloor - \lfloor \sqrt[3]{k} \rfloor$. We see that $f(k) > 0$ for the following values of k : $k = 0, \dots, \lfloor \sqrt[3]{m} \rfloor^3 - 1$, which gives us $|S_1| = \lfloor \sqrt[3]{m} \rfloor^3$. Further, $f(k) > 1$ for $k = 0, \dots, (\lfloor \sqrt[3]{m} \rfloor - 1)^3 - 1$, which gives $|S_2| = (\lfloor \sqrt[3]{m} \rfloor - 1)^3$, and so forth. The last nonempty set is $S_{\lfloor \sqrt[3]{m} \rfloor}$ of size $|S_{\lfloor \sqrt[3]{m} \rfloor}| = 1$ corresponds to the only value $k = 0$ that works for it. So, the formula (1) implies

$$\sum_{k=0}^m f(k) = |S| = \sum_{h \in \mathbb{N}} |S_h| = \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \sqrt[3]{m} \rfloor} j^3.$$

By the well-known formula for the sum of cubes (see the book), this gives us

$$\sum_{k=0}^m f(k) = \frac{\lfloor \sqrt[3]{m} \rfloor^2 (\lfloor \sqrt[3]{m} \rfloor + 1)^2}{4}.$$

Now we must transition from the designed sum $\sum_{k=0}^m f(k) = \sum_{k=0}^m (\lfloor \sqrt[3]{m} \rfloor - \lfloor \sqrt[3]{k} \rfloor)$ to our original sum. As

$$\sum_{k=0}^m \lfloor \sqrt[3]{m} \rfloor = \lfloor \sqrt[3]{m} \rfloor \sum_{k=0}^m 1 = \lfloor \sqrt[3]{m} \rfloor (m+1),$$

we can finally conclude

$$\sum_{k=0}^m \lfloor \sqrt[3]{k} \rfloor = \sum_{k=0}^m \lfloor \sqrt[3]{m} \rfloor - \sum_{k=0}^m f(k) = \lfloor \sqrt[3]{m} \rfloor (m+1) - \frac{\lfloor \sqrt[3]{m} \rfloor^2 (\lfloor \sqrt[3]{m} \rfloor + 1)^2}{4}.$$

Prob 2. (a) Find a recurrence relation for the balance $B(k)$ owed at the end of k months on a loan at a rate r if a payment P is made on the loan each month.

Solution: We need to apply interest to our previous balance and subtract our fixed payment. This gives

$$B(k) = B(k-1)\left(1 + \frac{r}{12}\right) - P. \quad (2)$$

Note that the interest is computed using the monthly rate, i.e., $1/12$ of the annual interest rate.

(b) Determine what the monthly payment P should be so that the loan is paid off after T months.

Solution: Iterate the recurrence (2) $k-1$ times, i.e., keep replacing each balance $B(k-j)$ by the previous balance $B(k-j-1)$ using the recurrence (2) for $j = 1, \dots, k-1$. This produces

$$B(k) = B(0)\left(1 + \frac{r}{12}\right)^k - P - P\left(1 + \frac{r}{12}\right) - P\left(1 + \frac{r}{12}\right)^2 - \dots - P\left(1 + \frac{r}{12}\right)^{k-1}.$$

The subtracted part is the sum of a geometric progression with ratio $1 + r/12$ and initial term P , i.e.,

$$P \frac{\left(1 + \frac{r}{12}\right)^k - 1}{\left(1 + \frac{r}{12}\right) - 1} = \frac{12P}{r} \left(\left(1 + \frac{r}{12}\right)^k - 1\right), \quad \text{so} \quad B(k) = \left(B(0) - \frac{12P}{r}\right)\left(1 + \frac{r}{12}\right)^k + \frac{12P}{r}.$$

To pay the loan off after T months, we must have $B(T) = 0$, i.e.,

$$P = \frac{rB(0)}{12} \cdot \frac{\left(1 + \frac{r}{12}\right)^T}{\left(1 + \frac{r}{12}\right)^T - 1}.$$

(c) Suppose you take out a fixed-rate mortgage for \$1M at the current (historically low) rate 3% and want to pay it off in 20 years. What monthly payment should you make?

Solution: Plug in $r = .03$, $T = 20 \cdot 12 = 240$, and $B(0) = 1000000$ to get

$$P = 2500 \cdot \frac{1.0025^{240}}{1.0025^{240} - 1} \approx 5545.97$$

So the fixed payment should be about \$5,546 per month.

(d) Now suppose the same mortgage of \$1M but you have qualified only for the rate 5% and the maximum monthly payment you can afford is \$5K. How many years will it take you to pay off that mortgage?

Solution: Now we must have

$$\left(\frac{12P}{r} - B(0)\right)\left(1 + \frac{r}{12}\right)^T = \frac{12P}{r}, \quad \text{hence} \quad \left(1 + \frac{r}{12}\right)^T = \frac{12P}{12P - rB(0)},$$

which yields

$$T = \frac{\ln(12P) - \ln(12P - rB(0))}{\ln\left(1 + \frac{r}{12}\right)}.$$

Plugging in our new data $P = 5000$, $r = 0.05$, $B(0) = 1000000$, we get

$$T = \frac{\ln 6}{\ln 1.00416667} \approx 430.918$$

This is time in months, and conversion into years gives approximately 35.9 years.

Prob 3. Write down the full addition and multiplication tables for \mathbb{Z}_9 (where addition means $+_9$ and multiplication means \cdot_9).

Solution:

| $+_9$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
|-------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 0 |
| 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 1 |
| 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 4 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 5 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 6 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 7 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 8 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |

| \cdot_9 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| 3 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 6 |
| 4 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 5 |
| 5 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 8 | 4 |
| 6 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 3 |
| 7 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 2 |
| 8 | 0 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |

Prob 4. (a) Prove that, if p is a prime, then all positive integers less than p except for 1 and $p - 1$ can be split into $(p - 3)/2$ pairs such that each pair consists of integers that are inverses of each other modulo p .

Proof. For $p = 2$, the proof of this fact is vacuous.

Suppose $p > 2$. Then p is necessarily odd. If a is relatively prime to p , the congruence $ax \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ has a unique solution $x \in \{1, \dots, p - 1\}$. Each number in the set $S = \{2, 3, \dots, p - 2\}$ is relatively prime to p , hence has a unique inverse mod p ; that inverse lies in the same set S because the numbers 1 and $p - 1$ are their own multiplicative inverses.

Moreover, there are no elements of S that are multiplicative inverses of themselves, since the congruence $k^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ implies $p \mid (k^2 - 1)$, i.e., $p \mid (k - 1)(k + 1)$. Since p is prime, this implies that $p \mid (k - 1)$ or $p \mid (k + 1)$, so $k \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}$, and the latter condition is not met by any element of S .

Thus the set S splits into $(p - 3)/2$ pairs that are inverses of each other.

(b) Conclude from part (a) that $(p - 1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ whenever p is prime.

Proof. From (a), we see that the product of all numbers in the set S is congruent to 1 mod p because it can be rewritten as a product of $(p - 3)/2$ pairs that are inverses of each other mod p . Now,

$$(p - 1)! = 1 \left(\prod_{j \in S} j \right) (p - 1) \equiv 1(-1) \equiv -1 \pmod{p}.$$

(c) What can we conclude if n is a positive integer such that $(n - 1)! \not\equiv -1 \pmod{n}$?

If $(n - 1)! \not\equiv -1 \pmod{n}$, this shows n is composite: if n were prime, that congruence would hold by (b).

Prob 5. Prove or disprove that there are infinitely many primes of the form $6k + 5$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Proof. Suppose there are only finitely many primes of the form $6k + 5$. List all them as p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider the number $N = 6p_1 \cdots p_n - 1$. This is a number of the form $6k + 5$ as well, since $N = 6(p_1 \cdots p_n - 1) + 5$. None of the primes p_1, \dots, p_n divides N since $N \equiv -1 \pmod{p_j}$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n$. N is either prime or composite.

If N is prime, then N is not on the original list $(p_j)_{j=1}^n$ since none of the p_j s even divides N .

If N is composite, consider its prime divisors. N is not divisible by 2 or 3 since $N \equiv -1 \pmod{6}$. A prime cannot be of the form $6k, 6k+2, 6k+3$ or $6k+4$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ since these expressions can all be explicitly divided by 2 or by 3 and are greater than those two numbers.

Hence all prime divisors of N are either -1 or $1 \pmod{6}$. If they were all equal to $1 \pmod{6}$, then N itself would also be equal to $1 \pmod{6}$, but $N \equiv -1 \pmod{6}$. Hence at least one of the prime divisors of N is equal to $-1 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$. We already established that no prime divisor of N is on the original list $(p_j)_{j=1}^n$. Hence we have found a new prime of the form $6k + 5$.

Thus, we have established that there are infinitely many primes of the form $6k + 5$.