

Math 55, Handout 2.

LOGICAL EQUIVALENCES.

- 1.1. A **tautology** is a compound proposition that is always true, regardless of the truth values of the propositional variables that occur in it.
- 1.2. A **contradiction** is a compound proposition that is always false, regardless of the truth values of the propositional variables that occur in it.
- 1.3. A **contingency** is neither a tautology nor a contradiction. The compound proposition is sometimes true and sometimes false.
2. The notation $p \equiv q$ means that p and q are logically equivalent.
3. De Morgan's Laws are:

$$\neg(p \wedge q) \equiv \neg p \vee \neg q$$

$$\neg(p \vee q) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$$

Q1. Prove the Absorption Laws: $p \vee (p \wedge q) \equiv p$ and $p \wedge (p \vee q) \equiv p$.

<i>Proof.</i> $p \vee (p \wedge q) \equiv p$	Case 2: $q = F$	<i>Proof.</i> $p \wedge (p \vee q) \equiv p$	Case 2: $q = F$
$p \vee (p \wedge F)$	$p \vee (p \wedge q)$	$p \wedge (p \vee F)$	$p \wedge (p \vee F)$
$p \vee (p \wedge T)$	$p \vee (p \wedge F)$	$p \wedge (p \vee T)$	$p \wedge p$
$p \vee p$	$p \vee p$	$p \wedge (p \vee q) \equiv p$	p
p	p	$p \wedge T$	$p \equiv p \quad \square$
$p \equiv p$	$p \equiv p \quad \square$	p	
		$p \equiv p$	

4. A proposition is **satisfiable** if there is an assignment of truth values to its variables that makes it true (i.e. it is a tautology or contingency). Otherwise it is **unsatisfiable**.

Q2. Which of these propositions is satisfiable?

$(p \vee (p \wedge q)) \vee \neg p$: **satisfiable**

$(p \wedge (p \vee q)) \vee \neg q$: **satisfiable**

$\neg p \vee q \rightarrow \neg(p \vee q)$: **satisfiable**

PREDICATES AND QUANTIFIERS.

5. A **predicate** or **propositional function** is a property that the subject of the statement can have (**predicate**). The statement $P(x)$ is the value of the **propositional function** P at x . It can be **unary** or **n -ary**.
5. The **universal quantification** $\forall xP(x)$ means the predicate is true for every element under consideration.
6. The **existential quantification** $\exists xP(x)$ means there is one or more element(s) under consideration for which the predicate is true.

DOMAIN AND ITS IMPORTANCE.

7. The **domain** / **domain of discourse** / **universe of discourse** means that the property of a mathematical statement is true for all values of a variable in a particular domain.
- Q3. Give an example of a predicate $P(x)$ and different domains so that the statements $\forall xP(x)$ is true, $\forall xP(x)$ is false, $\exists xP(x)$ is true, $\exists xP(x)$ is false.
 $\forall xP(x)$ is true: $P(x): x + 1 > x$, Domain: all real numbers
 $\forall xP(x)$ is false: $P(x): x < 2$, Domain: $x > 4$
 $\exists xP(x)$ is true: $P(x): x > 3$, Domain: $x \geq 0$
 $\exists xP(x)$ is false: $P(x): x = x + 1$, Domain: all real numbers

LOGICAL EQUIVALENCES INVOLVING QUANTIFIERS.

8. De Morgan Laws for Quantifiers are:
 $\neg \exists xP(x) \equiv \forall x\neg P(x)$
 $\neg \forall xP(x) \equiv \exists x\neg P(x)$
- Q4. Let $P(x)$, $Q(x)$, and $R(x)$ be the predicates “ x is a clear explanation”, “ x is satisfactory”, “ x is an excuse”. Let the domain for x be all English text. Express these statements using the given predicates and quantifiers:
 “All clear explanations are satisfactory”: $\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))$
 “Some excuses are unsatisfactory”: $\exists x(\neg Q(x) \wedge R(x))$
 “Some excuses are not clear explanations”: $\exists x(\neg P(x) \wedge R(x))$
 Does the last statement follow from the first two? **Yes**

NESTED QUANTIFIERS.

- Q5. Give the definition of a limit of a real-valued function $f(x)$ at point a . State its negation so that the negation sign precedes no quantifier.
Definition: $\forall \varepsilon \exists N \forall n [\varepsilon > 0 \wedge n > N \rightarrow |a_n - a| < \varepsilon]$
Negation: $\neg[\forall \varepsilon \exists N \forall n [\varepsilon > 0 \wedge n > N \rightarrow |a_n - a| < \varepsilon]]$
 $\exists \varepsilon \forall N \exists n \neg[(\varepsilon > 0 \wedge n > N) \rightarrow |a_n - a| < \varepsilon]$
 $\exists \varepsilon \forall N \exists n [(\varepsilon > 0 \wedge n > N) \wedge |a_n - a| \geq \varepsilon]$