

Name: Shwani Patel

GSI: Madeline Brandt

DISC #: 103

Math 55, Handout 10.

BACKGROUND.

Q1. When is a set countably infinite?

A set is countably infinite if its elements can be put in one-to-one correspondence with the set of natural numbers. In other words, one can count off all elements in the set in such a way that even though the counting will take forever, you will get to any particular element in a finite amount of time.

INDUCTION.

1.1. **Principle of mathematical induction:** To prove that $P(n)$ is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $P(n)$ is a propositional function, we complete two steps:

Basis step: we verify $P(1)$ is true

Inductive step: we show that the conditional statement $P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1)$ is true for all positive integers k

1.2. This principle can be expressed as the following rule of inference:

$(P(1) \wedge \forall k (P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1))) \rightarrow \forall n P(n)$ where the domain is the set of positive integers

1.3. The basis step does not have to start at one (i.e. $P(1)$) it can be negative, zero, or positive

Q2. Prove by induction that $1 \cdot 1! + 2 \cdot 2! + \dots + n \cdot n! = (n+1)! - 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

$(n+1)(n+1)! = (n+2-1)(n+1)!$
 $= (n+2)(n+1)! - (n+1)!$
 $= (n+2)! - (n+1)! \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$
therefore $P(n)$ implies
 $1 \cdot 1! + \dots + n \cdot n! + (n+1)(n+1)!$
 $= (n+1)! - 1 + (n+2)! - (n+1)!$
 $= (n+2)! - 1$
from this we see $\forall n (P(n) \rightarrow P(n+1))$

CAVEATS IN INDUCTIVE PROOFS.

Q3. Here is a supposed 'proof' that all whiteboard markers have the same color. Let $P(n)$ denote the proposition that all markers in any set of n markers have the same color. Clearly, $P(1)$ is true. Now assume $P(k)$ is true and take a set of $k+1$ markers. Then the first k markers have the same color by the inductive hypothesis, and so do the last k markers. So, all $k+1$ markers must be of the same color! What is wrong with this 'proof'?

The issue is the transition from 1 to 2.

$P(1)$ is true, but $P(2)$ is not

$n \rightarrow n+1$  overlap  no overlap

STRONG INDUCTION.

2.1. **Principle of strong induction:** To prove that $P(n)$ is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $P(n)$ is a propositional function, we complete two steps:

2.2. **Basis step:** We verify that the proposition $P(1)$ is true

2.3. **Inductive step:** We show that the conditional statement $[P(1) \wedge P(2) \wedge \dots \wedge P(k)] \rightarrow P(k+1)$ is true for all positive integers k

Q4. Let $P(n)$ be the statement that a postage of n cents can be formed using only 3-cent stamps and 5-cent stamps. $P(n)$ can be proved by strong induction.

What is the correct basis step?

$P(8)$: one 3-cent & one 5-cent stamp ✓

$P(9)$: three 3-cent stamps ✓

$P(10)$: two 5-cent stamps ✓

What is the inductive hypothesis?

$P(n)$ is true for $8 \leq n \leq k$, where $k \geq 10$

Complete the inductive step:

If $k \geq 10$, then $k+1 = (k-2) + 3$ since $k-2 \geq 8$, by the induction hypothesis we have that $P(k-2)$ is true. In other words a postage of $k-2$ cents can be paid by using 3-cent and 5-cent stamps. By adding one 3-cent stamp, we can pay a postage of $k+1$ cents (i.e. $P(k+1)$ is true)

WELL-ORDERING PROPERTY.

3.1. **The well-ordering property of \mathbb{N} :** Every non-empty subset of \mathbb{N} contains a least element

Q5. Does the well-ordering principle hold in \mathbb{Z} ? $2\mathbb{N}$? \mathbb{Q} ? $3\mathbb{N} - 2$? \mathbb{R} ? $[0, 1]$?

Underline those sets where it holds (per their **natural order** $<$).