The Transportation Model

Shivani Pitla

2022-10-15

Formulation of LP problem in "R"

The objective function is $Min\ TC = 622(x_11) + 614(x_12) + 630(x_13) + 641(x_21) + 645(x_22) + 649(x_23)$ Subject to

supply constraints

$$(x_11) + (x_12) + (x_13) \le 100$$

$$(x_12) + (x_22) + (x_23) \le 120$$

demand constraints

$$(x_11) + (x_21) \ge 80$$

$$(x_12) + (x_22) \ge 60$$

$$(x_13) + (x_23) \ge 70$$

$$(x_14) + (x_24) \ge 10$$

Non-Negativity constraint

$$x_i j \ge 0$$

where

$$i = 1, 2$$

$$j = 1, 2$$

activating the required package and creating the table

```
## PlantA 22 14 30 600 100
## PlantB 16 20 24 625 120
## Demand 80 60 70 - -
```

```
#Creating dummy variables when supply and demand are not equal
costs \leftarrow matrix(c(622,614,630,0,
                   641,645,649,0), ncol = 4, byrow = TRUE)
colnames(costs)<-c("warehouse1","warehouse2","warehouse3","Dummy")</pre>
rownames(costs)<-c("PlantA","PlantB")</pre>
costs<-as.table(costs)</pre>
costs
##
          warehouse1 warehouse2 warehouse3 Dummy
## PlantA
                  622
                                          630
                              614
                                                   0
## PlantB
                  641
                              645
                                          649
                                                   0
#Supply Side
row.signs <- rep("<=",2)
row.rhs<- c(100,120)
#Demand Side
col.signs <- rep(">=",4)
col.rhs \leftarrow c(80,60,70,10)
#running the lp.transport function
lptrans <- lp.transport(costs, "min", row.signs, row.rhs, col.signs, col.rhs)</pre>
#Getting the objective value
lptrans$objval
## [1] 132790
#values of all the variables
lptrans$solution
##
        [,1] [,2] [,3] [,4]
## [1,]
                60
                     40
           0
## [2,]
          80
                     30
                           10
80 AEDs in Plant B - Warehouse1
60 AEDs in Plant A - Warehouse2
40 AEDs in Plant A - Warehouse3
30 AEDs in Plant B - Warehouse 3 should be created in each facility, supplied to each of the three
warehouses of the wholesalers, and then packaged to reduce the overall cost of manufacturing and shipment.
```

Formulating the dual of the above transportation problem

Since the primary goal was to reduce transportation costs, the secondary goal would be to increase value added (VA).

Maximize
$$VA = 80W_1 + 60W_2 + 70W_3 - 100P_A - 120P_B$$

$Subject\ to\ the\ following\ constraints$

Total Payments Constraints

$$W_1 - P_A > = 622$$

$$W_2 - P_A > = 614$$

$$W_3 - P_A > = 630$$

$$W_1 - P_B > = 641$$

$$W_2 - P_B > = 645$$

$$W_3 - P_B > = 649$$

Where $W_1 = Warehouse 1$

$$W_2 = Warehouse 2$$

$$W_3 = Warehouse 3$$

$$P_1 = Plant 1$$

$$P_2 = Plant 2$$

Economic Interpretation of the dual

$$W_1 <= 622 + P_A$$

$$W_2 <= 614 + P_A$$

$$W_3 <= 630 + P_A$$

$$W_1 <= 641 + P_B$$

$$W_2 <= 645 + P_B$$

$$W_3 <= 649 + P_B$$

From the above we can see that $W_1 - P_A >= 622$

which can be exponented as $W_1 \le 622 + P_A$

here W_1 is considered as the price payments being obtained at the origin which is nothing else, but, the revenue, meanwhile $P_A + 622$ is the money paid at the origin at $Plant_A$

Therefore the equation will be $MR_1 >= MC_1$.

To maximize profit , The Marginal Revenue(MR) should be equal to Marginal Costs(MC)

That is, $MR_1 = MC_1$

 $\label{eq:constraint} From \ th \ above \ interpretation, \ we \ can \ say \ that,$ $Profit \ maximization \ takes \ place \ when \ MC \ is \ equal \ to \ the \ MR.$

If MR>MC, we must lower plant expenses in order to achieve the Marginal Revenue (MR).

If MR > MC, we must increase output supply in order to achieve Marginal Revenue (MR).